

Percentile Differences in Three Point and Long Two Point Attempts

Arda Tuna

University of Toronto

May 8, 2025

Background

- Increasing three point shots and decreasing mid range shots over time
- How replaceable three point shots are by high percentage mid range shooters?

Expected Points of a Shot

- Taking shooting percentage of different types of shots as the probability of making that type of shot
- We can find the expected point of a shot by multiplying that percentage by either 2 or 3.

- Using play by play and player box score data
- Find the expected value of long mid-range and three point shots with the given shooting percentages of each player
- Rank the shooting percentages of each player within their percentiles to see how the quintiles of mid-range and three point shots compare in the expected points they produce


- The player box score does not include distance of shot, so it is used to compare the baseline two point and three point expected points
- The play by play data includes shot distance where short two pointers are taken to be shots less than 8 ft. and mid-ranges are shots above 8 ft.
- Separate close and mid-range expected points can be compared to three point shots

Initial Summary Statistics

- Of the play by play data:
- 16246 total two point attempts
 - 0.512 total percent
- 14198 close attempts
 - 0.534 total percent
- 2047 long two point attempts
 - 0.360 total percent
- 11490 total three point attempts
 - 0.336 total percent


Results

Figure 1: Expected Points of Three Point and Two Point Percentages




Results

Figure 2: Expected Points of Three Point and Long Two Point Percentages



Results

Figure 3: Expected Points of Three Point and Short Two Point Percentages



Results

- Long two point attempts are inefficient hence why there is a big decrease in their usage
- Short two point attempts are closer in following trends of total two point attempts than long two point attempts
- 50th percentile three point shooter's expected points can be replicated by around a 70th percentile two point shooter with long twos.

Player Impact Implications

- Shooting percentage is not the only indicator of player impact when shooting from different distances
- Many different actions in basketball; hence the impact two pointers and three pointers can have are not limited by their percentages
- One metric we can use is PIPM which, without its luck adjustment factor, is a linear summation of different /36 stats with their coefficients to create a player impact metric (Goldstein 2019).

Difference of Shot Types with PIPM

- Since PIPM is a linear sum, the difference in the player impact of shooting a three pointer or a long two pointer is simply subtracting the impact created by these two actions

$$0.357 \cdot 3 \cdot \alpha - 0.155 - (0.357 \cdot 2 \cdot \beta - 0.307) \quad (1)$$

- Where 0.357, -0.155, -1.307 are the points scored, 3pa/36, 2pa/36 coefficients and α , β are the three point and two point percentages (Goldstein 2019).
- Long range two point attempts are even more inefficient in their impact than before due to the coefficients and cost of attempts

Impact of 2 point and 3 point shots

Figure 4: 2 Point Impact





Figure 5: 3 Point Impact



Limitations, Impact, and Room for Future Study

- The biggest limitation is with the lack of impact of defensive coverage and the types of shots and their effects on the shooting of players
- Optimization of how much each shot type should be taken based on players, teams, opponents' shooting, offensive and defensive metrics

- Goldstein, J. (2019, March 29). *Player Impact Plus-Minus*. Basketball Index.
<https://www.bball-index.com/player-impact-plus-minus/>

- Thanks for listening!