# CS24: Introduction to Computing Systems

Spring 2015 Lecture 19

#### LAST TIME

- Introduced UNIX signals
  - A kernel facility that provides user-mode exceptional control flow
- Allows many hardware-level exceptions to be exposed to application processes
  - Timer events (SIGALRM), invalid memory access (SIGSEGV), illegal instruction execution (SIGILL), etc.
- Also allows processes to send signals to each other
  - e.g. terminal sends **SIGINT** (Ctrl-C) to your program
  - e.g. kernel can send **SIGKILL** to a runaway process

#### REENTRANT FUNCTIONS

- A signal handler can interrupt *any other code* in the program
  - ...including function calls that are in progress!
- Signal handlers must only use reentrant functions
  - Functions that can be invoked *multiple times concurrently*, without causing errors
    - i.e. multiple overlapping logical flows through the function
  - Frequently, code in a signal handler will interrupt code in the main program that is using the exact same functions
- Example: malloc() is <u>not</u> reentrant!
  - Updates large, complex data structures within the heap
  - Two calls to malloc() can easily stomp on each other!
  - Must not use malloc() within a signal handler! (Or, any other function that calls malloc()!)

#### REENTRANT FUNCTIONS AND STATE

- A reentrant function must not manage state across multiple function calls
  - Concurrent invocations will cause state to be corrupted
  - No global state, and no static local variables!
- Example:

```
void do_task() {
   /* Variable remains across function calls! */
   static int count = 0;

   ...   /* Do some important task. */
   count++;
   if (count > 100) {
      count = 0;
      periodic_cleanup();
   }
}
```

• Static local variables are retained across multiple function calls (like a global variable visible only within the function)

## REENTRANT FUNCTIONS AND STATE (2)

• Concurrent invocations of **do** task() will not update count properly!

```
void do task() {
  /* Variable remains across function calls! */
  static int count = 0;
  ... /* Do some important task. */
  count++;
  if (count > 100) {
    count = 0;
    periodic cleanup();
                             (...interrupted...)
                           Add 1 to register
```

#### **Logical Control Flow** Signal Handler Read count into register

Read count into register Add 1 to register Write reg back to count

Write reg back to count

• Not reentrant; *must not* be called from a signal handler

#### REENTRANT FUNCTIONS AND MUTEXES

- o Can't fix this problem with a mutex or semaphore
  - First function invocation locks the mutex before accessing the persistent state, but is then interrupted
    - ...but it still holds the lock!
  - A second, concurrent function invocation interrupts the first one
    - Can't acquire the lock because it's already held!
    - Second invocation will be blocked by the first one!
  - Entire system will grind to a halt. Whee.
- Locks can be used in a *non-blocking* manner
  - e.g. "try to acquire the lock, but return immediately with a failure code if someone else holds the lock"
  - (May limit the usefulness of your signal handler...)

### GUARANTEED REENTRANT FUNCTIONS

- A signal handler can only use reentrant functions
- Ideally, the handler will also be reentrant
  - Particularly in cases where one handler handles multiple signals
- Specific UNIX functions are guaranteed to be reentrant by the standard. For example:
  - alarm(), pause(), signal()
  - mkdir(), chdir(), rmdir(), chmod(), chown()
  - open(), close(), read(), write()
  - fork(), execve(), exit(), kill(), wait()
- Many others are not guaranteed to be reentrant
  - e.g. malloc(), free(), printf(), etc.
  - Must avoid using these functions in signal handlers!

#### Using Non-Reentrant Functions

- If non-reentrant functions will <u>never</u> be called concurrently, can use them in signal handlers...
  - ...but it's *extremely* difficult to guarantee this, especially in context of maintenance and upgrades!

• Don't tempt fate! © Program defensively.

### SIGNAL HANDLER OPERATIONS

- Usually, handlers perform very simple operations
  - Avoids the dangers of non-reentrant code!
- Set a flag to record that signal occurred, then return
  - Main loop checks the flag every iteration
  - When flag becomes set, main loop handles the signal then
  - Main loop code can do whatever it wants, since signal handler won't be doing anything complicated
- Or, simply restart running the program at an appropriate location
  - e.g. an error signal handler can restart a server at the beginning of the program
  - Use something like **setjmp()** and **longjmp()**... but there's a caveat...

#### PENDING SIGNALS

- For each process, the kernel manages two bookkeeping variables for signal handling
- **pending** a bit-vector of signals that are currently pending for the process
  - These signals have been sent to the process, but haven't yet been received or handled by the process
- o Each kind of signal has one bit assigned to it
  - Multiple signals of a particular type sent to a process will not necessarily all be received!
  - If a particular type of signal is already pending, and then is sent again, the second signal is <u>dropped!</u>
    - The **pending** flag for that signal is already set, so it can't be sent again

#### BLOCKED SIGNALS

- The kernel also keeps a **blocked** bit-vector for each process
  - Again, each type of signal has a bit assigned to it
  - If a particular type of signal is blocked then it won't be delivered to the process
  - Can definitely have a pending signal that is also blocked!
- When the kernel calls a signal handler on a process, that type of signal is automatically blocked
  - Generally, signal handlers don't need to worry about being interrupted by the same kind of signal again
- Example: a process with a **SIGINT** handler
  - First **SIGINT** received causes **SIGINT** handler to be called
  - Also causes SIGINT to become blocked for the process!
  - If another **SIGINT** occurs during handler execution, it is recorded in **pending** bit-vector, but it is not delivered!

