# Introduction to Analysis Classic 4th Edition Wade SOLUTIONS MANUAL

Full clear download (no formatting errors) at:

 $\frac{https://testbankreal.com/download/introduction-to-analysis-classic-4th-edition-wade-solutions-manual/}{}$ 

2.1 Limits of Sequences.

#### CHAPTER 2

- 2.1.0. a) True. If  $x_n$  converges, then there is an M>0 such that  $|x_n|\leq M$ . Choose by Archimedes an  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $N>M/\wp$ . Then  $n\geq N$  implies  $|x_n/n|\leq M/\wp \leq M/N<\varepsilon$ .
  - b) False.  $x_n = \sqrt[n]{n}$  does not converge, but  $x_n/n = 1/\sqrt[n]{n} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .
  - c) False.  $x_n = 1$  converges and  $y_n = (-1)^n$  is bounded, but  $x_n y_n = (-1)^n$  does not converge.
  - d) False.  $x_n = 1/n$  converges to 0 and  $y_n = n^2 > 0$ , but  $x_n y_n = n$  does not converge.
- 2.1.1. a) By the Archimedean Principle, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > 1/\varepsilon$ . Thus  $n \ge N$  implies

$$(2 - 1/n) - 2/ \equiv /1/n/ \le 1/N < \varepsilon$$
.

b) By the Archimedean Principle, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > \pi^2/\varepsilon^2$ . Thus  $n \ge N$  implies

$$/1 + \pi / \sqrt{n} - 1/ \equiv /\pi / \sqrt{n} / \leq \pi / \sqrt{N} < \varepsilon.$$

c) By the Archimedean Principle, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > 3/\varepsilon$ . Thus  $n \ge N$  implies

$$\beta(1+1/n) - 3/\equiv \beta/n/\leq 3/N < \varepsilon$$
.

d) By the Archimedean Principle, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > 1 \nearrow \sqrt[4]{3\varepsilon}$ . Thus  $n \ge N$  implies

$$/(2n^2+1)/(3n^2) - 2/3/ \equiv /1/(3n^2)/ \le 1/(3N^2) < \varepsilon.$$

2.1.2. a) By hypothesis, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \ge N$  implies  $|x_n - 1| < \varepsilon/2$ . Thus  $n \ge N$  implies

$$/1 + 2x_n - 3/ \equiv 2/x_n - 1/< \varepsilon$$
.

b) By hypothesis, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \ge N$  implies  $x_n > 1/2$  and  $|x_n - 1| < \varepsilon/4$ . In particular,  $1/x_n < 2$ . Thus  $n \ge N$  implies

$$/(\pi x_n - 2)/x_n - (\pi - 2)/\equiv 2/(x_n - 1)/x_n/<4/x_n - 1/<\varepsilon$$
.

c) By hypothesis, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \ge N$  implies  $x_n > 1/2$  and  $\int x_n - 1/2 \varepsilon/(1 + 2e)$ . Thus  $n \ge N$  and the triangle inequality imply

$$/(x_n^2 - e)/x_n - (1 - e)/ \equiv /x_n - 1/1 + \frac{e}{x_n} \le /x_n - 1/1 + \frac{e}{|x_n|} < /x_n - 1/(1 + 2e) < \varepsilon.$$

- 2.1.3. a) If  $n_k = 2k$ , then  $3 (-1)^{n_k} \equiv 2$  converges to 2; if  $n_k = 2k + 1$ , then  $3 (-1)^{n_k} \equiv 4$  converges to 4. b) If  $n_k = 2k$ , then  $(-1)^{3n_k} + 2 \equiv (-1)^{6k} + 2 = 1 + 2 = 3$  converges to 3; if  $n_k = 2k + 1$ , then  $(-1)^{3n_k} + 2 \equiv (-1)^{6k+3} + 2 = -1 + 2 = 1$  converges to 1.
- c) If  $n_k = 2k$ , then  $(n_k (-1)^{n_k} n_k 1)/n_k \equiv -1/(2k)$  converges to 0; if  $n_k = 2k+1$ , then  $(n_k (-1)^{n_k} n_k 1)/n_k \equiv (2n_k 1)/n_k = (4k+1)/(2k+1)$  converges to 2.
- 2.1.4. Suppose  $x_n$  is bounded. By Definition 2.7, there are numbers M and m such that  $m \le x_n \le M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $C := \max\{1, |M|, |m|\}$ . Then C > 0,  $M \le C$ , and  $m \ge -C$ . Therefore,  $-C \le x_n \le C$ , i.e.,  $|x_n| < C$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Conversely, if  $|x_n| < C$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x_n$  is bounded above by C and below by -C.

2.1.5. If C=0, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, given  $\varepsilon>0$  use Definition 2.1 to choose an  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $n\geq N$  implies  $|b_n|\equiv b_n<\varepsilon/|C|$ . Hence by hypothesis,  $n\geq N$  implies

$$|x_n - a| \le |C| b_n < \varepsilon$$
.

By definition,  $x_n \to a$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

2.1.6. If  $x_n = a$  for all n, then  $|x_n - a| = 0$  is less than any positive  $\varepsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, by definition,  $x_n \to a$ 

2.1.7. a) Let a be the common limit point. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \ge N$  implies  $|x_n - a|$  and  $|y_n - a|$  are both  $\langle \varepsilon/2$ . By the Triangle Inequality,  $n \ge N$  implies

$$|x_n - y_n| \le |x_n - a| + |y_n - a| < \varepsilon.$$

By definition,  $x_n - y_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

- b) If n converges to some a, then given  $\varepsilon = 1/2$ ,  $1 = \sqrt{(n+1) n} < \sqrt{(n+1) a} + \sqrt{(n-a)} < 1$  for n sufficiently large, a contradiction.
  - c) Let  $x_n = n$  and  $y_n = n + 1/n$ . Then  $|x_n y_n| = 1/n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , but neither  $x_n$  nor  $y_n$  converges.
- 2.1.8. By Theorem 2.6, if  $x_n \to a$  then  $x_{n_k} \to a$ . Conversely, if  $x_{n_k} \to a$  for every subsequence, then it converges for the "subsequence"  $x_n$ .

