

# Introduction to Rings and Modules

Lecture 13, Wednesday June 7 2023  
Ari Feiglin

## Definition 13.0.1:

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is **finitely generated** if there exists a finite set  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq M$  such that  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle = M$ . Equivalently,  $M$  is finitely generated if and only if there exists  $m_1, \dots, m_n \in M$  such that every element  $m \in M$  can be written as a linear combination of  $m_i$ s.

## Definition 13.0.2:

If  $M$  and  $N$  are both  $R$ -modules, a **module homomorphism** from  $M$  to  $N$  is a function

$$f: M \longrightarrow N$$

such that  $f(m_1 + m_2) = f(m_1) + f(m_2)$  for every  $m_1, m_2 \in M$  and  $f(rm) = rf(m)$  for all  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . If  $f$  is injective, surjective, or bijective then  $f$  is also called a monomorphism, epimorphism, or isomorphism respectively. And the **kernel** of a module homomorphism is

$$\text{Ker}(f) = f^{-1}(0_N) = \{m \in M \mid f(m) = 0_N\}$$

Note that since module homomorphisms are group homomorphisms, a module homomorphism is injective if and only if its kernel is trivial.

Note that if  $R$  is a field, module homomorphisms are exactly linear transformations. And if  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  then the condition that  $f(rm) = rf(m)$  is redundant as  $f(rm) = f(m + \dots + m) = f(m) + \dots + f(m) = rf(m)$ , so if  $M$  and  $N$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules (abelian groups) then module homomorphisms are simply abelian group homomorphisms.

## Proposition 13.0.3:

If  $f: M \longrightarrow N$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules then

- (1)  $M' \subseteq M$  is a submodule then  $f(M') \subseteq N$  is also a submodule.
- (2) If  $N' \subseteq N$  is a submodule then so is  $f^{-1}(N')$ .

## Proof:

- (1) Let  $f(m_1), f(m_2) \in f(M')$  then  $f(m_1) + f(m_2) = f(m_1 + m_2)$  and since  $M'$  is a submodule,  $m_1 + m_2 \in M'$  so  $f(m_1) + f(m_2) \in f(M')$  as required. And if  $f(m) \in f(M')$  and  $r \in R$  then  $rf(m) = f(rm) \in f(M')$  since  $rm \in M'$  since it is a submodule.
- (2) If  $m_1, m_2 \in f^{-1}(N')$  then  $f(m_1), f(m_2) \in N'$  so  $f(m_1) + f(m_2) \in N'$  so  $m_1 + m_2 \in f^{-1}(N')$  as required. And if  $m \in f^{-1}(N')$  and  $r \in R$  then  $f(rm) = rf(m) \in N'$  since  $f(m) \in N'$  which is a submodule, so  $rm \in f^{-1}(N')$  as required. ■

Note that since  $\{0_N\} \subseteq N$  is a submodule,  $\text{Ker}(f) = f^{-1}\{0_N\}$  is a submodule of  $M$ .

If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module and  $N \subseteq M$  is a submodule, in particular it is a (normal, as the group is abelian) subgroup. Then we can discuss the quotient group  $M/N$ , which already has addition defined by

$$(m_1 + N) + (m_2 + N) = (m_1 + m_2) + N$$

and we define scalar multiplication by

$$r(m + N) = rm + N$$

This is well defined since if  $m_1 + N = m_2 + N$  then  $m_1 - m_2 \in N$ , and so  $r(m_1 - m_2) \in N$  (since it is a submodule), so  $rm_1 - rm_2 \in N$  so  $rm_1 + N = rm_2 + N$  as required.

**Definition 13.0.4:**

If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module and  $N$  is a submodule of  $M$ , then  $M/N$  obtains a module structure where

$$(m_1 + N) + (m_2 + N) = (m_1 + m_2) + N$$

and

$$r(m + N) = rm + N$$

**Theorem 13.0.5 (First Isomorphism Theorem for Modules):**

If  $f: M \longrightarrow N$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules, then

$$M/\text{Ker}(f) \cong \text{Im}(f)$$

Recall that  $\text{Im}(f) = f(M)$  is a submodule of  $N$ .

