Analysis

Alec Zabel-Mena

 $\underline{\text{Text}}$

Principles of Mathematical Analysis (3^{rd} edition) Walter Rudin

November 1, 2020

Chapter 1

The Real and Complex Numbers

1.1 Ordered Sets

Definition. Let S be any set. An **order** on S is a relation < such that:

(1) For $x, y \in S$, one and only one of the following hold:

$$x < y$$
 $y < x$

We call this property the **trichotomy law**

(2) < is transitive over S.

We denote the relations > and \le to mean x > y if and only if y < x, and $x \le y$ if and only if x < y, or x = y. We call S together with < an **ordered set**.

Example 1.1. Define < on \mathbb{Q} such that for $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$, r < s implies < 0s - r.

Definition. Let S be an ordered set, and let $E \subseteq S$. We say that E is **bounded above** there is some $\beta \in S$ for which $x \leq \beta$, for all $x \in E$. We say that E is **bounded below** if $\beta \leq x$, for call $x \in E$. We say an $\alpha \in S$ is a **least upperbound** of E, if α is an upperbound of E, and for all other upperbounds, γ , of E, $\alpha \leq \gamma$. Likewise, α is a **greatest lowerbound** of E if α is a lowerbound of E, and for all other lowerbounds γ of E, $\gamma \leq \alpha$. We denote the least upperbound, and greatest lowerbound by $\sup E$ and $\inf E$, respectively.

Lemma 1.1.1. Let S be an ordered set, and let $E \subseteq S$. Then E has (if they exist) a unique least upperbound, and a unique greatest lowerbound.

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta \in S$ be least upperbounds of E. Then by definition, we have that $\alpha \leq \beta$, and $\beta \leq \alpha$; thus by the trichotomy law, $\alpha = \beta$. The proof is the same for greatest lowerbounds.

Example 1.2. (1) Let $A = \{p \in \mathbb{Q} : p^2 < 2\}$, and $B = \{p \in \mathbb{Q} : p^2 > 2\}$. Clearly, we have that every element of B is an upperbound of A, and every element of A is a lowerbound of B. Now take $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ a positive rational, and take $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $q = p - \frac{p^2 - 2}{p + 2}$. Then

 $q^2-2=\frac{2(p^2-2)}{(p+2)^2}$. Now if $p\in A$, then $p^2-2<0$, which implies that p< q, and $q^2<2$; thus A has no largest element; similarly, if $p\in B$, then $p^2-2>0$, which implies that q< p and $q^2>2$, which shows that B has no least element. Thus $\sup A$ and $\inf B$ do not exist in $\mathbb Q$.

- (2) If $\alpha = \sup E \in S$, it may or may not be that $\alpha \in E$. Take $E_1 = \{r \in \mathbb{Q} : r < 0\}$, and $E_2 = \{r \in \mathbb{Q} : r \leq 0\}$. Then $\sup E_1 = \sup E_2 = 0$, but $0 \notin E_1$, where as $0 \in E_2$
- (3) COnsider the set $\frac{1}{\mathbb{Z}^+} = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$. By the well ordering principle, 1 is the least element, and is also an upper bound of all $\frac{1}{n}$ for n > 1. Now also notice that as n gets arbitrarily large, then $\frac{1}{n}$ gets arbitratirly small; that is to say $\frac{1}{n}$ "tends" to 0, so $\sup \frac{1}{\mathbb{Z}^+} = 1 \in \frac{1}{\mathbb{Z}^+}$, and $\inf \frac{1}{\mathbb{Z}^+} = 0 \notin \frac{1}{\mathbb{Z}^+}$.

