

Remark: For (3), you need a natural result:

$$f \in R(C) \quad [\text{in the sense of } \textcircled{1} \text{ in page 18}] \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i \in \Lambda(P)} \overbrace{f(\eta_i)}^{\eta_i \in C_i, \text{tag}} \|r(t_i) - r(t_{i-1})\| \quad \text{exists.}$$

Moreover, in this case:

$$\int_C f ds = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \left[ \sum_{i \in \Lambda(P)} f(\eta_i) \|r(t_i) - r(t_{i-1})\| \right]$$

— → —


Remark: Often, the definition of line integral appears as:

$$\int_C f = \int_{\substack{\text{path/trace} \\ \text{or} \\ \gamma}} f(r(t)) \|r'(t)\| dt. \quad \textcircled{1}$$

Cont. fn       $r$ : a piecewise smooth fn.

Remark: To keep things in order: we must prove that the RHS of  $\textcircled{1}$  is independent of choice of  $r$  (depends only on  $C$ ):

Consider the following <sup>new</sup> parametrization:



$$\because \tilde{r} = r \circ \varphi \Rightarrow \tilde{r}'(t) = r'(\varphi(t)) \varphi'(t).$$

$$\therefore \int_C f(\tilde{r}(s)) \|\tilde{r}'(s)\| ds = \int_a^b f(r(\varphi(s))) \|r'(\varphi(s))\| \underbrace{\varphi'(s)}_{\neq 0, \text{ why?}} ds.$$

$$= \int_a^b f(r(t)) \|r'(t)\| dt.$$

$\varphi(s) \rightarrow t$

$\therefore \textcircled{1}$  is independent of choice of  $r$ .

□

Due to the above observation, we write

$$\int_C f \text{ instead of } \int_{\gamma}.$$

$\rightarrow$  line integral of  $f$  over  $C$ . (via trace of a piecewise smooth curve).

But we often write  $\int_{\gamma}$  with the same meaning.

Facts: Let  $C$  be a curve (with some parametrization of piecewise smooth curve  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\text{ran } \gamma = C$ ),  $f, g \in \text{Cont}(C)$ .

If  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , then we have:

S  
works even  
for rectifiable  
 $\gamma$ .

$$(1) \int_C f + r g = \int_C f + r \int_C g.$$

$$(2) \text{ if } f \geq g \Rightarrow \int_C f \geq \int_C g.$$

(3) If  $a < c < b$ ,  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be s.t.  $\text{ran } \gamma = C$

&  $\gamma_1 = \gamma|_{[a, c]}, \gamma_2 = \gamma|_{[c, b]}$ , then

$$\int_C f = \underbrace{\int_{C_1} f}_{\text{ran } \gamma_1} + \underbrace{\int_{C_2} f}_{\text{ran } \gamma_2}.$$

$$(4) \left| \int_C f \right| \leq \int_C |f|.$$

~~How (Easy).~~


(5) (Continuity) Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $|t - t'| < \delta$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma([t, t'])} |f| < \varepsilon.$$

HW

# Physics

## Applications:



$C^1$  Curve, parameterized by one-to-one path  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ).

Then the total mass of the wire =  $\int_C g ds$ . ( $:= M$ ).

The center of mass  $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$  is given by:

$$\bar{x}_j = \frac{1}{M} \int_C x_j g ds, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Also, if  $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a line, &  $d(x) = \text{distance from } x \in C \text{ to } L$ ,

then the moment of inertia of C about L is:

$$I_L := \int_C d^2 g ds.$$

Unit Speed

$$x$$

Thm: Let  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth curve. Then  $\exists$  a ~~smooth~~ reparametrization  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of  $\gamma$  s.t.  $\|\tilde{\gamma}'(s)\| = 1 \quad \forall s$ .

Proof: Fix  $t \in [a, b]$  & define  $s: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$s(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \|\gamma'(u)\| du, \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Set  $\tilde{I} = \text{range } s$ . ( $\Rightarrow \tilde{I} = [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$ ).

diff & in particular, cont. fn.

