## Introduction to Rings and Modules

Lecture 23, Friday June 30 2023 Ari Feiglin

## Lemma 23.0.1 (Brauer's Lemma):

If R is a ring (not necessarily commutative) and  $(0) \neq I \leq R$  is a minimal left ideal, suppose  $I^2 \neq (0)$  then there exists an idempotent element  $e \in R$  such that

- (1) I = Re
- (2) eRe is a division ring

## **Proof:**

Since  $I^2 = \{\sum_{k=0}^n y_k x_k \mid x_k, y_k \in I\}$ , there exist  $x, y \in I$  such that  $yx \neq 0$ . Let us focus on  $Ix = \{ax \mid a \in I\}$ , we claim that this is a left ideal of R. Since if  $ax, bx \in Ix$  then  $ax + bx = (a + b)x \in Ix$  and if  $ax \in Ix$  and  $b \in R$  then  $b(ax) = (ba)x \in Ix$  since I is a left ideal. Thus Ix is closed under addition and multiplication by R as required. And since  $y \in I$  and  $yx \neq 0$  we have  $Ix \neq 0$ .

Thus we have that  $(0) \neq Ix \subseteq Rx \subseteq I$ . And since I is minimal we have Ix = Rx = I. And since  $x \in I$  this means  $x \in Ix$  and so there exists an  $e \in I$  such that x = ex. We will show that e has the desired properties. Notice that

$$e^2x = e(ex) = ex = x$$

and so

$$(e^2 - e)x = x - x = 0$$

and thus  $e^2 - e \in \operatorname{Ann}_R(x) = \{r \in R \mid rx = 0\}$  which is a left ideal of R. And so  $I \cap \operatorname{Ann}_R(x)$  is a left ideal of R, but since  $e \in I$  and  $ex = x \neq 0$ ,  $e \notin \operatorname{Ann}_R(x)$  and so  $I \cap \operatorname{Ann}_R(x)$  is a proper subset of I, and since I is minimal this means that  $I \cap \operatorname{Ann}_R(x) = (0)$ , but  $e^2 - e \in I \cap \operatorname{Ann}_R(x)$  and so  $e^2 = e$ , meaning e is idempotent as required.

Now we claim I = Re. Obviously  $Re \subseteq I$  since  $e \in I$ , and since  $e \neq 0$  (since  $ex = x \neq 0$ ) we have  $Re \neq (0)$  is a non-zero ideal. By I's minimality this means I = Re.

Now we claim that eRe is a division ring. We showed last lecture that  $e \in eRe$  is its identity, so let  $0 \neq eae \in eRe$  for some  $a \in R$ . Then  $eae \in eRe \subseteq Re = I$ , and thus  $(0) \neq Reae \subseteq I$ , meaning I = Reae, and since  $e \in I$  this means there exists a  $r \in R$  such that reae = e. And so  $ere \in eRe$ , and

$$(ere)(eae) = ereae = e(reae) = e^2 = e$$

And so every element in eRe has a left inverse, and we know this means that every element in eRe has an inverse (if every element of R has a left inverse, then every element of R has an inverse: let  $a \in R$  then there exists a  $b \in R$  such that ba = 1, but there also exists a  $c \in R$  such that cb = 1. And so c(ba) = c and (cb)a = a so a = c and in particular ba = ab = 1.) Thus eRe is a division ring.

We claim that if D is a division ring and M is a (left/right) D-module, then M is free (has a basis) and all two bases of M have the same cardinality. We proved this in linear algebra for fields (commutative division rings), the proof here is the same.

Theorem 23.0.2 (Wedderburn's Theorem):

Suppose R is a simple ring, then if R has a minimal left ideal then there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a division ring D such that  $R \cong M_n(D)$ .

## **Proof:**

Let I be R's minimal left ideal. Then I = RI, and on the other hand IR is a two-sided ideal of R. This is because if

 $b \in IR$  then  $b = \sum_{k=0}^{n} i_k r_k$ , and if  $r \in R$ :

$$rb = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (ri_k) r_k \in IR$$

since I is a left ideal so  $ri_k \in I$ . And

$$br = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} i_k(r_k r) \in IR$$

But since  $I \neq (0)$ ,  $IR \neq (0)$ . But R is simple so IR = R.

$$R = RR = IRIR = I(RI)R = I^2R$$

And so  $I^2 \neq (0)$ , so by **Brauer's Lemma** there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that I = Re and eRe is a division ring. Let us denote D = eRe. Since  $D \subseteq Re = I$ , and I is closed under multiplication by its own elements (from the left and right), it is closed under multiplication by elements of D. Thus I can be given the structure of a right D-module by  $a \cdot d = ad$ .

