

# Infinitesimal Calculus 3

Assignment 4  
Ari Feiglin

## Exercise 4.0.1:

Determine if the following limits exist, and if they do, compute them:

- (1)  $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{-|x-y|}{e^{x^2-2xy-y^2}}$
- (2)  $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{x \cdot \sin(x^4 + y^4)}{x^4 + y^4}$
- (3)  $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{y^2}{x^4 + y^2}$

- (1) If we define  $f(x, y) = |x - y|$  and  $g(t) = \frac{-t}{e^t}$ , then this limit is equal to:

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} g(f(x, y))$$

Since the limit of  $f(x, y)$  as  $(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  is 0, this is equal to:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} -\frac{t}{e^t} = 0$$

So the limit exists and is equal to 0.

- (2) We know that the limit of  $x$  as  $(x, y)$  approaches  $(0, 0)$  is 0, and we will prove that  $\frac{\sin(x^4 + y^4)}{x^4 + y^4}$  converges. If we let  $t = x^4 + y^4$ , since  $t$  approaches 0 this limit is equal to:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin t}{t} = 1$$

Since this converges, taking the limit of the product of this and  $x$  converges to 0 (since the limit of a product is the product of limits if the limits exist).

- (3) This limit does not exist. If we focus on the points  $(x, 0)$  as  $x$  approaches 0, the limit under this family of points is

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{0^2}{x^4 + 0^2} = 0$$

And if we focus on  $(0, y)$  as  $y$  approaches 0 the limit is:

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{y^2}{y^2} = 1$$

These two limits are not equal and therefore the limit does not exist.

- (4) This limit does not exist. If we focus on the points  $(x, 0)$  as  $x$  approaches 0, the limit is:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^2 \cdot 0^2}{x^2 \cdot 0^2 + x^2} = 0$$

And if we focus on the points  $(x, x)$  as  $x$  approaches 0 we have:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^4}{x^4} = 1$$

These two partial limits are not equal and therefore the limit does not exist.

**Exercise 4.0.2:**

Does there exist a  $\zeta$  such that the following function is continuous?

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} x \cdot \log(x^2 + 3y^2) & (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ \zeta & (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$$

To find such a  $\zeta$  we must first show that  $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} f(x, y)$  exists and to find this limit. Notice that:

$$|x \cdot \log(x^2 + 3y^2)| \leq \sqrt{x^2 + 3y^2} \cdot |\log(x^2 + 3y^2)|$$

And so if we let  $t = \sqrt{x^2 + 3y^2}$ , then:

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} |f(x, y)| \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |t \log t| = 0$$

And so the limit is 0, therefore  $\zeta = 0$  is the only solution.

**Exercise 4.0.3:**

Is the set

$$A = \{(x, y) \mid x \in \mathbb{Q} \vee y \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

connected? Is it path connected?

Notice that the set is equal to:

$$A = \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}$$

We can think of this as:

$$A = \left( \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \{q\} \times \mathbb{R} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \times \{q\} \right)$$

This is essentially a grid of intersecting (perpendicular as well) lines, which is intuitively path connected.

Suppose we have points  $u, v \in A$ . Suppose  $u = (p, x)$  and  $v = (q, y)$  where  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Without loss of generality suppose  $x < y$  then there exists a  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x < r < y$ . So if we define  $u' = (p, r)$  and  $v' = (q, r)$ , then since  $r$  is rational the line segment  $\overleftrightarrow{u'v'}$  is contained in  $A$ . So the polygonal chain:

$$\overleftrightarrow{uu'} \cup \overleftrightarrow{u'v'} \cup \overleftrightarrow{v'v}$$

is a path contained in  $A$  which connects  $u$  and  $v$ . An identical proof can be constructed if  $u = (x, p)$  and  $v = (y, q)$ . Similarly if  $u = (p, x)$  and  $v = (y, q)$  then if we define  $u' = (p, q) \in A$  then the polygonal chain:

$$\overleftrightarrow{uu'} \cup \overleftrightarrow{u'v}$$

connects  $u$  and  $v$  and is contained in  $A$ .

So  $A$  is path connected and therefore also connected.

**Exercise 4.0.4:**

Prove or disprove: if  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  is countable then  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A$  is path connected.

This is true. Suppose for the sake of a contradiction that it is not. Then there exists two points  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A$  where there is no path between them in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A$ . Let  $\ell$  be the line perpendicular to  $\overleftrightarrow{x_1x_2}$  (suppose we only take one side of it, where the sides are divided by  $\overleftrightarrow{x_1x_2}$ ). Then for every  $a \in \ell$  there is a unique circle centered at  $a$  which intersects  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  since  $\ell$  is perpendicular to  $\overleftrightarrow{x_1x_2}$  so  $\triangle x_1ax_2$  is an isosceles triangle (so take the radius to be the distance

between  $a$  and  $x_1$ ). And these circles are disjoint other than at  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . We will show this last point for  $x_1 = (0, 1)$  and  $x_2 = (0, -1)$  and  $\ell = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \{0\}$ . The circle around  $(a, 0)$  is given by

$$(x - a)^2 + y^2 = a^2 + 1 \equiv x^2 + y^2 - 2ax = 1$$

And so for two different values, they intersect only when:

$$\begin{cases} x^2 + y^2 - 2ax = 1 \\ x^2 + y^2 - 2bx = 1 \end{cases}$$

And so  $2x(a - b) = 0$ , so  $x = 0$  and therefore the point is  $x_1$  or  $x_2$ . And since all circles are just scales and shifts of another circle, this holds for all circles.

So if we define  $\gamma_a$  to be the arc on the circle around  $a$  between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , this is a path between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Since we assumed  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A$  is not path connected, for every  $a \in \ell$  there is a point in  $\gamma_a \cap A$ . So we can define a function  $f: A \rightarrow \ell$  where  $f(x) = a$  such that  $x \in \gamma_a$ . As explained above, this must be injective since the circles are disjoint other than for  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  which are not in  $A$ . And so it must also be surjective since for every  $a \in \ell$  there is a point  $x \in A$  such that  $x \in \gamma_a$  and this point cannot be sent to any other point other than  $a$ , so  $f(x) = a$ . So  $f$  is a bijection. But  $A$  is countable and  $\ell$  is a line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  so it is uncountable, so there cannot be a bijection between them, in contradiction.

#### Exercise 4.0.5:

Suppose  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , prove or disprove:  $\overline{\text{int } A} = \text{int } (\overline{A})$ .

#### Proof:

Let  $A = B_1(0)$ .

$$\overline{\text{int } A} = \overline{A} = \overline{B_1(0)} \quad \text{int } (\overline{A}) = \text{int } (\overline{B_1(0)}) = B_1(0)$$

And these are not equal.