Assignment 2

March 1, 2024

1 DAT600

1.0.1 Ardijan Rexhaj, Daniel Alvsåker, Ove Oftedal

1.1 Task 1.1:

Using the recursive algorithm from the book, defined as:

$$m[i,j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \min\{m[i,k] + m[k+1,j] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j\}, & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}$$

K denotes the positioning of the split and is therefore bounded too:

$$i \le k < j$$

We apply the algorithm for these matrices:

Matrix	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5
Dimension	30×10	10×20	20×5	5×40	40×20

This gives us the input dimentions:

$$p_n = [30, 10, 20, 5, 40, 20]$$

$$\begin{split} i &= 1 \\ j &= 2 \\ m[1,2] &= \min \left\{ k = 1, \quad m[1,1] + m[2,2] + p_0 p_1 p_2 = 0 + 0 + 30*10*20 = 6000 \\ m[1,2] &= 6000, k = 1 \end{split} \\ i &= 1 \\ j &= 3 \\ m[1,3] &= \min \left\{ k = 1, \quad m[1,1] + m[2,3] + p_0 p_1 p_3 = 0 + 1000 + 30*10*5 = 2500 \\ k &= 2, \quad m[1,2] + m[3,3] + p_0 p_2 p_3 = 6000 + 0 + 30*20*5 = 9000 \\ m[1,3] &= 2500, k = 1 \end{split} \right.$$

$$\begin{split} i &= 1 \\ j &= 4 \\ \\ m[1,4] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 1, & m[1,1] + m[2,4] + p_0p_1p_4 = 0 + 3000 + 30*10*40 = 15000 \\ k = 2, & m[1,2] + m[3,4] + p_0p_2p_4 = 6000 + 4000 + 30*20*40 = 34000 \\ k = 3, & m[1,3] + m[4,4] + p_0p_3p_4 = 2500 + 0 + 30*5*40 = 8500 \\ m[1,4] &= 8500, k = 3 \end{split} \\ i &= 1 \\ j &= 5 \\ \\ m[1,5] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 1, & m[1,1] + m[2,5] + p_0p_1p_5 = 0 + 6000 + 30*10*20 = 12000 \\ k = 2, & m[1,2] + m[3,5] + p_0p_2p_5 = 6000 + 6000 + 30*20*20 = 24000 \\ k = 3, & m[1,3] + m[4,5] + p_0p_3p_5 = 2500 + 4000 + 30*5*20 = 9500 \\ k = 4, & m[1,4] + m[5,5] + p_0p_4p_5 = 8500 + 0 + 30*40*20 = 32500 \\ m[1,5] &= 9500, k = 3 \end{split} \\ i &= 2 \\ j &= 3 \\ m[2,3] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 2, & m[2,2] + m[3,3] + p_1p_2p_3 = 0 + 0 + 10*20*5 = 1000 \\ m[2,3] &= 1000, k = 2 \end{split} \\ i &= 2 \\ j &= 4 \\ m[2,4] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 2, & m[2,2] + m[3,4] + p_1p_2p_4 = 0 + 4000 + 10*20*40 = 12000 \\ k &= 3, & m[2,3] + m[4,4] + p_1p_3p_4 = 1000 + 0 + 10*5*40 = 3000 \\ m[2,4] &= 3000, k = 3 \end{cases} \\ i &= 2 \\ j &= 5 \\ m[2,5] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 2, & m[2,2] + m[3,5] + p_1p_2p_5 = 0 + 6000 + 10*20*20 = 10000 \\ k &= 3, & m[2,3] + m[4,5] + p_1p_3p_5 = 1000 + 4000 + 10*5*20 = 6000 \\ k &= 4, & m[2,4] + m[5,5] + p_1p_4p_5 = 3000 + 0 + 10*40*20 = 11000 \\ m[2,5] &= 6000, k = 3 \end{cases} \\ i &= 3 \\ j &= 4 \\ m[3,4] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 3, & m[3,3] + m[4,4] + p_2p_3p_4 = 0 + 0 + 20*5*40 = 4000 \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ m[4,4] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 3, & m[2,3] + m[4,4] + p_2p_3p_4 = 0 + 0 + 20*5*40 = 4000 \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ m[2,5] &= 6000, k = 3 \end{cases} \\ i &= 3 \\ j &= 4 \\ m[3,4] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 3, & m[3,3] + m[4,4] + p_2p_3p_4 = 0 + 0 + 20*5*40 = 4000 \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ m[4,4] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 3, & m[3,3] + m[4,4] + p_2p_3p_4 = 0 + 0 + 20*5*40 = 4000 \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ m[4,4] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 3, & m[3,3] + m[4,4] + p_2p_3p_4 = 0 + 0 + 20*5*40 = 4000 \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ m[4,4] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 3, & m[3,3] + m[4,4] + p_2p_3p_4 = 0 + 0 + 20*5*40 = 4000 \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ m[4,4] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 3, & m[3,3] + m[4,4] + p_2p_3p_4 = 0 + 0 + 20*5*40 = 4000 \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ m[4,4] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 3, & m[3,3] + m[4,4] + p_2p_3p_4 = 0 + 0 + 20*5*40 = 4000 \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ m[4,4] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 3, & m[3,3] + m[4,4] + p_2p_3p_4 = 0 + 0 + 20*5*40 = 4000 \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ m[4,4] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 3, & m[4,4] + m[5,5] + p_1p_2p$$

