# Computability and Complexity

Lecture 5, Thursday August 15, 2023 Ari Feiglin

# Exercise 5.1:

We define the following decision problem

 $\mathsf{Partition} = \left\{ A \;\middle|\; \begin{matrix} A \text{ is a set of natural numbers which can be partitioned into two subsets which have} \\ \text{the same sum} \end{matrix} \right\}$ 

show that Partition is NP-complete.

# Note:

Recall that a partition is a set of disjoint subsets of A whose union is A. So the statement "A can be partitioned into two subsets which have the same sum" means that there exist  $A_1, A_2 \subseteq A$  where  $A_1 \cup A_2 = A$  and  $\sum A_1 = \sum A_2$ .

Showing that Partition is in **NP** is simple. We will define a reduction from SubsetSum to Partition. So given an input (A, b) for SubsetSum, let  $S = \sum A$ , and we define a set B which is an input for Partition by

$$B = A \cup \{2S - b, S + b\}$$

Notice that  $\sum B = \sum A + 3S = 4S$ .

Now, if  $(A, b) \in \mathsf{SubsetSum}$  then there exists a subset (let us view A as a multiset)  $A' \subseteq A$  where  $\sum A' = b$ . Then if we define  $B_1 = A' \cup \{2S - b\}$  and  $B_2 = B \setminus B = A \setminus A' \cup \{S + b\}$ , we have

$$\sum B_1 = \sum A' + 2S - b = 2S, \qquad \sum B_2 = \sum A \setminus A' + S + b = S - b + S + b = 2S$$

and so  $B_1, B_2$  forms a partition of B and both sets have the same sum. Thus  $B \in \mathsf{Partition}$ .

And if  $B \in \text{Partition}$ , then suppose  $B = B_1 \cup B_2$  and  $\sum B_1 = \sum B_2$ . Now, 2S - b and S + b cannot both be in the same  $B_i$ , as then  $\sum B_i \geq 3S$  and  $B_j \subseteq A$  and so  $\sum B_j \leq S$  and thus the sums are not the same, in contradiction. Suppose  $2S - b \in B_1$  and  $S + b \in B_2$ , then let  $A_1 = B_1 \setminus \{2S - b\}$  and  $A_2 = B_2 \setminus \{S + b\}$ , and so

$$\sum B_1 + \sum B_2 = \sum B = 4S$$

and so  $\sum B_1 = \sum B_2 = 2S$ . This means that

$$\sum A_1 = \sum B_1 - (2S - b) = b$$

and so  $(A, b) \in \mathsf{SubsetSum}$  as  $A_1$  is a subset whose sum is b.

# Exercise 5.2:

We define the following decision problem

 $\mathsf{BinPacking} = \left\{ (X, \omega, k) \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} X \text{ is a set of items, and } \omega \colon X \longrightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is a weight function on } X. \ k \text{ is a natural number, where we can pack all the elements in } X \text{ into } k \text{ boxes where the weight of } \\ \text{each box is at most } 1 \end{array} \right\}$ 

Show BinPacking is NP-complete.

The verifier for BinPacking is the list of elements in X in each box, so BinPacking is in NP. We will define a reduction from Partition to BinPacking. Suppose A is an input for Partition ie a set of natural numbers. Let us define  $(X, \omega, k)$  where

- (1)  $X = \{x_a \mid a \in A\}$
- (2) Let us denote  $S = \sum A$ , and  $\omega(x_a) = \frac{2a}{S}$ .
- (3) We define k=2.

If  $A \in \text{Partition}$ , then there exists  $A = A_1 \cup A_2$  where  $\sum A_1 = \sum A_2 = \frac{S}{2}$ . Let us define

$$X_1 = \{x_a \mid a \in A_1\}, \quad X_2 = \{x_a \mid a \in A_2\}$$

then

$$\omega(X_1) = \sum_{a \in A_1} \omega(x_a) = \frac{2\sum A_1}{S} = 1$$

and similarly  $\omega(X_2) = 1$ , and so packing the elements of X into  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  satisfies the constraints, so  $(X, \omega, k) \in \mathsf{BinPacking}$ .

Now, if  $(X, \omega, k) \in \mathsf{BinPacking}$  there exists a partition of X into  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  where  $\omega(X_1), \omega(X_2) \leq 1$ . Let

$$A_1 = \{ a \in A \mid x_a \in X_1 \}, \quad A_2 = \{ a \in A \mid x_a \in X_2 \}$$

this is a partition of A. And

$$\omega(X_1) = \sum_{a \in A_1} \frac{2a}{S} = \frac{2}{S} \sum A_1, \qquad \omega(X_2) = \frac{2}{S} \sum A_2$$

And so

$$\omega(X_1) + \omega(X_2) = \frac{2}{S} \left( \sum A_1 + \sum A_2 \right) = 2$$

and since  $\omega(X_1), \omega(X_2) \leq 1$ , which means  $\omega(X_1) = \omega(X_2) = 1$ , and thus

$$\sum A_1 = \sum A_2 = \frac{S}{2}$$

so  $A \in \mathsf{Partition}$  as required.

#### Exercise 5.3:

We define the following decision problem

 ${\sf PartitionIntolS} = \{(G,k) \mid G \text{ is an undirected graph which can be partitioned into } k \text{ independent sets} \}$ 

show that PartitionIntolS is NP-complete.

This is sort of a trick, since

# PartitionIntoIS = Color

as G has k independent sets if and only if it can be k-colored (the colors define the independent sets, and vice versa).

### Exercise 5.4:

Show that for every search problem  $R \in \mathbf{PC}$ , if  $S_R$  is NP-complete, then R has a self-reduction.

We showed that for every search problem R, there exists a Cook reduction from R to  $S'_R$ :

$$S'_R = \{(x, u) \mid \exists w \colon (x, uw) \in R\}$$

(proof in lecture 2) Since  $R \in \mathbf{PC}$ ,  $S'_R$  is in  $\mathbf{NP}$  as let A be the polynomial-time verifier for R, then we define V((x,u),w) = A(x,uw) is a polynomial verifier for  $S'_R$ . Thus  $S'_R \in \mathbf{NP}$ . And since  $S_R$  is  $\mathbf{NP}$ -complete, there exists a reduction from  $S'_R$  to  $S_R$ , and so there exists a Cook reduction from R to  $S_R$ .