

## Lecture 7

Monday, September 25, 2023 10:08 AM

he's adopting the policy that our final exam score can replace a midterm (if final exam > midterm)

Tally of "backwards search" (started halfway through)

|||

Theorem There exists a positive real number  $x$  such that  $x^2 = 2$ .

Let  $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq s, s^2 < 2\}$

$x = \sup S$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}$  by the Completeness Property

Show that  $x^2 = 2$  by ruling out  $x^2 < 2$  and  $x^2 > 2$

$x^2 < 2$  (Find something  $\in S$  that is  $> 0$ )

$$(x + \frac{1}{n})^2 < 2$$

Factor out  $(x + \frac{1}{n})^2$

$$x^2 + \frac{2x}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} < 2$$

Subtract  $x^2$  and then pull out  $\frac{1}{n}$

$$\frac{1}{n}(2x + \frac{1}{n}) < 2 - x^2$$

Solve for  $\frac{1}{n}$

$$\frac{1}{n} < \frac{2-x^2}{2x+\frac{1}{n}} < \frac{2-x^2}{2x+1}$$

Solve for  $n$

$$\Rightarrow n > \frac{2x+1}{2-x^2}$$

he keeps saying  
backwards search

By the Archimedean Property, for  $\frac{2x+1}{2-x^2} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$n > \frac{2x+1}{2-x^2}$ . Rearranging this gives

$$\frac{2x+1}{n} < 2 - x^2$$

$$\frac{2x}{n} + \frac{1}{n} < 2 - x^2$$

Since  $\frac{1}{n^2} < \frac{1}{n}$ , we have that  $\frac{2x}{n} + \frac{1}{n} < \frac{2x}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2}$ .

So,  $x^2 + \frac{2x}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} < 2$ , or  $(x + \frac{1}{n})^2 < 2$ .

So,  $x + \frac{1}{n} \in S$ , so  $x$  is not the supremum.

$x^2 > 2$

Want to show  $(x - \frac{1}{n^2}) > 2 \Rightarrow x - \frac{1}{n}$  is an upper bound

For  $x^2 > 2$ , by the Archimedean Property, for  $\frac{2x}{x^2-2} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$

such that  $n > \frac{2x}{x^2-2}$ , then  $x^2-2 > \frac{2x}{n}$ , so  $x^2-2 > \frac{2x}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2}$ ,

or  $x^2 - \frac{2x}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} > 2$ , then  $(x - \frac{1}{n})^2 > 2$ . So,  $x - \frac{1}{n}$  is an

upper bound of  $S$ . Contradiction!

So,  $x^2 = 2$ . Since  $x \geq 1$  ( $1 \in S$ ),  $x$  is positive.

Let  $x_1, x_2$  be two positive solutions to  $x^2 = 2$ .

$$x_1^2 = x_2^2 \Rightarrow (x_1 - x_2)(x_1 + x_2) = 0$$

Since  $x_1 + x_2 > 0$ ,  $x_1 - x_2$  must be 0

So,  $x_1 = x_2$ .

□

The Density Theorem If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x < y$ , then there exists a rational number  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x < r < y$ .

Notes

$$\text{Let } r = \frac{m}{n}. \quad x < \frac{m}{n} < y$$

$$nx < m < ny$$

If  $ny - nx > 1$ ,  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$nx \leq k \leq ny$$

Need to show  $ny > k+1$  (use Kang's favorite technique)

Suppose  $ny \leq k+1$ . Then  $k \leq nx < ny \leq k+1$ , which

implies that  $ny - nx \leq 1$ . Contradiction!

pigeonage ??!?

Proof Since  $y-x > 0$ , then by the Archimedean Property, there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > \frac{1}{y-x}$ , or  $ny - nx > 1$ .

Now we want to show that there exists an  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $nx < m < ny$ . By the last corollary of the Archimedean Property, for  $nx \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $k \leq nx < k+1$ .

Suppose on the contrary that  $k+1 \geq ny$ . Then  $k < nx < ny \leq k+1$ , then  $ny - nx \leq 1$ , which contradicts  $ny - nx > 1$ .

So,  $nx < k+1 < ny$ , then  $m = k+1$  and  $r = \frac{m}{n}$  exists.  $\square$

\* I lost track with his conclusion  
so this could be total BS

Corollary If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x < y$ , then there exists an irrational number  $z$  such that  $x < z < y$ .

Notes

Proof we use a known irrational,  $\sqrt{2}$ :

$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}} < \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}}, \text{ then } \exists r \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ st.}$$

$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}} < r < \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}} \Rightarrow x < r\sqrt{2} < y$$

Then we prove that  $r\sqrt{2}$  is irrational by contradiction:

Assume  $r\sqrt{2}$  is rational. Then  $r\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$ ,  $r = \frac{p}{q}$  ( $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ),

so:

$$\frac{p}{q}\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{mq}{np} = \sqrt{2}$$

So,  $r\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.  $\square$

We don't know much about the irrationals, just that they are not rational

## Section 2.5 Intervals

open	closed			
$(a, b)$	$[a, b]$	$[a, b)$	$(a, b]$	← fully bounded
$[a, \infty)$	$(a, \infty)$	$(-\infty, b]$	$(-\infty, b)$	← only bounded above or below
			$(-\infty, \infty)$	

$$[a, b] = [a, \infty) \cap (-\infty, b]$$

The Characterization Theorem If  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  contains at least two points and has the following property:  
 If  $x, y \in S$  and  $x < y$ , then  $[x, y] \subseteq S$   
 then  $S$  is an interval.

Proof There are 4 cases

Case 1 -  $S$  is bounded

Let  $a = \inf S$  and  $b = \sup S$ . We show that  $(a, b) \subseteq S$  (Note that  $S \subseteq [a, b]$ )

Let  $z \in (a, b)$ , then  $z > a$  and  $z < b$ . Since  $z < a$ , then  $z$  is not a lower bound of  $S$ , so there exists some  $s \in S$  such that  $s < z$ . Since  $z < b$ , then  $z$  is not an upper bound of  $S$ , so there exists some  $c \in S$  such that  $z < c$ .

So we have  $s < z < c$ , where  $s, c \in S$ , so  $[s, c] \subseteq S$ .

So,  $S$  has to be an interval on one of the four cases.

\* The other cases are:

- ii)  $S$  is bounded above but not below
- iii)  $S$  is bounded below but not above
- iv)  $S$  is unbounded

Def We say a sequence of naturals  $\{I_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is nested if

$$I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_n \supseteq I_{n+1} \supseteq \dots$$

What can we say about  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ ?

Ex  $I_n = [0, \frac{1}{n}]$

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \{0\}$$

$$x > 0 \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$$

$$x \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$x$  is a lower bound of  $\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Sir can you move

$$x \leq \inf\{\frac{1}{n}\} = 0$$

$$I_n = [0, \frac{1}{n}]$$

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \emptyset$$

$$I_n = [n, \infty)$$

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \emptyset$$