

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia		NDS 114, 1189 (2013)	1-Apr-2013

$Q(\beta^-)=1831.8$ 20; $S(n)=8503.4$ 20; $S(p)=16790$ 4; $Q(\alpha)=-11492.0$ 21 [2012Wa38](#)

^{28}Mg production cross sections are reported in:

[2012Zh06](#): $^9\text{Be}(^{40}\text{Ar},X)$, $E=57$ MeV/nucleon.
[2011Ti03](#): $^{\text{nat}}\text{Cr}(p,X)$, $E=248$ to 2605 MeV; $^{56}\text{Fe}(p,X)$, $E=249$ to 2605 MeV.
[2011Ti04](#): $^{\text{nat}}\text{Ni}(p,X)$, $E=599$ to 2605 MeV $^{93}\text{Nb}(p,X)$, $E=1599$ - and 2605 MeV.
[2011Ti05](#): $^{\text{nat}}\text{W}(p,X)$, $E=1199$ -, 1599 -, 2605 -MeV $^{\text{nat}}\text{Ta}(p,X)$, $E=1199$ -, 1598 -, 2605 -MeV.
[2008Ti05](#): $^{56}\text{Fe}(p,X)$, $E=300$ to 2600 MeV.
[2007No13](#): $^9\text{Be}(^{40}\text{Ar},X)$, $E=90A$ MeV, and $^{181}\text{Ta}(^{40}\text{Ar},X)$, $E=94A$ MeV.
[2003Ya20](#): $\text{Cu}(^{40}\text{Ar},X)$, $\text{Cu}(^{20}\text{Ne},X)$, $E=100$ and 230 MeV/nucleon.
[2000Da06](#): $^{124}\text{Sn}(p,X)$, $E=8.1$ GeV.
[2000Ka25](#): $^{232}\text{Th}(\gamma,F)^{28}\text{Mg}$, $E=12^-$, 16.5 -, 24 -MeV bremsstrahlung. Other: [2000Ma75](#).
[1997Fo01](#): $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{37}\text{Cl},X)$, $E=230$ MeV.
[1997Vo03](#): $^{56}\text{Fe}(p,X)$, $E=800$ MeV.

[2006Kh08](#): ^{28}Mg beam, 55.93 MeV/nucleon, bombarded a Si target, measured $\sigma=2069$ mb *186* for $\text{Si}(^{28}\text{Ne},X)$ reaction and a square reduced absorption radius $r_0^2=1.11$ fm² *10* is deduced and used to study the isospin dependence.

 ^{28}Mg LevelsCross Reference (XREF) Flags

A	$^{28}\text{Na} \beta^-$ decay	D	Coulomb excitation
B	$^{29}\text{Na} \beta^-n$ decay	E	$^{150}\text{Nd}(^{26}\text{Mg}, ^{28}\text{Mg})$
C	$^{26}\text{Mg}(t,p\gamma)$		