m[3, 4] = 4000, k = 3

$$\begin{split} i &= 3 \\ j &= 5 \\ \\ m[3,5] &= \min \begin{cases} k = 3, & m[3,3] + m[4,5] + p_2 p_3 p_5 = 0 + 4000 + 20*5*20 = 6000 \\ k &= 4, & m[3,4] + m[5,5] + p_2 p_4 p_5 = 4000 + 0 + 20*40*20 = 20000 \\ m[3,5] &= 6000, k = 3 \\ &i = 4 \\ j &= 5 \\ m[4,5] &= \min \left\{ k = 4, & m[4,4] + m[5,5] + p_3 p_4 p_5 = 0 + 0 + 5*40*20 = 4000 \\ m[4,5] &= 4000, k = 4 \end{split} \right. \end{split}$$

The A1..A5 matrices thus produce the following memoization matrices:

		j=1	j=2	j=3	j=4	j=5
m[i,j]:	i = 1	0	6000	2500	8500	9500
	i = 2		0	1000	3000	6000
	i = 3			0	4000	6000
	i = 4				0	4000
	i = 5					0

		j=1	j=2	j=3	j=4	j = 5
	i = 1		1	1	3	3
s[i,j]:	i = 2			2	3	3
	i = 3				3	3
	i = 4					4

1.2 Task 1.2

```
[34]: use std::collections::HashMap;

#[derive(Debug, Clone, Copy)]
struct Cost {
    m: u32,
    s: usize,
}

fn calc_and_print_matrix(m: &Vec<usize>) {
    for r in calc_sm_table(&m){
        for v in r.into_iter().rev() {
            if let Some(i) = v{
                print!(", {} | {} | {} ",i.m,i.s);
            }
        }
}
```

```
print!("\n");
    }
}
fn m(i: usize, j: usize, dimensions: &Vec<usize>, ms_table: &mut_

¬Vec<Vec<Option<Cost>>>) -> u32 {
    if i == j {
        return 0;
    }
    if let Some(v) = ms_table[i][j] {
        return v.m;
    }
    let mut choices = (i..j)
        .map(|k| Cost {
            m: m(i, k, dimensions, ms_table)
                + m(k + 1, j, dimensions, ms_table)
                + (dimensions[i - 1] * dimensions[k] * dimensions[j]) as u32,
            s: k,
        })
        .collect::<Vec<Cost>>();
    choices.sort_by(|x, y| x.m.cmp(\&y.m));
    ms_table[i][j] = Some(choices[0]);
    choices[0].m
}
fn calc_sm_table(dimensions: &Vec<usize>) -> Vec<Vec<Option<Cost>>> {
    let dim_size = dimensions.len();
    let mut ms_table: Vec<Vec<Option<Cost>>> = vec![vec![None; dim_size];__

dim_size];
    for i in 1..dim_size {
        for j in i..dim_size {
            _ = m(i, j, dimensions, &mut ms_table)
        }
    }
    ms_table
}
calc_and_print_matrix(&vec![30, 10, 20, 5, 40, 20]);
```

```
calc_and_print_matrix(& vec![30, 35, 15, 5, 10, 20, 25]);
```

```
, 9500 | 3 , 8500 | 3 , 2500 | 1 , 6000 | 1

, 6000 | 3 , 3000 | 3 , 1000 | 2

, 6000 | 3 , 4000 | 3

, 4000 | 4

, 15125 | 3 , 11875 | 3 , 9375 | 3 , 7875 | 1 , 15750 | 1

, 10500 | 3 , 7125 | 3 , 4375 | 3 , 2625 | 2

, 5375 | 3 , 2500 | 3 , 750 | 3

, 3500 | 5 , 1000 | 4

, 5000 | 5
```

1.3 Task 1.3

No, there is no greedy algorithm that produces an optimal solution for

$$A_0..A_n$$

matrixes, the reason for this is because there is no local greedy choice which will produce an optimal solution.