Now FTC  $\Rightarrow s'(t) = \|\gamma'(t)\| \neq 0 \quad \forall t \in [a, b]$ .

$\therefore s: [a, b] \rightarrow \tilde{I} = [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$  is smooth & bijective.

$\Rightarrow \tilde{s}^{-1}: \tilde{I} \rightarrow [a, b]$  diff & bijective & smooth,  
[by inverse fn. thm].

Call  $\varphi := s^{-1} : \tilde{\mathbb{I}} \rightarrow [a, b]$ .

So, we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathbb{I}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{R}^n \\ & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & [a, b] \end{array}$$

i.e., we consider  $\tilde{\gamma} := \gamma \circ \varphi$ .

$$\therefore \tilde{\gamma}'(t) = \gamma'(\varphi(t)) \varphi'(t).$$

$$= \gamma'(\varphi(t)) \frac{1}{\underbrace{s'(\varphi(t))}_{= \gamma'(\varphi(t))}}.$$

$$\therefore \|\tilde{\gamma}'(t)\| = 1.$$

$$\because \varphi'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}(s^{-1}(t))$$

$$= \frac{1}{s'(s^{-1}(t))}$$


$$= \frac{1}{s'(\varphi(t))}.$$

✓

This is useful result,  
but, the solution  $\tilde{\gamma}$   
is not so explicit for  
from computational  
point of views.

### Applications:

WORK done:



Linear or movement  
in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$$\text{Work done} := (\underbrace{|F| \cos \theta}_{\text{force}}) |d| \quad (= \vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}).$$

The classical  
result.


How to make it work for movements (displacements)

in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ?  
in plane in space


Ans: Consider a vector field (call it force field)

$$F : \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \quad (n = 2 \text{ or } 3).$$

$\therefore \forall x \in \mathcal{O}_n$ ,  $F(x)$  represent a vector (force)  
at  $x$ . e.g.



Let  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a curve.



In physics:

$$\gamma = r.$$

$$\therefore \gamma' = dr$$

Naturally, ~~if~~  $W$  = work done by the force on the particle moving along  $\gamma$  ( $= r$ ) is:

$$W = \int_C F \cdot dr$$

dot product.

$C = \text{Path } \gamma$


$F(\gamma(t))$

or,

$$W = \int_a^b F(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t) dt$$

Take it as  
def. or a fact.  
More later / soon!!

Think: A mass "m" projectile near the earth surface:



$$F = \langle 0, -mg \rangle$$

Eg: Find the work done by the force field  $F(x, y, z) = \langle xz, xy, zy \rangle$  along the curve  $C: x = t^2, y = -t^3, z = t^4, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

Ans: Here  $\gamma(t) = \langle t^2, -t^3, t^4 \rangle \Rightarrow \gamma'(t) = \langle 2t, -3t^2, 4t^3 \rangle$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore W &= \int_0^1 F(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t) dt = \int_0^1 \langle t^2, -t^3, t^4 \rangle \\ &\quad \cdot \langle 2t, -3t^2, 4t^3 \rangle dt \\ &= \int_0^1 (2t^7 + 3t^7 - 4t^{10}) dt = \dots = \frac{23}{88}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark: Similar consideration applies to flow of a fluid along a curve.

Check with your  
physics lectures.

Now FTC for line integrals:

$$\text{Recall: } \int_a^b f' = f(b) - f(a)$$

$f' \in C^1(I)$ ,  $I \supseteq [a,b]$  (or even little general:  $f'$  exists  
 $\wedge f' \in R[a,b]$ ).

We use the above for a similar result for line integrals:

A scalar field  $f: \Omega_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given. Assume  $f$  is diff.

Look at  $\nabla f$ , the gradient vector field & assume that

$\nabla f$  is cont. (i.e.,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \in C(\Omega_n)$   $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ ).

i.e., We assume  $f \in C^1(\Omega_n)$ .