#### 2.2 Limit Theorems.

- 2.2.0. a) False. Let  $x_n = n^2$  and  $y_n = -n$  and note by Exercise 2.2.2a that  $x_n + y_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ .
- b) True. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $x_n \to -\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \ge N$  implies  $x_n < -1/\varepsilon$ . Then  $x_n < 0$  so  $|x_n| = -x_n > 0$ . Multiply  $x_n < -1/\varepsilon$  by  $\varepsilon/(-x_n)$  which is positive. We obtain  $-\varepsilon < 1/x_n$ , i.e.,  $/1/x_n/=-1/x_n<\varepsilon.$
- c) False. Let  $x_n = (-1)^n/n$ . Then  $1/x_n = (-1)^n n$  has no limit as  $n \to \infty$ . d) True. Since  $(2^x x)^{\emptyset} = 2^x \log 2 1 > 1$  for all  $x \ge 2$ , i.e.,  $2^x x$  is increasing on  $[2, \infty)$ . In particular,  $2^x x \ge 2^2 2 > 0$ , i.e.,  $2^x > x$  for  $x \ge 2$ . Thus, since  $x_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we have  $2^{x_n} > x_n$  for n large, hence

$$2^{-x_n} < \frac{1}{x_n} \to 0$$

as  $n \to \infty$ .

- 2.2.1. a)  $|x_n| \le 1/n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  and we can apply the Squeeze Theorem.
- b)  $2n\sqrt{(n^2 + \pi)} = (2\sqrt{n})/(1 + \sqrt{n^2}) \rightarrow 0/(1 + 0) = 0$  by Theorem 2.12. c)  $(2n + 1)/(n + 2) = ((2\sqrt{n}) + (1/n))/(1 + (2/n)) \rightarrow 0/(1 + 0) = 0$  by Exercise 2.2.5 and Theorem 2.12.
- d) An easy induction argument shows that  $2n+1<2^n$  for  $n=3,4,\ldots$  We will use this to prove that  $n^2\leq 2^n$ for  $n = 4, 5, \ldots$  It's surely true for n = 4. If it's true for some  $n \ge 4$ , then the inductive hypothesis and the fact that  $2n + 1 < 2^n$  imply

$$(n+1)^2 = n^2 + 2n + 1 \le 2^n + 2n + 1 < 2^n + 2^n = 2^{n+1}$$

so the second inequality has been proved.

Now the second inequality implies  $n/2^n < 1/n$  for  $n \ge 4$ . Hence by the Squeeze Theorem,  $n/2^n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

- 2.2.2. a) Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  and choose by Archimedes an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > \max\{M, 2\}$ . Then  $n \ge N$  implies  $n^2 - n = n(n - 1) \ge N(N - 1) > M(2 - 1) = M$ .
- b) Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  and choose by Archimedes an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that N > -M/2. Notice that  $n \ge 1$  implies  $-3n \le -3$ so  $1 - 3n \le -2$ . Thus  $n \ge N$  implies  $n - 3n^2 = n(1 - 3n) \le -2n \le -2N < M$ .
- c) Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  and choose by Archimedes an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that N > M. Then  $n \ge N$  implies  $(n^2 + 1)/n =$ n + 1/n > N + 0 > M.
- d) Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $M \le 0$ . Then  $2 + \sin \theta \ge 2 1 = 1$  implies  $n^2(2 + \sin(n^3 + n + 1)) \ge \eta_2^7 \cdot 1 > 0 \ge M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, if M > 0, then choose by Archimedes an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > \overline{M}$ . Then  $n \geq N$ implies  $n^2 (2 + \sin(n^3 + n + 1)) \ge n^2 \cdot 1 \ge N^2 > M$ .
  - 2.2.3. a) Following Example 2.13,

$$\frac{2+3n-4n^2}{1-2n+3n^2} = \frac{(2/n^2)+(3/n)-4}{(1/n^2)-(2/n)+3} \to \frac{-4}{3}$$

as  $n \to \infty$ .

b) Following Example 2.13,

$$\frac{n^3 + n - 2}{2n^3 + n - 2} = \frac{1 + (1/n^2) - (2/n^3)}{2 + (1/n^2) - (2/n^3)} \to \frac{1}{2}$$

c) Rationalizing the expression, we obtain

$$\sqrt[4]{\frac{\sqrt[4]{3n+2} - \sqrt[4]{n}}{3n+2} - \sqrt[4]{n}} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{\sqrt[4]{3n+2} - \sqrt[4]{n}}{3n+2} + \sqrt[4]{n}} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{2n+2}{n}} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{2n+$$

as  $n \to \infty$  by the method of Example  $\sqrt{2}$ .13. (Multiply top and bottom by  $1/\sqrt{n}$ .)

d) Multiply top and bottom by 
$$1/n$$
 to obtain
$$\frac{\sqrt{4n+1} - \sqrt{n}}{4n+1 - n+2} = \frac{1/n}{9n+1/n} - \frac{2-1}{1+2/n} \xrightarrow{3-1} 2.$$

2.2.4. a) Clearly,

$$\frac{\underline{x}_n}{y_n} - \frac{\underline{x}}{y} = \frac{\underline{x}_n \underline{y} - \underline{x} \underline{y}_n}{yy_n} = \frac{\underline{x}_n \underline{y} - \underline{x} \underline{y} + \underline{x} \underline{y} - \underline{x} \underline{y}_n}{yy_n}.$$