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2} [@]	XREF	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	20.915 h 9	ABCDE	$\% \beta^- = 100$ $\delta \langle r^2 \rangle(^{26}\text{Mg}, ^{28}\text{Mg}) = +0.216$ fm ² 9 (statistical) 27 (systematic) (2012Yo01). Charge radius $\langle r^2 \rangle^{1/2} = 3.0695$ fm <i>14</i> (statistical) <i>51</i> (systematic) (2012Yo01). T _{1/2} : from 1991Ko34 . Other values: 20.88 h 6 (1963We19) and 20.93 h 4 (1974Ro18). T _{1/2} : Other: 0.93 ps <i>15</i> (Coulomb excitation).
1473.54 <i>10</i>	2 ⁺	1.2 ps <i>1</i>	ABCDE	J ^π : From $^{28}\text{Na} \beta^-$ decay.
3862.15 <i>14</i>	0 ⁺	0.55 ps 7	ABC	
4021.0 5	4 ⁺	105 fs 35	A C E	
4554.6 5	2 ⁺	<0.03 ps	ABC	J ^π : 1974Ra15 (t,pγ) presents J ^π =1 ⁻ in the decay scheme, however, from $^{28}\text{Na} \beta^-$ decay, 1984Gu19 assigns J ^π =1 ⁺ .
4561.0 5	1 ⁺		ABC	
4878.6 <i>13</i>	2 ⁺	<0.08 ps	A C	
5171.3 4	3 ⁻	0.11 ps 9	A C E	J ^π : 1974Ra15 (t,pγ) presents J ^π =1 ⁻ in the decay scheme, however, from $^{28}\text{Na} \beta^-$ decay, 1984Gu19 assigns J ^π =1 ⁺ .
5184.6 7			C	
5193.1 5	1	<0.02 ps	A C	
5270.2 4	1 ⁺	<0.1 ps	A C	J ^π : 1974Ra15 (t,pγ) presents J ^π =1 ⁻ in the decay scheme, however, from $^{28}\text{Na} \beta^-$ decay, 1984Gu19 assigns J ^π =1 ⁺ .
5470.1 5	2		A C	
5672.7 5	2 ⁺		C	
5702.1 7	0 ⁺	0.21 ps 3	C	J ^π : 1974Ra15 (t,pγ) presents J ^π =1 ⁻ in the decay scheme, however, from $^{28}\text{Na} \beta^-$ decay, 1984Gu19 assigns J ^π =1 ⁺ .
5916.9 <i>11</i>	(0,1,2) ^{+#}		A C	
6135 <i>15</i>			C	
6416 <i>15</i>			C	J ^π : 1974Ra15 (t,pγ) presents J ^π =1 ⁻ in the decay scheme, however, from $^{28}\text{Na} \beta^-$ decay, 1984Gu19 assigns J ^π =1 ⁺ .
6516 <i>15</i>			C	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) ^{28}Mg Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	XREF	Comments
6544.9 5	(2 ⁺) [#]	A C	
6599 15		C	
6708 15		C	
6759 15		C	
7200.9 7	(0,1,2) ^{+ #}	A	
7462.0 4	(2 ⁺) [#]	A	
8439.4? 11	(6 ⁺)	E	J^π : 4418 γ to 4 ⁺ state.

[†] From a least-squares fit to measured γ -ray energies. $\Delta E=1$ keV is assumed for 4418 γ and used in the fitting. Calculated γ -ray energies are obtained after the fitting.

[‡] From L values in $^{26}\text{Mg}(t, p\gamma)$, except otherwise noted.

[#] From $^{28}\text{Na } \beta^-$ decay, based on the angular distribution measurements of β and γ -ray emissions.

@ From $^{26}\text{Mg}(t, p\gamma)$, except otherwise noted.