Let  $\operatorname{End}_D(I)$  be the set of all endomorphisms of I as a D-module, then we claim  $R \cong \operatorname{End}_D(I)$ . Let us define a function

$$\varphi \colon R \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_D(I), \quad \varphi(r) = \varphi_r$$

where

$$\varphi_r \colon I \longrightarrow I, \quad \varphi_r(a) = ra$$

 $\varphi_r$  is well-defined since  $ra \in I$  so  $\varphi_r$  is indeed a function over I, and  $\varphi$  is well-defined since

$$\varphi_r(a+b) = r(a+b) = ra + rb = \varphi_r(a) + \varphi_r(b)$$

and

$$\varphi_r(ad) = r(ad) = (ra)d = \varphi_r(a)d$$

Thus  $\varphi(r) \in \operatorname{End}_D(I)$  as required. We further claim that  $\varphi$  is actually an isomorphism. It is a homomorphism since

$$\varphi_{r+s}(a) = (r+s)a = ra + sa = \varphi_r(a) + \varphi_s(a) \implies \varphi(r+s) = \varphi(r) + \varphi(s)$$
$$\varphi_{rs}(a) = rsa = r(sa) = \varphi_r(\varphi_s(a)) \implies \varphi(rs) = \varphi(r) \circ \varphi(s)$$
$$\varphi_1(a) = 1a = a \implies \varphi(1) = id$$

 $\varphi$  is injective since if  $r \in \text{Ker}(\varphi)$  then  $\varphi_r = 0$ . Recall IR = R, and so rI = (0), and rR = rIR = (0), but if  $r \neq 0$  then  $r \in rR$  and so  $rR \neq (0)$  meaning r = 0, so  $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = (0)$  as required.

Now suppose  $\psi: I \longrightarrow I$  is a function such that  $\psi \in \operatorname{End}_D(I)$ . Recall I = Re and so  $1 \in R = IR = ReR$  and thus

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i e s_i$$

for  $r_i, s_i \in R$ . Let  $a \in I$  then since I = Re, we have a = be for  $b \in R$  and thus

$$\psi(a) = \psi(be) = \psi(1 \cdot be) = \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i e s_i b e\right)$$

the summands are in Re = I and so

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \psi(r_i e s_i b e) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \psi(r_i) e s_i b e = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \psi(r_i) e s_i\right) b e$$

Let the sum be equal to r, and so we have that

$$\psi(a) = r \cdot be = ra$$

and so  $\psi = \varphi(r)$ , meaning  $\varphi$  is surjective. Thus  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism,  $R \cong \operatorname{End}_D(I)$ . Now, since I is a D-module, it is free. We claim it is also finitely-generated. Let

$$J = \{ \varphi \in \operatorname{End}_D(I) \mid \varphi(I) \text{ is a finitely-generated } D\text{-module} \}$$

We claim that J is a two-sided ideal of  $\operatorname{End}_D(I)$ . Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in J$  then  $\varphi_1(I)$  and  $\varphi_2(I)$  are both finitely generated and so if we take the union of generating sets of theirs, we get a finite set which generates  $\varphi_1(I) + \varphi_2(I) = (\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(I)$ . And if  $\psi \in \operatorname{End}_D(I)$  and  $\varphi \in J$  then suppose  $I = a_1D + \cdots + a_nD$ , so

$$(\psi \circ \varphi)(I) = \psi(\varphi(I)) = \psi(a_1D + \dots + a_nD) = \psi(a_1)D + \dots + \psi(a_n)D$$

and so  $\psi \circ \varphi(I)$  is also finitely-generated. And

$$(\varphi \circ \psi)(I) = \varphi(\psi(I)) \subseteq \varphi(I)$$

and so  $\varphi \circ \psi, \psi \circ \varphi \in J$ . Thus  $J \leq \operatorname{End}_D(I)$  is a two-sided ideal as required. Let B be a basis of I, and let  $b \in B$  then

$$I \cong bD \times \langle B \setminus \{b\} \rangle$$

then we can define  $\psi \colon I \longrightarrow bD$  which projects elements of I to their component in bD.  $\psi$  is an endomorphism, and  $\psi(I) = bD$  which is a cyclic D-module, and therefore finitely-generated so  $\psi \in J$ , and in particular  $J \neq (0)$ . But since  $\operatorname{End}_D(I) \cong R$  which is simple,  $J = \operatorname{End}_D(I)$ . And since  $\operatorname{id} \in \operatorname{End}_D(I)$ , this means that  $\operatorname{id}(I) = I$  is finitely-generated as a D module.

Let  $b_1, \ldots, b_n$  be a basis of I as a D-module, then we can construct an isomorphism

$$R \cong \operatorname{End}_D(I) \cong M_n(D)$$

since endomorphisms over a finitely generated module are isomorphic to matrices (as shown in linear algebra).