

Theorem. Let X and Y be independently distributed random observables with density $f_1(x|\theta)$ and $f_2(y|\theta)$. If $I(\theta)$, $I_1(\theta)$ and $I_2(\theta)$ are the information numbers about θ contained in (X, Y) , X and Y , respectively, then

$$I(\theta) = I_1(\theta) + I_2(\theta).$$

Proof. To see this additive property, note that,

$$\begin{aligned} I(\theta) &= \text{Var}_\theta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X, Y|\theta) \right] = \text{Var}_\theta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \{f_1(X|\theta)f_2(Y|\theta)\} \right] \\ &= \text{Var}_\theta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_1(X|\theta) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_2(Y|\theta) \right] \\ &= \text{Var}_\theta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_1(X|\theta) \right] + \text{Var}_\theta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_2(Y|\theta) \right] \\ &= I_1(\theta) + I_2(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Example. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d Bernoulli(p). Then the information in the sample is $I(p) = \frac{n}{p(1-p)}$ since the information in each of the observations is $I_1(p) = \frac{1}{p(1-p)}$. Further, $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ is sufficient for p and has the same likelihood function as that of the sample. Thus $\text{Binomial}(n, p)$ has the same $I(p)$ of $\frac{n}{p(1-p)}$.

For multi-parameter problems, one defines the Information Matrix,

$$I(\theta) = ((I_{ij}(\theta))), \text{ where } I_{ij}(\theta) = E \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \log f(X|\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \log f(X|\theta) \right].$$

$I(\theta)$ depends on the particular parametrization chosen: Suppose $\eta = c(\theta)$, where $c(\cdot)$ is one-one and differentiable. Then $\theta = h(\eta) = c^{-1}(\eta)$. Therefore, letting $f^*(x|\eta) = f(x|\theta)|_{\theta=h(\eta)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I^*(\eta) &= E_\eta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \log f^*(X|\eta) \right]^2 \\ &= E_\eta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X|\theta) \Big|_{\theta=h(\eta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} h(\eta) \right]^2 \\ &= E_\eta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X|\theta) \right]^2 \Big|_{\theta=h(\eta)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} h(\eta) \right)^2 \\ &= I(\theta) \left(\frac{d\theta}{d\eta} \right)^2 \Big|_{\theta=h(\eta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Example. Let $f(x|\alpha) = \alpha x \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}x^2\right)$, $x > 0$, $\alpha > 0$. What is $I(\alpha)$? Using the definition, since

$$\begin{aligned}\log f(x|\alpha) &= \log \alpha + \log x - \frac{\alpha}{2}x^2, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \log f(x|\alpha) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{x^2}{2}, \text{ so} \\ I(\alpha) &= E_{\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{X^2}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)^2 \right],\end{aligned}$$

which is difficult to compute, but using the alternative formula, we get,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \log f(x|\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\alpha^2},$$

so that $I(\alpha) = 1/\alpha^2$. Sometimes, reparametrization can help too.

Example. Let $f(x|\theta) = \frac{x}{\theta^2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\theta^2}\right)$, $x > 0$, $\theta > 0$. Find $I(\theta)$. We note

$$\begin{aligned}\log f(x|\theta) &= \log x - 2 \log \theta - \frac{x^2}{2\theta^2}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x|\theta) &= -\frac{2}{\theta} + \frac{x^2}{\theta^3} = \frac{1}{\theta^3}(x^2 - 2\theta^2), \text{ so} \\ I(\theta) &= \frac{1}{\theta^6} E_{\theta} (X^2 - 2\theta^2)^2,\end{aligned}$$

which is again difficult to compute. We may look at

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(x|\theta) = \frac{2}{\theta^2} - \frac{3x^2}{\theta^4} = -\frac{1}{\theta^4}(3x^2 - 2\theta^2),$$

and try to compute $I(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^4} E_{\theta}(3X^2 - 2\theta^2)$, which doesn't seem to simplify the calculation. Instead, let $\alpha = \alpha(\theta) = 1/\theta^2$. Then from the previous example, $I(\alpha) = 1/\alpha^2$. Therefore,

$$I^*(\theta) = I(\alpha(\theta))(\alpha'(\theta))^2 = \theta^4 \frac{4}{\theta^6} = \frac{4}{\theta^2}.$$

Example. Let $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Then $I(\mu, \sigma^2) = ((I_{ij}(\mu, \sigma^2)))$, where

$$\begin{aligned}I_{11}(\mu, \sigma^2) &= E_{\mu, \sigma^2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log f(X|\mu, \sigma^2) \right]^2 \\ I_{22}(\mu, \sigma^2) &= E_{\mu, \sigma^2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \log f(X|\mu, \sigma^2) \right]^2 \\ I_{12}(\mu, \sigma^2) &= E_{\mu, \sigma^2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log f(X|\mu, \sigma^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \log f(X|\mu, \sigma^2) \right].\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\log f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu)^2, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} 2(x - \mu)(-1), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \log f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} (x - \mu)^2,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I_{11}(\mu, \sigma^2) &= E_{\mu, \sigma^2} \left[\frac{(X - \mu)^2}{\sigma^4} \right] = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}, \\ I_{22}(\mu, \sigma^2) &= \frac{1}{4\sigma^8} E_{\mu, \sigma^2} [(X - \mu)^2 - \sigma^2]^2 = \frac{2\sigma^4}{4\sigma^8} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^4}, \\ I_{12}(\mu, \sigma^2) &= \frac{1}{2} E_{\mu, \sigma^2} \left[\left(\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma^2} \right) \left(\frac{(X - \mu)^2 - \sigma^2}{\sigma^4} \right) \right] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$I(\mu, \sigma^2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{pmatrix}.$$