

2.3 Properties of the Least-Square Estimators

Recall that in MLR the LS estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is given by

$$\hat{\beta} = (\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p)^T = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

Based on the model assumptions, *conditionally on \mathbf{X}* , the vector $\hat{\beta}$ is a random vector, since it is a function of \mathbf{y} (which is random). To design hypothesis tests for the model parameters, we need to understand distribution of $\hat{\beta}$.

2.3.1 Mean & Covariance of $\hat{\beta}$

Recall that our model assumptions are

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \varepsilon,$$

with $E(\varepsilon) = \mathbf{0}$, and $Cov(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n$.

These assumptions imply that the response \mathbf{y} has mean and variance equal to:

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\beta, \quad Cov(\mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n$$

Proposition

The LS estimators $\hat{\beta}$ are *unbiased*.

Proof

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\beta}) &= E\left((\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}\right) = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T E(\mathbf{y}) \\ &= \underbrace{(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}}_{=\mathbf{I}} \beta = \beta \end{aligned}$$

■

Proposition

The Variance-Covariance matrix of $\hat{\beta}$ is equal to

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

Proof

We can directly compute the covariance matrix of $\hat{\beta}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}) &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \text{Cov}(\mathbf{y}) (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \sigma^2 \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 \underbrace{(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}}_{=\mathbf{I}} \\ &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

■

2.3.2 Properties of $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ and \mathbf{r}

Using the previous results, we can also show the following properties for the fitted values $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ and the residuals \mathbf{r} :

1. $E(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) = \mathbf{X}\beta$
2. $\text{Cov}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{H}$

$$3. E(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$4. Cov(\mathbf{r}) = \sigma^2(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H})$$

$$5. E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{1}{n-p} E(\mathbf{r}^T \mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{n-p} \sigma^2(n-p) = \sigma^2$$

Proposition If we assume that the error terms are normally distributed, then we have that

$$\frac{\mathbf{r}^T \mathbf{r}}{\sigma^2} = \frac{RSS}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-p}^2$$

The (i, j) element of covariance matrix we computed for the vector of β coefficients corresponds to the covariance term $Cov(x_i, x_j)$. So, if we want to extract the variance of β_i , then this will be the term (i, i) element of the matrix, i.e. $((\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1})_{ii}$.

Note that $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ are *unbiased* estimators of β and σ^2 respectively, so we can plug-in the variance estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2$ to get an estimator for the covariance of $\hat{\beta}$.

Standard Error of $\hat{\beta}_1$ The standard errors of the $\hat{\beta}_i$ are the square roots of the elements of the diagonal of the covariance matrix $Cov(\hat{\beta}) = \hat{\sigma}^2(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$, namely

$$se(\hat{\beta}_i) = \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{((\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1})_{ii}}$$

2.3.3 The Gauss Markov Theorem

The main reason why we use LS estimation is because of the Gauss-Markov theorem. If the errors are uncorrelated, have equal variance and mean equal to zero, the LS estimators have the lowest variance within the class of linear estimators.

Let's consider a more general case. Suppose we are interested in estimating a linear combination of β of the form:

$$\theta = \mathbf{c}^T \beta = \sum_{j=1}^p c_j \beta_j,$$

where c_j are real numbers. For example, estimating any element of β or estimating the mean response at a new value x^* are all *special cases of this setup*.

Naturally, we obtain an estimate of θ by plugging in the LS estimate $\hat{\beta}$ in the equation for θ , i.e.

$$\hat{\theta}_{LS} = \mathbf{c}^T \hat{\beta} = \mathbf{c}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

This is still a linear⁹ and unbiased estimator of θ with a mean square error that computes as

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_{LS}) = E(\hat{\theta}_{LS} - \theta)^2 = Var(\hat{\theta}_{LS})$$

Now, assume that there is another estimate of θ , which is also linear and unbiased. The following *Theorem* states that $\hat{\theta}_{LS}$ is **always** better in the sense that its MSE is always smaller (or at least, not bigger).