## BLOCKED SIGNALS (2)

- When a signal handler returns, the blocked signal-type is automatically unblocked
  - When handler returns, signals of that type can begin being delivered again
  - In the case of a blocked pending **SIGINT**, it will subsequently be delivered to the process...
- Several functions for manipulating these signal bit-vectors

```
int sigpending(sigset_t *set)
```

• Returns current set of pending signals for the process

```
int sigprocmask(int how,
  const sigset_t *set, sigset_t *oldset)
```

- Manipulates the set of blocked signals for the process
- Several other functions too! See CS:APP §8.5.6

## SIGNALS AND setjmp()/longjmp()

- When a signal handler is called, that signal type is automatically blocked for the process
- When the handler returns, the signal type is unblocked again
- What happens if we longjmp() from inside a signal handler?
- setjmp() records:
  - Execution state and registers, specifically the caller's instruction pointer and the stack pointer
  - Does not record any other data beyond this!
- o If we longjmp() from signal handler, it will not unblock the blocked signal!

## SIGNALS AND setjmp()/longjmp() (2)

• Example code:

load config(...);

while (!quit) {

```
static jmp buf restart env;
void restart handler(int sig) {
    /* Just restart the program! */
    longjmp(restart env, 1);
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
  signal(SIGHUP, restart handler); /* SIGHUP restarts */
  if (setjmp(restart env)) /* Returns 1 if restarting! */
    fprintf(stderr, "Signal received, restarting!");
```

... /\* Handle incoming requests, or something. \*/

This code only handles <u>one</u> **SIGHUP!** Signal is blocked when first **SIGHUP** handled, and is never delivered again.

## sigsetjmp() AND siglongjmp()

- When using long-jumps from signal handlers, must use **siqsetjmp()** and **siqlongjmp()** 
  - Nearly identical to **setjmp()** and **longjmp()**...
- o sigsetjmp() also records blocked-signal mask int sigsetjmp(sigjmp\_buf env, int savesigs)
  - If savesigs is true, blocked-signal state is saved
- o siglongjmp() restores the blocked-signal mask void siglongjmp(sigjmp\_buf env, int val)
  - If corresponding sigsetjmp() set savesigs to true, then blocked-signal state is also restored here

#### SIGNALS AND LONG-JUMPS

- In general, long-jumps from signal handlers are useful in a very limited set of circumstances
  - Must ensure that it's actually safe to *never return* to the code that was interrupted!
  - e.g. don't leave files in a corrupted state; don't interrupt malloc() or other non-atomic operations
- Setting a flag is generally the safest approach
  - Signal handler sets a flag and then returns
  - Main loop checks the flag regularly, and responds accordingly
- Can only long-jump from a signal handler in certain circumstances
  - e.g. when interrupted operation is atomic, or when signal indicates that normal operation was aborted

### SUMMARY: SIGNALS

- Signals share many common traits with hardware exception handling
  - A user-mode version of hardware exceptions
  - Signal handlers must be aware of reentrancy issues, just like hardware exception handlers
  - When a signal handler is invoked, that signal type is blocked until the handler returns
    - Very similar to hardware interrupts and eflags register
- Signals allow us to leverage exceptional control flow in user-mode programs
  - Enables powerful techniques in server programming
  - Are used in most widely-used server programs
    - Web-servers, email servers, DNS servers, databases, etc.

#### THE UNIX PROCESS MODEL

- UNIX has a simple but powerful process model
- Every process has a context...
  - Kernel must be able to uniquely identify the context of each running process
- Each process has a unique "process ID" (PID)
  - pid t getpid() returns the caller's process ID
  - pid t is simply an integer
- Every process is started by some other process
  - All processes form a hierarchy
- Each process also has a parent process ID
  - pid t getppid() returns PID of the parent process
  - Parent process must be a running process
    - (More on this in a moment...)

#### THE init PROCESS

- Process 1 is the init process
  - The ancestor of all processes on the UNIX system
  - Started as the last step of kernel boot sequence
- Responsible for starting various sets of processes to support different operating system "runlevels"
  - Each runlevel represents a set of services or capabilities provided by the system
- Use init to switch runlevels: init runlevel
  - Runlevel 0 tells the system to shutdown
  - Runlevel 1 is single-user mode
  - Runlevel 2 is multi-user mode with limited networking
  - Runlevel 3 is full multi-user mode
  - Runlevel 5 starts X11 server for graphical logins
  - Runlevel 6 tells the system to restart

#### PROCESS STATES

• Generally, processes are in one of these states:

