

## Lecture 15: UFD continued

07 October 2020

10:29

Recall: 1)  $R$  is a UFD if it is an int. domain and every nonzero nonunit can be written uniquely as product of irreducible elements of  $R$ .

2) A PID is a UFD. (Converse is not true)

3)  $R$  a UFD  $\Rightarrow R[X]$  a UFD (Will be proved later)

4) In a UFD, irreducibles are primes.

5)  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  is not a UFD;  $\mathbb{Q}[X, Y, Z, W] / (XY - ZW)$  is not a UFD.

Apply norm

$$1 + \sqrt{-3} = (a + b\sqrt{-3})(c + d\sqrt{-3})$$

$a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$4 = (a^2 + 3b^2)(c^2 + 3d^2)$$

Major assume  $b=0$

$$(1 + \sqrt{-3}) = a(c + d\sqrt{-3})$$

$$ac = 1 \text{ \& } ad = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow a = \pm 1 \Rightarrow 1 + \sqrt{-3} \text{ is irred.}$$

$$(1 + \sqrt{-3})(1 - \sqrt{-3}) = 4 \Rightarrow 1 + \sqrt{-3} \mid 4 = 2 \cdot 2$$

But  $1 + \sqrt{-3} \nmid 2$

$$(1 + \sqrt{-3})(a + b\sqrt{-3}) \neq 2 \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + \sqrt{-3} \text{ is not a prime.}$$

$\overline{X}$  is irred.  
but not a  
prime.

Prop: Let  $R$  be a UFD and  $a, b \in R$ . Then

$$a = u p_1^{e_1} \dots p_n^{e_n} \quad \text{for some } n \geq 0, u \in R \text{ unit, } p_1, \dots, p_n \text{ irred elements of } R \text{ and } e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

$$b = v p_1^{f_1} \dots p_n^{f_n}$$

$$\text{and } \gcd(a, b) = \underline{p_1^{\min(e_1, f_1)} p_2^{\min(e_2, f_2)} \dots p_n^{\min(e_n, f_n)}}$$

Pf: Since  $R$  is a UFD

$$a = u p_1^{e_1} \dots p_n^{e_n} \quad \text{for some } n \geq 0, p_1, \dots, p_n \text{ irred } \in R \text{ with } u \text{ unit}$$

$$\& e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

$$\text{and } b = v p_1^{f_1} \dots p_n^{f_n} p_{n+1}^{f_{n+1}} \dots p_r^{f_r} \quad \text{for some } r \geq n, v \in R \text{ unit, } p_{n+1}, \dots, p_r \text{ irred.}$$

$$\& f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

$$\text{Set } e_{n+1} = e_{n+2} = \dots = e_r = 0$$

$$\text{Let } d = p_1^{\min(e_1, f_1)} \dots p_n^{\min(e_n, f_n)} \text{ then}$$

$$d \mid a \& d \mid b. \text{ Let } d' \mid a \& d' \mid b.$$

$$(\because a = d_{\text{up}}^{e_1 - \min(e_1, f_1)} \dots p_n^{e_n - \min(e_n, f_n)})$$

Then  $a = d' a_1$  &  $b = d' b_1$ . By uniqueness of factorization in UFD, factorizing  $d'$

we get,  $d' = w p_1^{h_1} \dots p_n^{h_n}$  with  $w$  unit &  $h_i \leq e_i$  (as  $d' \mid a$ ) and  $h_i \leq f_i$  (as  $d' \mid b$ )

$$\text{Hence } h_i \leq \min(e_i, f_i) \Rightarrow d' \mid d. \Rightarrow$$

$$d = \gcd(a, b)$$



Prop: Every PID admits a Dedekind-Hasse norm.

Pf:  $N: R^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$   
units  $\mapsto 1$

$x$  non unit,  $x = p_1 \cdots p_n \mapsto 2^n$  where  $p_i$  irred.  
 $N(x) = 2^n$

WTS:  
Let  $a, b \in R$  either  $b|a$  or  $\exists x, y \in R$   
s.t.  $N(ax+by) < N(b)$ .

