

# Infinitesimal Calculus 4

Lecture 4, Wednesday November 2, 2022  
Ari Feiglin

## Proposition 4.1.1:

If  $S$  is compact,  $S$  is closed.

### Proof:

Suppose for the sake of a contradiction that  $S$  is not closed. Then there is a limit point  $x$  which is not in  $S$ . We will take a descending sequence of closed sets  $F_n = \bar{B}_{\frac{1}{2^n}}(x)$ , then let  $\mathcal{O}_n = F_n^c$  which are open. Notice then that the intersection of  $F_n$  is  $\{x\}$ , since if  $y$  is in the intersection  $\rho(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$  for all  $n$ , so  $\rho(x, y) = 0$ , so  $y = x$ . And therefore the union of  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is  $X \setminus \{x\}$ , and since  $x$  isn't in  $S$ ,  $\{\mathcal{O}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is an open cover of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is compact, there is a finite subcover  $\{\mathcal{O}_{m_n}\}_{n=1}^N$  which covers  $S$ . Now if we assume  $m_n < m_{n+1}$ , then since  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is an increasing sequence:

$$S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{m_N}$$

But then that means that  $F_{m_N}$  and  $S$  are disjoint, so there exists a ball around  $x$  which doesn't contain points of  $S$ . So  $x$  can't be a limit point, in contradiction.  $\zeta$

## Definition 4.1.2:

If  $X$  is a metric space,  $S \subseteq X$  is **bounded** if there is an  $x$  in  $X$  and an  $r > 0$  such that  $S \subseteq B_r(x)$ .

Notice then that  $S$  is bounded if and only if for every  $x \in X$  there is an  $r > 0$  such that  $S \subseteq B_r(x)$ . If  $S$  is bounded, then suppose  $S \subseteq B_r(x)$ , then let  $y \in X$ , if we let  $r' = r + \rho(x, y)$   $S \subseteq B_{r'}(y)$ .

## Proposition 4.1.3:

If  $S$  is compact, then  $S$  is bounded.

### Proof:

Notice that for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\{B_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ 's union is  $X$  since for any  $y \in X$ , there must be some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\rho(x, y) < n$ . Since  $S$  is compact, there is a subcovering  $\{B_{m_n}(x)\}_{n=1}^N$  which covers  $S$ . But then since these balls form an increasing subsequence:

$$S \subseteq B_{m_N}(x)$$

and thus by definition  $S$  is bounded.

## Example:

Notice that not every bounded set is compact. Over a set  $X$  we can define the following **discrete metric**:

$$\rho(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \neq y \\ 0 & x = y \end{cases}$$

This is obviously a metric (and quite an interesting one as well). It has the interesting characteristic that every subset of  $X$  is open and thus it must also be closed (since its complement is open). This is because for  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ ,  $B_\varepsilon(x) = \{x\}$ . So if we take  $X = \mathbb{N}$  then we can create a covering  $\{\{x\}\}_{x \in \mathbb{N}}$  (since every set is open), but for any finite subcovering, the union contains only a finite number of points and thus cannot cover  $X$ . So  $X$  is not compact. But  $X$  is bounded (this is true for every discrete metric space) since for every  $x, y \in X$ , then  $\rho(x, y) \leq 1$ , so  $X \subseteq B_2(x)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.4:**

If  $X$  is a metric space and  $T \subseteq S \subseteq X$  where  $S$  is compact and  $T$  is closed, then  $T$  is also compact.

**Proof:**

Suppose  $\{\mathcal{O}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is an open covering of  $T$ . Then we can add  $T^c$  to the cover which is open since  $T$  is closed, and that creates an open cover of  $X$  and therefore also of  $S$ . So there exists a finite subcovering of this which covers  $S$ :

$$T \subseteq S \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathcal{O}_k \cup T^c$$

Then since  $T$  and  $T^c$  are disjoint, we must have that

$$T \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathcal{O}_k$$

so  $\{\mathcal{O}_k\}_{k=1}^n$  is a finite subcovering of  $T$ , so  $T$  is compact. ■

**Definition 4.1.5:**

A closed rectangle in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a set of the form:

$$[a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]$$

Where  $a_k < b_k$ . And the  $k$ th vertex of such a rectangle is  $[a_k, b_k]$ .

