

Abstract version: Let R be a comm ring with unity.
 Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k be R -ideals s.t. they are pairwise comaximal, (i.e. $I_j + I_{j'} = R$ for $j \neq j'$).
 Then the $I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k = I_1 \cdots I_k$. Moreover the ring homo $\varphi: R \rightarrow R/I_1 \times \dots \times R/I_k$ is surj with $\ker(\varphi) = I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$. In part.

$$R/I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k = R/I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k \cong R/I_1 \times \dots \times R/I_k.$$

Pf: So far we saw: 1) $k=2$ case

$$2) I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k = I_1 \cdots I_k$$

$$3) I_1 \text{ & } I_2 \cdots I_k \text{ are comaximal} \quad \boxed{j \geq 2}$$

Like (3) I_j & $\prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq j}}^k I_n$ are comaximal. $\boxed{\begin{array}{l} x \in I_j \text{ & } y \in I_n \\ x_j + y_j = 1 \end{array}}$

To show φ is surjective enough to show $e_j \ (1 \leq j \leq k)$

$$(0, \dots, 0, \overset{j \text{ th spot}}{1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in R/I_1 \times \dots \times R/I_k$$

are in the image of φ .

$$\exists x_j \in I_j \text{ & } y_j \in \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq j}}^k I_n \text{ s.t. } x_j + y_j = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \varphi(y_j) &= (y_j + I_1, \dots, y_j + I_k) \\ &= (I_1, I_2, \overset{x_j +}{y_j + I_j}, I_3, \dots, I_k) \quad (\because y_j \in I_n \forall n \neq j) \\ &= (0, \dots, 0, \overset{j \text{ th spot}}{1}, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } (\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_k) \in R/I_1 \times \dots \times R/I_k \quad (a_i + I_1, \dots, a_k + I_k)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \varphi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j y_j\right) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi(a_j) \varphi(y_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k (\bar{a}_j, \bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_j) e_j \quad (\text{from } \textcircled{1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k (0, \dots, 0, \overset{j \text{ th spot}}{\bar{a}_j}, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= (\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Note } \ker(\varphi) = I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_k.$$

$$x \in \ker(\varphi) \Leftrightarrow x + I_j = I_j \ \forall j \leq k$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in I_j \ \forall 1 \leq j \leq k$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$$

$$\text{Now use 1st isom thm to conclude } R/I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k \cong R/I_1 \times \dots \times R/I_k$$

Euclidean domain

(ED)

Defn: A Euclidean domain is an integral domain R s.t. there exist a function $N: R^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying the following conditions.

For $a, b \in R$ $\exists q, r \in R$ s.t.

$$a = bq + r \text{ with } N(r) < N(b)$$

N will be called a Euclidean norm. or $r=0$.

Eg: 1) \mathbb{Z} , $N: \mathbb{Z}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
 $a \mapsto |a|$

$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{\times}$, By
remainder, then $\exists q \in \mathbb{Z}$ &
 $a = bq + r$ $0 \leq r < |b|$
 $r \neq 0$ $N(r) = |r| < |b|$
N.G)

2) $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ or $k[x]$, k a field.

$$N: k[x]^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

$$f \mapsto \deg(f)$$

Remainder's thm: $f(x), g(x) \in k[x]$, by

Division algo. $\exists q(x)$ & $r(x)$ s.t.

$$f(x) = q(x)g(x) + r(x) \text{ where}$$

$$\deg(r(x)) < \deg(g(x)) \text{ or } r(x)=0$$

$\Rightarrow N$ is a Euclidean norm & $k[x]$ is ED.

3) Valuation rings with valuations
as Euclidean norm. (HW)

Principal ideal domain (PID)

Defⁿ: An integral domain R is called PID if every R -ideal is principal, i.e.

every R -ideal is generated by one element.

Ex: Fields, \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, $\mathbb{K}[x]$

Let R be an int domain. An element $x \in R$ is called

irreducible if x is a nonzero nonunit and if
 $x = yz$ for some $y, z \in R$ then either
 y is a unit or z is a unit.

An element $x \in R$ is called a prime element

if whenever $x | ab \Rightarrow x | a$ or $x | b$ for $a, b \in R$.

$\Leftrightarrow x$ is prime iff (x) is a prime ideal. L

Pf: $(x) \neq R \Leftrightarrow x$ is a nonunit
 $ab \in (x) \Leftrightarrow x | ab$

x is prime $\Leftrightarrow x$ is a nonunit & " $x | ab \Rightarrow x | a$
or $x | b$ "
for $a, b \in R$ "

$\Leftrightarrow (x) \neq R$ & " $ab \in (x) \Rightarrow a \in (x)$ or $b \in (x)$
 $\nexists a, b \in R$ "

$\Leftrightarrow (x)$ is a prime ideal

* Let R be an int domain & $x \in R$ be a prime element then x is irred.

Pf: Let $x = yz$ for some $y, z \in R$

$\Rightarrow x | yz \Rightarrow x | y$ or $x | z$

$x | y \Rightarrow \exists a \in R$ s.t. $y = ax$

$\Rightarrow x = axz$

($\because x \neq 0$ & R int domain)

$\Rightarrow 1 = az \Rightarrow z$ is a unit

$\Rightarrow y | z \Rightarrow y$ is a unit.

Hence x is irred. QED

Example: 1) In \mathbb{Z} , the prime elements are precisely the prime numbers. Also $\{\text{irreducibles}\} = \{\text{primes}\}$

2) In \mathbb{Q} & no irredd or primes.
(or any field)

3) In $k[x]$, k a field. A poly is irreducible if it is an irreducible element of $k[x]$.
Also irreducible polynomials are prime elements.

In fact we will see the following:

Thm: Let R be a PID then every irredd element of R is a prime element.

Pf: Let $a \in R$ be an irredd element.
Then (a) is a proper R -ideal. Let $m \subseteq R$ be a maximal ideal containing (a) . Since R is a PID, $m = (b)$ for some $b \in R$.
 $a \in m = (b) \Rightarrow a = bc$ for some $c \in R$
But a is irredd & b is not a unit
 $\Rightarrow c$ is a unit $\Rightarrow b = ca \Rightarrow m = (b) = (a)$. Hence (a) is a prime ideal $\Rightarrow a$ is a prime element. ◻

Cor: (of the proof)
In a PID every nonzero prime ideal is maximal ideal.

Thm: Every Euclidean domain is a PID.

Pf: Let R be a ED & let

$N: R^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be a Euclidean norm.

Let $I \subseteq R$ be a nonzero ideal.

Let $a \in I$ be such that $N(a)$ is the smallest.

Claim: $(a) = I$.

$(a) \subseteq I$. ✓

Let $b \in I$, so axiom of Euclidean norm

$\exists q, r \in R$ s.t.

$b = qa + r$ with $r = 0$ or $N(r) < N(a)$

Not possible

$(\because r = b - qa \in I)$
 $\Rightarrow N(r) \geq N(a)$

$\Rightarrow b \in (a)$

Hence claim and hence every ideal in R is principal. ◻