

# Lecture 16: Field of fractions, Localization

08 October 2020  
13:31

⊗ Construction of  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0 \right\} = \left\{ (a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0 \right\} / \sim \quad \boxed{(a, b) \sim (c, d) \text{ if } ad = bc}$$

So we are inverting all nonzero elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$  to obtain  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Lets generalize this to arbitrary rings.

Def: Let  $R$  be a comm ring with unity &  $S \subseteq R$  be subset. <sup>Then</sup>  $S$  is said to be multiplicative subset if  $1 \in S$  &  $\forall x, y \in S, xy \in S$ .

Example: 1)  $S = \{1\}$  <sup>R any ring</sup>  
2)  $S = \text{set of units}$ , 2)  $S = R$   $\leftarrow$  Not interesting

3)  $R$  an integral domain like  $\mathbb{Z}$ ;  $S = R \setminus \{0\}$ .  $\leftarrow$

4) In  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $S = \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$

5)  $R$  any ring,  $S = \{1, x, x^2, x^3, \dots\}$  is a multiplicative set. <sup>&  $x \in R$</sup>

Not interesting for localization 6)  $R$  any ring,  $S = \mathbb{I} \cup \{1\}$  is a multiplicative set.

7) Let  $R$  be any ring and  $P \subseteq R$  a prime ideal. Then

$S = R \setminus P$  is a multiplicative set.

$\Rightarrow b \in S \Rightarrow ab \notin P \Rightarrow ab \in S$ . <sup>( $\because P$  prime ideal)</sup>

Define a relation on  $S \times R$  where  $R$  is comm ring with unity and  $S$  is a mult set.

$$S \times R = \{ (s, r) \mid s \in S \text{ \& } r \in R \}$$

$$(s_1, r_1) \sim (s_2, r_2) \quad \text{if} \quad s(s_2 r_1 - s_1 r_2) = 0 \\ \text{for some } s \in S.$$

Prop:  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation

Pf:  $(s_1, r_1) \sim (s_1, r_1)$  by taking  $s=1$   
 $1(s_1 r_1 - s_1 r_1) = 0$

$\sim$  is reflexive

$\sim$  is symmetric

$(s_1, r_1) \sim (s_2, r_2)$  then  $\exists s \in S$

$$\text{s.t. } s(s_2 r_1 - s_1 r_2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow s(s_1 r_2 - s_2 r_1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (s_2, r_2) \sim (s_1, r_1)$$

$\sim$  is transitive:

Let  $(s_1, r_1) \sim (s_2, r_2)$  &  $(s_2, r_2) \sim (s_3, r_3)$   
 $\exists s, s' \in S$  s.t.  
 $s(s_2 r_1 - s_1 r_2) = 0$  ... ① &  $s'(s_3 r_2 - s_2 r_3) = 0$  ... ②

$s's_3$  ① +  $ss_1$  ② gives

$$s's s_2 s_3 r_1 - \cancel{s's s_1 s_3 r_2} + \cancel{ss's_3 s_1 r_2} - ss's_2 s_1 r_3 = 0$$

$$s's s_2 (s_3 r_1 - s_1 r_3) = 0$$

Also  $s's s_2 \in S$  ( $\because S$  is multiplicative)

Hence  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

Def<sup>n</sup>/Prop: The set of equivalence classes  $S \times R / \sim$  is denoted by  $S^{-1}R$ . The equivalence class  $[(s, r)]$  will be denoted by  $\frac{r}{s}$ .

The binary operators  $\frac{r_1}{s_1} \oplus \frac{r_2}{s_2} := \frac{s_2 r_1 + s_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2}$  and

$\frac{r_1}{s_1} \odot \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2}$  are well

defined. Moreover

$(S^{-1}R, \oplus, \odot)$  is a commutative ring with unity. The map

$\varphi: R \longrightarrow S^{-1}R$  is a ring homo.  
 $r \longmapsto \frac{r}{1}$

$$\text{Pf: } \frac{r_1}{s_1} = \frac{r'_1}{s'_1} \quad r_1, r'_1, r_2, r'_2 \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow (s_1, r_1) \sim (s'_1, r'_1)$$

$$\frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r'_2}{s'_2} \quad s_1, s'_1, s_2, s'_2 \in S \Rightarrow (s_2, r_2) \sim (s'_2, r'_2)$$

$$\text{WTS: } \frac{s_2 r_1 + s_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2} = \frac{s'_2 r'_1 + s'_1 r'_2}{s'_1 s'_2}$$

$$\exists u, v \in S \text{ s.t.}$$

$$u(s'_1 r_1 - s_1 r'_1) = 0 \quad \& \quad v(s'_2 r_2 - s_2 r'_2) = 0$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{---} \textcircled{1}} \quad \quad \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{---} \textcircled{2}}$

$$\text{WTS: } \exists w \in S \text{ s.t.}$$

$$w \left[ s'_1 s'_2 (s_2 r_1 + s_1 r_2) - s_1 s_2 (s'_2 r'_1 + s'_1 r'_2) \right] = 0$$

$$s_2 s'_2 v \textcircled{1} + s_1 s'_1 u \textcircled{2} \text{ gives}$$

$$s_2 s'_2 v u s'_1 r_1 - s_2 s'_2 v u s_1 r'_1 + s_1 s'_1 u v s'_2 r_2 - s_1 s'_1 u v s_2 r'_2 = 0$$

$$uv \left[ s'_1 s'_2 (s_2 r_1 + s_1 r_2) - s_1 s_2 (s'_2 r'_1 + s'_1 r'_2) \right] = 0$$

$$\text{So take } w = uv \in S \quad (\because S \text{ is multiplicative})$$

$$\text{Hence } \textcircled{1} \text{ is well-defined.}$$

Claim:  $(S^{-1}R, \oplus, \odot)$  is a <sup>comm</sup> ring with unity

1)  $(S^{-1}R, \oplus)$  is an abelian group

• check  $\oplus$  is associative (check)

•  $\frac{0}{1}$  is the additive identity ✓  $\frac{r_1}{s_1} \oplus \frac{0}{1} = \frac{1r_1 + s_1 \cdot 0}{s_1} = \frac{r_1}{s_1}$

•  $\oplus$  is commutative ✓

•  $\frac{r}{s} \oplus \frac{-r}{s} = \frac{0}{s}$       $\frac{r}{s} \oplus \frac{-r}{s} = \frac{sr - sr}{ss} = \frac{0}{ss} = \frac{0}{1}$

But  $\frac{0}{ss} = \frac{0}{1} \quad (\because 1(1 \cdot 0 - ss \cdot 0) = 0)$

2) •  $\odot$  is associative

easily follows from  $\cdot$  is assoc in  $R$

•  $\odot$  is commutative

easily " " " " comm in  $R$ .

•  $\frac{1}{1}$  is unity (trivial)

• Distributive laws (check!)

$$\phi(r + r') = \frac{r + r'}{1} = \frac{r}{1} \oplus \frac{r'}{1} = \phi(r) \oplus \phi(r')$$

$$\phi(rr') = \frac{rr'}{1} = \frac{r}{1} \odot \frac{r'}{1} = \phi(r) \odot \phi(r')$$

Hence  $\phi$  is ring homo. Also  $\phi(1) = \frac{1}{1}$  is unity in  $S^{-1}R$ .