Thus

$$\left|\frac{x_n}{y_n} - \frac{x}{y}\right| \le \frac{1}{|y_n|} x_n - x/ + \frac{|x/y_n|}{|y_n|} - y/.$$

Since y = 0,  $|y_n| \ge |y|/2$  for large n. Thus

$$\frac{x_n}{y_n} - \frac{x}{y} \le \frac{2}{y_n} x_n - \frac{2/x}{y} y_n - y/ \to 0$$

as  $n \to \infty$  by Theorem 2.12i and ii. Hence by the Squeeze Theorem,  $x_n/y_n \to x/y$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

b) By symmetry, we may suppose that  $x = y = \infty$ . Since  $y_n \to \infty$  implies  $y_n > 0$  for n large, we can apply Theorem 2.15 directly to obtain the conclusions when  $\alpha > 0$ . For the case  $\alpha < 0$ ,  $x_n > M$  implies  $\alpha x_n < \alpha M$ . Since any  $M_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  can be written as  $\alpha M$  for some  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ , we see by definition that  $x_n \to -\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

2.2.5. Case  $I_{\sqrt{X}} = 0$ . Let  $^2 > 0$  and choose N so large that  $n \ge N$  implies  $|x_n| < ^2$ . By (8) in 1.1,  $\sqrt[V]{x_n} < ^2$  for  $n \ge N$ , i.e.,  $\sqrt[X_n]{x_n} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Case 2. x > 0. Then

$$\sqrt[4]{x_n} - \sqrt[4]{x} = (\sqrt[4]{x_n} - \sqrt[4]{x_n} + \sqrt[4]{x_n} + \sqrt[4]{x} = \sqrt[4]{x_n + x} = \sqrt[4]{x_n + x}$$

Since  $\sqrt[V]{x_n} \ge 0$ , it follows that

$$\sqrt{\frac{}{x_n - x}} / \sqrt{\frac{x_n - x}{x_n}} \le \frac{\sqrt{x_n - x}}{x}.$$

This last quotient converges to 0 by Theorem 2.12. Hence it follows from the Squeeze Theorem that  $\sqrt[V]{x_n} \rightarrow \sqrt[V]{x}$ as  $n \to \infty$ .

2.2.6. By the Density of Rationals, there is an  $r_n$  between x + 1/n and x for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $|x - r_n| < 1/n$ , it follows from the Squeeze Theorem that  $r_n \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

2.2.7. a) By Theorem 2.9 we may suppose that  $|x| = \infty$ . By symmetry, we may suppose that  $x = \infty$ . By definition, given  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $x_n > M$ . Since  $w_n \geq x_n$ , it follows that  $w_n > M$  for all  $n \ge N$ . By definition, then,  $w_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

b) If x and y are finite, then the result follows from Theorem 2.17. If  $x = y = \pm \infty$  or  $-x = y = \infty$ , there is nothing to prove. It remains to consider the case  $x = \infty$  and  $y = -\infty$ . But by Definition 2.14 (with M = 0),  $x_n > 0 > y_n$  for n sufficiently large, which contradicts the hypothesis  $x_n \le y_n$ .

2.2.8. a) Take the limit of  $x_{n+1} = 1 - \sqrt{1-x_n}$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . We obtain  $x = 1 - \sqrt{1-x}$ , i.e.,  $x^2 - x = 0$ . Therefore, x = 0, 1.

b) Take the limit of  $x_{n+1} = 2 + \sqrt{x_n - 2}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . We obtain  $x = 2 + \sqrt{x_n - 2}$ , i.e.,  $x^2 - 5x + 6 = 0$ . Therefore, x = 2, 3. But  $x_1 > 3$  and induction shows that  $x_{n+1} = 2 + \sqrt{x_n - 2} > 2 + \sqrt{3 - 2} = 3$ , so the limit must be x = 3.

c) Take the limit of  $x_{n+1} = \sqrt[V]{2 + x_n}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . We obtain  $x = \sqrt[V]{2 + x}$ , i.e.,  $x^2 - x - 2 = 0$ . Therefore, x = 2, -1. But  $x_{n+1} = \sqrt[V]{2 + x_n} \ge 0$  by definition (all square roots are nonnegative), so the limit must be x = 2.

This proof doesn't change if  $x_1 > -2$ , so the limit is again x = 2.

- 2.2.9. a) Let  $E = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k \ge 0 \text{ and } k \le 10^{n+1}y\}$ . Since  $10^{n+1}y < 10$ ,  $E \subseteq \{0, 1, ..., 9\}$ . Hence  $w := \sup E \in \{0, 1, ..., 9\}$ . E. It follows that  $w \le 10^{n+1} y$ , i.e.,  $w/10^{n+1} \le y$ . On the other hand, since w+1 is not the supremum of E,  $w+1 > 10^{n+1} y$ . Therefore,  $y < w/10^{n+1} + 1/10^{n+1}$ .
  - b) Apply a) for n = 0 to choose  $x_1 = w$  such that  $x_1 / 10 \le x < x_1 / 10 + 1 / 10$ . Suppose

$$s_n := \frac{\mathbf{X}}{\sum_{k=1}^{k} \frac{x_k}{10^k}} \le x < \frac{\mathbf{X}}{\sum_{k=1}^{k} \frac{x_k}{10^k}} + \frac{1}{10^n}.$$

Then  $0 < x - s_n < 1/10^n$ , so by a) choose  $x_{n+1}$  such that  $x_{n+1}/10^{n+1} \le x - s_n < x_{n+1}/10^{n+1} + 1/10^{n+1}$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{x_k}{10^k} \le x < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{10^k} + \frac{1}{10^{n+1}}.$$