Assume that  $P, Q \in \Omega_n$  &  $\gamma = \gamma$  be a  $C^1$ -~~path~~ curve.

s.t.  $\gamma \subseteq \Omega_n$  &  $\gamma$  joins  $P$  &  $Q$ . Define:

$$\int_C \nabla f \cdot d\gamma := \int f(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t) dt.$$

Line integrals of vector fields.

[Definition / Explanation: (Similar to "work done" part):

Let  $F: \Omega_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector field. Let  $\gamma: [a,b] \rightarrow \Omega_n$  be a curve [Note: we have a different notation:  $\gamma$  instead of  $\gamma$ ]

Consider a partition  $P: a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$ .

$$\gamma_i := \gamma(t_i) \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\Delta \gamma_i := \underline{\gamma_i} = \gamma_{i+1} - \gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \leftarrow \text{kind of arc length.}$$

Define  $R(F, P) := \sum_{i=1}^m F(\tau_i) \cdot \Delta \tau_i$ .  $C = \text{ran } \tau$ .

Finally, define  $\int_C F \cdot d\tau := \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} R(F, P)$ ,  
 (if exists).

Fact: Just like scalar fields, in this case as well:

$$\int_C F \cdot d\tau = \int_a^b F(\tau(t)) \cdot \tau'(t) dt.$$

Line integral  
of other  
vector fields.

Back to the gradient vector (FT of line integrals)

Thm: Let  $f: \Omega_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$ -scalar field,  $\tau$  be a piecewise  $C^1$ -curve in  $\Omega_n$  joining  $A \neq B$ . Then

$$\int_C \nabla f \cdot d\tau = f(B) - f(A). \quad [C = \text{path of } \tau].$$

Proof: Here  $\int_C \nabla f \cdot d\tau = \int_a^b \nabla f(\tau(t)) \cdot \tau'(t) dt$   
 $\quad \quad \quad [\tau: [a, b] \rightarrow \Omega_n \text{ a parametrization of the path } C]$

Observe:  $\frac{d}{dt} (f(\tau(t))) \stackrel{\text{chain rule.}}{=} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \frac{dx_1}{dt} + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \frac{dx_n}{dt}$

$\downarrow$  A fn. of  $t$ : right?  
 $\therefore f(x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{dx_i}{dt}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left( f(\tau(t)) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{dx_i}{dt}$$

(26)

$$= \nabla f \cdot \underbrace{\left\langle \frac{dx_1}{dt}, \dots, \frac{dx_n}{dt} \right\rangle}_{=\tau'(t)},$$

*The key point.*

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \int_C \nabla f \cdot d\tau &= \int_a^b f(\tau(t)) \cdot \tau'(t) dt \\ &= \int_a^b \frac{d}{dt} (f(\tau(t))) dt \\ &= f(\tau(b)) - f(\tau(a)) \\ &= f(B) - f(A). \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{l} \tau(b) = B \\ \tau(a) = A \end{array}} \end{aligned}$$

Of course:  $f(B) = f(A) = 0$  if  $A = B$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \Gamma$  is closed curve.)

$\Rightarrow$  Cor: In the setting of above theorem, for any piecewise smooth curve connecting to A itself (ie.  $\tau(a) = \tau(b) = A$ ),

$$\int_C \nabla f \cdot d\tau = 0.$$

— x —

Hence, so far we have the following line integrals:

Let  $f: \Omega_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F: \Omega_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be scalar field & vector field, respectively. Assume that  $f$  &  $F$  are continuous. Let  $\gamma (= \tau)$  be a piecewise smooth curve s.t.  $\text{ran } \gamma = C \subseteq \Omega_n$ . Then

*Line integral of a scalar field  $\rightarrow 1)$*  
$$\int_C f ds = \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \|\gamma'(t)\| dt,$$

*Line integral of a vector field  $\rightarrow 2)$*  
$$\int_C F \cdot d\tau = \int_a^b F(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t) dt.$$