

Introduction to Rings and Modules

Lecture 4, Wednesday April 19 2023
Ari Feiglin

4.1 The First Isomorphism Theorem

Theorem 4.1.1 (The First Isomorphism Theorem):

Suppose $f: R \rightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism, then there is a natural isomorphism

$$R/\text{Ker}(f) \cong \text{Im}(f)$$

(in other words, $R/\text{Ker}(f)$ and $\text{Im}(f)$ are ring-isomorphic.)

Proof:

Let us take the group isomorphism between $\text{Im}(f)$ to $R/\text{Ker}(f)$ (since they are also groups under their respective addition operations) $\varphi(b) = f^{-1}\{b\}$. Recall that if $f(a) = b$ then $\varphi(b) = a + \text{Ker}(f)$. Now all we must show is that φ respects multiplication:

- (1) $\varphi(1_S) = f^{-1}\{1_S\} = \{a \in R \mid f(a) = 1_S\} = 1_R + \text{Ker}(f)$ which is the identity of the quotient group $R/\text{Ker}(f)$.
- (2) Now suppose $f(\alpha) = a$ and $f(\beta) = b$ then we must show that $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$, now since $\varphi(a)\varphi(b) = (\alpha + \text{Ker}(f))(\beta + \text{Ker}(f)) = \alpha\beta + \text{Ker}(f)$. So we must show that this is equal to $\varphi(ab)$, which is equivalent to $f(\alpha\beta) = ab$, which is true since f is a ring homomorphism.

■

Example 4.1.2:

Let us define $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ by $f(m) = [m]$, which we know is well-defined from group theory. f is obviously surjective and $\text{Ker}(f) = n\mathbb{Z}$ so

$$\mathbb{Z}_n = f(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\text{Ker}(f) = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

So this classic equivalence is true for rings as well.

Definition 4.1.3:

Recall that Ra is the smallest left ideal containing a and aR is the smallest right ideal containing a . When R is commutative, $aR = Ra$ is the smallest (bidirectional) ideal containing a and is denoted (a) . This is called the **ideal generated by a** .

Proposition 4.1.4:

If R is a ring and $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$ such that the leading coefficient in $f(x)$ is 1 then there exists unique $q(x), r(x) \in R[x]$ such that $g(x) = q(x) \cdot f(x) + r(x)$ and the degree of $r(x)$ is less than that of $f(x)$.

Proof:

If the degree of g 's is less than that of f 's then we can take $r(x) = g(x)$ and $q(x) = 0$. Otherwise suppose

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k, \quad g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m b_k x^k$$

where $a_n = 1$ and $n \leq m$. Then we have that $h(x) = g(x) - b_m x^{m-n} f(x)$ has degree $\leq m$ so proceeding inductively on m (the base case of $m = 0$ is trivial, as $g(x) = b$ and $f(x) = 1$ so $q(x) = b$ and $r(x) = 0$ satisfy) we have that $h(x) = q'(x)f(x) + r(x)$ where $r(x)$ has degree less than n . So

$$g(x) = (b_m x^{m-n} + q'(x))f(x) + r(x)$$

as required.

If $q(x)f(x) + r(x) = q'(x)f(x) + r'(x)$ then $(q(x) - q'(x))f(x) + (r(x) - r'(x)) = 0$ and since the degree of $r - r'$ is less than that of f 's we must have that $q - q' = 0$ and so $r = r'$ as well so the decomposition is unique. ■

Example 4.1.5:

We claim that for a commutative ring R and any $a \in R$ we have

$$R[x] / (x - a) \cong R$$

If $a = 0$ then by definition $(x) = \{x \cdot f(x) \mid f(x) \in R[x]\}$ which is the set of all polynomials without a free coefficient. Notice then that $f(x) - g(x) \in (x)$ if and only if they have the same free coefficient, so the quotient group intuitively should be the set of free coefficients, R .

To do this in general, we can look at the ring homomorphism $\text{ev}_a: R[x] \rightarrow R$ which is a homomorphism since R is commutative and whose kernel is

$$\text{Ker}(\text{ev}_a) = \{f \in R[x] \mid f(a) = 0\}$$

we claim that $\text{Ker}(\text{ev}_a) = (x - a)$. Suppose $f(x) \in (x - a)$ then $f(x) = (x - a)g(x)$ for $g(x) \in R[x]$ then $f(a) = 0$ so $f \in \text{Ker}(\text{ev}_a)$. And if $f \in \text{Ker}(\text{ev}_a)$ then we can divide f by $x - a$ due to our proposition above to get

$$f(x) = q(x)(x - a) + r$$

So $0 = r$ by plugging in $x = a$ (r is a scalar since the degree of f is 1) so we have that $f(x) = q(x)(x - a)$ and so $f(x) \in (x - a)$ as required.