- c) Combine b) with the Squeeze Theorem.
- d) Since an easy induction proves that  $9^n > n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $9^{-n} < 1/n$ . Hence the Squeeze Theorem

implies that 
$$9^{-n} \to 0$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence, it follows from Exercise 1.4.4c and definition that
$$.4999 \dots = \frac{4}{10} + \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{10^n}{10^n} = \frac{4}{10} + \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{10} = \frac{4}{10} + \frac{1}{10} = 0.5.$$

Similarly,

$$.999 \cdots = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbf{X}_{\frac{9}{10^k}}}{10^k} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mu}{1 - \frac{1}{9^n}} = 1.$$

- 2.3 The Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem
- 2.3.0. a) False.  $x_n = 1/4 + 1/(n+4)$  is strictly decreasing and  $|x_n| \le 1/4 + 1/5 < 1/2$ , but  $x_n \to 1/4$  as
- b) True. Since  $(n-1)/(2n-1) \rightarrow 1/2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , this factor is bounded. Since  $(\cos(n^2+n+1))/(2n-1) \rightarrow 1/2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , this factor is bounded. that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Hence it has a convergent subsequence by the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.
  - c) False.  $x_n = 1/2 1/n$  is strictly increasing and  $|x_n| \le 1/2 < 1 + 1/n$ , but  $x_n \to 1/2$  as  $n \to \infty$ .
- d) False.  $x_n = (1 + (-1)^n)n$  satisfies  $x_n = 0$  for n odd and  $x_n = 2n$  for n even. Thus  $x_{2k+1} \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ , but  $x_n$  is NOT bounded.
- 2.3.1. Suppose that  $\frac{-1 < x_{n-1}}{\sqrt{x_{n-1}}} < 0$  for some  $n \ge 0$ . Then  $0 < x_{n-1} + 1 < 1$  so  $0 < x_{n-1} + 1 < \sqrt{x_{n-1} + 1}$  and it follows that  $x_{n-1} < x_{n-1} + 1 1 = x_n$ . Moreover,  $x_{n-1} + 1 1 \le 1 1 = 0$ . Hence by induction,  $x_n$  is increasing and bounded above by 0. It follows from the Monotone Convergence Theorem that  $x_n \to a$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Taking the limit of  $x_{n-1} + 1 - 1 = x_n$  we see that  $a^2 + a = 0$ , i.e., a = -1, 0. Since  $x_n$  increases from  $x_0 > -1$ , the limit is 0. If  $x_0 = -1$ , then  $x_n = -1$  for all n. If  $x_0 = 0$ , then  $x_n = 0$  for all n.

Finally, it is easy to verify that if  $x_0 = \hat{x}_0 = -1$  or 0, then  $x_n = \hat{x}_n = -1$  or all n, hence  $x_n \to -\infty$ .

2.3.2. If  $x_1 = 0$  then  $x_n = 0$  for all n, hence converges to 0. If  $0 < x_1 < 1$ , then by 1.4.1c,  $x_n$  is decreasing and bounded below. Thus the limit, a, exists by the Monotone Convergence Theorem. Taking the limit of  $x_{n+1} = 1 - \frac{1 - x_n}{1 - x_n}$ , as  $n \to \infty$ , we have  $a = 1 - \frac{1 - a}{1 - a}$ , i.e., a = 0, 1. Since  $x_1 < 1$ , the limit must be zero. Finally,

$$\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = \frac{1 - \frac{\sqrt{1 - x_n}}{1 - x_n}}{x_n} = \frac{1 - (1 - x_n)}{\sqrt{1 - x_n}} \to \frac{1}{1 + 1} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

- 2.3.3. Case 1.  $x_0 = 2$ . Then  $x_n = 2$  for all n, so the limit is 2.
- Case 2.  $2 < x_0 < 3$ . Suppose that  $2 < x_{n-1} \le 3$  for some  $n \ge 1$ . Then  $0 < x_{n-1} 2 \le 1$  so  $x_{n-1} 2 \ge x_{n-1} 2$ , i.e.,  $x_n = 2 + x_{n-1} 2 \ge x_{n-1}$ . Moreover,  $x_n = 2 + x_{n-1} 2 \le 2 + 1 = 3$ . Hence by induction,  $x_n$  is increasing and bounded above by 3. It follows from the Monotone Convergence Theorem that  $x_n \to a$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Taking the limit of  $2 + \sqrt[n]{x_{n-1} - 2} = x_n$  we see that  $a^2 - 5a + 6 = 0$ , i.e., a = 2, 3. Since  $x_n$  increases from  $x_0 > 2$ , the

Case 3.  $x_0 \ge 3$ . Suppose that  $x_{n-1} \ge 3$  for some  $n \ge 1$ . Then  $x_{n-1} - 2 \ge 1$  so  $\sqrt[4]{x_{n-1} - 2} \le x_{n-1} - 2$ , i.e.,  $x_n = 2 + \sqrt[4]{x_{n-1} - 2} \le x_{n-1}$ . Moreover,  $x_n = 2 + \sqrt[4]{x_{n-1} - 2} \ge 2 + 1 = 3$ . Hence by induction,  $x_n$  is decreasing

and bounded above by 3. By repeating the steps in Case 2, we conclude that  $x_n$  decreases from  $x_0 \ge 3$  to the limit 3.

2.3.4. Case 1.  $x_0 < 1$ . Suppose  $x_{n-1} < 1$ . Then

$$x_{n-1} = \frac{2x_{n-1}}{2} < \frac{1+x_{n-1}}{2} = x_n < \frac{2}{2} = 1.$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is increasing and bounded above, so  $x_n \to x$ . Taking the limit of  $x_n = (1 + x_{n-1})/2$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we see that x = (1 + x)/2, i.e., x = 1.

