

# Analysis 4 - Lecture 3

28 January, 2022

**Example:** Let  $K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the algebra generated by  $\iota : z \mapsto z$  and the constant functions. That is,  $\mathcal{A} = \{\phi : z \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^n c_k z^k \mid c_k \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra that separates points of  $K$  and nowhere vanishes.  $\mathcal{A}$  is not dense in  $C(K)$ . Let  $f \in C(K)$ ,  $f : z \mapsto \bar{z}$ . We claim that  $f \notin \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ . If  $P_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $P_n \rightarrow f$ . Then, for all large  $n$  and for all  $z \in K$ ,  $|P_n(z) - \bar{z}| = |zP_n(z) - 1| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then,  $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta} P_n(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = 0$ .  $|\int_0^{2\pi} (zP_n(z) - 1) d\theta| = 2\pi \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\pi = \pi$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}$  is not dense in  $C(K)$ .  
Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra in  $C(X)$ . We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is self adjoint if for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{A}$  where  $\bar{f}(x) = \overline{f(x)}$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proposition:** If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra in  $C(X)$  that separates points of  $X$  and nowhere vanishes, then  $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = C(X)$  provided  $\mathcal{A}$  is self adjoint.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C(X)$ . Then, there are two functions  $f_r, f_s \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$  such that  $f = f_r + if_s$ . We have  $f_r = \frac{f+\bar{f}}{2}$  and  $f_s = \frac{f-\bar{f}}{2}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a self adjoint algebra, for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f_r, f_s \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f = f_r + if_s$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{A} \cap C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ . Verify that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is an algebra in  $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  be distinct. Then, there exists  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Either  $f_r(x) \neq f_r(y)$  or  $f_s(x) \neq f_s(y)$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}$  separates points of  $X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then, there exists  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f(x) \neq 0$ . This implies that  $f_r(x) \neq 0$  or  $f_s(x) \neq 0$ . So,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}$  nowhere vanishes. So,  $\overline{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}} = C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ . Given  $f \in C(X)$  there exist  $f_n, g_n$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f_r$  and  $g_n \rightarrow f_s$ . And,  $f_n + ig_n$  converges to  $f$ . So,  $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = C(X)$  □

**Theorem:**  $C(X)$  is separable.

*Proof.*  $X$  is separable. This implies that there is a subset  $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$  such that  $\overline{\{x_n\}} = X$ . Let  $f_n(x) = d(x_n, x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then,  $f_n \in C(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a + \sum_{k=1}^m a_{n_1, \dots, n_k} f_{n_1} \dots f_{n_k} \mid a, a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k} \in \mathbb{C}\}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is a self-adjoint algebra in  $C(X)$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  be distinct and let  $d(x, y) = \delta > 0$ . There exists  $x_n$  such that  $d(x, x_n) < \frac{\delta}{2}$ .  $f_n(x) < \frac{\delta}{2}$ .  $\delta = d(x, y) \leq d(x, x_n) + d(x_n, y)$ . So,  $\delta < \frac{\delta}{2} + f_n(y)$ . So,  $f_n(x) < \frac{\delta}{2} < f_n(y)$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  separates points of  $X$ . It is easy to see  $\mathcal{A}$  nowhere vanishes.  $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = C(X)$  Let  $E = \{a + \sum_{k=1}^m a_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} f_{n_1} \dots f_{n_k} \mid a, a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k} \in \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}\}$ . Then,  $E$  is a dense set in  $\mathcal{A}$  is countable and dense in  $\mathcal{A}$  and hence also in  $C(X)$ . □

$E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is compact if and only if  $E$  is closed and bounded.

Let  $E$  be a collection of functions in  $X$ . We say that  $E$  is pointwise bounded if to each  $x \in X$ , there exists a constant  $M_x > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq M_x$  for all  $f \in E$ . We say that  $E$  is equicontinuous if to each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $d(x, y) < \delta$  for all  $f \in E$ .

**Exercise:** If  $E \subseteq C(X)$  is such that  $\overline{E}$  is compact, then  $E$  is pointwise bounded and  $E$  is equicontinuous.

**Theorem:**(Arzèla-Arcoli) Let  $E \subseteq C(X)$ . Suppose that  $E$  is pointwise bounded and equicontinuous. Then,  $\overline{E}$  is compact.

*Proof.*  $X$  has a countable dense subset  $D$ . Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence in  $E$ . Let  $E \subseteq C(X)$  be pointwise bounded and equicontinuous. There exists a subsequence  $(f_{k_n})$  of  $(f_n)$  such that  $(f_{k_n}(x))$  converges for all  $x \in D$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  for all  $f \in E$ .  $\{B(x_n, \delta) | x_n \in D\}$  is an open cover for  $X$ . Since  $X$  is compact,  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m B(x_i, \delta)$  for  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in D$ . We can find  $N$  such that  $|f_{k_n}(x_i) - f_{k_m}(x_i)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  for  $n, m \geq N$  and all  $i$ . Let  $x \in X$  Then, there exists  $x_i$  such that  $d(x, x_i) < \delta$ . This implies that  $|f_{k_n}(x) - f_{k_m}(x)| \leq |f_{k_n}(x) - f_{k_n}(x_i)| + |f_{k_n}(x_i) - f_{k_m}(x_i)| + |f_{k_m}(x_i) - f_{k_m}(x)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon$ . That is,  $\exists N$  such that  $|f_{k_n}(x) - f_{k_m}(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq N$  and for all  $x$ . So,  $(f_{k_n})$  is Cauchy in  $C(X)$ . Therefore,  $f_{k_n}$  converges in  $C(X)$ . Thus, for any sequence in  $E \subseteq C(X)$  that is pointwise bounded and equicontinuous, has a convergent subsequence.  $\square$

**Exercise:**  $\overline{E}$  is compact.