International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

Emergency Out-of-Hospital Decompressive Neurosurgical Intervention = Last Source Measure

Theodoros Aslanidis¹, Efstratia Syrmou²

^{1, 2}National Center of Emergency Care, Thessaloniki, Greece

Abstract: The commentary explores the conditions under which Burr hole craniotomy or skull trephination could possibly be performed in prehospital emergency settings.

Keywords: craniectomy, intracranial hypertension, emergency neurosurgery

1. Introduction

Even though there are some reports in the literature about emergency skull trephination or Burr hole in emergency departments¹⁻², in remote locations³ or in ambulance during inter-hospital transfer⁴; the available database remain limited⁵. Thus, while the first creates hopes about the wide implementation of such interventions in prehospital setting⁶, the latter creates skepticism about the conditions under we should go ahead with the techniques. The present article focuses on the reasons behind that skepticism.

2. The Certainty of Diagnosis

Decompressive surgery is mainly performed in order to reduce refractory intracranial pressure (ICP) ⁷. Laboratory diagnosis of intracranial hypertension can be done either directly via ICP monitor catheters or indirectly, by confirming the pathology that caused a rise in ICP: computer tomography (CT) or angio-CT, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), transcranial Doppler (TCD) or transcranial ultrasonography (US) (e.g. for epidural hematomas)⁸. Unfortunately, despite the fact that handheld digital pupillometry and pocket TCD/US are available, its use is still very limited in the pre-hospital setting⁹.

Clinical tools used are mainly Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) and pupils' diameter, as cardiovascular and respiratory signs (Cushing's triad) usually indicate brain herniation and emerge late⁷.

However, both tools are subjective to bias. GCS was first designed for hospitalized neurosurgical patients and not for trauma brain injury (TBI) victims in the field. Moreover, it is designed as separate evaluation of distinct functions. The use of total score is basically wrong, and not validated. Thus same scores can reflect different status and different prognosis (e.g. GCS of 4 can be either E1/V1/M2 which corresponds to 48% mortality in TBI cases or E2/V1/M1 which corresponds to 19 % mortality in the same patients)¹⁰. The scale can be challenging to non-trained personnel, especially when assessing motor response or when assessing children¹¹. Finally, it is not validated in toxicological casesuse of drugs or alcohol can affect assessment- and it does not incorporate brainstem reflexes.

Penlight pupils' examination is equally biased by different stimulus (light level, spectral composition, light accommodative state, spectral configuration: e.g. field size, monocular/binocular view, non-visual stimuli like pain or noise) or observer variables (age, day-to-day within observer differences, individual differences, biochemichal factors-respirations, heart rate and cognitive factors like fear, arousal, attention, workload)⁹.

On the same time, more complicated scales such as less know Glasgow Liege Coma Scale or the FOUR score, are seldom used pre-hospitally 12-13.

If we add to the aforementioned, the ICP cut-off value from intracranial hypertention treatment guidelines¹⁴, then we may encounter a problem: how certain are we in the field about the diagnosis of ICP>20 mmHg, refractory enough (>1h) to every other therapeutic measure, so that we can decide or not decompressive intervention? Results from the two available trials on the subject (DECRA and RescueICP) come from a full-monitored environment, and still have their limitations¹⁵.

3. The Technique

Emergency decompressive rescue intervention should not be confused with other neurosurgical procedures. Craniotomy is a broader term used to represent the means by which the surgeon enters the intracranial space, i.e. the surgical opening of the skull.

Decompressive craniectomy (DC) is a type of craniotomy used for intracranial ICP that consists in removing part of the skull and is often associated with removal of mass lesions such as subdural hematoma or traumatic intracerebral hematoma^{16,17}. Different methods decompressive craniectomy have been developed. These include subtemporal decompression, circular decompression, fronto- or temporoparietal decompressive craniectomy, large fronto-temporoparietal decompressive hemisphere craniectomy, and bifrontal decompressive craniectomy ^{16, 18}. DC indications do not include GCS<4 or fixated dilated pupils 16, 18,19 and the whole procedure is not severe complications-free²⁰.

However, all the aforementioned refer to DC in the operation room performed by neurosurgeons.

Volume 7 Issue 3, March 2018

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: ART2018653 DOI: 10.21275/ART2018653 557

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

Decompressive measure in pre-hospital setting could only be a Burr hole or skull trephination. Moreover, performing the technique without complications in an efficient way (e.g. probably more than one hole would be needed) by person how are not continuously trained for such interventions may seem like a very dangerous gamble.

4. The Timing

Finally, even if our diagnosis is certain and our training and conditions are such that allow performing an out-of-hospital DC-like procedure, the timing is another critical factor for the efficiency of our intervention. If the optimum time for in-hospital DC is not yet fully determined, then no definite answer can be given for an out-of hospital one.

5. Conclusion

Even those how performed an extreme DC procedure in outof hospital setting noted it as a last resort measure²¹. As medicine evolves, we may reach a point in the future where ICP hypertension will be recognized and managed efficiently before hospital admittance. Yet, for the time being, we should focus on the management of TBI in accordance to the data we have in hand.

6. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare they have no competing interests.