Case 2.  $x_0 \ge 1$ . If  $x_{n-1} \ge 1$  then

$$1 = \frac{2}{2} \le \frac{1 + x_{n-1}}{2} = x_n \le \frac{2x_{n-1}}{2} = x_{n-1}.$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is decreasing and bounded below. Repeating the argument in Case 1, we conclude that  $x_n \to 1$  as

2.3.5. The result is obvious when x = 0. If x > 0 then by Example 2.2 and Theorem 2.6,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x^{1/(2n-1)} = \lim_{m \to \infty} x^{1/m} = 1.$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x^{1/(2n-1)} = \lim_{m \to \infty} x^{1/m} = 1.$$

If x < 0 then since 2n - 1 is odd, we have by the previous case that  $x^{1/(2n-1)} = -(-x)^{1/(2n-1)} \rightarrow -1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

2.3.6. a) Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is increasing. If  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded above, then there is an  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x_n \to x$ (by the Monotone Convergence Theorem). Otherwise, given any M>0 there is an  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_N>M$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is increasing,  $n \ge N$  implies  $x_n \ge x_N > M$ . Hence  $x_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

b) If  $\{x_n\}$  is decreasing, then  $-x_n$  is increasing, so part a) applies.

2.3.7. Choose by the Approximation Property an  $x_1 \in E$  such that  $\sup E - 1 < x_1 \le \sup E$ . Since  $\sup E \not\in E$ , we also have  $x_1 < \sup E$ . Suppose  $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$  in E have been chosen so that  $\sup E - 1/n < x_n < \sup E$ . Choose by the Approximation Property an  $x_{n+1} \in E$  such that  $\max\{x_n, \sup E - 1/(n+1)\} < x_{n+1} \le \sup E$ . Then  $\sup E - 1/(n+1) < x_{n+1} < \sup E$  and  $x_n < x_{n+1}$ . Thus by induction,  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots$  and by the Squeeze Theorem,  $x_n \to \sup E$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

2.3.8. a) This follows immediately from Exercise 1.2.6.

b) By a),  $x_{n+1} = (x_n + y_n)/2 < 2x_n/2 = x_n$ . Thus  $y_{n+1} < x_{n+1} < \dots < x_1$ . Similarly,  $y_n = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{y_n^2}}{y_n^2} < \sqrt[4]{x_n y_n} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{y_n^2}}{y_n^2} < \sqrt[4]{x_n y_n} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{y_n^2}}{y_n^2} < \sqrt[4]{x_n y_n} = \sqrt[4]{x_n$  $y_{n+1}$  implies  $x_{n+1} > y_{n+1} > y_n \cdots > y_1$ . Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is decreasing and bounded below by  $y_1$  and  $\{y_n\}$  is increasing and bounded above by  $x_1$ .

c) By b),

$$x_{n+1} - y_{n+1} = \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{x_n + y_n}}{2} - y_n = \frac{x_n - y_n}{2}.$$

Hence by induction and a),  $0 < x_{n+1} - y_{n+1} < (x_1 - y_1)/2^n$ .

d) By b), there exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x_n \downarrow x$  and  $y_n \uparrow y$  as  $n \to \infty$ . By c),  $|x - y| \le (x_1 - y_1) \cdot 0 = 0$ . Hence x = y.

2.3.9. Since  $x_0 = 1$  and  $y_0 = 0$ ,

$$x_{n+1}^2 - 2y_{n+1}^2 = (x_n + 2y_n)^2 - 2(x_n + y_n)^2$$
  
=  $-x_n^2 + 2y_n^2 = \dots = (-1)^n (x_0 - 2y_0) = (-1)^n$ .

Notice that  $x_1 = 1 = y_1$ . If  $y_{n-1} \ge n - 1$  and  $x_{n-1} \ge 1$  then  $y_n = x_{n-1} + y_{n-1} \ge 1 + (n-1) = n$  and  $x_n = x_{n-1} + 2y_{n-1} \ge 1$ . Thus  $1/y_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $x_n \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since

$$\frac{x_n^2}{y_n^2} - 2 = \frac{x_n^2 - 2y_n^2}{y_n^2} = \frac{1}{2} \to 0$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , it follows that  $x_n/y_n \to \pm \sqrt{2}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since  $x_n, y_n > 0$ , the limit must be  $\sqrt{2}$ .

2.3.10. a) Notice  $x_0 > y_0 > 1$ . If  $x_{n-1} > y_{n-1} > 1$  then  $y_{n-1}^2 - x_{n-1}y_{n-1} = y_{n-1}(y_{n-1} - x_{n-1}) > 0$  so  $y_{n-1}(y_{n-1} + x_{n-1}) < 2x_{n-1}y_{n-1}$ . In particular,

$$x_n = \frac{2x_{n-1}y_{n-1}}{x_{n-1} + y_{n-1}} > y_{n-1}.$$

It follows that  $\sqrt[4]{x_n} > \sqrt[4]{y_{n-1}} > 1$ , so  $x_n > \sqrt[4]{x_n y_{n-1}} = y_n > 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ . Hence by induction,  $x_n > y_n > 1$  for all

Now  $y_n < x_n$  implies  $2y_n < x_n + y_n$ . Thus

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{2x_n y_n}{x_n + y_n} < x_n.$$

Hence,  $\{x_n\}$  is decreasing and bounded below (by 1). Thus by the Monotone Convergence Theorem,  $x_n \to x$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

On the other hand,  $y_{n+1}$  is the geometric mean of  $x_{n+1}$  and  $y_n$ , so by Exercise 1.2.6,  $y_{n+1} \ge y_n$ . Since  $y_n$  is

bounded above (by  $x_0$ ), we conclude that  $y_n \to y$  as  $n \to \infty$  for some  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . b) Let  $n \to \infty$  in the identity  $y_{n+1} = x_{n+1}y_n$ . We obtain, from part a),  $y = x_0$ , i.e., x = y. A direct calculation yields  $y_6 > 3.141557494$  and  $x_7 < 3.14161012$ .

