

# FINAL Study Guide

Andrew Reed  
Discrete Final

May 6, 2018

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>The Foundations: Logic and Proofs</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Propositional Logic . . . . .	2
1.2	Propositional Equivalences . . . . .	3

# 1 The Foundations: Logic and Proofs

## 1.1 Propositional Logic

Examples of a proposition:

$p(x) = x$  is a cat.

$q(x) = x$  has fur.

1. Negation,  $\neg p(x)$ , changing the statement to  $x$  is not a cat
2. Conjunction,  $p(x) \wedge q(x)$ , changing the statement to say  $x$  is a cat and it has fur.
3. Disjunction,  $p(x) \vee q(x)$ , changing the statement to say  $x$  is a cat and it does not have fur.
4. Exclusive Or,  $p(x) \oplus q(x)$ , where the statement is true only when exactly one of  $p(x)$  or  $q(x)$  is true, otherwise the statement is false.
5. Conditional Statement,  $p(x) \rightarrow q(x)$ , where the statement is read "If  $p$ , then  $q$ " the statement is false when  $p$  is true and  $q$  is false, otherwise the statement is true.
6. Bi-Conditional Statement,  $p(x) \leftrightarrow q(x)$ , where the statement is true if the values of  $p$  and  $q$  match. The statement is read as any of the following;
  - (a) "p if and only if q"
  - (b) "p is necessary and sufficient for q"
  - (c) "if p then q, and conversely"
  - (d) "p iff q"

Definitions

1. Hypothesis -  $p$  is considered the hypothesis in the statement  $p(x) \rightarrow q(x)$ .
2. Conclusion-  $q$  is considered the conclusion in the statement  $p(x) \rightarrow q(x)$ .
3. Bi-Implications - Another way to express "Bi-Conditional statements"

## 1.2 Propositional Equivalences

1. Logically Equivalent,  $p(x) \equiv q(x)$ , when two statements share the same truth values then the statement is said to be logically equivalent.

2. Identity Laws

$$p(x) \wedge T \equiv p(x)$$

$$p(x) \vee F \equiv p(x)$$

3. Domination Laws

$$p(x) \vee T \equiv T$$

$$p(x) \wedge F \equiv F$$

4. Idempotent Laws

$$p(x) \vee p(x) \equiv p(x)$$

$$p(x) \wedge p(x) \equiv p(x)$$

5. Double Negation Law

$$\neg(\neg p(x)) \equiv p(x)$$

6. Commutative Laws

$$p(x) \vee q(x) \equiv q(x) \vee p(x)$$

$$p(x) \wedge q(x) \equiv q(x) \wedge p(x)$$

7. Associative Laws

$$(p(x) \vee q(x)) \vee r(x) \equiv p(x) \vee (q(x) \vee r(x))$$

$$(p(x) \wedge q(x)) \wedge r(x) \equiv p(x) \wedge (q(x) \wedge r(x))$$

8. Distributive Laws

$$p(x) \vee (q(x) \wedge r(x)) \equiv (p(x) \vee q(x)) \wedge (p(x) \vee r(x))$$

$$p(x) \wedge (q(x) \vee r(x)) \equiv (p(x) \wedge q(x)) \vee (p(x) \wedge r(x))$$

9. De Morgan's Laws

$$\neg(p(x) \wedge q(x)) \equiv \neg p(x) \vee \neg q(x)$$

$$\neg(p(x) \vee q(x)) \equiv \neg p(x) \wedge \neg q(x)$$

10. Absorption Laws

$$p(x) \vee (p(x) \wedge q(x)) \equiv p(x)$$

$$p(x) \wedge (p(x) \vee q(x)) \equiv p(x)$$

11. Negation Laws

$$p(x) \vee \neg p(x) \equiv T$$

$$p(x) \wedge \neg p(x) \equiv F$$

## Definitions

1. Tautology - When all cases in the statement are determined to be true, it is said to be a tautology.
2. Contradiction- When all cases in the statement are determined to be false, it is said to be a contradiction.
3. Contingency - When the statement is neither a tautology, nor a contradiction then it is said to be a contingency.