

Lecture 21: Applications of Gauss' lemma

27 October 2020

16:06

Recall:

Gauss' Lemma

version 1: Let R be a UFD and $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]^*$ then

$$c(fg) = c(f)c(g)$$

version 2: Let R be a UFD & $K = QF(R)$. Let $f(x) \in R[x] \subseteq K[x]$.

$$\text{If } f(x) = g(x)h(x) \text{ for some } g, h \in K[x]$$

then $f(x) = G(x)H(x)$ for some $G, H \in R[x]$ with

$$\deg(G) = \deg(g) \text{ & } \deg(H) = \deg(h). \text{ In fact } G = ag \\ H = bh \text{ where } a, b \in R^*.$$

Cor: Let R be a UFD & $K = QF(R)$. A poly $f(x) \in R[x]$ of content 1 is irreducible in $R[x]$ iff $f(x)$ is irreducible in $K[x]$.

Example: $f(x) = 3x - 6 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ $f(x) = 3(x-2)$ is red in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

But in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ $f(x)$ is irreducible.

2) $\mathbb{Q}[x, y], \mathbb{Q}(x)[y]$ where $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ is fraction field of \mathbb{Q}^*

$$f(x, y) = 3y^3 + 2xy^2 + 7y + 3x + 5$$

Is $f(x, y)$ irreducible?

$$f(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}(y)[x] \quad R = \mathbb{Q}[y]$$

$(2y^2 + 3)x + 3y^3 + 7y + 5$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}(y)[x]$

$$\gcd(2y^2 + 3, 3y^3 + 7y + 5) = 1 \quad (\because 2y^2 + 3 \text{ is irreducible.})$$

$\stackrel{\text{Gauss' Lemma}}{\Rightarrow}$ f is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ & $2y^2 + 3 \nmid 3y^3 + 7y + 5$

$\stackrel{\text{Gauss' Lemma}}{\Rightarrow}$ f is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}(x)[y]$ (here $R = \mathbb{Q}[x]$, $R[y] = \mathbb{Q}(x)[y]$)

Ⓐ Note $f(x) = g_1 \cdots g_n$ in $K[x]$ in version 2 then

$f(x) = G_1 \cdots G_n$ in $R[x]$ where $G_i = a_i g_i$ for some $a_i \in R^*$.

Thm: Let R be a UFD then $R[X]$ is a UFD.

Pf: Let $K = \text{QF}(R)$ and $f(X) \in R[X]$. Assume $f(X)$ is non-zero non-unit.

Then $f(X) = c(f)F(X)$ for some $F(X) \in R[X]$

Since R is a UFD, $c(f) = p_1 \cdots p_n$ product irred in R
if $c(f)$ is not a unit (this exists since R is)
a UFD

$F(X) \in R[X] \subseteq K[X]$ and $K[X]$ is a UFD
if $F(X)$ is non constant.

Hence $\boxed{F(X) = g_1(X) \cdots g_s(X)}$ where $g_1, \dots, g_s \in K[X]$
are irred.

By Gauss' lemma

$$F(X) = G_1(X) \cdots G_s(X)$$

where $G_i(X) \in R[X]$
and $\deg g_i = \deg G_i$
in fact $G_i(X)$ are irred
in $K[X]$ as g_i are
irred & $G_i \sim g_i$ in $K[X]$
associate

Also $c(F) = 1$

$$\stackrel{\text{version 1}}{\Rightarrow} c(G_1) \cdot c(G_2) \cdots c(G_s) = 1 \Rightarrow c(G_i) = 1$$

$\Rightarrow G_i$'s are primitive poly irred in $K[X]$.

Con to Gauss' lemma $\Rightarrow G_i$'s are irred in $R[X]$.

Also p_i 's are irred in $R \Rightarrow p_i$'s are irred
in $R[X]$.

Hence $f(X) = p_1 \cdots p_n G_1(X) \cdots G_s(X)$ can be
written as a product of irred elements of $R[X]$.

For Uniqueness, suppose $f(x) = q_1(x) \cdots q_t(x)$ be product of irred. in $R[x]$.

Since $q_{j_i}(x) \in R[x]$ are irred. and

$$q_{j_i}(x) = c(q_{j_i}) Q_i(x) \text{ for some } Q_i(x) \in R[x]$$

either $c(q_{j_i}) = 1$ or $Q_i(x) = 1$, i.e. $q_{j_i}(x)$ is a const or q_{j_i} is a primitive poly.