1.4 Task 2

Binary Knapsack problem: Given set with a fixed capacity and an assortment of items

$$I_0..I_n$$

where each item has a capacity cost and a value associated with it, find the highest valued subset V of I where the entire cost of each element must fit within the capacity of the subset, in addition the sum of all item's cost cannot exceed the capacity.

1.4.1 2.1

```
[4]: #[derive(Debug, Copy, Clone)]
struct ItemInt {
    capacity_cost: usize,
    value: usize,
}

fn knapsack(capacity: usize, items: &[ItemInt]) -> (usize, Vec<ItemInt>) {
    let item_count = items.len();
    let mut cost_table: Vec<Vec<(usize, Vec<ItemInt>)>> =
        vec![vec![(0, vec![]); capacity + 1]; item_count + 1];

    for item in 1..=item_count {
        for cap in 0..=capacity {
```

```
if items[item - 1].capacity_cost <= cap {</pre>
                let v_1 = cost_table[item - 1][cap].clone();
                let mut v_2 = cost_table[item - 1][cap - items[item - 1].
 ⇒capacity_cost].clone();
                cost_table[item][cap] = if v_1.0 > v_2.0 + items[item - 1].
 →value {
                    v_1
                } else {
                    v_2.0 += items[item - 1].value;
                    v_2.1.push(items[item - 1]);
                    v_2
            } else {
                cost_table[item] [cap] = cost_table[item - 1] [cap].clone();
            }
        }
    }
    // for i in cost_table.clone() {
    //
         println!("{:?}", i);
    // }
    cost_table[item_count][capacity].clone()
}
let items = vec![
    ItemInt {
        capacity_cost: 15,
        value: 20,
    },
    ItemInt {
        capacity_cost: 100,
        value: 20,
    },
    ItemInt {
        capacity_cost: 5,
        value: 200,
    },
    ItemInt {
        capacity_cost: 30,
        value: 20,
    },
    ItemInt {
        capacity_cost: 10,
```

```
value: 10,
    },
    ItemInt {
        capacity_cost: 20,
        value: 50,
    },
];
for i in 2..=4 {
    let capacity = i*20;
    let max_val = knapsack(capacity,&items);
    println!{"Capacity: {} gives a max value of: {:#?}",capacity, max_val}
}
Capacity: 40 gives a max value of: (
    270,
    ItemInt {
            capacity_cost: 15,
            value: 20,
        },
        ItemInt {
            capacity_cost: 5,
            value: 200,
        },
        ItemInt {
            capacity_cost: 20,
            value: 50,
        },
    ],
Capacity: 60 gives a max value of: (
    280,
    ItemInt {
            capacity_cost: 15,
            value: 20,
        },
        ItemInt {
            capacity_cost: 5,
            value: 200,
        },
        ItemInt {
            capacity_cost: 10,
            value: 10,
        },
        ItemInt {
            capacity_cost: 20,
```

```
value: 50,
            },
        ],
    Capacity: 80 gives a max value of: (
        300,
        ItemInt {
                 capacity_cost: 15,
                 value: 20,
            },
            ItemInt {
                 capacity_cost: 5,
                 value: 200,
            },
            ItemInt {
                 capacity_cost: 30,
                 value: 20,
            },
            ItemInt {
                 capacity_cost: 10,
                 value: 10,
            },
            ItemInt {
                 capacity_cost: 20,
                 value: 50,
            },
        ],
    )
[4]: ()
```

