FINAL Study Guide

Andrew Reed Discrete Final

$\mathrm{May}\ 6,\ 2018$

Contents

1	$Th\epsilon$	e Foundations: Logic and Proofs	2
	1.1	Propositional Logic	2
	1.2	Propositional Equivalences	
	1.3	Predicates and Quantifiers	4
	1.4	Rules of Inference (ROI)	4

1 The Foundations: Logic and Proofs

1.1 Propositional Logic

Examples of a proposition:

- p(x) = x is a cat. q(x) = x has fur.
- 1. Negation, $\neg p(x)$, changing the statement to x is not a cat
- 2. Conjunction, $p(x) \wedge q(x)$, changing the statement to say x is a cat and it has fur.
- 3. Disjunction, $p(x) \vee q(x)$, changing the statement to say x is a cat and it does not have fur
- 4. Exclusive Or, $p(x) \oplus q(x)$, where the statement is true only when exactly one of p(x) or q(x) is true, otherwise the statement is false.
- 5. <u>Conditional Statement</u>, $p(x) \to q(x)$, where the statement is read "If p, then q" the statement is false when p is true and q is false, otherwise the statement is true.
- 6. <u>Bi-Conditional Statement</u>, $p(x) \leftrightarrow q(x)$, where the statement is true if the values of p and q match. The statement is read as any of the following;
 - (a) "p if and only if q"
 - (b) "p is necessary and sufficient for q"
 - (c) "if p then q, and conversely"
 - (d) "p iff q"

Definitions

- 1. Hypothesis p is considered the hypothesis in the statement $p(x) \to q(x)$.
- 2. Conclusion q is considered the conclusion in the statement $p(x) \to q(x)$.
- 3. Bi-Implications Another way to express "Bi-Conditional statements"

1.2 Propositional Equivalences

- 1. Logically Equivalent, $p(x) \equiv q(x)$, when two statements share the same truth values then the statement is said to be logically equivalent.
- 2. Identity Laws

$$p(x) \wedge T \equiv p(x)$$

$$p(x) \vee F \equiv p(x)$$

3. Domination Laws

$$p(x)\vee T\equiv T$$

$$p(x) \wedge F \equiv F$$

4. Idempotent Laws

$$p(x) \lor p(x) \equiv p(x)$$

$$p(x) \wedge p(x) \equiv p(x)$$

5. Double Negation Law

$$\neg(\neg p(x)) \equiv p(x)$$

6. Commutative Laws

$$p(x) \lor q(x) \equiv q(x) \lor p(x)$$

$$p(x) \land q(x) \equiv q(x) \land p(x)$$

7. Associative Laws

$$(p(x) \lor q(x)) \lor r(x) \equiv p(x) \lor (q(x) \lor r(x))$$

$$(p(x) \wedge q(x)) \wedge r(x) \equiv p(x) \wedge (q(x) \wedge r(x))$$

8. Distributive Laws

$$p(x) \vee (q(x) \wedge r(x)) \equiv (p(x) \vee q(x)) \wedge (p(x) \vee r(x))$$

$$p(x) \wedge (q(x) \vee r(x)) \equiv (p(x) \wedge q(x)) \vee (p(x) \wedge r(x))$$

9. De Morgan's Laws

$$\neg \left(p(x) \land q(x) \right) \equiv \neg p(x) \lor \neg q(x)$$

$$\neg \left(p(x) \vee q(x) \right) \equiv \neg p(x) \wedge \neg q(x)$$

10. Absorption Laws

$$p(x) \vee (p(x) \wedge q(x)) \equiv p(x)$$

$$p(x) \land (p(x) \lor q(x)) \equiv p(x)$$

11. Negation Laws

$$p(x) \vee \neg p(x) \equiv T$$

$$p(x) \land \neg p(x) \equiv F$$

Definitions

- 1. <u>Tautology</u> When all cases in the statement are determined to be true, it is said to be a tautology.
- 2. <u>Contradiction</u>- When all cases in the statement are determined to be false, it is said to be a contradiction.
- 3. Contingency When the statement is neither a tautology, nor a contradiction then it is said to be a contingency.

1.3 Predicates and Quantifiers

- 1. Universal Quantification, $\forall p(x)$ read as, "For all x, p(x)."
- 2. Existential Quantification, $\exists p(x)$ read as, "There exists an element x, that p(x)."
- 3. De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers:

$$\neg \forall p(x) \equiv \exists \neg p(x)$$

$$\neg \exists p(x) \equiv \forall \neg p(x)$$

Definitions

- 1. Quantification Used to express the extent that a predicate is true. In English, the words; all, some, many, none, and few are used in quantifications.
- 2. Counterexample When there is a value, x in which $\forall p(x)$ is false, then that value of x is called a counterexample of $\forall p(x)$.

1.4 Rules of Inference (ROI)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Hypothetical Syllogism}} & \underline{\text{Disjunctive Syllogism}} & p \to q & p \lor q \\ \underline{q \to r} & \underline{p \to q} & \underline{p \to q} & \underline{p \to q} \\ \underline{h \to q} & \underline{p \to q} & \underline{p \to q} & \underline{p \to q} \\ \underline{h \to q} & \underline{h \to q} & \underline{h \to q} & \underline{h \to q} & \underline{h \to q} \\ \underline{h \to q} & \underline{h \to q} \\ \underline{h \to q} & \underline{h \to q} \\ \underline{h \to q} & \underline{$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \underline{\text{Universal Generalization}} & \underline{\text{Existential Instantiation}} \\ p(c) \text{ for an arbitrary c} & \exists p(x) \\ \hline \vdots \forall p(x) & \vdots p(c) \text{ for some element c} \\ \end{array}$$

Existential Generalization
$$p(c)$$
 for some element c $\therefore \exists p(x)$

Definitions

- 1. Argument Sequence of propositions.
- 2. Premises All propositions in the argument with the exclusion of the conclusion
- 3. Conclusion The final proposition in the argument.
- 4. <u>Argument Form</u> A sequence of compound propositions involving propositional variables.
- 5. <u>Valid</u> A form that makes it impossible for the premises to be true and the conclusion nevertheless to be false.

5