Convex Optimization II

Lecture 12: Routing Optimization

Hamed Shah-Mansouri

Department of Electrical Engineering Sharif University of Technology

1400-2

MOTIVATIONS

We would like to answer the following questions:

- How can we include routing in the generalized NUM?
- What are the choices for the objective functions in optimization-based routing?

References

[1] J. He, M. Bresler, M. Chiang, and J. Rexford, "Towards robust multi-layer traffic engineering: Optimization of congestion control and routing," *IEEE Journal of Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 868-880, June 2007.

Acknowledgment

Thanks to Prof. Vincent Wong for the slides.

• Recall from our previous lecture that the generalized NUM can be formulated as

$$\label{eq:maximize} \begin{split} \underset{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{w}, R}{\text{maximize}} & & \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} U_s(x_s) \\ \text{subject to} & & & R\boldsymbol{x} \preceq \boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{w}), \\ & & & & R \in \mathcal{R}, \quad \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathcal{W}. \end{split}$$

• Let us consider the case where w is fixed, but x and R are variables.

SINGLE-PATH VS. MULTIPATH ROUTING

- In general, we may consider two types of routing models:
 - ► Single-path routing:

$$R_{ls} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if flow } s \text{ is routed through link } l, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

► Multipath routing:

 $R_{ls} =$ portion of the traffic load of flow s which is routed through link l.

$$0 \le R_{ls} \le 1$$

- $\bullet \ \ Optimization-based \ single-path \ routing \quad \Rightarrow \quad Discrete \ optimization$
- ullet Optimization-based multipath routing \Rightarrow Continuous optimization
- In this lecture, we will only consider multipath routing.

FLOW CONSERVATION CONSTRAINT

- The routing matrix R (either single-path or multipath) should satisfy the flow conservation constraint.
- For each node n, let \mathcal{L}_{in}^n and \mathcal{L}_{out}^n denote the set of incoming and outgoing links.
- For each flow $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and each node $n \in \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$\sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{in}^n} R_{ls} - \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{out}^n} R_{ls} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is the destination of flow } s, \\ -1, & \text{if } n \text{ is the source of flow } s, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

GNUM for joint congestion control and multipath routing can be formulated as

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{x,R}{\text{maximize}} & & \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} U_s(x_s) \\ & \text{s. t.} & & \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} R_{ls} x_s \leq c_l, \quad \forall \ l \in \mathcal{L}, \\ & & x_s \geq 0, \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \\ & & R_{ls} \geq 0, \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \ l \in \mathcal{L}, \\ & & \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{in}^n} R_{ls} - \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{out}^n} R_{ls} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{if n is dest of s,} \\ -1, & \text{if n is src of s,} \end{array} \right. \ \forall n \in \mathcal{N}, \ s \in \mathcal{S}. \end{aligned}$$

- Question: Is this problem a convex optimization problem?
- Question: Reformulate the problem for single-path routing.

◆ロト ◆問 ト ◆ 恵 ト ◆ 恵 ・ 夕 Q (*)

- Problem (1) is not a convex optimization problem.
- Question: Do you have any suggestion to transform this problem?
- Next, we study two other alternatives:
 - ▶ Reformulating GNUM to end up with a convex optimization problem.
 - ► Formulating some problems (other than GNUM) which are tractable and work for a similar model as in problem (1) → Network cost minimization (NCM).

NEW CONSTANTS AND VARIABLES

- For each flow $s \in \mathcal{S}$, let \mathcal{J}_s denote the set of available paths for flow s.
- For each flow $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and path $j \in \mathcal{J}_s$, let \mathcal{L}_s^j denote the set of links along path j.

The multipath routing matrix is defined such that

 $R_{j,s}$ = portion of the traffic load of flow s which is routed via path j.

• The capacity constraints become

$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{j: l \in \mathcal{L}_s^j} R_{j,s} x_s \le c_l, \quad \forall l \in \mathcal{L}.$$

• It is also required that (Question: Why?)

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_s} R_{j,s} = 1, \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}.$$

GNUM for joint congestion control and multipath routing can be formulated as

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\boldsymbol{x},\ R}{\text{maximize}} & & \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} U_s(x_s) \\ & \text{subject to} & & \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{j \ : \ l \in \mathcal{L}_s^j} R_{j,s} x_s \leq c_l, \quad \forall \ l \in \mathcal{L}, \\ & & & \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_s} R_{j,s} = 1, \qquad \forall \ s \in \mathcal{S}, \\ & & & x_s \geq 0, \qquad \forall \ s \in \mathcal{S}, \\ & & & & R_{j,s} \geq 0, \qquad \forall \ s \in \mathcal{S}, \ j \in \mathcal{J}_s. \end{aligned}$$

- Question: What is the key difference between problem (2) and problem (1)? What do the rows and columns represent in *R*?
- Question: Is problem (2) a convex optimization problem?