Let q_1, \dots, q_n be const. & q_{n+1}, \dots, q_t be primitive poly

then $c(f) = q_1 \cdots q_n$ (Gauss' lemma $\Rightarrow q_{n+1} \cdots q_t$ is prim poly)

But R is a UFD $\Rightarrow n=r$ & after reordering

$$p_i \sim q_{j_i} \text{ in } R \Rightarrow p_i \sim q_{j_i} \text{ in } R[x]$$

$$\text{Also } F(x) = q_{n+1}(x) \cdots q_t(x) = G_1(x) \cdots G_s(x)$$

and $q_{n+i}(x)$ are irred in $K[x]$

(Gauss' lemma &
 q_{n+i} 's are irred
prim poly in $R[x]$)

Hence $t = n+s$ & after reordering

$$q_{n+i} \sim G_i \text{ in } K[x] \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq s$$

$$\text{i.e. } q_{n+i} = u_i G_i \text{ for some } u_i \in K \setminus \{0\}, u_i = \frac{a_i}{b_i}, a_i, b_i \in R, b_i \neq 0$$

But q_{n+i} & G_i are primitive in $R[x]$

$$\Rightarrow u_i \text{ is a unit in } R. \quad b_i q_{n+i} = a_i G_i$$

$$\Rightarrow q_{n+i} \sim G_i \text{ in } R[x]. \quad b_i = c(u_i q_{n+i}) = c(a_i b_i) = a_i$$

i.e. $b_i \sim a_i$ in R

$$\Rightarrow u_i = \frac{a_i}{b_i} \text{ is a unit in } R$$



Noetherian Rings

Prop: Let R be a commutative ring with unity. The following are equivalent:

(1) Every R -ideal is finitely generated.

(2) Every increasing chain of R -ideals is eventually constant.

i.e. $I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$ be a seq of R -ideals then $\exists N$ s.t. $\forall n \geq N \quad I_n = I_N$.

(3) Every non-empty collection of R -ideals has a maximal element. w.r.t inclusion

Defn A ring satisfying the above equivalent conditions
is called a noetherian ring.

Examples: Fields, PID. Hilbert basis theorem: R is noeth $\Rightarrow R[x]$ is noeth.

① Localization of noetherian is noetherian.

② R is noeth $\& I$ R -ideal then R/I is noeth.

③ R_1, \dots, R_n noeth $\Rightarrow R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ is noeth.

Proof of the proposition:

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq \dots$ be inc seq of R -ideals
 $I = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} I_n$ is an ideal of R .

By ① $I = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ for some $m \geq 1$ &
 $x_1, \dots, x_m \in R$.

So $x_i \in I = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} I_n$
 $\Rightarrow x_i \in I_{n_i}$ $n_i \geq 0 \quad 1 \leq i \leq m$

Then take $N = \max\{n_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$

$I \subseteq I_N$ ($\because x_i \in I_N \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq m$)

$\Rightarrow I_N = I = I_n \quad \forall n \geq N$.

$(2) \Rightarrow (3)$: Let Ω be a nonempty collection of R -ideals. Suppose Ω has no maximal element.

Let $I_0 \in \Omega$. Since I_0 is not maximal element of Ω

$\exists I_1 \in \Omega$ s.t. $I_0 \subsetneq I_1$
continue this way to construct
a seq of R -ideals

$$I_0 \subsetneq I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq I_3 \dots$$

But this contradicts ② .

$\textcircled{3} \Rightarrow \textcircled{1}$: Let

$I \subseteq R$ be an ideal.

Let $x_0 \in I$

If $I_0 = (x_0) = I$ then done

otherwise let $x_1 \in I \setminus I_0$.

Let $I_1 = (x_0, x_1) \subseteq I$

again if $I_1 = I$ then done

otherwise let $x_2 \in I \setminus I_1$ &

$I_2 = (x_0, x_1, x_2)$. Continuing
this way, we construct a collection
of ideals I_0, I_1, I_2, \dots

If this process doesn't stop

then $\Omega = \{I_k \mid k \geq 0\}$

is a nonempty collection of ideals
no maximal element.

($\because I_0 \subsetneq I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq \dots$)

contradicting $\textcircled{3}$

☒