

Real Analysis

Real Analysis Modern Techniques and Their Applications, Gerald B. Folland
Summary by Ari Feiglin

Contents

1	Measures	1
	1.1 σ -Algebras	1
2	Index	4

the 1990s, the number of people in the UK who are employed in the public sector has increased by 1.5 million, from 2.5 million in 1980 to 4 million in 1999. The public sector has become a major employer in the UK, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy.

The public sector has also become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy. The public sector has become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy.

The public sector has also become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy. The public sector has become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy.

The public sector has also become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy. The public sector has become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy.

The public sector has also become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy. The public sector has become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy.

The public sector has also become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy. The public sector has become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy.

The public sector has also become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy. The public sector has become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy.

The public sector has also become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy. The public sector has become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy.

The public sector has also become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy. The public sector has become a major provider of social services, and its growth has been a key factor in the overall growth of the economy.

1 Measures

1.1 σ -Algebras

1.1.1 Definition

Let X be a nonempty set, then an **algebra** of sets on X is a nonempty collection $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ which is closed under finite unions and complements. Meaning if $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{A}$ then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \in \mathcal{A}$ and if $E \in \mathcal{A}$ then $E^c \in \mathcal{A}$. If \mathcal{A} is closed under countable unions, then it is called a **σ -algebra**.

Notice that since $\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i = \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} E_i^c \right)^c$, algebras (respectively σ -algebras) are closed under finite (respectively countable) intersections. And if \mathcal{A} is an algebra then since it is non-empty, there exists some $E \in \mathcal{A}$ and so $E \cap E^c = \emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\emptyset^c = X \in \mathcal{A}$.

Further notice that if \mathcal{A} is an algebra, it is sufficient for it to be closed under countable *disjoint* unions in order for it to be a σ -algebra. Suppose $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ then let us define

$$F_k = E_k \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} E_i \right)^c$$

then $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ are disjoint and $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty F_i$ and since $F_k \in \mathcal{A}$ since it is an algebra, and \mathcal{A} is closed under countable disjoint unions, the union is in \mathcal{A} . So \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra.

Some trivial examples of σ -algebras are $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\{\emptyset, X\}$. If X is uncountable then

$$\mathcal{A} = \{E \subseteq X \mid E \text{ is countable or cocountable}\}$$

(cocountable meaning its complement is countable.) \mathcal{A} is obviously closed under complements and is nonempty. If $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ then if all E_i are countable then $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i$ is also countable and in \mathcal{A} . Otherwise if any E_i is cocountable, so is the union.

Notice that if $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an arbitrary family of σ -algebras on X , then so is $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$. This is nonempty since it contains \emptyset ; if $E \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ then $E \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and so $E^c \in \mathcal{A}_i$ for every $i \in I$, meaning $E^c \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$; and similarly if $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ then $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{A}_i$ and so $\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty E_j \in \mathcal{A}_i$ for every $i \in I$, and so $\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty E_j \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ as required. Thus if \mathcal{E} is an arbitrary family of subsets of X , we can discuss the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{E} :

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}) := \bigcap \{ \mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{A} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra containing } \mathcal{E} \}$$

We will often use the following argument:

1.1.2 Lemma

If $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ then $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$.

Since $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ is a σ -algebra containing \mathcal{E} , it must contain $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$. ■

1.1.3 Definition

If X is a topological space (in particular a metric space), then the σ -algebra generated by the set of open sets in X (the topology) is called the **Borel σ -algebra** on X , and is denoted \mathcal{B}_X . Members of \mathcal{B}_X are called **Borel sets**.

Examples of Borel sets are open and closed sets, countable intersections of open sets, countable unions of closed sets, etc. In general a countable intersection of open sets is called a G_δ set, a countable union of closed sets is a F_σ set, a countable union of G_δ sets is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set, a countable intersection of F_σ sets is a $F_{\sigma\delta}$ set, and so on. This is called the *Borel hierarchy*.

The Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R} plays a foundational role in what is to come.

1.1.4 Proposition

$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be generated by each of the following:

- (1) the open intervals: $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{(a, b) \mid a < b\}$,
- (2) the closed intervals: $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{[a, b] \mid a < b\}$,
- (3) the half open intervals: $\mathcal{E}_3 = \{(a, b] \mid a < b\}$ or $\mathcal{E}_4 = \{[b, a) \mid a < b\}$,
- (4) the open rays: $\mathcal{E}_5 = \{(a, \infty)\}$ or $\mathcal{E}_6 = \{(-\infty, a)\}$,
- (5) the closed rays: $\mathcal{E}_7 = \{[a, \infty)\}$ or $\mathcal{E}_8 = \{(-\infty, a]\}$.