◆□ → ◆圖 → ◆豊 → 豊 めのの

Hamed Shah-Mansouri (Sharif)

• Let us introduce some new variables as

$$y_{j,s} = R_{j,s} x_s,$$

where $y_{j,s}$ denotes the data rate of source s over path j.

• Problem (2) can be reformulated as

- Question: What is the difference between problem (3) and problem (2)?
- Question: Is problem (3) a convex optimization problem?

Hamed Shah-Mansouri (Sharif)

- Problems (2) and (3) are more tractable compared to problem (1). However, they require us to first find all possible paths before formulating the problem.
- Finding all possible paths can be difficult in practice, particularly in large-scale networks.
- Recall that the key difficulty in problem (1) is having product forms of R and x variables.
- Question: What if we only focus on routing, rather than joint routing and congestion control? What would be a good design objective in that case? Of course, we cannot think of NUM or GNUM anymore!

• Assume that end-to-end traffic demand is known from each source to each destination. That is, assume that the traffic matrix *X* is given:

 $X_{s,d}$ = traffic demand (data rate) from source node s to destination node d.

Routing variables are defined as

 $R_l^{s,d}$ = portion of traffic load of source s to destination d over link l.

• In this case, the traffic load on each link $l \in \mathcal{L}$ becomes

$$\sum_{s,d \in \mathcal{N}} R_l^{s,d} X_{s,d}$$

• Question: Given the above, what would be a good objective function?

◆□▶◆□▶◆壹▶◆壹▶ 壹 めので

• One design objective is to balance traffic in the network

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{R}{\text{minimize}} & & \underset{l \in \mathcal{L}}{\text{max}} \left(\sum_{s,d \in \mathcal{N}} R_l^{s,d} X_{s,d} \right) / c_l \\ & \text{s.t.} & & \sum_{s,d \in \mathcal{N}} R_l^{s,d} X_{s,d} \leq c_l, \quad \forall l \in \mathcal{L}, \\ & & \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{in}^n} R_l^{s,d} - \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{out}^n} R_l^{s,d} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{if } n = s, \\ -1, & \text{if } n = d, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right. \quad \forall n, s, d \in \mathcal{N}, \\ & & R_l^{s,d} \geq 0, \quad \forall n, s, d \in \mathcal{N}. \end{aligned}$$

• Question: Is problem (4) a convex optimization problem? Is it a linear program?

Hamed Shah-Mansouri (Sharif)

• We can replace the objective and introduce a new class of routing problems

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{R}{\text{minimize}} & & \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}} f_l \left(\sum_{s,d \in \mathcal{N}} R_l^{s,d} X_{s,d} / c_l \right) \\ & \text{s.t.} & & \sum_{s,d \in \mathcal{N}} R_l^{s,d} X_{s,d} \leq c_l, \quad \forall l \in \mathcal{L}, \\ & & \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{in}^n} R_l^{s,d} - \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{out}^n} R_l^{s,d} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{if } n = s, \\ -1, & \text{if } n = d, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right. \quad \forall n,s,d \in \mathcal{N}, \\ & & R_l^{s,d} \geq 0, \quad \forall n,s,d \in \mathcal{N}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_l(\cdot)$ is a convex cost function.

- Problem (5) can be interpreted as network cost minimization (NCM) problem.
- Question: Is problem (5) a convex optimization problem?

NUM vs. NCM

- NUM (and GNUM) are usually seen as a design from network users' viewpoint.
 - ▶ Maximizing user utilities is what the users want.
- NCM is usually seen as a design from network managers' viewpoint.
 - Minimizing the cost of the network is what the network managers want.
- Question: How can we combine NCM and NUM to have a trade-off between network users and managers interest?

NUM vs. NCM

• For example, we may formulate the following problem:

maximize
$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} U_s \left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_s} y_{j,s} \right) - \omega \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}} f_l \left(\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{j:l \in \mathcal{L}_s^j} y_{j,s} / c_l \right)$$
subject to
$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{j:l \in \mathcal{L}_s^j} y_{j,s} \le c_l, \quad \forall l \in \mathcal{L},$$

$$y_{j,s} \ge 0, \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \ j \in \mathcal{J}_s$$

$$(6)$$

where ω is a tuning parameter.

- Question: Is problem (6) convex?
- Question: Why optimal solution of problem (6) can be a good design?

◆□▶◆圖▶◆臺▶◆臺▶ 臺 からぐ

SUMMARY

- Routing problem can be considered as
 - Single-path routing
 - Multipath routing
- Flow conservation
- Generalized Network Utility Maximization (GNUM)
- Network Cost Minimization (NCM)
- Joint NUM and NCM