Definition. We say an ordered set S has the **least upperbound property**, if whenever $E \subseteq S$, nonempty, and bounded above, then $\sup E \in E$; likewise, S has the **greatest lowerbound property** if whenever E is nonempty, bounded below then $\inf E \in E$

Example 1.3. The set of all rationals \mathbb{Q} does not have the least upperbound property, nor the greatest lowerbound property, take A, B as in the previous example. Letting $E = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\} \subseteq \frac{1}{\mathbb{Z}^+}$, we see that $\frac{1}{\mathbb{Z}^+}$ satisfies both properties, with $\sup E = 1$, and $\inf E = \frac{1}{4}$.

Theorem 1.1.2. If S is an ordered set with the least upperbound property, then S also inherits the greatest lowerbound property.

Proof. Let $B \subseteq S$, and let $L \subseteq S$ be the set of all lowerbounds of B. Then we have for any $y \in L$, $x \in B$, $y \le x$. So every element of B is an upperbound of L, and L is nonempty, hence $\alpha = \sup L \in S$ exists. Now if $\gamma \le \alpha$, then γ is not an upperbound of L, hence $\gamma \notin B$; thus $\alpha \le x$ for all $x \in B$, so $\alpha \in L$, and by definition of the greatest lowerbound, we get $\alpha = \inf B$.

1.2 Fields

Definition. A field is a set F, together with binary operations + and \cdot (called addition and multiplication, respectively) such that:

- (1) F forms an abelian group under +.
- (2) $F \setminus \{0\}$ forms an abelian group under \cdot (where 0 is the additive identity of F).
- (3) · distributes over +.

We now state the following propositions without proof.

Proposition 1.2.1. For all $x, y, x \in F$:

- (1) x + y = x + y implies y = z
- (2) x + y = x implies y = 0

(3)
$$x + y = 0$$
 implies $y = -x$

$$(4) - (-x) = x.$$

Proposition 1.2.2. For all $x, y, x \in F \setminus \{0\}$:

(1)
$$xy = xy$$
 implies $y = z$

(2)
$$xy = x$$
 implies $y = 1$

(3)
$$xy = 1 \text{ implies } y = x^{-1}$$

$$(4) (x^{-1})^{-1} = x.$$

Proposition 1.2.3. For all $x, y, x \in F$:

(1)
$$0x = 0$$

(2)
$$x \neq 0$$
 and $y \neq 0$ implies $xy \neq 0$

(3)
$$(-x)y = -(xy) = x(-y)$$

$$(4) (-x)(-y) = xy.$$

Definition. An **ordered field** is a field F that is also an ordered set, such that:

(1)
$$x + y < x + z$$
 whenever $y < z$, for $x, yz, z \in F$

(2)
$$xy > 0$$
 whenever $x > 0$ and $y > 0$, for $x, y \in F$.

Proposition 1.2.4. Let F be an ordered field, then for any $x, y, z \in F$, the following hold:

(1)
$$x > 0$$
 implies $-x < 0$.

(2) If
$$x > 0$$
 and $y < z$, then $xy < xz$.

(3) If
$$x < 0$$
 and $y < z$, then $xz < xy$.

(4) If
$$x \neq 0$$
, then $x^2 > 0$, in particular, $1 > 0$.

(5)
$$0 < x < y$$
 implies that $0 < y^{-1} < x^{-1}$.

Proof. (1) If x > 0, then 0 = x + (-x) > 0 + (-x), so -x < 0.

(2) We have
$$0 < z - y$$
, so $0 < x(z - y) = xz - xy$, so $xy < xz$.

(3) Do the same as (2),, multiplying
$$z - y$$
 by $-x$.

(4) If
$$x > 0$$
, we are done. Now suppose that $x < 0$, then $-x > 0$, so $(-x)(-x) = xx = x^2 > 0$; in particular, we also have that $1 \neq 0$, and $1 = 1^2$, so $1 > 0$.

(5) We have
$$0 < xy^{-1} < yy^{-1} = 1$$
, then $0 < x^{-1}xy^{-1} = y^{-1} < x^{-1}1 = x^{-1}$

1.3 The Field of Real Numbers