**Proof:**

Define a module isomorphism by

$$\varphi(m + \text{Ker}(f)) = f(m)$$

this is well-defined since this can be viewed as a group homomorphism and we know this is well-defined for groups. Or we can show it directly: if  $m + \text{Ker}(f) = n + \text{Ker}(f)$  then  $m - n \in \text{Ker}(f)$  so  $f(m - n) = f(m) - f(n) = 0$  so  $f(m) = f(n)$ .

Again, since this is a group homomorphism we know that  $\varphi((m + \text{Ker}(f)) + (n + \text{Ker}(f))) = \varphi(m + \text{Ker}(f)) + \varphi(n + \text{Ker}(f))$  (this is also trivial to show). And it satisfies the condition for scalar multiplication as

$$\varphi(r(m + \text{Ker}(f))) = \varphi(rm + \text{Ker}(f)) = f(rm) = rf(m) = r\varphi(m + \text{Ker}(f))$$

as required.

Again, since this is a group homomorphism which we know is an isomorphism, it is an isomorphism as a module homomorphism. ■

**Proposition 13.0.6:**

If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module and  $N$  is a submodule where both  $N$  and  $M/N$  are finitely generated, then so is  $M$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  be a set of generators for  $N$ , and let  $m_1 + N, \dots, m_s + N$  be a set of generators for  $M/N$ . Then let  $m \in M$ , so there exist  $r_1, \dots, r_s \in R$  where

$$m + N = \sum_{i=1}^s r_i(m_i + N) = \sum_{i=1}^s r_i m_i + N$$

This means that

$$m - \sum_{i=1}^s r_i m_i \in N$$

and so there exist  $s_1, \dots, s_k \in R$  such that

$$m - \sum_{i=1}^s r_i m_i = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i n_i \implies m = \sum_{i=1}^s r_i m_i + \sum_{i=1}^k s_i n_i$$

so  $\{n_1, \dots, n_k, m_1, \dots, m_s\}$  generates  $M$ . ■

Recall the following definitions

**Definition 13.0.7:**

If  $R$  is a ring, then  $R$  is (left/right/two-sided) **Noetherian** if every ascending chain of (left/right/two-sided) ideals stabilizes, and  $R$  is (left/right/two-sided) **Artinian** if every descending chain of (left/right/two-sided) ideals stabilizes.

**Theorem 13.0.8 (Hopkins-Levitzki Theorem):**

Every (left/right/two-sided) Artinian ring is (left/right/two-sided) Noetherian.

We prove this for the case that  $R$  is commutative.

**Proof:**

Recall that  $R$  is Noetherian if and only if every ideal is finitely generated. Suppose that  $R$  is not Noetherian, so there exists an ideal which is not finitely generated. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{I \trianglelefteq R \mid I \text{ is not finitely generated}\}$$

There exists an  $I \in \mathcal{S}$  which is minimal. Otherwise we could create an infinite chain of ideals  $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots$ , which contradicts  $R$  being Artinian. Thus  $I$  is not finitely generated but every ideal  $J \subset I$  is.

Note that for  $r \in R$ , if  $J$  is an ideal of  $R$  then so is  $rJ$ . We know  $0_R = r0_R \in rJ$ . And  $ra + rb = r(a + b) \in rJ$ , so  $rI$  is closed under addition. And  $-ra = r(-a) \in rJ$  so  $rJ$  is closed under inverses. And if  $s \in R$  and  $ra \in rJ$  then  $s(ra) = r(sa) \in rJ$  so  $rI$  is closed under multiplication by  $R$ .

We claim that if  $r \in R$  then  $rI = \{ra \mid a \in I\}$   $rI = I$  or  $rI = (0)$ . This is true since  $rI \subseteq I$ , so if  $rI \neq I$ , then  $rI$  is finitely generated. Since  $I$  and  $rI$  are ideals of  $R$  and therefore  $R$ -modules, we can look at the module homomorphism

$$f: I \longrightarrow rI, \quad f(a) = ra$$

This is obviously a homomorphism:  $f(a + b) = r(a + b) = ra + rb = f(a) + f(b)$  and  $f(sa) = r(sa) = s(ra) = sf(a)$ . This is also obviously surjective. If  $\text{Ker } f = I$  then  $rI = (0)$  (since  $rI = f(I)$ ) and we are finished. Otherwise,  $\text{Ker } f \subset I$  and by the minimality of  $I$  this means that  $\text{Ker } f$  is finite generated (since  $\text{Ker } f$  is an  $R$ -module, it is an ideal of  $R$ ). Since

$$rI = f(I) \cong I / \text{Ker } f$$

and since  $rI$  is finitely generated, this means that so is  $I / \text{Ker } f$ . So  $I / \text{Ker } f$  and  $\text{Ker } f$  are both finitely generated and therefore so is  $I$ , in contradiction.