The Gauss-Markov Theorem

Let $\hat{\theta}$ be the least-squares estimate of $\theta = \mathbf{X}\beta$, where $\theta \in \Omega = C(\mathbf{X})$ and \mathbf{X} may not have full rank. Then among the class of unbiased estimates of $\mathbf{c}^T \theta$, $\mathbf{c}^T \hat{\theta}$ is the unique estimate with minimum variance. We say that $\mathbf{c}^T \hat{\theta}$ is the **best linear unbiased estimate (BLUE)** of $\mathbf{c}^T \theta$.

Proof

We know that $\hat{\theta} = \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Y}$, where $\mathbf{H}\theta = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{X}\beta = \mathbf{X}\beta = \theta$. Hence, $E(\mathbf{c}^T \hat{\theta}) = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{H}\theta = \mathbf{c}^T \theta$, for all $\theta \in \Omega$, which means that $\mathbf{c}^T \hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\mathbf{c}^T \theta$.

Then, $\mathbf{c}^T \theta = E(\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{d}^T \theta$ or $(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{d})^T \theta = 0$, so that $(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{d})$ is orthogonal to Ω . Therefore, $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{d}) = 0$ and $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{d}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}(\mathbf{c}^T \hat{\theta}) &= \text{Var}\left((\mathbf{Hc})^T \mathbf{Y}\right) \\
 &= \text{Var}\left((\mathbf{Hd})^T \mathbf{Y}\right) \\
 &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{d} \\
 &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{H}^2 \mathbf{d} \\
 &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{d}
 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}(\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{Y}) - \text{Var}(\mathbf{c}^T \hat{\theta}) &= \text{Var}(\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{Y}) - \text{Var}((\mathbf{Hd})^T \mathbf{Y}) \\
 &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{d}) \\
 &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{d}^T (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{d} \\
 &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{d}^T (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H})^T \underbrace{(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{d}}_{:= \mathbf{d}_1} \\
 &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{d}_1^T \mathbf{d}_1 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

with equality only if $(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{d} = 0$ or $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{Hd} = \mathbf{Hc}$. Hence, $\mathbf{c}^T \hat{\theta}$ has minimum variance and is unique. ■

Corollary

If \mathbf{X} has full rank, then $\mathbf{a}^T \hat{\beta}$ is the BLUE of $\mathbf{a}^T \beta$ for every vector \mathbf{a} .

Proof

Now $\theta = \mathbf{X}\beta$ implies that $\beta = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \theta$ and $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \hat{\theta}$. Hence, setting $\mathbf{c}^T = \mathbf{a}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T$ we have that $\mathbf{a}^T \hat{\beta} (= \mathbf{c}^T \hat{\theta})$ is the BLUE of $\mathbf{a}^T \beta (= \mathbf{c}^T \theta)$ for every vector \mathbf{a} . ■

Theorem (Unbiased Estimator of σ^2)

If $E(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{X}\beta$, where \mathbf{X} is an $n \times p$ matrix of rank r ($r \leq p$), and $Var(\mathbf{Y}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n$, then

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{(\mathbf{Y} - \hat{\theta})^T (\mathbf{Y} - \hat{\theta})}{n - r} = \frac{RSS}{n - r}$$

is an unbiased estimate of σ^2 .

Proof

Consider the full-rank representation $\theta = \mathbf{X}_1 \alpha$, where \mathbf{X}_1 is $n \times r$ of rank r . Then,

$$\mathbf{Y} - \hat{\theta} = (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{Y},$$

where $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}_1(\mathbf{X}_1^T \mathbf{X}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_1^T$. Using the properties of the Hat matrix we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (n - r)\hat{\sigma}^2 &= \mathbf{Y}^T (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H})^T (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{Y} \\ &= \mathbf{Y}^T (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H})^2 \mathbf{Y} \\ &= \mathbf{Y}^T (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{Y} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{H}\theta = \theta$, we have

$$E(\mathbf{Y}^T (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{Y}) = \sigma^2 \text{tr}(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}) + \theta^T (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}) \theta = \sigma^2(n - r)$$

and hence $E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \sigma^2$.

