

## 2 Optimisation

### 2.1 Gradient of vector-valued functions

For a function  $J$  that maps a column vector  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , the gradient is defined as

$$\nabla J(\mathbf{w}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_n} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\partial J(\mathbf{w})/\partial w_i$  are the partial derivatives of  $J(\mathbf{w})$  with respect to the  $i$ -th element of the vector  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^\top$  (in the standard basis). Alternatively, it is defined to be the column vector  $\nabla J(\mathbf{w})$  such that

$$J(\mathbf{w} + \epsilon \mathbf{h}) = J(\mathbf{w}) + \epsilon (\nabla J(\mathbf{w}))^\top \mathbf{h} + O(\epsilon^2) \quad (2.1)$$

for an arbitrary perturbation  $\epsilon \mathbf{h}$ . This phrases the derivative in terms of a first-order, or affine, approximation to the perturbed function  $J(\mathbf{w} + \epsilon \mathbf{h})$ . The derivative  $\nabla J$  is a linear transformation that maps  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  [ see Chapter 9, for a formal treatment of derivatives ]<sup>1</sup>.

Use either definition to determine  $\nabla J(\mathbf{w})$  for the following functions where  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a differentiable function.

- i.  $J(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{w}$ .
- ii.  $J(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}$ .
- iii.  $J(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w}$ .
- iv.  $J(\mathbf{w}) = \|\mathbf{w}\|_2$ .
- v.  $J(\mathbf{w}) = f(\|\mathbf{w}\|_2)$ .

<sup>1</sup>Walter Rudin. Principles of Mathematical Analysis. McGraw Hill, 3rd edition edition, 1976.

---

## 2.2 Newton's method

Assume that in the neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{w}_0$ , a function  $J(\mathbf{w})$  can be described by the quadratic approximation

$$f(\mathbf{w}) = c + \mathbf{g}^\top (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0)^\top \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_0),$$

where  $c = J(\mathbf{w}_0)$ ,  $\mathbf{g}$  is the gradient of  $J$  with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$ , and  $\mathbf{H}$  a symmetric positive definite matrix (e.g. the Hessian matrix for  $J(\mathbf{w})$  at  $\mathbf{w}_0$  if positive definite).

- i. Use Task 2.1 to determine  $\nabla f(\mathbf{w})$ .
- ii. A necessary condition for  $\mathbf{w}$  being optimal (leading either to a maximum, minimum or a saddle point) is  $\nabla f(\mathbf{w}) = 0$ . Determine  $\mathbf{w}^*$  such that  $\nabla f(\mathbf{w})|_{\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{w}^*} = 0$ . Provide arguments why  $\mathbf{w}^*$  is a minimiser of  $f(\mathbf{w})$ .
- iii. In terms of Newton's method to minimise  $J(\mathbf{w})$ , what do  $\mathbf{w}_0$  and  $\mathbf{w}^*$  stand for?

## 2.3 Gradient of matrix-valued functions

For functions  $J$  that map a matrix  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , the gradient is defined as

$$\nabla J(\mathbf{W}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\partial W_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\partial W_{1m}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\partial W_{n1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{W})}{\partial W_{nm}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Alternatively, it is defined to be the matrix  $\nabla J$  such that

$$J(\mathbf{W} + \epsilon \mathbf{H}) = J(\mathbf{W}) + \epsilon \operatorname{tr}(\nabla J^\top \mathbf{H}) + O(\epsilon^2) \quad (2.2)$$

$$= J(\mathbf{W}) + \epsilon \operatorname{tr}(\nabla J \mathbf{H}^\top) + O(\epsilon^2) \quad (2.3)$$

