

Example. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a random sample from a population with symmetric density, mean θ , variance equal to 1 and $f_X(\theta) > 0$. Consider $T_n^{(1)} = \bar{X}_n$ and $T_n^{(2)} = \text{median}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$. From the above results,

$$\sqrt{n} (T_n^{(1)} - \theta) = \sqrt{n} (\bar{X}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, 1), \text{ and}$$

$$\sqrt{n} (T_n^{(2)} - \theta) = \sqrt{n} (\text{median}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N\left(0, \frac{1}{4f_0^2(0)}\right), \text{ so}$$

$$e(\theta, T_n^{(1)}, T_n^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{4f_\theta^2(\theta)}.$$

Example. Suppose $X \sim N(\theta, 1)$ in the above example. Then $f_\theta(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = f_0(0)$, so that $4f_\theta^2(\theta) = \frac{4}{2\pi} = \frac{2}{\pi}$. Therefore $e(\theta, T_n^{(1)}, T_n^{(2)}) = \pi/2 \approx 1.57$. If we consider X such that $X - \theta \sim t_\nu$ for various values of ν , we obtain the following table listing $e(\theta, T_n^{(2)}, T_n^{(1)})$.

ν	3	4	5	8	∞
<i>ARE</i>	1.62	1.12	0.96	0.80	$\frac{2}{\pi} \approx 0.64$

Note that the sample median is more efficient than the sample mean when $\nu \leq 4$. In fact, the sample mean does not provide a consistent estimator when $\nu = 1$ since t_1 which is the same as Cauchy which does not have a mean. For $\nu = 2$ the variance is not finite.

Information bound and asymptotically efficient estimators

Suppose $T_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is an estimator of $q(\theta)$. Then the Information Inequality says

$$\text{Var}_\theta(T_n) \geq \frac{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E_\theta(T_n)\right]^2}{I_n(\theta)},$$

where $I_n(\theta) = nI_1(\theta)$ if X_i are i.i.d P_θ . Suppose further that T_n is asymptotically normal, in the sense,

$$\sqrt{n} (T_n - q(\theta)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, \sigma^2(\theta)) \text{ and}$$

$$\sqrt{n} (E_\theta(T_n) - q(\theta)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

Then $E_\theta(T_n) = q(\theta) + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ so that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E_\theta(T_n) = q'(\theta) + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} q'(\theta).$$

Then the Information bound for $\sigma^2(\theta)$ reduces to $(q'(\theta))^2/I_1(\theta)$.

Definition. $T_n = T_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is said to be asymptotically efficient for estimating $q(\theta)$ if its asymptotic variance is

$$\sigma^2(\theta) = \frac{(q'(\theta))^2}{I_1(\theta)}.$$

Example. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d $N(\theta, 1)$. Then $I_1(\theta) = 1$. Consider $T_n^{(1)} = \bar{X}_n$. Then $\sqrt{n} \left(T_n^{(1)} - \theta \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, 1)$. Note that

$$1 = \sigma^2(\theta) = \frac{1^2}{1} = \frac{1}{I_1(\theta)},$$

so that $T_n^{(1)} = \bar{X}_n$ is asymptotically efficient. Now consider $T_n^{(2)} = \text{median}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$. Then $\sqrt{n} \left(T_n^{(2)} - \theta \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Note that, now,

$$\sigma^2(\theta) = \frac{\pi}{2} > \frac{1}{I_1(\theta)} = 1.$$

Thus $T_n^{(2)}$ is not asymptotically efficient.

Result. Under regularity conditions on the model density, MLE is consistent. i.e., $\hat{\theta}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} \theta$.

Result. Under regularity conditions on the model density, MLE is asymptotically normal and asymptotically efficient. i.e.,

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - \theta \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N \left(0, \frac{1}{I_1(\theta)} \right).$$

However, the conditions are typically hard to verify. It is easier to prove the result on a case by case basis using other standard limit theorems.

Result. Under regularity conditions on the model and the prior, the Bayes estimate, $\tilde{\theta} = E^\pi(\theta|\mathbf{X})$ is asymptotically normal and asymptotically efficient, satisfying

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\tilde{\theta}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - \theta \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N \left(0, \frac{1}{I_1(\theta)} \right).$$

Sketch of asymptotic normality for MLE assuming regularity conditions:

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be an i.i.d sequence from P_θ having density $f(x|\theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta$ which satisfies the regularity conditions to be specified in the proof below. We have

$$L(\theta, \mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i|\theta),$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \mathbf{X}) = \log L(\theta, \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(X_i|\theta),$$

Let $\hat{\theta}_n$ be the MLE of θ such that

$$\mathcal{L}'(\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{X}), \mathbf{X}) = 0.$$

Condition 1: \mathcal{L} is differentiable three times and $\mathcal{L}'(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0$.