So we have that

$$R[x] / (x - a) = R[x] / \text{Ker}(\text{ev}_a) \cong \text{ev}_a(R[x]) = R$$

as required.

Proposition 4.1.6:

$$\mathbb{R}[x] / (x^2 + 1) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

Proof:

We define $\varphi: \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ where we evaluate the input polynomial at i , in other words $\varphi = \text{ev}_i \circ \iota$ where $\iota: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the inclusion homomorphism $\iota(x) = x$. ι is trivially a homomorphism and the composition of homomorphisms is a homomorphism. φ is surjective since $\varphi(a + bi) = a + bi$. Now we must prove $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = (x^2 + 1)$. Suppose $f(x) \in (x^2 + 1)$ so $f(x) = g(x)(x^2 + 1)$ so $\varphi(f) = g(i) \cdot 0 = 0$ so $(x^2 + 1) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\varphi)$. If $f(x) \in \text{Ker}(\varphi)$ then by above $f(x)$ can be written as

$$f(x) = q(x)(x^2 + 1) + ax + b$$

Since $f(i) = 0$ we must have that $ai + b = 0$ which means $a = b = 0$ since they are real so we have that $f(x) = q(x)(x^2 + 1)$ so $f(x) \in (x^2 + 1)$. So we have that

$$\mathbb{R}[x] / (x^2 + 1) = \mathbb{R}[x] / \text{Ker}(\varphi) \cong \varphi(\mathbb{R}[x]) = \mathbb{C}$$
■

Definition 4.1.7:

Similar to before, if R is commutative and I and J are ideals we define

$$IJ = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N i_n j_n \mid i_n \in I, j_n \in J \right\}$$

This is obviously an ideal. We could generalize this and require I be a left ideal and J be a right ideal. Similarly $I + J = \{i + j \mid i \in I, j \in J\}$ is a (left or right) ideal if I and J are (left or right; but the same direction) ideals.

Definition 4.1.8:

Let R be a ring and I and J be (left or right) ideals. If $I + J = R$ then I and J are called **comaximal ideals**.

Theorem 4.1.9 (The Chinese Remainder Theorem):

Let R be a commutative ring and $I, J \trianglelefteq R$ be comaximal ideals. Then

$$R/IJ \cong R/I \times R/J$$

Proof:

We will focus on the homomorphism:

$$f: R \longrightarrow R/I \times R/J, \quad f(a) = (a + I, a + J)$$

in order to use the first isomorphism theorem, we must show that f is surjective and its kernel is IJ . Since $R = I + J$ (they are comaximal), $1_R \in I + J$ so $1_R = i + j$ so

$$f(j) = (j + I, j + J) = (1_R + I, J) = (1_{R/I}, 0_{R/J})$$

and similarly

$$f(i) = (0_{R/I}, 1_{R/J})$$

so let $(a + I, b + J) \in R/I \times R/J$ then

$$f(a + I, b + J) = f(a)f(j) + f(b)f(i) = (a + I, a + J)(1, 0) + (b + I, b + J)(0, 1) = (a + I, b + J)$$

so f is indeed surjective.

Now $a \in \text{Ker}(f)$ if and only if

$$(a + I, a + J) = (I, J)$$

which is only if $a \in I \cap J$, so $\text{Ker}(f) = I \cap J$. Now since for $i_n \in I$ and $j_n \in J$, $i_n j_n \in I \cap J$ since I and J are ideals so $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$. And if $a \in I \cap J$ then $a = 1_R \cdot a = (i + j)a = ia + ja$ since $a \in J$, $ia \in IJ$ and $a \in I$ so $ja \in IJ$ so $a = ia + ja \in IJ$. Thus $IJ = I \cap J$. So $\text{Ker}(f) = IJ$ as required.

Thus

$$R/IJ = R/\text{Ker}(f) \cong f(R) = R/I \times R/J \quad \blacksquare$$