\mathcal{E}_1 generates $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ since every open set is the countable union of open intervals, and so $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$: the first inclusion is due to lemma 1.1.2 and the second is since \mathcal{E}_1 contains only open sets. Elements of \mathcal{E}_j for all j are either G_δ or F_δ sets, for example $(a, b] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, b + n^{-1})$, and so $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_j) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ by lemma 1.1.2. It is readily verifiable that open intervals can be generated by any \mathcal{E}_j and so $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_j)$ for every other j , and so all \mathcal{E}_j generate $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$. For example, $(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a + n^{-1}, b - n^{-1}]$. ■

1.1.5 Definition

If $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a collection of nonempty sets, let $X = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ be their direct product and $\pi_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ be the coordinate maps: $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \mapsto x_\alpha$. If \mathcal{M}_α is a σ -algebra on X_α for each $\alpha \in A$, then we define their **product σ -algebra** to be the σ -algebra generated by

$$\{\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha) \mid E_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$$

This is denoted by $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_\alpha$.

1.1.6 Proposition

If A is countable then $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ is the σ -algebra generated by $\mathcal{E} = \{\prod_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha \mid E_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha\}$.

If $E_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ then $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha) = \prod_{\beta \in A} E_\beta$ where $E_\beta = X_\beta$ for $\beta \neq \alpha$, and so elements of the generating set of the product algebra are in \mathcal{E} so $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$. Conversely $\prod_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha)$ which is a countable union and is therefore in $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_\alpha$. So by lemma 1.1.2, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_\alpha$. ■

1.1.7 Proposition

If \mathcal{M}_α is generated by \mathcal{E}_α for every $\alpha \in A$ then $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ is generated by $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha) \mid E_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$. If A is countable and $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in A$ then $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ is generated by $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{\prod_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha \mid E_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$.

Obviously $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}_1) \subseteq \bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_\alpha$. Conversely, $\{E \subseteq X_\alpha \mid \pi_\alpha^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}_1)\}$ is easily seen to be a σ -algebra on X_α which contains \mathcal{E}_α and therefore $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_\alpha) = \mathcal{M}_\alpha$. Thus $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}_1)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$, which means that $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}_1)$ as required. The second assertion follows from the first. ■

1.1.8 Proposition

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be metric spaces and let $X = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ be equipped with the product metric (maximum). Then $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{X_i} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_X$. If the X_i s are separable then $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{X_i} = \mathcal{B}_X$.

By the above proposition, $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{X_i}$ is generated by the sets $\pi_i^{-1}(U_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ where U_i is open in X_i . Since these sets are open X , $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{X_i} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_X$. Now suppose C_i is countably dense in X_i and let \mathcal{E}_i be the collection of balls in X_i centered around points in C_i with rational radii. Every open set in X_i is a union of elements of \mathcal{E}_i , a countable union since \mathcal{E}_i is countable, so \mathcal{B}_{X_i} is generated by \mathcal{E}_i . Furthermore, the set of points in X whose i th coordinate is in C_i for all i is a countable dense subset of X . Balls of radius r in X are simply products of balls of radius r in the X_i so X is generated by $\{\prod_{i=1}^n E_i \mid E_i \in \mathcal{E}_i\}$ which also generated $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{X_i}$ by the above proposition. ■

1.1.9 Corollary

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

1.1.10 Definition

An **elementary family** on X is a collection \mathcal{E} of subsets of X such that

- (1) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$,
- (2) if $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$ then $E \cap F \in \mathcal{E}$,
- (3) if $E \in \mathcal{E}$ then E^c is a finite disjoint union of members of \mathcal{E} .

1.1.11 Proposition

If \mathcal{E} is an elementary family then the collection \mathcal{A} of finite disjoint unions of members of \mathcal{E} is an algebra.

If $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$ and $B^c = \bigcup_{i=1}^I C_i$ where $C_i \in \mathcal{E}$ are disjoint, then $A \setminus B = \bigcup_{i=1}^I (A \cap C_i) \in \mathcal{E}$ and $A \cup B = (A \setminus B) \cup B$. Thus $A \setminus B, A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$. By induction if $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{E}$, $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \in \mathcal{A}$: we can assume that A_1, \dots, A_{n-1} are disjoint (since their union is in \mathcal{A} which is the set of disjoint unions), and then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = A_n \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (A_i \setminus A_n)$ which is a disjoint union (of disjoint unions of elements in \mathcal{E}) and so is in \mathcal{A} .

To show that \mathcal{A} is closed under complements, suppose $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{E}$ are disjoint and $A_m^c = \bigcup_{j=1}^{J_m} B_m^j$ then

$$\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^n A_m \right)^c = \bigcap_{m=1}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^{J_m} B_m^j = \bigcup \left\{ B_1^{j_1} \cap \dots \cap B_n^{j_n} \mid 1 \leq j_m \leq J_m, 1 \leq m \leq n \right\}$$

which is a disjoint union of elements in \mathcal{E} , and so is in \mathcal{A} . ■

2 Index

Algebra: 1

Borel hierarchy: 1

Borel set: 1

See also: Borel σ -algebra

Elementary family: 3

Sigma-algebra: 1

Borel: 1