#### 2.4 Cauchy sequences.

- 2.4.0. a) False.  $a_n = 1$  is Cauchy and  $b_n = (-1)^n$  is bounded, but  $a_n b_n = (-1)^n$  does not converge, hence cannot be Cauchy by Theorem 2.29.
- b) False.  $a_n = 1$  and  $b_n = 1/n$  are Cauchy, but  $a_n/b_n = n$  does not converge, hence cannot be Cauchy by Theorem 2.29.
- c) True. If  $(a_n + b_n)^{-1}$  converged to 0, then given any  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ , M = 0, there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N$ implies  $|a_n + b_n|^{-1} < 1/M$ . It follows that  $n \ge N$  implies  $|a_n + b_n| > |M| > 0 > M$ . In particular,  $|a_n + b_n|$ diverges to  $\infty$ . But if  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are Cauchy, then by Theorem 2.29,  $a_n + b_n \to x$  where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $|a_n + b_n| \to |x|$ , NOT ∞.
- d) False. If  $x_{2^k} = \log k$  and  $x_n = 0$  for  $n = 2^k$ , then  $x_{2^k} x_{2^{k-1}} = \log(k/(k-1)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , but  $x_k$  does not converge, hence cannot be Cauchy by Theorem 2.29.
- 2.4.1. Since  $(2n^2 + 3)/(n^3 + 5n^2 + 3n + 1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows from the Squeeze Theorem that  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence by Theorem 2.29,  $x_n$  is Cauchy.
- 2.4.2. If  $x_n$  is Cauchy, then there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \ge N$  implies  $|x_n x_N| < 1$ . Since  $x_n x_N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it follows that  $x_n = x_N$  for all  $n \ge N$ . Thus set  $a := x_N$ .
  - 2.4.3. Suppose  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  are Cauchy and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
- a) If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $\alpha x_n = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence is Cauchy. If  $\alpha = 0$ , then there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n, m \ge N$ implies  $|x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon/|\alpha|$ . Hence

$$|\alpha x_n - \alpha x_m| \le |\alpha| |x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon$$

for  $n, m \ge N$ .

b) There is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n, m \ge N$  implies  $|x_n - x_m|$  and  $|y_n - y_m|$  are  $< \varepsilon/2$ . Hence

$$|x_n + y_n - (x_m + y_m)| \le |x_n - x_m| + |y_n - y_m| < \varepsilon$$

for  $n, m \ge N$ .

c) By repeating the proof of Theorem 2.8, we can show that every Cauchy sequence is bounded. Thus choose M>0 such that  $|x_n|$  and  $|y_n|$  are both  $\leq M$  for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ . There is an  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $n,m\geq N$  implies  $|x_n - x_m|$  and  $|y_n - y_m|$  are both  $< \varepsilon/(2M)$ . Hence

$$|x_n y_n - (x_m y_m)| \le |x_n - x_m / |y_m| + |x_n / |y_n - y_m| < \varepsilon$$

for  $n, m \ge N$ .

2.4.4. Let  $s_n = \frac{\mathbf{P}_{n-1}}{k=1} x_k$  for n = 2, 3, ... If m > n then  $s_{m+1} - s_n = \frac{\mathbf{P}_m}{k=n} x_k$ . Therefore,  $s_n$  is Cauchy by hypothesis. Hence  $s_n$  converges by Theorem 2.29.

2.4.5. Let  $x_n = \frac{\mathbf{P}_n}{k=1} (-1)^k / k$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose n and m are even and m > n. Then

$$S := \frac{\mathbf{X}}{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \equiv \frac{1}{n} - \frac{\mu}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+2} - \dots - \frac{\mu}{m-1} - \frac{1}{m}.$$

Each term in parentheses is positive, so the absolute value of S is dominated by 1/n. Similar arguments prevail for all integers n and m. Since  $1/n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , it follows that  $x_n$  satisfies the hypotheses of Exercise 2.4.4. Hence  $x_n$  must converge to a finite real number.

2.4.6. By Exercise 1.4.4c, if  $m \ge n$  then

$$|x_{m+1} - x_n| = |x_{m+1} - x_n| = |x_{m+1} - x_n| \le |x_{m+1} - x_n| \le |x_{m+1} - x_n| = |x_{m+1} -$$

Thus  $|x_{m+1} - x_n| \le (1/a^n - 1/a^m)/(a-1) \to 0$  as  $n, m \to \infty$  since a > 1. Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy and must converge by Theorem 2.29.

