

How good is consistency as a measure of optimality of an estimator? We need a measure of accuracy. For large samples, we need a rate of convergence to the true parameter or parametric function. If we assume that our estimator is asymptotically unbiased (i.e. $E(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$) then we can use the asymptotic s.d. for this purpose. If we have an i.i.d sequence X_1, X_2, \dots with $E(X) = \mu$ and $Var(X) = \sigma^2$ then $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)$ is asymptotically normal. What about $g(\bar{X}_n)$ in such a situation for a smooth function g ? We need the following result in this context.

Result. Suppose $\{a_n\} \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, b fixed and

$$a_n(X_n - b) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} X.$$

Let g be a continuous function which is differentiable, and let g' be continuous and $g'(b) \neq 0$. Then

$$a_n(g(X_n) - g(b)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} g'(b)X.$$

Proof. Note that

$$X_n - b = \frac{1}{a_n} [a_n(X_n - b)] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} 0 \times X = 0.$$

Therefore $X_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} b$. Now, $a_n(g(X_n) - g(b)) = a_n(g'(X_n^*)(X_n - b))$ where X_n^* lies between X_n and b . Therefore

$$|X_n^* - b| \leq |X_n - b| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} 0.$$

Therefore, $X_n^* \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} b$ and hence $g'(X_n^*) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} g'(b)$. It follows then that

$$g'(X_n^*)a_n(X_n - b) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} g'(b)X.$$

Note, however, that if $g'(b) = 0$, then $a_n(g(X_n) - g(b)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} 0$.

Result. Suppose we have an i.i.d sequence X_1, X_2, \dots with $E(X) = \mu$ and $Var(X) = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Define $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Let h be differentiable, h' be continuous and $h'(\mu) \neq 0$. Then

$$\sqrt{n}(h(\bar{X}_n) - h(\mu)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, (h'(\mu))^2 \sigma^2).$$

Proof. From CLT, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$. Therefore, from the previous result,

$$\sqrt{n}(h(\bar{X}_n) - h(\mu)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} h'(\mu)N(0, \sigma^2) = N(0, (h'(\mu))^2 \sigma^2).$$

Example. X_1, \dots, X_n i.i.d Bernoulli(p). Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then $\hat{p}_n = S_n/n = \bar{X}_n$ satisfies $\sqrt{n}(\hat{p}_n - p) = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - p) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, p(1-p))$. Now consider estimating $q(p) = p(1-p)$ with $T_n = \hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)$. We have seen earlier that it is consistent. What can be said about its asymptotic distribution? Consider $h(x) = x(1-x)$ which is differentiable with $h'(x) = 1-2x$. $h'(p) \neq 0$ if $p \neq 1/2$. Therefore, for $p \neq 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(T_n - p(1-p)) &= \sqrt{n}(h(\hat{p}_n) - h(p)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, (h'(p))^2 p(1-p)) \\ &= N(0, p(1-p)(1-2p)^2). \end{aligned}$$

What happens when $p = 1/2$? Recall how we proved the result:

$$a_n(g(X_n) - g(b)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} g'(b)X$$

if $a_n(X_n - b) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} X$, g is differentiable, g' continuous and $g'(b) \neq 0$? We used Taylor series expansion: $g(X_n) = g(b) + g'(X_n^*)(X_n - b)$. If $g'(b) = 0$, we need a further term:

$$\begin{aligned} g(X_n) &= g(b) + g'(b)(X_n - b) + \frac{1}{2}g''(X_n^*)(X_n - b)^2 \\ &= g(b) + \frac{1}{2}g''(X_n^*)(X_n - b)^2, \end{aligned}$$

so that $g(X_n) - g(b) = \frac{1}{2}g''(X_n^*)(X_n - b)^2$. Assume that g'' is continuous at b and $g''(b) \neq 0$. Then $g''(X_n^*) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} g''(b)$ and $(a_n(X_n - b))^2 \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} X^2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^2(g(X_n) - g(b)) &= \frac{1}{2}g''(X_n^*)\{a_n(X_n - b)\}^2 \\ &\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} \frac{1}{2}g''(b)X^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the asymptotic distribution of $T_n = \hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)$ in the Bernoulli(p) example. Here $h(x) = x(1-x)$, $h'(x) = 1-2x$ and $h''(x) = -2$. Also $\sqrt{n}(\hat{p}_n - \frac{1}{2}) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, \frac{1}{4})$. Therefore

$$n(\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n) - \frac{1}{4}) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} \frac{1}{2}(-2)\frac{1}{4}\chi_1^2 = -\frac{1}{4}\chi_1^2.$$

Example. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be an i.i.d sequence such that $E(X) = \mu$ and $Var(X) = \sigma^2$. Let $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$. Then

$$(\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu), s^2) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} (N(0, \sigma^2), \sigma^2).$$

Therefore, by Slutsky,

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{s} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, 1).$$

Example. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Then

$$T_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{s} \sim t_{n-1}.$$

Thus note that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $t_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$ from the previous result. This can also be seen directly since the numerator is always $N(0, \sigma^2)$ whereas s in the denominator converges to σ in probability.

Asymptotic Normality.

Large sample normal approximation for estimators is desirable:

- (i) to obtain estimation error;
- (ii) to be able to compare efficiencies; and
- (iii) for large sample tests.

Usually reasonable estimators $\hat{\theta}_n$ converge at the rate of $O_P(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$. i.e., $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} \theta$ and $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P}$ to some distribution.

Definition. Suppose $T_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is an estimator of $q(\theta)$. Then T_n is said to be asymptotically normal if

$$\sqrt{n}(T_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) - q(\theta)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, \sigma^2(\theta)).$$

Example. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d such that $E(X) = \mu$ and $Var(X) = \sigma^2$. Consider $T_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \bar{X}$. Then by CLT $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$. We therefore say that \bar{X} is asymptotically normal in this case.

Example. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d $\text{Exp}(\theta)$. Then $E(X) = \frac{1}{\theta}$ and $Var(X) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$. Consider $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}}$. Since, by WLLN, $\bar{X} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} \frac{1}{\theta}$, $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} \theta$, hence it is

consistent. Note that we have made use of the continuity of $h(x) = 1/x$ for $x > 0$. $h'(x) = -1/x^2$ is also continuous for $x > 0$. We have, by CLT,

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\bar{X} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, \frac{1}{\theta^2}).$$

Therefore,

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta} - \theta \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} h'(\frac{1}{\theta}) N(0, \frac{1}{\theta^2}) = N \left(0, (\theta^2)^2 \frac{1}{\theta^2} = \theta^2 \right).$$