We take a break from this proof to define more objects and prove results.

**Definition 13.0.9:**

Suppose  $R$  is a ring, and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. The **annihilator** of  $M$  is defined as

$$\text{Ann}_R(M) = \{r \in R \mid \forall m \in M: rm = 0_M\}$$

**Proposition 13.0.10:**

$\text{Ann}_R(M)$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R$ .

**Proof:**

Firstly it is obvious that  $0_R \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$ , if  $r, s \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$  then let  $m \in M$  we get

$$(r + s)m = rm + sm = 0_M$$

so  $r + s \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$  and if  $r \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$  then

$$(-r)m = (-1_R)rm = 0_M$$

so  $-r \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$  so  $\text{Ann}_R(M)$  is a subgroup of  $R$ . And if  $r \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$  and  $s \in R$  then for any  $m \in M$  it is obvious

that  $(sr)m = s(rm) = 0_M$  so  $sr \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$  and  $(rs)m = r(sm) = 0_M$  since  $sm \in M$  so  $rs \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$  meaning  $\text{Ann}_R(M)$  is closed under multiplication by  $R$  on both sides, and is therefore a two-sided ideal. ■

So if  $M$  is an  $R$ -module, there is a natural extension of it to a  ${}^R/\text{Ann}_R(M)$ -module by

$$(r + \text{Ann}_R(M)) \cdot m = rm$$

This is well-defined since if  $r + \text{Ann}_R(M) = s + \text{Ann}_R(M)$  then  $r - s \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$  so  $(r - s)m = 0_M$  meaning  $rm = sm$ . Let us return to our proof of **Hopkins-Levitzki Theorem**:

So we have a commutative Artinian ring  $R$ , and an ideal  $I \trianglelefteq R$  which is not finitely generated, but any ideal  $J \subset I$  is. We showed that for any  $r \in R$ ,  $rI$  is either  $I$  or  $(0)$ .

We now claim that  $\text{Ann}_R(I) \trianglelefteq R$  is a prime ideal. We showed above that it is an ideal. Suppose that  $rs \in \text{Ann}_R(I)$  and suppose  $r \notin \text{Ann}_R(I)$  then  $rI \neq (0)$  since  $\text{Ann}_R(I) = \{r \in R \mid rI = (0)\}$ . So  $rI = I$ . And so we get that

$$sI = s(rI) = (sr)I = (rs)I = (0)$$

since  $rs \in \text{Ann}_R(I)$  and so  $s \in \text{Ann}_R(I)$  meaning  $\text{Ann}_R(I)$  is prime.

We showed that quotients of Artinian rings are Artinian, and since  $\text{Ann}_R(I)$  is prime we get that  ${}^R/\text{Ann}_R(I)$  is an Artinian integral domain. We showed that this means that  $F = {}^R/\text{Ann}_R(I)$  is a field, and since  $I$  is an  $R$ -module, it is also a  $F = {}^R/\text{Ann}_R(I)$ , ie. a linear space over  $F$ . Since  $I$  is not finitely generated in  $R$ , it is not finitely generated in  $F$ . This is because  $(r + \text{Ann}_R(I))i = ri$ , so any generating set in  $F$  induces a generating set of the same cardinality in  $R$ . Thus  $I$ 's dimension is infinite.

Let  $B$  be a basis of  $I$ , and let  $b \in B$ . Then  $B \setminus \{b\}$  is a basis for a subspace  $V \subset I$ .  $V$  must be an ideal in  $R$  since for every  $r \in R$ ,  $rv = (r + \text{Ann}_R(I))v \in V$ . Since  $V \subset I$  by  $I$ 's minimality,  $V$  must be finitely generated and so has finite dimension. But  $B \setminus \{b\}$  is infinite and is a basis for  $V$  so  $V$  has infinite dimension, in contradiction. ■