- 2.4.7. a) Suppose a is a cluster point for some set E and let r > 0. Since  $E \cap (a r, a + r)$  contains infinitely many points, so does  $E \cap (a r, a + r) \setminus \{a\}$ . Hence this set is nonempty. Conversely, if  $E \cap (a s, a + s) \setminus \{a\}$  is always nonempty for all s > 0 and r > 0 is given, choose  $x_1 \in E \cap (a r, a + r)$ . If distinct points  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$  have been chosen so that  $x_k \in E \cap (a r, a + r)$  and  $s := \min\{x_1 a, \ldots, x_k a, c\}$ , then by hypothesis there is an  $x_{k+1} \in E \cap (a s, a + s)$ . By construction,  $x_{k+1}$  does not equal any  $x_j$  for  $1 \le j \le k$ . Hence  $x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1}$  are distinct points in  $E \cap (a r, a + r)$ . By induction, there are infinitely many points in  $E \cap (a r, a + r)$ .
- b) If E is a bounded infinite set, then it contains distinct points  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$  Since  $\{x_n\} \subseteq E$ , it is bounded. It follows from the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem that  $x_n$  contains a convergent subsequence, i.e., there is an  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that given r > 0 there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k \ge N$  implies  $|x_{n_k} a| < r$ . Since there are infinitely many  $x_{n_k}$ 's and they all belong to E, E is by definition a cluster point of E.
- 2.4.8. a) To show E := [a, b] is sequentially compact, let  $x_n \in E$ . By the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem,  $x_n$  has a convergent subsequence, i.e., there is an  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and integers  $n_k$  such that  $x_{n_k} \to x_0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Moreover, by the Comparison Theorem,  $x_n \in E$  implies  $x_0 \in E$ . Thus E is sequentially compact by definition.
  - b) (0, 1) is bounded and  $1/n \in (0, 1)$  has no convergent subsequence with limit in (0, 1).
  - c)  $[0, \infty)$  is closed and  $n \in [0, \infty)$  is a sequence which has no convergent subsequence.
- 2.5 Limits supremum and infimum.
  - 2.5.1. a) Since  $3 (-1)^n = 2$  when n is even and 4 when n is odd,  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = 4$  and  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = 2$ .
- b) Since  $\cos(n\pi/2) = 0$  if n is odd, 1 if n = 4m and -1 if n = 4m + 2,  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = 1$  and  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = -1$
- c) Since  $(-1)^{n+1} + (-1)^n/n = -1 + 1/n$  when n is even and 1 1/n when n is odd,  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = 1$  and  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = -1$ .
  - d) Since  $x_n \to 1/2$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = 1/2$  by Theorem 2.36.
- e) Since  $|y_n| \le M$ ,  $|y_n/n| \le M/n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore,  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$  by Theorem 2.36.
- f) Since  $n(1+(-1)^n)+n^{-1}((-1)^n-1)=2n$  when n is even and -2/n when n is odd,  $\limsup_{n\to\infty}x_n=\infty$  and  $\liminf_{n\to\infty}x_n=0$ .
  - g) Clearly  $x_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore,  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = \infty$  by Theorem 2.36.
  - 2.5.2. By Theorem 1.20,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}(-x_n):=\lim_{n\to\infty}(\inf_{k\geq n}(-x_k))=-\lim_{n\to\infty}(\sup_{k\geq n}x_k)=-\limsup_{n\to\infty}x_n.$$

A similar argument establishes the second identity.

2.5.3. a) Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\sup_{k\geq n} x_k) < r$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sup_{k\geq N} x_k < r$ , i.e.,  $x_k < r$  for all  $k\geq N$ . b) Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\sup_{k\geq n} x_k) > r$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sup_{k\geq N} x_k > r$ , i.e., there is a  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{k_1} > r$ . Suppose  $k_v \in \mathbb{N}$  have been chosen so that  $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_j$  and  $x_{k_v} > r$  for  $v = 1, 2, \ldots, j$ . Choose  $N > k_j$  such that  $\sup_{k\geq N} x_k > r$ . Then there is a  $k_{j+1} > N > k_j$  such that  $x_{k_{j+1}} > r$ . Hence by induction, there are distinct natural numbers  $k_1, k_2, \ldots$  such that  $x_{k_j} > r$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . 2.5.4. a) Since  $\inf_{k \ge n} x_k + \inf_{k \ge n} y_k$  is a lower bound of  $x_j + y_j$  for any  $j \ge n$ , we have  $\inf_{k \ge n} x_k + \inf_{k \ge n} y_k \le \inf_{j \ge n} (x_j + y_j)$ . Taking the limit of this inequality as  $n \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} x_n + \liminf_{n\to\infty} y_n \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} (x_n + y_n).$$

Note, we used Corollary 1.16 and the fact that the sum on the left is not of the form  $\infty - \infty$ . Similarly, for each  $j \ge n$ ,

$$\inf_{k \ge n} (x_k + y_k) \le x_j + y_j \le \sup_{k \ge n} x_k + y_j.$$

Taking the infimum of this inequality over all  $j \ge n$ , we obtain  $\inf_{k \ge n} (x_k + y_k) \le \sup_{k \ge n} x_k + \inf_{j \ge n} y_j$ . Therefore,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} (x_n + y_n) \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n + \liminf_{n \to \infty} y_n.$$

The remaining two inequalities follow from Exercise 2.5.2. For example,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n + \liminf_{n \to \infty} y_n = -\liminf_{n \to \infty} (-x_n) - \limsup_{n \to \infty} (-y_n)$$

$$\leq -\liminf_{n \to \infty} (-x_n - y_n) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} (x_n + y_n).$$

b) It suffices to prove the first identity. By Theorem 2.36 and a),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n + \liminf_{n \to \infty} y_n \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} (x_n + y_n).$$

To obtain the reverse inequality, notice by the Approximation Property that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a  $j_n > n$  such that  $\inf_{k \ge n} (x_k + y_k) > x_{j_n} - 1/n + y_{j_n}$ . Hence

$$\inf_{k \ge n} (x_k + y_k) > x_{j_n} - \frac{1}{n} + \inf_{k \ge n} y_k$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Taking the limit of this inequality as  $n \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} (x_n + y_n) \ge \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n + \liminf_{n\to\infty} y_n.$$

c) Let  $x_n = (-1)^n$  and  $y_n = (-1)^{n+1}$ . Then the limits infimum are both -1, the limits supremum are both 1, but  $x_n + y_n = 0 \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . If  $x_n = (-1)^n$  and  $y_n = 0$  then

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} (x_n + y_n) = -1 < 1 = \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n + \liminf_{n \to \infty} y_n.$$