**Definition 4.1.6:**

If  $X$  is a metric space and  $S \subseteq X$ , the diameter of  $S$  is:

$$\text{diam } S = \sup_{x, y \in S} \rho(x, y)$$

A contracting sequence of sets  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $X$  is a descending sequence of sets whose diameter approaches 0, that is:

$$E_{n+1} \subseteq E_n \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(E_n) = 0$$

**Theorem 4.1.7 (Cantor's Lemma):**

If  $\{T_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a contracting sequence of rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then there exists an  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that:

$$\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} T_k = \{x\}$$

**Proof:**

For every  $m$  we can take the  $k$ th vertex of  $T_k$ :  $[a_k^{(m)}, b_k^{(m)}]$ . And since  $T_k$  is decreasing:

$$[a_k^{(1)}, b_k^{(1)}] \supseteq \cdots \supseteq [a_k^{(m)}, b_k^{(m)}] \supseteq \cdots$$

Then by Cantor's Lemma in  $\mathbb{R}$ , the intersection of these intervals is non-empty, so there exists an  $x_k$  in the intersection. Then  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is in the intersection of  $T_k$ . This must be the only point in the intersection since if there is another  $y$  in the intersection, since  $x, y \in T_k$  for every  $k$ ,  $\text{diam } T_k \geq \rho(x, y)$ , and then the limit of the diameters wouldn't be 0. ■

This theorem can be generalized to any complete metric space (which we will learn about later). In fact this trait is actually equivalent to completeness.

**Theorem 4.1.8:**

A rectangle  $T = [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is compact.

**Proof:**

Let  $\{\mathcal{O}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be an open covering of  $T$ . Suppose for the sake of a contradiction that  $T$  has no finite open subcovering. For every vertex, we note that  $[a_k, b_k] = [a_k, c_k] \times [c_k, b_k]$  where  $c_k = \frac{1}{2}(a_k + b_k)$ . Then we now have  $2^n$  smaller rectangles. And one of these smaller rectangles must also not have a finite open subcovering (if they all did, we could take the union of these finite open subcoverings which would also be a finite open subcovering of  $T$  which is a contradiction). Let  $T_1$  be this subrectangle which doesn't have a finite open subcovering. We can find a subrectangle  $T_2$  of  $T_1$  which also doesn't have a finite open subcovering, and thus we can recursively define a sequence  $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . And since the diameter of  $T_{n+1}$  is half that of  $T_n$ ,  $\text{diam } T_n \longrightarrow 0$ . Since  $T_n$  is closed, by Cantor's lemma:

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n = \{x\}$$

for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there must be some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ , and so there must be an  $r > 0$  such that  $x \in B_r(x) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ . Since  $\text{diam } T_n \longrightarrow 0$ , at some point  $\text{diam } T_n < r$ , so therefore

$$T_n \subseteq B_r(x) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\lambda$$

So  $T_n$  does have a finite open subcovering, in contradiction.  $\nexists$

■

**Theorem 4.1.9 (Heine-Borel Theorem):**

$T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact if and only if  $T$  is closed and bounded.

**Proof:**

We have already shown that compactness implies closedness and boundedness, so all that remains is to prove the converse. Since  $T$  is bound, it must be contained inside of a rectangle  $U$  (we can take  $x \in T$ , and then vertices around  $x_k$  whose lengths are the diameter of  $T$ ). By above,  $U$  is compact. And since  $T \subseteq U$  and  $T$  is closed,  $T$  is compact.

■

This result does not hold in general metric spaces.

**Example:**

Recall the definition of  $\ell^2$ :

$$\ell^2 = \left\{ \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 < \infty \right\}$$

Let  $e_k$  be the sequence whose  $k$ th element is 1 and the rest are 0. Let  $T = \{e_1, \dots, e_n, \dots\}$ .  $T$  is bounded since  $\rho(e_n, e_m) = \sqrt{2}$  and it is closed since if  $x$  is a limit point of  $T$ , then it must be equal to some  $e_k$ , because the distance between each  $e_k$  is constant. But  $T$  is not compact since if we focus on the cover  $\{B_1(e_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ , each ball contains only one  $e_k$  and thus there can't be a finite subcover since  $T$  is infinite.