This definition is analogue to the one for vector-valued functions in (2.1). It phrases the derivative in terms of a linear approximation to the perturbed objective  $J(\mathbf{W} + \epsilon \mathbf{H})$  and, more formally,  $\operatorname{tr} \nabla J^\top$  is a linear transformation that maps  $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{e}^{(i)}$  be *column* vector which is everywhere zero but in slot  $i$  where it is 1. Moreover let  $\mathbf{e}^{[j]}$  be a *row* vector which is everywhere zero but in slot  $j$  where it is 1. The outer product  $\mathbf{e}^{(i)} \mathbf{e}^{[j]}$  is then a matrix that is everywhere zero but in row  $i$  and column  $j$  where it is one. For  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{e}^{(i)} \mathbf{e}^{[j]}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J(\mathbf{W} + \epsilon \mathbf{e}^{(i)} \mathbf{e}^{[j]}) &= J(\mathbf{W}) + \epsilon \operatorname{tr}((\nabla J)^\top \mathbf{e}^{(i)} \mathbf{e}^{[j]}) + O(\epsilon^2) \\ &= J(\mathbf{W}) + \epsilon \mathbf{e}^{[j]} (\nabla J)^\top \mathbf{e}^{(i)} + O(\epsilon^2) \\ &= J(\mathbf{W}) + \epsilon \mathbf{e}^{[j]} \nabla J \mathbf{e}^{(i)} + O(\epsilon^2) \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathbf{e}^{[j]} \nabla J \mathbf{e}^{(i)}$  picks the element of the matrix  $\nabla J$  that is in row  $i$  and column  $j$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{e}^{[j]} \nabla J \mathbf{e}^{(i)} = \partial J / \partial W_{ij}$ .

Use either of the two definitions to find  $\nabla J(\mathbf{W})$  for the functions below, where  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ , and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable.

- i.  $J(\mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{v}$ .
- ii.  $J(\mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{u}^\top (\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}$ .
- iii.  $J(\mathbf{W}) = \sum_n f(\mathbf{w}_n^\top \mathbf{v})$ , where  $\mathbf{w}_n^\top$  are the rows of the matrix  $\mathbf{W}$ .
- iv.  $J(\mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{v}$ .  
 $[Hint: (\mathbf{W} + \epsilon \mathbf{H})^{-1} = \mathbf{W}^{-1} - \epsilon \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{W}^{-1} + O(\epsilon^2)]$

---

## 2.4 Gradient of the log-determinant

The goal of this exercise is to determine the gradient of

$$J(\mathbf{W}) = \log |\det(\mathbf{W})|.$$

- i. Show that the  $n$ -th eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$  can be written as

$$\lambda_n = \mathbf{v}_n^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{u}_n,$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_n$  is the  $n$ th eigenvector and  $\mathbf{v}_n$  the  $n$ th column vector of  $\mathbf{U}^{-1}$ , with  $\mathbf{U}$  being the matrix with the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{u}_n$  as columns.

- ii. Calculate the gradient of  $\lambda_n$  with respect to  $\mathbf{W}$ , i.e.  $\nabla \lambda_n(\mathbf{W})$ .  
iii. Write  $J(\mathbf{W})$  in terms of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  and calculate  $\nabla J(\mathbf{W})$ .  
iv. Show that

$$\nabla J(\mathbf{W}) = (\mathbf{W}^{-1})^\top.$$

## 2.5 Descent directions for matrix-valued functions

Assume we would like to minimise a matrix-valued function  $J(\mathbf{W})$  by gradient descent, i.e. the update equation is

$$\mathbf{W} \leftarrow \mathbf{W} - \epsilon \nabla J(\mathbf{W}),$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the step-length. The gradient  $\nabla J(\mathbf{W})$  was defined in Task 2.3. It was there pointed out that the gradient defines a first order approximation to the perturbed objective function  $J(\mathbf{W} + \epsilon \mathbf{H})$ . With (2.2),

$$J(\mathbf{W} - \epsilon \nabla J(\mathbf{W})) = J(\mathbf{W}) - \epsilon \operatorname{tr}(\nabla J(\mathbf{W})^\top \nabla J(\mathbf{W})) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

For any (nonzero) matrix  $\mathbf{M}$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{M}^\top \mathbf{M}) &= \sum_i (\mathbf{M}^\top \mathbf{M})_{ii} \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j (\mathbf{M}^\top)_{ij} (\mathbf{M})_{ji} \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j M_{ji} M_{ji} \\ &= \sum_{ij} (M_{ji})^2 \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

which means that  $\operatorname{tr}(\nabla J(\mathbf{W})^\top \nabla J(\mathbf{W})) > 0$  if the gradient is nonzero.

Hence,

$$J(\mathbf{W} - \epsilon \nabla J(\mathbf{W})) < J(\mathbf{W})$$

for small enough  $\epsilon$ . Consequently,  $\nabla J(\mathbf{W})$  is a descent direction.

Show that  $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A} \nabla J(\mathbf{W}) \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}^\top$  for non-zero matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  is also a descent direction or leaves the objective invariant.