CSC 226 - Assignment 1

Sample Solution

1 Evaluating Polynomials

```
a) The naive approach p(x)=a_0+a_1x+a_2x^2+a_3x^3+\ldots+a_nx^n val=a_0 for \ i=1 \ to \ n \ do: \qquad n \ iterations val+=a_ix^i \qquad i \ multiplications \ and \ 1 \ addition return \ val
```

The ith term, $a_i x^i$, has i multiplications, thus $1+2+3+\ldots+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ total multiplications. There are also n additions in the polynomial, hence running time for this method is $T(n)=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+n\in O(n^2)$.

```
b) using the nested form: p(x) = a_0 + x(a_1 + x(a_2 + x(a_3 + \ldots + x(a_{n-1} + xa_n) \ldots)))
val = a_{n-1} + xa_n \qquad 1 \text{ add and 1 multiply}
for \ i = n-2 \ to \ 1 \ do : \qquad n-2 \text{ iterations}
val \ + = a_i \qquad 1 \text{ addition}
val \ * = x \qquad 1 \text{ multiply}
val \ + = a_0 \qquad 1 \text{ addition}
return \ val
```

Using this algorithm, it is easy to see there are n additions and n-1 multiplications occurring in the evaluation of p(x) and thus $T(n) = n - 1 + n \in O(n)$

2 The 3SUM Problem

Given an array of n integers, determine if there are 3 integers in the array that sum to zero.

```
sort(array)
                                            O(nlogn)
for i = 0 to n - 1 do:
                                            n iterations
   j = i + 1
   k = n - 1
   while j < k do:
                                            n-i-3 iterations
      val = array[i] + array[j] + array[k]
      if \ val > 0:
         k = k - 1
      else if val < 0:
         j = j + 1
      else:
         return\ TRUE
return FALSE
```

Asymptotic Analysis:

In a worst-case scenario, there will be no triple that sums to zero. That is, the algorithm with have to check every possible combination before returning FALSE. The for loop will do n iterations and on each iteration, the while loop will also do n - i - 3 iterations.

$$\textstyle \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} n - i - 3 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 3 = n^2 - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 3n \qquad \therefore \quad T(n) \ \in O(n^2)$$

3 linearSelect - grouping by 3

Modify linearSelect such that pickCleverPivot groups by 3.

```
step 1: divide array into \frac{n}{3} groups of size 3, takes O(1) time
```

step 2: sort each group of size 3 (at most 3 comparisons), takes $\frac{n}{3} \times 3 = n$ time

step 3: determine the median of each group and gather medians, takes n time

step 4: use linearSelect recursively to determine the median of medians \Rightarrow if linearSelect is T(n), then this step is $T(\frac{n}{3})$

 \therefore pickCleverPivot is $2n + T(\frac{n}{3})$.

By selecting the pivot this way, we guarantee that $2 \times \frac{n/3}{2} = \frac{n}{3}$ elements are less than the pivot, and thus $n - \frac{n}{3} = \frac{2n}{3}$ elements are greater than the pivot (or vice versa). This means, in the worst-case scenario, that the conquer step is $T(\frac{2n}{3})$.

$$T(n) = 3n + T(\frac{n}{3}) + T(\frac{2n}{3})$$

Now, I will show $T(n) \notin O(n)$:

Suppose for contradiction that $T(n) \leq cn$ for some constant c, then

$$T(n) = 3n + T(\frac{n}{3}) + T(\frac{2n}{3})$$

 $\leq 3n + \frac{cn}{3} + \frac{2cn}{3}$
 $= 3n + cn$

this implies $3n + cn \le cn \iff 3n \le 0$ which is impossible

Therefore, this modified version of linear Select does not run in O(n) time.

4 The Master Theorem

a) $T(n) = 16T(\frac{n}{4}) + n^4$

Since $log_b(a) = log_4(16) = 2 < 4 = c$, we have case (c) of The Master Theorem $\Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(n^4)$

b) $T(n) = 125T(\frac{n}{5}) + n^2$

Since $log_b(a) = log_5(125) = 3 > 2 = c$, we have case (a) of The Master Theorem $\Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(n^3)$

c) $T(n) = 64T(\frac{n}{8}) + n^2$

Since $log_b(a) = log_b(64) = 2 = c$, we have case (b) of The Master Theorem $\Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(n^2 log_n)$

5 Matrix Multiplication

Strassen's algorithm: $T(n) = 7T(\frac{n}{2}) + \Theta(n^2) \in O(n^{2.81})$ which is case (a) of the Master Theorem.

General divide-and-conquer algorithm: $T(n) = aT(\frac{n}{b}) + \Theta(n^c)$

If this algorithm is going to do better than Strassen's method, the value of b (the factor by which the subproblem size decreases) must increase. This way, we stay in case (a) of the Master Theorem and the value of $log_b(a)$ will decrease and thus having a better runtime than Strassen's.

Suppose $T(n) = aT(\frac{n}{3}) + \Theta(n^2)$, then in order to beat Strassen's method we need

$$log_3(a) \le log_2(7)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a < 7^{1/log_3(2)} \approx 21.85$$

Therefore, a (the number of subproblems) must be less than or equal to 21 in order to beat Strassen's method.