2.5.5. a) For any  $j \ge n$ ,  $x_j \le \sup_{k \ge n} x_k$  and  $y_j \le \sup_{k \ge n} y_k$ . Multiplying these inequalities, we have  $x_j y_j \le (\sup_{k \ge n} x_k)(\sup_{k \ge n} y_k)$ , i.e.,

$$\sup_{j \ge n} x_j y_j \le (\sup_{k \ge n} x_k) (\sup_{k \ge n} y_k).$$

Taking the limit of this inequality as  $n \to \infty$  establishes a). The inequality can be strict because if

$$x_n = 1 - y_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & n \text{ even} \\ 1 & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

then  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} (x_n y_n) = 0 < 1 = (\limsup_{n\to\infty} x_n)(\limsup_{n\to\infty} y_n).$ b) By a),

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}(x_ny_n) = -\limsup_{n\to\infty}(-x_ny_n) \ge -\limsup_{n\to\infty}(-x_n)\limsup_{n\to\infty}y_n = \liminf_{n\to\infty}x_n\limsup_{n\to\infty}y_n.$$

2.5.6. Case 1.  $x = \infty$ . By hypothesis,  $C := \limsup_{n \to \infty} y_n > 0$ . Let M > 0 and choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \ge N$  implies  $x_n \ge 2M/C$  and  $\sup_{n \ge N} y_n > C/2$ . Then  $\sup_{k \ge N} (x_k y_k) \ge x_n y_n \ge (2M/C)y_n$  for any  $n \ge N$  and  $\sup_{k \ge N} (x_k y_k) \ge (2M/C)y_n$  for any  $n \ge N$  and  $\sup_{k \ge N} (x_k y_k) \ge (2M/C)y_n$  for any  $n \ge N$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{k \ge N} \sup_{k \ge N} (x_k y_k) \ge (2M/C)y_n$  for any  $n \ge N$  and  $\sup_{k \ge N} (x_k y_k) \ge (2M/C)y_n$  for any  $n \ge N$ .

Case 2.  $0 \le x < \infty$ . By Exercise 2.5.6a and Theorem 2.36,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}(x_ny_n)\leq (\limsup_{n\to\infty}x_n)(\limsup_{n\to\infty}y_n)=x\limsup_{n\to\infty}y_n.$$

On the other hand, given  $^2 > 0$  choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $x_k > x - ^2$  for  $k \ge n$ . Then  $x_k y_k \ge (x - ^2) y_k$  for each  $k \ge n$ , i.e.,  $\sup_{k \ge n} (x_k y_k) \ge (x - ^2) \sup_{k \ge n} y_k$ . Taking the limit of this inequality as  $n \to \infty$  and as  $^2 \to 0$ , we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}(x_ny_n)\geq x\limsup_{n\to\infty}y_n.$$

2.5.7. It suffices to prove the first identity. Let  $s = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\sup_{k \ge n} x_k)$ . Case 1.  $s = \infty$ . Then  $\sup_{k \ge n} x_k = \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so by definition,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup x_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}(\sup_{k\geq n}x_k)=\infty=s.$$

Case 2.  $s = -\infty$ . Let M > 0 and choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sup_{k \ge N} x_k \le -M$ . Then  $\sup_{k \ge N} x_k \le \sup_{k \ge N} x_k \le -M$  for all  $n \ge N$ , i.e.,  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = -\infty$ .

Case 3.  $-\infty < s < -\infty$ . Let  $^2 > 0$  and use the Approximation Property to choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sup_{k \ge N} x_k < s + ^2$ . Since  $\sup_{k \ge N} x_k < s + ^2$  for all  $n \ge N$ , it follows that

$$s - 2 < s \le \sup_{k \ge n} x_k < s + 2$$

for  $n \ge N$ , i.e.,  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = s$ .

2.5.8. It suffices to establish the first identity. Let  $s = \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n$ .

Case 1. s=0. Then by Theorem 2.35 there is a subsequence  $k_j$  such that  $x_{k_j} \to 0$ , i.e.,  $1/x_{k_j} \to \infty$  as  $j \to \infty$ . In particular,  $\sup_{k \ge n} (1/x_k) = \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} (1/x_n) = \infty = 1/s$ .

Case 2.  $s = \infty$ . Then  $x_k \to \infty$ , i.e.,  $1/x_k \to 0$ , as  $k \to \infty$ . Thus by Theorem 2.36,  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} (1/x_n) = 0 = 1/s$ . Case 3.  $0 < s < \infty$ . Fix  $j \ge n$ . Since  $1/\inf_{k \ge n} x_k \ge 1/x_j$  implies  $1/\inf_{k \ge n} x_k \ge \sup_{j \ge n} (1/x_j)$ , it is clear that  $1/s \ge \limsup_{n \to \infty} (1/x_n)$ . On the other hand, given 2 > 0 and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose j > N such that  $\inf_{k \ge n} x_k + 2 > x_j$ , i.e.,  $1/(\inf_{k \ge n} x_k + 2) < 1/x_j \le \sup_{k \ge n} (1/x_k)$ . Taking the limit of this inequality as  $n \to \infty$  and as  $2 \to 0$ , we conclude that  $1/s \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} (1/x_n)$ .

2.5.9. If  $x_n \to 0$ , then  $|x_n| \to 0$ . Thus by Theorem 2.36,  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} |x_n| = 0$ . Conversely, if  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} |x_n| \le 0$ , then

$$0 \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} |x_n| \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} |x_n| \le 0,$$

implies that the limits supremum and infimum of  $x_n$  are equal (to zero). Hence by Theorem 2.36, the limit exists and equals zero.

## Introduction to Analysis Classic 4th Edition Wade SOLUTIONS MANUAL

### Full clear download (no formatting errors) at:

https://testbankreal.com/download/introduction-to-analysis-classic-4th-edition-wade-solutions-manual/

An Introduction to Analysis William R. Wade

Wade

Introduction To Analysis 4th Edition Textbook Solutions 4th Edition

Classic Version Introduction to Analysis Introduction to Analysis 4th edition