

# Convex Optimization II

## Lecture 16: Regularization and Convexification

Hamed Shah-Mansouri

Department of Electrical Engineering  
Sharif University of Technology

1400-2

# MOTIVATIONS

- Regularization is a common approach to solve an ill-posed problem—one whose solution is not unique or is acutely sensitive to data perturbations. Regularization techniques construct a related problem whose solution is well behaved and deviates only slightly from a solution of the original problem.
- It also provides a platform to choose a solution with a desired property among many solutions of the problem.
- Regularization helps find sparse solutions with applications in signal processing, machine learning, and statistics.

[1] M.P. Friedlander and P. Tseng, "Exact regularization of convex programs", *SIAM Journal on Optimization*. vol. 18, no. 4, pp.1326–1350, Nov. 2007.

[2] D.P. Bertsekas, "Convexification procedures and decomposition methods for non-convex optimization problems", *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*. Vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 169–197, Oct.1979.

# OUTLINE

- Regularization
- Penalization
- Sparse Design
- Convexification

# REGULARIZATION

- **Regularization:** Construct a related problem whose solution is well behaved and has desired properties.
- **Deviations:** Deviations from solutions of the original problem are generally accepted as a trade-off for obtaining solutions with other desirable properties.
- **Exact Regularization:** However, it would be more desirable if solutions of the regularized problem are also solutions of the original problem.

# REGULARIZATION OF CONVEX PROGRAMS

Consider the general convex program

$$\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{P} : & \text{minimize} \quad f(x) \\ & \text{subject to} \quad x \in \mathcal{C}.\end{array}$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function,  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a nonempty closed convex set.

A popular technique is to regularize by adding a convex function to the objective with a nonnegative regularization parameter  $\delta$ .

$$\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{P}_\delta : & \text{minimize} \quad f(x) + \delta\phi(x) \\ & \text{subject to} \quad x \in \mathcal{C}.\end{array}$$

The regularization is **exact** if the solutions of Problem  $\mathbf{P}_\delta$  are also solutions of Problem  $\mathbf{P}$  for all values of  $\delta$  below some positive threshold value.

# REGULARIZATION OF CONVEX PROGRAMS

A related convex program that selects solutions of  $\mathbf{P}$  of least  $\phi$ -value

$$\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{P}^\phi : & \text{minimize } \phi(x) \\ & \text{subject to } f(x) \leq p^* \\ & x \in \mathcal{C}.\end{array}$$

where  $p^*$  denotes the optimal value of Problem  $\mathbf{P}$ .

Any solution of Problem  $\mathbf{P}^\phi$  is also a solution of  $P$ . The converse, however, does not generally hold.

# REGULARIZATION OF CONVEX PROGRAMS

Let  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_\delta$ , and  $\mathcal{S}^\phi$  denote the solution sets of Problems  $\mathbf{P}$ ,  $\mathbf{P}_\delta$ , and  $\mathbf{P}^\phi$ , respectively.

## Theorem

- 1 For any  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S}_\delta \subset \mathcal{S}^\phi$ .
- 2 If there exists a Lagrange multiplier  $\mu^*$  for Problem  $\mathbf{P}^\phi$ , then  $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S}_\delta = \mathcal{S}^\phi$  for all  $\delta \in (0, 1/\mu^*]$ .

The theorem says the regularization  $\mathbf{P}_\delta$  is **exact** if and only if the selection problem  $\mathbf{P}^\phi$  has a Lagrange multiplier  $\mu^*$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{S}_\delta = \mathcal{S}$  for all  $\delta < 1/\mu^*$ .

# PENALIZATION

Consider the convex program

$$\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{Q} & \text{minimize} \quad \phi(x) \\ & \text{subject to} \quad g_i(x) \leq 0, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m \\ & \quad \quad \quad x \in \mathcal{C}.\end{array}$$

where  $\phi, \mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_m)$  are real-valued convex functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a nonempty closed convex set.

The penalized form of above problem with positive penalty parameter is

$$\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{Q}^P & \text{minimize} \quad \phi(x) + \sigma P(\mathbf{g}(x)) \\ & \text{subject to} \quad x \in \mathcal{C}.\end{array}$$

where  $P : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a non-negative convex function having the property that  $P(u) = 0$  if and only if  $u \leq 0$ .



# EXACT PENALIZATION

## Theorem

Suppose that Problem  $\mathbf{Q}$  has a nonempty compact solution set. If there exist Lagrange multipliers  $\mu^*$  for  $\mathbf{Q}$ , then the penalized problem  $\mathbf{Q}^P$  has the same solution set as  $\mathbf{Q}$  for all  $\sigma > w(\mu^*)$ <sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup>Refer to [1] for more details on function  $w$ .

# ERROR BOUNDS

Even when the exact regularization cannot be achieved, we can still estimate the distance from  $\mathcal{S}_\sigma$  to  $\mathcal{S}$  in terms of  $\sigma$  and the growth rate of  $f$ . Refer to [1] for more details.

## EXAMPLE: SPARSE SOLUTIONS OF LP

An LP has many optimal solutions. In some applications, we may be interested in sparse or even the sparsest solutions.

- Consider a system of linear equations  $Ax = b$
- The **sparsest solution** can be obtained via

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \|x\|_0 \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b.\end{array}$$

which is computationally intractable. This can construct non-convex regularization.

- A **sparse solution** can be obtained via

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \|x\|_1 \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b.\end{array}$$

which can be transformed into LP.

# EXAMPLE: SPARSE SOLUTIONS OF LP

Consider a general LP problem

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b\end{array}$$

To find a sparse solution, we use the  $\ell_1$ -regularization

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & c^T x + \delta \|x\|_1 \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b\end{array}$$

First solve the equivalent  $\mathbf{P}^\phi$  to find  $\mu^*$ , then choose  $\delta \in (0, 1/\mu^*)$ .

# NON-CONVEX PROBLEMS

Consider the following possibly non-convex problem

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & g(x) \leq 0 \\ & h(x) = 0 \\ & x \in \mathbb{R}^n.\end{array}$$

The problem cannot be solved using primal-dual approach if it is **not convex**.

It is either impossible to define a dual function or the maximal value of the dual function is not equal to the optimal value of the primal problem.

**Question:** Is there any way to convert the problem into a convex one at least in a local area?

# CONVEXIFICATION

We consider the problem

$$\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{C} & \text{minimize} \quad f(x) + \delta \|y - x\|_2^2 \\ & \text{subject to} \quad g(x) \leq 0 \\ & \quad \quad \quad h(x) = 0 \\ & \quad \quad \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.\end{array}$$

where  $\delta > 0$  is some fixed scalar and  $y$  represents a vector of additional variables.

Clearly, a vector  $x^*$  is a local minimum of the original problem **P** if and only if  $(x^*, x^*)$  is a local minimum of Problem **C**.

Problem **C** has a locally convex structure for  $\delta$  large enough provided suitable second-order sufficiency conditions are satisfied at  $x^*$ .

Thus, Problem **C** may be solved by primal-dual methods. Moreover, if the original problem is separable, so is the convexified problem.