

COMPSCI 230 MIDTERM 1 REFERENCE SHEET

proposition: statement that is either true or false

- propositions can be combined using logical operators (and = \wedge)

truth table: possibilities for compound propositions

implication: $P \rightarrow Q \leftrightarrow \neg P \vee Q$

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

De Morgan's laws

- $\neg(P \wedge Q) = \neg P \vee \neg Q \rightarrow \text{not}(P \text{ or } Q) = \text{neither}$
- $\neg(P \vee Q) = \neg P \wedge \neg Q \rightarrow \text{not}(P \text{ and } Q) = \text{either}$

distributive law

- $P \wedge (Q \vee R) = (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$
- $P \vee (Q \wedge R) = (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$

valid: always true ($P \vee \neg P$)

satisfiable: not always false ($P \neg Q$, but not $P \wedge \neg Q$)

predicate: proposition quantified by a variable

domain: set from which variables are drawn

quantifier negation

- $\neg(\forall x P(x)) \equiv \exists x \neg(P(x))$
- $\neg(\exists x P(x)) \equiv \forall x \neg(P(x))$

inference

- start with a set of valid propositions/predicates, write conclusions (other statements that are also valid/always true)

rules

- modus ponens: $P, P \rightarrow Q, \therefore Q$
 - $P \wedge (P \rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow Q$
- modus tollens: $\neg Q, P \rightarrow Q, \therefore \neg P$
 - if $P = T$, then since $P \rightarrow Q, Q = T$ but $Q = F, \therefore P$ must be F
- $P \rightarrow Q, Q \rightarrow R, \therefore P \rightarrow R$
- $P \wedge Q, \therefore P$
- $P \vee Q, \neg P, \therefore Q$
- $P, Q, P \wedge Q$

model: assignment of meaning to predicates

- inference is valid if it's T regardless of model
- inference is invalid if there is a model where preconditions are T but conclusion is F

axiom: a set of propositions we believe hold true

theorem: another true statement deduced from axioms with rules of inference

proof strategies

- direct proofs
- cases
- contrapositive (prove the contrapositive for $P \rightarrow Q$)
 - contrapositive: $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$
- contradiction (suppose the statement is false, go until contradicts)
- well ordering
 - WOP: any set of positive integers has a smallest element
 - assume min counterexample, show smaller counterexample
- note: iff \leftrightarrow
 - to show $P \leftrightarrow Q$, show $P \rightarrow Q, Q \rightarrow P$
 - to show $P \leftrightarrow Q \leftrightarrow R$, show $P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow R \rightarrow P$

induction

- base case: show $P(1)$ is true
- inductive hypothesis: assume statement true
- inductive step: show $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$ for all $n \geq 1$

strong induction: use truth of all $P(1), P(2) \dots P(n)$ to show truth of $P(n+1)$

Let P be a predicate on nonnegative integers. If

- $P(0)$ is true, and
- for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, P(0), P(1), \dots, P(n)$ together imply $P(n+1)$,
- then $P(m)$ is true for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.