# Galois Theory

Alec Zabel-Mena  $\underline{\mathbf{Text}}$  Gaois Theory (4<sup>th</sup> edition) Ian Stewart

September 18, 2020

### Chapter 1

## Classical Algebra.

#### 1.1 Complex Numbers

**Definition.** A Complex Number is a pair (x, y) of real numbers together with binary operations +,  $\cdot$  such that for  $(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2)$  and  $(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) = (x_1x_2 - y_1y_2, x_1y_2 + x_2y_2)$ , together with the relation  $i^2 = (-1, 0)$ . We denote the set of all complex numbers as  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Defining (x,0) as the real number x, we see that  $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , moreover, defining i=(0,1), we see that  $i^2=(-1,0)\in\mathbb{R}$ . We can then define the pair  $(x,y)\in\mathbb{C}$  as having the form x+iy, where  $i^2=-1$ .

#### 1.2 Subrings and Subfields of $\mathbb{C}$ .

We restrict our notion of "subrings" and "subfields" to those concerning  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition.** A subring of  $\mathbb{C}$  is a nonempty set  $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  such that  $1 \in R$ , and if  $x, y \in R$  then  $x + y, -x \in R$  and  $xy \in R$ .

**Definition.** A subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  is a subring  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  such that if  $x \in K$  then  $x^{-1} \in K$ .

Since, we are talking about subrings and subfields in the sense of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ , then we denote  $x^{-1}$  to be  $\frac{1}{x}$ .

**Example 1.1.** (1) The set  $\mathbb{Z}[i] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  of all pairs of integers (a, b) of the form a + ib is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$  but not a subfield. We call this set the **Gaussian integers**.

- (2) The set  $\mathbb{Q}[i] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  of all pairs of rational numbers (p,q) of the form a+ib forms not only a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ , but also a subfield.
- (3) The set  $P[\pi]$  of all polynomials in  $\pi$  with rational coefficients is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ , but not a subfield.
- (4) The set  $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$  of all rational expressions in  $\pi$ ,  $\frac{p(\pi)}{q(\pi)}$  (with  $q(\pi) \neq 0$ ) with rational coefficients is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- (5) The set  $2\mathbb{Z}$  of all even integers is not a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- (6) The set  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[3]{2}]$  of all pairs of rationla numbers (a,b) of the form  $a+b\sqrt[3]{2}$  does not form a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$  since it is not closed under  $\cdot$ . It is closed however under +.

**Definition.** Let K and L be subfields of  $\mathbb{C}$ . An **isomorphism** between K and L is a 1-1 mapping  $\phi: K \to L$  from K onto L such that  $\phi(x+y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$  and  $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$  for all  $x, y \in K$ .

**Proposition 1.2.1.** *If*  $\phi : K \to L$  *is an isomorphism, then:* 

- (1)  $\phi(0) = 0$ .
- (2)  $\phi(1) = 1$ .
- (3)  $\phi(-x) = -\phi(x)$ .
- (4)  $\phi(x^{-1}) = \phi(x)^{-1}$

*Proof.* (1) We have 0x = 0 for all  $x \in K$ , so  $\phi(0x) = \phi(0)\phi(x) = \phi(0)$ . Let  $\phi^{-1}(0) = x$ , so  $\phi(0)\phi^{-1}(0) = \phi\phi^{-1}(0) = 0$ .

- (2) This is esentially the same proof as (1), but with 1 instead of 0.
- (3) We have that x + (-x) = 0, so  $\phi(x + (-x)) = \phi(x) + \phi(-x) = \phi(0) = 0$ , hence we get that  $\phi(-x) = -\phi(x)$ .
- (4) This proof is analogous to that of (3).

If  $\phi: K \to L$  is 1-1, but not necessarily onto, then we call it a **monomorphism**. If L = K, then we call  $\phi$  an **automorphism**.

**Definition.** A **primitive n-th root of unity** is an n-th root of 1 that is not an m-th root of 1 for any proper divisor m of n.

**Example 1.2.** We have that i is a 4th root of unity, as  $i^4 = (i^2)^2 = (-1)^2 = 1$ . The number  $\zeta_n = e^{2i\pi/n}$  is also an nth root of unity.

**Proposition 1.2.2.** Let  $_n=e^{2i\pi/n},$  then  $\zeta_n^k$  is a primitive nth root of unity if and only if gcd(k,n)=1.

*Proof.* We prove the contrapositive of this proposition. Suppose that  $\zeta_n^k$  is not a primitive nth root of unity, then  $(\zeta_n^k)^m = 1$  for some n such that n = mr. Then  $\zeta_n^{km} = 1 = \zeta_n^n$ , hence n = mr|kr, therefore r|k, and since r|n, then  $\gcd(k,n) \ge r > 1$  (by definition of the greatest common divisor).

Now suppose that gcd(k, n) = r > 1. Then n = mr for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and n = mr|km for some k. Thus we get that  $(\zeta_n^k)^m = \zeta_n^{km} = 1$ , therefore,  $\zeta_n^k$  is not a primitive nth root of unity.

- **Example 1.3.** (1) textbfcomplex conjugation defined as the mapping  $(x,y) \to (x,-y)$  (that is,  $x+iy \to x-iy$ ) is an automorphism from  $\mathbb C$  onto  $\mathbb C$ .
  - (2) Let  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  be the set of all pairs of (p,q) rational numbers of the form  $p+q\sqrt{2}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ . The map  $p+q\sqrt{2}\to p-q\sqrt{2}$  is an automorphism from  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  onto itself.
  - (3) Lel  $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{2}$  and let  $\omega = \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$  be a primitive cube root of unity in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the set of all  $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  triples of rational numbers (p,q,r) of the form  $p+q\alpha+r\alpha^2$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ . The map  $p+q\alpha+r\alpha^2\to p+q\omega\alpha+r\omega\alpha^2$  is an monomorphism onto its image, but not an automorphism.

#### 1.3 Solving Equations.