■

2.3.4 Maximum Likelihood Estimation

In this section we derive the Maximum Likelihood estimators for the regression model parameters, namely β and σ . In order to write the likelihood, we need to assume a distribution for the error terms and as a result the responses. So, we assume that

$$\mathbf{y} \sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}),$$

where *MVN* stand for Multivariate Normal distribution.

Assuming normality, the likelihood function $L(\beta, \sigma^2)$ for the *full rank regression model* is the probability density of \mathbf{Y} , namely

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta \|^2 \right\}$$

Taking the logarithm of the likelihood, we have (ignoring constants)

$$\ell(\beta, \sigma^2) = \log L(\beta, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta \|^2$$

To compute the Maximum Likelihood estimators, we take derivatives with respect to β and σ^2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (-2\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} + 2\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \beta) \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta \|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} = 0,$$

we get the estimator of β

$$\hat{\beta}_{ML} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

which is the same as the Least Squares estimator $\hat{\beta}_{LS}$.

$\hat{\beta}_{ML}$ clearly maximizes $\ell(\beta, \sigma^2)$ for any $\sigma^2 > 0$. Hence,

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2) \leq L(\hat{\beta}_{ML}, \sigma^2)$$

for all $\sigma^2 > 0$ with equality **if and only if** $\beta = \hat{\beta}$.

We now wish to maximize $L(\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2)$, or equivalently $\ell(\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2)$ with respect to σ^2 .

Setting

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma^2} = 0,$$

we get a *stationary* value of

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 = \frac{||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}_{ML}||^2}{n}.$$

Then,

$$\ell(\hat{\beta}_{ML}, \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2) - \ell(\hat{\beta}_{ML}, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \left(\log \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2}{\sigma^2} \right) + 1 - \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \geq 0$$

since $x \leq e^{x-1}$ and therefore $\log x \leq x - 1$ for $x \geq 0$ (with equality when $x = 1$).

This implies that

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2) \leq L(\hat{\beta}_{ML}, \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2), \text{ for all } \sigma^2 > 0$$

with equality **if and only if** $\beta = \hat{\beta}_{ML}$ and $\sigma^2 = \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2$.

Thus, $\hat{\beta}_{ML}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2$ are the **maximum likelihood estimators** of β and σ^2 and the maximum value of the likelihood is computed as

$$L(\hat{\beta}_{ML}, \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2) = (2\pi\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2)^{-n/2} e^{-n/2}.$$

2.3.5 Distribution of the Least-Squares estimates

Recall the assumption for the Normal Linear regression model:

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathbf{N}_n(\mathbf{X}\beta, \sigma^2\mathbf{I})$$

Any affine transformation of \mathbf{y} will also have a Normal distribution. In fact, we can show that the elements of \mathbf{y} are **jointly** Normal. Therefore, always conditional on \mathbf{X} , we can show that

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} \sim \mathbf{N}_p(\beta, \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1})$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{y} \sim \mathbf{N}_n(\mathbf{X}\beta, \sigma^2 \mathbf{H})$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} = (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{y} \sim \mathbf{N}_n(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}))$$

Indeed, for the **fitted values** $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ and the estimated **residuals** \mathbf{r} we can calculate the mean and covariance matrices as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) &= \mathbf{H} E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X} \beta = \mathbf{X} \beta \\ \text{Cov}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) &= \mathbf{H} \sigma^2 \mathbf{H}^T = \sigma^2 \mathbf{H} \\ E(\mathbf{r}) &= (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{X} \beta = \mathbf{0} \\ \text{Cov}(\mathbf{r}) &= (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}) \sigma^2 (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H})^T = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}) \end{aligned}$$

Residuals' Properties

Although \mathbf{r} is a vector of dimension n , it always lies in a subspace of dimension $(n - p)$ (the error space). In fact, \mathbf{r} behaves like a random vector with a distribution

$$\mathbf{r} \sim \mathbf{N}_{n-p}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_{n-p})$$

Therefore, it can be shown that

Proposition

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{||\mathbf{r}||^2}{n-p} \sim \sigma^2 \frac{\chi_{n-p}^2}{n-p}$$

In addition, $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ and \mathbf{r} are uncorrelated since they are in orthogonal spaces. Since they also have a joint normal distribution, they are independent¹⁰.