Now we obtain,

$$0 = \mathcal{L}'(\hat{\theta}_n) = \mathcal{L}'(\theta) + (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)\mathcal{L}''(\theta) + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2\mathcal{L}'''(\theta_n^*),$$

where θ_n^* lies between $\hat{\theta}_n$ and θ . Therefore,

$$(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \left[\mathcal{L}''(\theta) + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)\mathcal{L}'''(\theta_n^*) \right] = -\mathcal{L}'(\theta).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) &= \frac{-\sqrt{n}\mathcal{L}'(\theta)}{\mathcal{L}''(\theta) + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)\mathcal{L}'''(\theta_n^*)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{L}'(\theta)}{-\frac{1}{n}\mathcal{L}''(\theta) - \frac{1}{2n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)\mathcal{L}'''(\theta_n^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{L}'''(\theta_n^*)$ is bounded (Condition 2), and that $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} \theta$ (Condition 3, consistency of MLE), we obtain,

$$-\frac{1}{2n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)\mathcal{L}'''(\theta_n^*) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} 0.$$

Note that, we can also get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathcal{L}'(\theta) &= \sqrt{n}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X_i|\theta), \text{ with} \\ E\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X|\theta)\right) &= 0, \quad (\text{Condition 4}) \\ E\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X|\theta)\right)^2 &= I_1(\theta). \quad (\text{Condition 5}) \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from CLT that

$$\sqrt{n} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X_i|\theta) - 0 \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, I_1(\theta)).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathcal{L}'(\theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, I_1(\theta)).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{n} \mathcal{L}''(\theta) &= -\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathcal{L}'(\theta) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X_i|\theta) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X_i|\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Now assuming (Condition 6) that

$$-E \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X|\theta) \right) = I_1(\theta),$$

we have from WLLN,

$$-\frac{1}{n} \mathcal{L}''(\theta) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X_i|\theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} I_1(\theta).$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} \frac{1}{I_1(\theta)} N(0, I_1(\theta)) = N \left(0, \frac{1}{I_1(\theta)} \right).$$

Variance Stabilizing Transformations

Result. Suppose we have an i.i.d sequence X_1, X_2, \dots with $E(X_i) = \mu(\theta)$ and $Var(X_i) = \sigma^2(\theta) < \infty$. Then, from CLT, we have

$$\sqrt{n} (\bar{X}_n - \mu(\theta)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, \sigma^2(\theta)).$$

Note, however, that $\text{s.e.}(\hat{\mu}) = \text{s.e.}(\bar{X}_n) = \sigma(\theta)/\sqrt{n}$ involves the usually unknown $\sigma^2(\theta)$. This may pose difficulties while using this for procedures such as large sample confidence intervals and tests. It is desirable to remove that dependence.

Recall that if h is differentiable and $h'(\mu) \neq 0$, then

$$\sqrt{n} (h(\bar{X}_n) - h(\mu)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, (h'(\mu))^2 \sigma^2).$$

If $(h'(\mu))^2 \sigma^2 = (h'(\mu(\theta)))^2 \sigma^2(\theta)$ can be made independent of θ for some h then the difficulty may be alleviated. For example, then, we could use

$$h(\bar{X}_n) \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad c^2 = (h'(\mu))^2 \sigma^2$$

as our confidence interval for $h(\mu)$. (Invert it to get a CI for μ .) In other words, $\frac{h(\bar{X}_n) - h(\mu)}{c/\sqrt{n}}$ becomes a pivot. *Variance stabilizing transformation* then is to find h such that $\sigma^2(\theta)(h'(\mu(\theta)))^2 \equiv c^2$.

Example. $S_n \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$. $\bar{X}_n = S_n/n$. $\mu(\theta) = \theta$.

$$\sqrt{n} (\bar{X}_n - \mu(\theta)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, \sigma^2(\theta) = \theta(1 - \theta)).$$

We require h such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2(\theta)(h'(\mu(\theta)))^2 &\equiv c^2 \text{ i.e.,} \\ (h'(\theta))^2 &= \frac{c^2}{\theta(1 - \theta)}, \text{ or} \\ h'(\theta) &= \frac{c}{\sqrt{\theta(1 - \theta)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Solving it, we get $h(\theta) \propto \sin^{-1}(\sqrt{\theta})$. Therefore the required transformation is $h(x) = \sin^{-1}(\sqrt{x})$. (Note, $\sin(h(x)) = \sqrt{x} \implies \cos(h(x))h'(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \implies h'(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x} \cos(h(x))} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x} \sqrt{1 - (\sqrt{x})^2}}.$)

Example. Y_1, Y_2, \dots i.i.d Poisson(λ). Then $\mu(\lambda) = \lambda = \sigma^2(\lambda)$. We have $\sqrt{n} (\bar{Y}_n - \lambda) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} N(0, \lambda)$. Find h such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2(\lambda)(h'(\lambda))^2 &\equiv c^2 \text{ i.e.,} \\ (h'(\lambda))^2 &= \frac{c^2}{\lambda}, \text{ or} \\ h'(\lambda) &= \frac{c}{\sqrt{\lambda}}. \end{aligned}$$

Solving it, we get $h(\lambda) \propto \sqrt{\lambda}$. Therefore the required transformation is $h(x) = \sqrt{x}$.