



Increating n ⇒ namower CI increasing O ⇒ wider CI
Large is now much do to is spread apart 3 Example 3: How did we get the formula $[L,U] = \left[\overline{x} - z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \ \overline{x} + z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right] \ ?$ $\underbrace{Omit}_{\text{e/m}} \quad \text{Idea: } \mathbf{z} = \overline{\mathbf{x}} - \underline{\mathbf{M}}_{\text{e/m}} \quad \text{reamonge and solve}_{\text{for } \mathbf{M}}.$ Look at the 95% confidence interval, where we have $z_{\alpha/2}=z_{0.025}=1.96.$ $P(\text{L} \leq \text{M} \leq \text{M}) = 0.95 \qquad \text{this is okay!}$ $P(\overline{x} - 1.96 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu \leq \overline{x} + 1.96 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}) = 0.95 \quad \text{this is also okay!}$ We can say but once we fill in values for \overline{x} , σ , and \sqrt{n} we can no longer use this →not a vaniable probability. This is not okay!!! Not a variety say $P(127.09 \le \mu \le 167.57) = 0.95$ with our confidence interval [127.09, 167.58] because either μ is in this interval, or it isn't. (There are no variables here so there is no chance on where the value of μ sits. The probability is 1 if the value is in the interval, or 0 if it isn't.)