

Linear Reduction

In this paper I will define the concept of linear reduction in the context of syntax parsing. We will progress through more and more complicated examples, beginning from the programming of a simple calculator until we ultimately have created an extensible programming language.

Table of Contents

0	Notation	2
1	Theoretical Background	3
1.1	Stateless Reduction	3
1.2	Stateful Reduction	4

0 Notation

\mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers, including 0.

$\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined to be $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

$f: A \hookrightarrow B$ means that f is a partial function from A to B .

1 Theoretical Background

1.1 Stateless Reduction

The idea of linear reduction is simple: given a string ξ the first character looks if it can bind with the second character to produce a new character, and the process repeats itself. There is of course, nuance. This nuance hides in the statement “if it can bind”: we must define the rules for binding.

Let us define an *reducer* to be a tuple (Σ, β, π) where Σ is an alphabet; $\beta: \bar{\Sigma} \times \bar{\Sigma} \hookrightarrow \bar{\Sigma}$ is a partial function called the *reduction function* where $\bar{\Sigma} = \Sigma \times \bar{\mathbb{N}}$; and π is the *initial priority function*. A *program* over an reducer is a string over $\bar{\Sigma}$. We write a program like $\sigma_{i_1}^1 \cdots \sigma_{i_n}^n$ instead of as pairs $(\sigma^1, i_1) \dots (\sigma^n, i_n)$. In the character σ_i , we call i the *priority* of σ .

Then the rules of reduction are as follows, meaning we define $\beta(\xi)$ for a program: We do so in cases:

- (1) If $\xi = \sigma_i$ then $\beta(\xi) = \sigma_0$.
- (2) If $\xi = \sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2 \xi'$ where $i \geq j$ and $\beta(\sigma_i^1, \sigma_j^2) = \sigma_k^3$ is defined then $\beta(\xi) = \sigma_k^3 \xi'$.
- (3) Otherwise, for $\xi = \sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2 \xi'$, $\beta(\xi) = \sigma_i^1 \beta(\sigma_j^2 \xi')$.

A string ξ such that $\beta(\xi) = \xi$ is called *irreducible*. Notice that it is possible for a string of length more than 1 to be irreducible: for example if $\beta(\sigma^1, \sigma^2)$ is not defined then $\sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2$ is irreducible.

$$\beta(\sigma_1 \tau_2) \xrightarrow{(3)} \sigma_1 \beta(\tau_2) \xrightarrow{(1)} \sigma_1 \tau_2$$

But such strings are not desired, since in the end we'd like a string to give us a value. So an irreducible string which is not a single character is called *ill-written*, and a string which is not ill-written is *well-written*.

Now the initial priority function is $\pi: \Sigma \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{N}}$ which gives characters their initial priority. We can then canonically extend this to a function $\pi: \Sigma^* \hookrightarrow (\Sigma \times \bar{\mathbb{N}})^*$ defined by $\pi(\sigma^1 \cdots \sigma^n) = \sigma_{\pi(\sigma^1)}^1 \cdots \sigma_{\pi(\sigma^n)}^n$. Then a β -reduction of a string $\xi \in \Sigma^*$ is taken to mean a β -reduction of $\pi(\xi)$.

Notice that once again we require that π only be a partial function. This is since that we don't always need every character in Σ to have an initial priority; some symbols are only given their priority through the β -reduction of another pair of symbols. So we now provide a new definition of a *program*, which is a string $\xi = \sigma^1 \cdots \sigma^n \in \Sigma^*$ such that $\pi(\sigma^i)$ exists for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. We can only of course discuss the reductions of programs, as $\pi(\xi)$ is only defined if ξ is a program.

Example: let $\Sigma = \mathbb{N} \cup \{+, \cdot\} \cup \{(n+), (n\cdot) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. β as follows:

σ_i^1, σ_j^2	$\beta(\sigma_i^1, \sigma_j^2)$
$n, +$	$(n+)$
n, \cdot	$(n\cdot)$
$(n+), m$	$n + m$
$(n\cdot), m$	$n \cdot m$
$(n\cdot), (m+)$	$(n \cdot m, +)$
$(n+), (m+)$	$(n + m, +)$
$(n\cdot), (m\cdot)$	$(n \cdot m, \cdot)$