#### Running

• The process is currently executing on the CPU, or is waiting to be executed

#### Stopped

- The process is suspended (e.g. by Ctrl-Z from keyboard), and will not be scheduled for execution until it is resumed
- A process enters this state when it receives SIGSTOP, SIGTTIN, or SIGTTOU signals
  - **SIGSTOP** stop signal not from the terminal (keyboard)
  - **SIGTSTP** stop signal from terminal (i.e. Ctrl-Z from keyboard)
  - **SIGTTIN** background process tries to read from terminal
  - **SIGTTOU** background process tries to write to terminal
- When process is resumed, changes back to Running state

## PROCESS STATES (2)

- Terminated
  - The process stops executing permanently
  - Occurs when:
    - The process calls exit() or returns from main()
    - The process receives a signal whose default action is to terminate
- A process can terminate by calling exit()
  - void exit(int status)
  - (Or, return an integer value from main() function)
  - The exit status of the process is recorded for retrieval by other processes
- exit() supports exit-handlers
  - Functions to perform tasks at process-termination
  - int atexit (void (\*function) (void)) registers an exit-handler for the process

#### PROCESS GROUPS

- Every process also belongs to a process group
  - Another pid\_t value associated with each process
  - Signals can be sent to entire groups of processes int killpg(pid\_t pgrp, int sig);
- Every process group has a leader
  - The leader's PID is equal to the group's PID
  - pid\_t getpgid(pid\_t pid)
    - Reports the process-group ID of the specified process
  - pid\_t getpgrp()
    - Reports the process-group ID of the calling process
  - int setpgid(pid\_t pid, pid\_t pgid)
    - Sets the process-group ID of the specified process
  - pid t setpgrp()
    - Sets the caller's process-group ID to its own PID

#### STARTING A PROCESS

- A process can start a child process with fork ()
  - pid\_t fork()

pid t child;

- o fork() is called once, but returns twice!
  - In parent process, return-value of **fork()** is PID of newly spawned child process
    - No other way for the parent to find out the child's PID
  - In child process, return-value of fork() is 0
- Common usage pattern:

```
child = fork();
if (child == 0) {
     ... /* Do child-process stuff. */
}
else {
     ... /* Do parent-process stuff. */
}
```

## STARTING A PROCESS (2)

- The child process is an *identical duplicate* of the parent process
  - Main difference is that child process has a different process ID, and a different parent-process ID
  - Child is in same process-group as the parent process
- Parent and child processes have identical registers, memory, and stack contents
  - Separate copies, but identical contents
- Address-spaces of both processes are identical
  - Kernel provides illusion of exclusive access to memory
- Parent and child processes execute concurrently
  - Kernel also provides illusion of exclusive access to CPU
  - Logical control flows of parent and child proceed separately
  - Execution is interleaved as determined by the kernel

## STARTING A PROCESS (3)

- Parent and child processes also have the same open files!
  - Child can also read/write any files that parent had open when the process was forked
    - o e.g. standard input, standard output
  - Very important behavior used to set up "pipes" between processes for inter-process communication

## SIMPLE fork () EXAMPLE

```
#include <unistd.h>
#include <stdio.h>
int main() {
   pid t child pid;
   int x = 1;
   child pid = fork();
    if (child pid == 0) { /* Child code. */
        x++;
       printf("Hello from child! x = %d\n", x);
                           /* Parent code. */
    } else {
        x--;
       printf("Hello from parent! x = %d\n", x);
   return 0;
```

## SIMPLE fork () EXAMPLE (2)

- Both parent and child process print to stdout...
- Compile and run the program:

```
[user@host:~]> gcc -Wall -o procs procs.c
[user@host:~]> ./procs
Hello from parent! x = 0
Hello from child! x = 2
[user@host:~]>
```

- Output from both processes is sent to stdout
  - Parent's stdout is sent to the console...
  - When child is spawned, stdout from parent is copied
- Both processes have identical memory contents
  - Both have variable x at the same memory address
  - Child sees x = 1, since x was 1 in parent process

#### ZOMBIES!!!

- A child process doesn't immediately go away when it terminates
  - Child process terminates with some status value...
  - Parent process may need to find out the child's status
- A terminated child process is called a zombie
  - The process is dead, but it hasn't yet been reaped
  - (Seriously. That's the terminology.)
- Parent processes reap zombie children by calling:
   pid\_t wait(int \*status)
  - Waits for some child process to terminate

```
pid_t waitpid(pid_t pid, int *status, int options)
```

- Waits for a specific child process to terminate
- o Can also wait on children in a process-group, or all children
- Both report an error if calling process has no children

#### REAPING ZOMBIE CHILDREN

- Status value includes several important details
  - Did the child process terminate normally?
    - o i.e. via a call to exit() or a return from main()
  - Did the child process terminate because of a signal?
    - e.g. SIGINT or SIGKILL
  - Is the child process actually stopped (suspended) instead of being terminated?
- Several macros to extract these details
  - WIFEXITED (status) returns true if child exited normally
    - WEXITSTATUS (status) returns the actual exit status
  - **WIFSIGNALED (status)** returns true if the child process was terminated by a signal
    - o WTERMSIG (status) returns signal number that terminated it
  - **WIFSTOPPED (status)** returns true if process was stopped instead of being terminated
    - WSTOPSIG(status) returns signal number that stopped it

#### NEXT TIME

• Continue exploring the UNIX process API