$1.4.2 \quad 2.2$

Fractional knapsack problem: Any fractional amount of an item can be included in \$ V \$.

```
[5]: #[derive(Debug, Copy, Clone)]
struct Item {
    capacity_cost: f32,
    value: f32,
}

impl Item {
    fn value_pr_cost(&self) -> f32 {
        self.value / self.capacity_cost
    }
}
```

```
type Amount = f32;
fn fractional_knapsack_greedy(mut capacity: f32, mut items: Vec<Item>) ->__

∨Vec<(Amount, Item)> {
    items.sort_by(|a, b| a.value_pr_cost().partial_cmp(&b.value_pr_cost()).

unwrap());
    items.reverse();
    let mut results = vec![];
    for i in items {
        let remaining_capacity = capacity - i.capacity_cost;
        if remaining_capacity <= 0. {</pre>
            results.push((capacity, i));
            break;
        }
        results.push((i.capacity_cost, i));
        capacity = remaining_capacity;
    }
   results
}
let items = vec![
    Item {
        capacity_cost: 20.,
        value: 20.,
    },
    Item {
        capacity_cost: 100.,
        value: 20.,
    },
    Item {
        capacity_cost: 20.,
        value: 200.,
    },
    Item {
        capacity_cost: 30.,
        value: 20.,
    },
    Item {
        capacity_cost: 20.,
        value: 10.,
    },
```

```
Item {
         capacity_cost: 20.,
        value: 50.,
    },
];
for i in 2..4 {
    let capacity = i as f32 *20.;
    let max_val = fractional_knapsack_greedy(capacity, items.clone());
    println!{"Capacity: {} gives a max value of: {:#?}",capacity, max_val}
}
Capacity: 40 gives a max value of: [
    (
        20.0,
        Item {
            capacity_cost: 20.0,
            value: 200.0,
        },
    ),
        20.0,
        Item {
            capacity_cost: 20.0,
            value: 50.0,
        },
    ),
Capacity: 60 gives a max value of: [
        20.0,
        Item {
            capacity_cost: 20.0,
            value: 200.0,
        },
    ),
        20.0,
        Item {
            capacity_cost: 20.0,
            value: 50.0,
        },
    ),
        20.0,
        Item {
            capacity_cost: 20.0,
            value: 20.0,
```

```
},
),
]
```

[5]: ()

1.5 Task 3.1

A greedy solution to find the fewest coins needed to achieve the amount N in a coin system where:

$$c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n$$

And

$$c_n \mod c_{n-1} = 0$$

Would be to the use the largest coins c_{n} where c_{n} where c_{n} we use as many coins as possible of the largest ones before moving on to the next smaller, and repeat until the remainder

$$R = c_x R/c_x$$

becomes 0.

1.6 Task 3.3

```
[6]: fn minimum_coins(
         remainder: usize,
         coins: &Vec<usize>,
         mem_table: &mut HashMap<usize, (usize, Vec<usize>)>,
     ) -> (usize, Vec<usize>) {
         if let Some(result) = mem_table.get(&remainder) {
             return result.clone();
         }
         if remainder == 0 {
             return (0, vec![0; coins.len()]);
         }
         let mut min_count = (usize::MAX, vec![0; coins.len()]);
         for i in 0..coins.len() {
             let coin = coins[i];
             if coin <= remainder {</pre>
                 let mut new_count = minimum_coins(remainder - coin, coins,__
      →mem_table);
                 new_count.0 += 1;
```

```
min_count = if min_count.0 < new_count.0 {</pre>
                min count
            } else {
                new_count.1[i] += 1;
                new_count
            }
        }
    }
    mem_table.insert(remainder, min_count.clone());
    min_count
}
let coins = vec![1, 5, 11];
let mut mem_table: HashMap<usize, (usize, Vec<usize>)> = HashMap::new();
let (val, choices) = minimum_coins(36, &coins, &mut mem_table);
println!("min coins: {:?}", val);
for (i, choice) in choices.iter().enumerate() {
    println!("Coin {}, amount: {}", coins[i], choice);
}
let (val, choices) = minimum_coins(111, &coins, &mut mem_table);
println!("min coins: {:?}", val);
for (i, choice) in choices.iter().enumerate() {
    println!("Coin {}, amount: {}", coins[i], choice);
}
```

```
min coins: 6
Coin 1, amount: 3
Coin 5, amount: 0
Coin 11, amount: 3
min coins: 11
Coin 1, amount: 1
Coin 5, amount: 0
Coin 11, amount: 10
[6]: ()
```

1.7 3.4

Yes the norwegian coin system is greedy because each smaller coin wholely divides all larger coins. Also can be proven by:

```
19 = 10+5+1+1+1+1

18 = 10+5+1+1+1

17 = 10+5+1+1

16 = 10+5+1

15 = 10+5

14 = 10+1+1+1+1
```

```
13 = 10+1+1+1

12 = 10+1+1

11 = 10+1

10 = 10

9 = 5+1+1+1+1

7 = 5+1+1

6 = 5+1

5 = 5

4 = 1+1+1+1

3 = 1+1+1

2 = 1+1

1 = 1
```

1.8 3.5

If N is the value we are looking to achieve, and M is the number of coins.

For the greedy proposition, the worst case running time would be the number of coins in the currency system O(M).

For the dynamic solution which works for any coin system that includes the smallest coin of 1 the running time would be $O(N \cdot M)$.