References

- [1] Smith SW, Clark M, Nelson J, Heegaard W, Lufkin KC, Ruiz E. Emergency department skull trephination for epidural hematoma in patients who are awake but deteriorate rapidly. J Emerg Med 2010; 39(3):377-83. doi:10.1016/j/jemermed.2009.04.062
- [2] Moscote-Salazar LR, Alvis-Miranda HR, Palencia C, Rubiano A. Emergent Decompressive Craniectomy in patients with fixed dilated pupils; a single center experience. Bull Emerg Trauma 2013; 1(14):175-178
- [3] Tokushige J. Matsubara S. Tanaka Y, Kato S. Trephination for acute epidural hematoma using stainless wire on a remote island. J Emerg Med 2012; 43(6):e489-90. doi:10.1016/j.jemermed.2012.05.015
- [4] Maini A. Pre-hospital BurrHole. AEM Rounds 2016. Available from:www.aemrounds.com/corecontent/2016/8/12/pre-hospital-burr-hole-for-extra-dural-bleed (accessed 11/5/2017)
- [5] Nelson JA. Local Skull Trephination before transfer is associated with favorable outcomes in cerebral herniation from epidural hematoma. Acad Emerg Med 2011; 18(1):78-85.
- [6] Kirby H, Barchell J, Taylor J. Decompressive craniectomy in the emergency setting: A historical review, summary of published summary and review of implications for prehospital emergency care. Australasian Journal of Paramedicine 2017.14(1). Available from : https://ajp.paramedics.org/index.php/ajp/article/view/50 4 (accessed 11/05/2017)

- [7] Brown DA, Wijdicks EF. Decompressive craniectomy in acute brain injury. Handb Clin Neurol 2017;140:299-318.doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-63600-3.00016-7.
- [8] Moscote-Salazar LR, Rubiano AM, Alvis-miranda HA, Calderon-Miranda W, Alcala-Cerra G, Rivera MAB, Agrawai A. Severe Cranioencephalic Trauma: Prehospital Care, Surgical Management and Multimodal Monitoring. Bull Emerg Trauma 2016;4(1):8-23.
- [9] Aslanidis T. Kontogounis G. Perioperative digital pupillometry-the future? Greek e -journal of Perioperative Medicine 2015; 13(b):24-40.
- [10] Edwards SL. Using Glasgow Coma Scale: analysis and limitations. Br J Nurs. 2001;10(2):92-101
- [11] Ghaffarpasand F, Razmkon A, Dehghankhalili M. Glasgow Coma Scale Score in Pediatric Patients with Traumatic Brain Injury; Limitations and Reliability. Bull Emerg Trauma. 2013; 1(4):135-6.
- [12] Born JD. The Glasgow-Liège Scale. Prognostic value and evolution of motor response and brain stem reflexes after severe head injury. Acta Neurochir (Wien).1988;91(1-2):1-11.
- [13] Wijdicks EF, Bamlet WR, Maramattom BV, Manno EM, McClelland RL. Validation of a new coma scale: The FOUR score. Ann Neurol. 2005;58(4):585-93
- [14] Perez-Barcena J, Llompart-Pou JA, O'Phelan KH. Intracranial pressure monitoring and management of intracranial hypertension.Crit Care Clin. 2014; 30(4):735-50. doi: 10.1016/j.ccc.2014.06.005
- [15] Shutter LA, Shelly DT, Intracranial Pressure Rescued by Decompressive Surgery after Traumatic Brain Injury. N Engl J Med 2016; 375:1183-1184 doi:10.1056/NEJMe1609722
- [16] Wani AA, Dar TI, Ramzan AU, Malik NK, Kirmani AR, Bhatt AR, Chhiber SS, Javaid S, Wani MA. Decompressive craniectomy in head injury. Indian J Neurotrauma 2009;6(2):103-110.
- [17] Brown DA, Wijdicks EF. Decompressive craniectomy in acute brain injury. Handb Clin Neurol. 2017;140:299-318.
- [18] Huan X, Wen L. Technical considerations in Decompressive craniectomy in the treatment of Traumatic brain injury. Int J Med Sci 2010; 7(6): 385–390
- [19] Honeybul S, Ho KM, Lind CRP, Gillett GR. The current role of decompressive craniectomy for severe traumatic brain injury. J Clin Neurosci. 2017 May 13. pii: S0967-5868(17)30090-5.
- [20] Kurland DB, Khaladj-Ghom A, Stokum JA, Carusillo B, Karimy JK, Gerzanich V, Sahuquillo J, Simard JM. Complications Associated with Decompressive Craniectomy: A Systematic Review. Neurocrit Care. 2015; 23(2):292-304.
- [21] Wilson MH, Wise D, Davies G, Lockey D. Emergency burr holes:"How to do it". Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med 2012; 20:24 doi: 10.1186/1757-7241-20-24.

Author Profile



Dr. Theodoros Aslanidis received the MD degree from Medical University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria and he is PhD candidate in Aristotle University, Thessaloniki, Greece. His primary specialty is

anesthesiology; while he is specialized in Intensive Care and Prehospital Emergency Medicine. Research interests: Critical

Volume 7 Issue 3, March 2018

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: ART2018653 DOI: 10.21275/ART2018653 558

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2016): 79.57 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

emergency medicine, Medical writing and publishing, Electrodermal activity, Neurosonology, Prehospital critical care, Emergency anesthesia, Data analysis.



Dr. Efstratia Syrmou received the MD, MSc and PhD in Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece. Her primary specialty is neurosurgery, while she also specialized in Prehospital Emergency Medicine.

Research interest: Antiepileptics, Emergency neurosurgical procedures, Neuropathic pain



Volume 7 Issue 3, March 2018 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: ART2018653 DOI: 10.21275/ART2018653 559