Suppose  $b \nmid a$ . Let  $(d) = (a, b)$   
 $d|b$  &  $b \nmid d$  (  $\because$  if  $b|d$   
 $\Rightarrow b|a$  )

Let  $d = p_1 \cdots p_n$  then

&  $b = p_1 \cdots p_n q_1 \cdots q_m$  &  $m \geq 1$

$\Rightarrow N(d) = 2^n < N(b) = 2^{n+m}$

&  $d = ax+by$  for some  $x, y \in R$ . □

" $N(x) = n+1$  should work"

Thm: Let  $R$  be an integral domain.  $R$  is a UFD iff

- 1) Every irred element in  $R$  is prime and
- 2) Every <sup>strictly</sup> increasing chain of principal ideals is of finite length.

Pf:  $(\Rightarrow)$ : (1) ✓

(2): Let

$(0) \subsetneq (x_1) \subsetneq (x_2) \subsetneq (x_3) \subsetneq \dots$  be a strictly increasing chain of principal ideals.

Let  $x_1 = p_1 \cdots p_n$   $p_i \in R$  irred.

$x_1 \in (x_2) \Rightarrow x_2 \mid x_1$  }  $x_1 = x_2 y_1$  for  $y_1 \in R$   
 $\& (x_2) \neq (x_1) \Rightarrow x_1 \nmid x_2$  } where  $y_1$  is nonzero non unit

No of irreducible factors in  $x_2$  is strictly less than  $n$ .

Let  $x_2 = q_1 \cdots q_m$  as prod of irr  
 $y = r_1 \cdots r_k$

$$p_1 \cdots p_n = q_1 \cdots q_m r_1 \cdots r_k$$

Uniqueness  $\Rightarrow m+k=n \Rightarrow m < n$

||/|| No of irr factors of  $x_3 <$  No of irr factors of  $x_2$  and so on. So the length of the chain of the principal ideals

$(x_1) \subsetneq (x_2) \subsetneq \dots$  can be at most  $n$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ):

Let  $x \in R$  nonzero nonunit.

Want to show  $x$  is a product of irreducibles.

Claim:  $x = p_1 y$  where  $p_1$  is irred. &  $y \in R$ .

If  $x$  is irred then done.

otherwise  $\exists x_1, y_1 \in R$  s.t.

$x = x_1 y_1$  where  $x_1$  &  $y_1$  are nonunit.

$\Rightarrow (x) \subsetneq (x_1)$  ( $\because y_1$  is nonunit)

Now if  $x_1$  is irred then

we have shown that  $x = p_1 y_1$  where

$p_1$  is irred &  $y_1 \in R$   
in  $R$

otherwise

$x_1 = x_2 y_2$  where  $x_2, y_2$  are nonunit.  
with  $p_1 = x_1$

and  $(x_1) \subsetneq (x_2)$

Continuing this way we obtain a strictly increasing seq of principal ideals

$$(x) \subsetneq (x_1) \subsetneq (x_2) \subsetneq \dots$$

So by (2) it must stop say at  $n^{\text{th}}$  spot. So  $x_n$  must be irred.

$$\text{So } x = x_1 y_1 = x_2 y_2 y_1 = \dots = x_n y_n y_{n-1} \dots y_1$$

$\Rightarrow x = p_1 y$  where  $p_1$  is irred &  $y \in R$ .

with  $p_1 = x_n$  &  $y = y_1 \dots y_n$

Claim:  $x = p_1 \cdots p_n$  where  $p_i \in R$  are irred.

Pf: By prev claim

$$x = p_1 y_1 \text{ for some } p_1 \in R \text{ irred} \\ \& y_1 \in R.$$

If  $y_1$  is a unit or irred then done

otherwise

$$y_1 = p_2 y_2 \text{ where } p_2 \text{ irred} \& y_2 \in R$$

and continue this way if  $y_2$  is not irred.

$$(x) \subsetneq (y_1) \subsetneq (y_2) \subsetneq \cdots$$

(strictness is true because  
 $p_1, p_2$  are irred & hence  
not a unit)

Again by (2) this has to stop at  
some after  $n$  steps. Then

$$x = p_1 \cdots p_n y_n \text{ as product of} \\ \text{irred.}$$

Exc: Show uniqueness of irred  
factorization using ①.

Hint: See PID's are UFD's  
proof.