

# Introduction to Rings and Modules

Lecture 7, Monday May 8 2023  
Ari Feiglin

## Definition 7.0.1:

An integral domain  $R$  is called a **euclidean domain** if there exists a function

$$N: R \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$$

such that  $N(0_R) = 0$  and for every  $a, b \in R$  where  $b \neq 0$ , there exist  $q, r \in R$  such that  $a = bq + r$  and  $r = 0$  and  $N(r) < N(b)$ .

## Example 7.0.2:

- (1)  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  is a euclidean domain with norm  $N(a) = |a|$  (by the euclidean algorithm).
- (2) If  $F$  is a field and  $N(a) = 0$  then for every  $a \in F$  and  $0 \neq b \in F$  we have  $a = b(b^{-1}a) + 0$ .
- (3) If  $R = F[x]$  where  $F$  is a field, let  $N(p)$  be the degree of the polynomial  $p$  (the maximum index of  $x^k$  whose coefficient is non-zero). But we need to show that  $R$  is an integral domain, but we showed that if  $R$  is an integral domain, so is  $R[x]$  (since the leading coefficient of the product of two polynomials is  $a_nb_m$ , and if this is zero, then  $a_nb_m = 0$  so  $a_n = 0$  or  $b_m = 0$  in contradiction).
- (4)  $R = \mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a + b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , this is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$  since it is obviously an additive subgroup, and

$$(a + bi)(c + di) = ac - bd + i(ad + bc) \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$$

and  $1 = 1 + 0i \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ , so  $\mathbb{Z}[i] \leq \mathbb{C}$  as required.  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is an integral domain since  $\mathbb{C}$  is a field (and therefore an integral domain).

The norm here is  $N(z) = |z|^2$ , which is natural as it is equal to  $a^2 + b^2$ . Obviously  $N(0) = 0$ . Notice that the norm is multiplicative:  $N(zw) = |zw|^2 = |z|^2|w|^2 = N(z)N(w)$ .

Let  $z, w \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  where  $w \neq 0$ , we can take  $\alpha = \frac{z}{w} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus there exists  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|\Re \alpha - n| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|\Im \alpha - m| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $q = n + mi$  and  $r = z - wq$ , we claim  $r = 0$  or  $N(r) < N(w)$ . We know that

$$\gamma - q = \Re \gamma - n + i(\Im \gamma - m) \implies |\gamma - q|^2 = |\Re \gamma - n|^2 + |\Im \gamma - m|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

And since

$$N(r) = N(z - wq) = |z - wq|^2 = |w|^2 \cdot |\gamma - q|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}|w|^2 = \frac{1}{2}N(w)$$

So if  $r \neq 0$  then  $N(r) \neq 0$  so  $N(w) \neq 0$  and therefore  $N(r) \leq \frac{1}{2}N(w) < N(w)$  as required.

## Proposition 7.0.3:

Every euclidean domain is a prime ideal domain (PID).

Since we showed that  $\dim \mathbb{Z}[x] \geq 2$  as  $(x)$  and  $(2, x)$  are prime ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is not a principal ideal domain and therefore not euclidean.

## Proof:

Let  $I \triangleleft R$  be an ideal of  $R$ , if  $I$  is trivial then  $I$  is principal. Otherwise  $I \neq (0_R)$ , let

$$n = \min\{N(a) \mid a \in I, a \neq 0\}$$

there exists a  $0 \neq d \in I$  such that  $N(d) = n$ , and we claim  $(d) = I$ .  $(d) \subseteq I$  since  $d \in I$ . And if  $a \in I$  there exists

$q, r \in R$  such that  $a = dq + r$  and  $r = 0$  or  $N(r) < N(d)$  since  $d \neq 0$ . Then  $dq \in I$  and  $a \in I$  so  $a - dq = r \in I$ , and so  $N(d) \geq N(r)$  since  $N(d)$  is a minimum and therefore  $r = 0$ . Therefore  $a = dq$  so  $a \in (d)$  as required. ■

**Definition 7.0.4:**

A ring  $R$  is left/right **Noetherian** if every ascending chain of left/right ideals stabilizes, that is for every ascending chain of left/right ideals

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \cdots$$

there exists an  $N$  such that  $I_N = I_{N+1} = \cdots$ . If a ring is both left and right Noetherian, it is also just called Noetherian.

**Example 7.0.5:**

- (1) Finite rings are Noetherian.
- (2)  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID and  $(n) \subseteq (m)$  if and only if  $m \mid n$ , and so  $\mathbb{Z}$  is also Noetherian.

**Proposition 7.0.6:**

Every PID is Noetherian.

**Proof:**

Suppose  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \cdots$  be an ascending chain of real ideals (if  $I_i = I$  it is trivial that this stabilizes). Let

$$I = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$$

and we have already shown that this is an ideal in our proof of the existence of maximal ideals. Since  $R$  is a principal integral domain,  $I = (a)$  for some  $a \in R$ , thus  $a \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$  so there exists an  $i$  such that  $a \in I_i$ . So  $(a) \subseteq I_i \subseteq I = (a)$  and so  $I_i = (a)$  and for every  $j \geq i$ ,  $(a) = I_i \subseteq I_j \subseteq I = (a)$  so  $I_j = (a)$  and therefore the chain stabilizes to  $I$ , as required. ■

**Proposition 7.0.7:**

A ring  $R$  is left/right Noetherian if and only if every left/right ideal is finitely generated.

**Proof:**

Let us show this for the left case. Let us denote  $Rx_1 + \cdots + Rx_n$  by  $R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . If every left ideal is finitely generated, let  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \cdots$  be an ascending chain of ideals, then

$$I = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$$

is an ideal, and so  $I = R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and therefore for every  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $x_k \in I_{i_k}$  for some  $i_k$ . Let  $N = \max\{i_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ , then every  $x_k$  is in  $I_N$  and so  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subseteq I_N \subseteq I = R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  so  $I_N = R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . And for every  $M \geq N$ ,  $R(x_1, \dots, x_n) = I_N \subseteq I_M \subseteq I = R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  so  $I_M = R(x_1, \dots, x_n) = I$  for every  $M \geq N$ , so  $R$  is left Noetherian.

Now suppose  $R$  is left Noetherian. Suppose that  $I$  is a left ideal which is not finitely generated. We construct a non-stabilizing chain recursively like so: let  $a_1 \in I$  and define  $I_1 = R(a_1)$ . Then  $I_1 \subset I$  strictly as  $I$  is not finitely generated, so there exists  $a_2 \in I \setminus I_1$ , and let  $I_2 = R(a_1, a_2)$ . And inductively there exists an  $a_{n+1} \in I \setminus I_n$ , and let  $I_{n+1} = R(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ . So  $I_n \subset I_{n+1}$  strictly, so this chain cannot stabilize in contradiction. ■

**Definition 7.0.8:**

Let  $R$  be a ring. If every descending chain of left/right ideals stabilizes,  $R$  is called left/right **Artinian**. If  $R$  is both left and right Artinian, it is also just called Artinian.

**Example 7.0.9:**

$\mathbb{Z}$  is not Artinian since

$$2\mathbb{Z} \supset 4\mathbb{Z} \supset 8\mathbb{Z} \supset 16\mathbb{Z} \supset \cdots$$

is a non-stabilizing descending chain of ideals.