

1. Use reduction to prove that the language $L = \{\rho(M_1)\rho(M_2) : L(M_1) \cup L(M_2) = \Sigma^*\}$ is not decidable (you may assume that M_1 and M_2 have the same input alphabet Σ).

We can reduce the Halting Problem to L by doing the following. Construct M_1 such that $L(M_1)$ accepts the input if M halts on w while M_2 is constructed such that $L(M_2)$ accepts all inputs.

If M halts on w , then $\rho(M_1)\rho(M_2) \in L$ since $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2) = \Sigma^*$. If M doesn't halt, then $\rho(M_1)\rho(M_2) \notin L$ since $L(M_1) \cup L(M_2) \neq \Sigma^*$.

This shows a potential way for L to be decided. However, this is a contradiction since we can reduce the Halting Problem to L , which means L is not decidable.

2. Define an unrestricted grammar for the language $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

$$G = (\{[,], S, A, L, R, X\}, \{a\}, S, P)$$

$$P = \{S \rightarrow [AL],$$

$$aL \rightarrow La,$$

$$AL \rightarrow LA,$$

$$[L \rightarrow [R,$$

$$L] \rightarrow X,$$

$$Ra \rightarrow aR,$$

$$RA \rightarrow aAR,$$

$$R] \rightarrow AAL],$$

$$aX \rightarrow Xa,$$

$$AX \rightarrow Xa,$$

$$[X \rightarrow \epsilon\}$$