 $\gamma(^{28}\text{Mg})$

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ [†]	I_γ [†]	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	δ [†]	Comments
1473.54	2 ⁺	1473.5 [‡] 1	100	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		B(E2)(W.u.)=13.4 12
3862.15	0 ⁺	2388.5 1	100	1473.54	2 ⁺	E2		B(E2)(W.u.)=2.6 4 E_γ : Weighted average of data from 2012Ku11, 1984Gu19 ($^{28}\text{Na } \beta^-$ decay), $^{29}\text{Na } \beta^-$ -n decay and $^{26}\text{Mg}(t, p\gamma)$.
4021.0	4 ⁺	2547.7 6	100	1473.54	2 ⁺	(E2)		B(E2)(W.u.)=10 4 E_γ : Weighted average of data from 2012Ku11 ($^{28}\text{Na } \beta^-$ decay) and $^{26}\text{Mg}(t, p\gamma)$.
4554.6	2 ⁺	533.6 692.4 3082.6 13	<2 <2 100	4021.0 3862.15 1473.54	4 ⁺ 0 ⁺ 2 ⁺	M1+E2	+0.04 3	B(M1)(W.u.)>0.024 E_γ : Using the Limitation of Relative Statistical Weight (LWM) averaging method of data 3081.3 keV 3 (2012Ku11), 3087.4 keV 9 (1984Gu19) of $^{28}\text{Na } \beta^-$ decay, 3083.4 keV 7 (t, p γ), and 3080.9 keV 10 ($^{29}\text{Na } \beta^-$ -n decay).
4561.0	1 ⁺	4553.8 3087.3 5	<3 100	0.0 1473.54	0 ⁺ 2 ⁺			E_γ : Weighted average of data from 2012Ku11, 1984Gu19 in $^{28}\text{Na } \beta^-$ decay and $^{29}\text{Na } \beta^-$ -n decay.
4878.6	2 ⁺	324 857.6 1016.4 3404.9 [‡] 13	<2.5 <2.5 <4 100 4	4554.6 4021.0 3862.15 1473.54	2 ⁺ 4 ⁺ 0 ⁺ 2 ⁺	M1+E2	+0.35 6	B(M1)(W.u.)>0.0046; B(E2)(W.u.)>0.18
5171.3	3 ⁻	4877 10 292.7 616.7 1150.5 4	25 4 <1 3 1 38 2	0.0 4878.6 4554.6 4021.0	0 ⁺ 2 ⁺ 2 ⁺ 4 ⁺	E2 (E1)		B(E2)(W.u.)>0.097 B(E1)(W.u.)=0.0012 10 E_γ : Weighted average of 1150.3 keV 4 (t, p γ) and 1151.6 keV 11 (2012Ku11 - $^{28}\text{Na } \beta^-$ decay).
		3696.8 6	100 2	1473.54	2 ⁺	(E1)		B(E1)(W.u.)=9.E-5 8 E_γ : Weighted average of 3697.5 keV 7 (t, p γ) and 3694.2 keV 13 (2012Ku11 - $^{28}\text{Na } \beta^-$ decay).
5193.1	1	314.5	<1	4878.6	2 ⁺			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

$\gamma(^{28}\text{Mg})$ (continued)								
$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\dagger	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	δ^\dagger	Comments
5193.1	1	638.5	<1.1	4554.6	2 ⁺			
		1330.9	2.9 6	3862.15	0 ⁺			
		3719	11.4 11	1473.54	2 ⁺			
		5192.6 [‡] 5	100.0 11	0.0	0 ⁺			
5270.2	1 ⁺	5269.6 [‡] 4	100	0.0	0 ⁺			
5470.1	2	3996.3 5	100.0	1473.54	2 ⁺			E_γ : Weighted average of 3996.5 keV 5 (t,p γ) and 3994.9 keV 15 (2012Ku11 – ^{28}Na β^- decay).
5672.7	2 ⁺	5469	<2	0.0	0 ⁺			
		1118	21 5	4554.6	2 ⁺			
		1651.6	<7.3	4021.0	4 ⁺			
		1810.4	<5.9	3862.15	0 ⁺			
		4198.5	100 6	1473.54	2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	+0.3 +2–6	
5702.1	0 ⁺	5671.5	26 6	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		
		431.9	17.5 15	5270.2	1 ⁺			
		1141	100.0 19	4561.0	1 ⁺			
		4227.9	28.5 16	1473.54	2 ⁺	[E2]		B(E2)(W.u.)=0.077 12
5916.9	(0,1,2) ⁺	4443.0 [‡] 11	100	1473.54	2 ⁺			
6544.9	(2 ⁺)	1373.4 [‡] 2	<50	5171.3	3 ⁻			
		1990.7 [‡] 5	100 50	4554.6	2 ⁺			
7200.9	(0,1,2) ⁺	2007.7 [‡] 4	100	5193.1	1			
7462.0	(2 ⁺)	2191.7 [‡] 3	100 13	5270.2	1 ⁺			
		2290.9 [‡] 6	<13	5171.3	3 ⁻			
		2906.9 [‡] 6	75 13	4554.6	2 ⁺			
8439.4?	(6 ⁺)	4418 [#]		4021.0	4 ⁺			

[†] From $^{26}\text{Mg}(\text{t,p}\gamma)$, except otherwise noted. The γ rays without uncertainty are calculated by the evaluator from level energy (after a least-squares fit to measured γ rays) differences and recoil energy subtraction.

[‡] From ^{28}Na β^- decay.

[#] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

