Proposed Amendment to the Second Amendment Proposed by David Collier on 17$^{\text{th}}$ February to the Proposed Changes to the Archimedeans' Constitution by Jordan Skittrall on 17$^{\text{th}}$ February

Jordan P. Skittrall

February 19, 2004

I propose the following amendments to amendment number 2 proposed in the document by David Collier dated 17$^{\text{th}}$ February. The accompanying notes are intended to be explanatory, and do not form part of the proposals.

Proposed Amendment 1

To alter the intitial "T" in "The Committee" to lower case; to alter the final full stop to a semicolon.

Notes on proposal: This is purely for consistency with the other items.

Proposed Amendment 2

To replace "one of the two previous paragraphs" with "paragraph 3"; to add a new paragraph to the proposal, to read: "To add a new part (a), to read "it fails to comply with paragraph 2 (footnote: in the event that the failure to comply is disputed, the Committee shall adjudicate the dispute);"; to relabel the succeeding parts accordingly."

Notes on proposal: The original amendment deals with the possibility of a failure to comply with the paragraph regarding compulsory admission to meetings being disputed. It does this by giving the Committee the same discretion regarding disaffiliation in such a case as the original proposal gives the Committee in the case of a loss of contact with the College Society. I contend that this is both unnecessary and undesirable (the latter because unlike a loss of contact, a failure to admit those entitled to admission on request is actively, rather than passively, contrary to the affiliation conditions and as such there should be no question regarding disaffiliation should it be found that such a failure has occurred; allowing the Committee discretion in such a matter only serves to increase the probablility that inaction detrimental to a section of the membership or to another College Society will result), and that it is sufficient to allow the Committee to adjudicate matters of fact in the event of a dispute. The amendment proposed above effects this view-point.