Where n, m range over all values in \mathbb{N} . Here $\beta(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$'s priority is j . We define the initial priorities

$$\pi(n) = \infty, \quad \pi(+)=1, \quad \pi(\cdot)=2$$

Now let us look at the string $1 + 2 \cdot 3 + 4$; Here,

$$\begin{aligned} 1_\infty +_1 2_\infty \cdot_2 3_\infty +_1 4_\infty &\longrightarrow (1+)_1 2_\infty \cdot_2 3_\infty +_1 4_\infty \\ &\longrightarrow (1+)_1 (2\cdot)_2 3_\infty +_1 4_\infty \\ &\longrightarrow (1+)_1 (2\cdot)_2 (3+)_1 4_\infty \\ &\longrightarrow (1+)_1 (6+)_1 4_\infty \\ &\longrightarrow (7+)_1 4_\infty \\ &\longrightarrow (7+)_1 4_0 \\ &\longrightarrow (11)_0 \end{aligned}$$

So the rules for β we supplied seem to be sufficient for computing arithmetic expressions following the order of operations. \diamond

Example: We can also expand our language to include parentheses. So our alphabet becomes $\Sigma = \mathbb{N} \cup \{+, \cdot, (,)\} \cup \left\{ \underline{n+}, \underline{n\cdot}, \underline{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$. We distinguish between parentheses and bold parentheses for readability. We extend β as follows:

σ_i^1, σ_j^2	$\beta(\sigma_i^1, \sigma_j^2)$
$n, +$	$\underline{n+}_j$
n, \cdot	$\underline{n\cdot}_j$
$\underline{n+}, m$	$(n + m)_j$
$\underline{n\cdot}, m$	$(n \cdot m)_j$
$\underline{n\cdot}, m+$	$\underline{n \cdot m, +}_j$
$\underline{n+}, m+$	$\underline{n + m, +}_j$
$\underline{n\cdot}, \underline{m\cdot}$	$\underline{n \cdot m, \cdot}_j$
$n,)$	$\underline{n)}_j$
$\underline{n+}, \underline{m)}$	$\underline{n + m)}_j$
$\underline{n\cdot}, \underline{m)}$	$\underline{n \cdot m)}_j$
$(, \underline{n})$	n_i

$(n + m)_j$ means $n + m$ with a priority of j , not $\underline{n + m}_j$. And we define the initial priorities

$$\pi(n) = \infty, \quad \pi(+)=1, \quad \pi(\cdot)=2, \quad \pi(()=\infty, \quad \pi())=0$$

So for example reducing $2 \cdot ((1 + 2) \cdot 2) + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
2_\infty * 2 (\infty(\infty 1_\infty + 1 2_\infty)_0 * 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty &\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty(\infty 1_\infty + 1 2_\infty)_0 * 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty(\infty \underline{1+}_1 2_\infty)_0 * 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty(\infty \underline{1+}_1 \underline{2})_0 * 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty(\infty \underline{3})_0 * 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty 3_\infty * 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty \underline{3*}_2 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty \underline{3*}_2 \underline{2})_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty \underline{6})_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2 6_\infty + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2 \underline{6+}_1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{12+}_1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{12+}_1 1_0 \\
&\longrightarrow 13_0
\end{aligned}$$

◇

1.2 Stateful Reduction

Suppose we'd like to reduce a program with variables in it. Then we cannot just use the previous definitions, as the actions of σ (which is to be understood as the function $\beta(\sigma, \bullet)$) are determined before any reduction occurs. We need a way to store the value of variables, a state.

This leads us to the following definition: let Σ_P and Σ_A be two disjoint sets of symbols: Σ_P the set of *printable symbols* and Σ_A the set of *abstract symbols*. Σ_P will generally be a set consisting of the string representations of abstract symbols, be it operators like $+$ and \cdot or variable names. Σ_A are the actual objects which can “execute something”. Let us further define $\Sigma = \Sigma_P \cup \Sigma_A$.

Now a state is a mapping from printable symbols to strings. So for example, if x is a printable symbol a line like `let $x = 1$` should change the state so that x maps to the abstract symbol representing 1. So we can view a state as a map from $\Sigma_P \longrightarrow \Sigma^*$, where if the map of a symbol is ε (the empty word), this represents it not having a value. But in order to implement locality, we must be able to revert to a previous state. Thus a state will actually be a (non-empty) sequence of maps $\Sigma_P \longrightarrow \Sigma^*$. Maps $\Sigma_P \longrightarrow \Sigma^*$ are called *point states*. A *substitution* is a partial map $\nu: \Sigma_P \hookrightarrow \Sigma^*$ which represents changing the values in its domain to their new values in the substitution. For a point-state \hat{s} define $\hat{s}\nu$ by $\hat{s}\nu(\sigma) = \nu(\sigma)$ if σ is in ν 's domain and $\hat{s}(\sigma)$ otherwise.

Substitutions are often written as $[\sigma_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, \sigma_n \mapsto v_n]$ which is to be understood as the partial function which maps σ_i to v_i .

Let us define

$$\mathbf{State} = \{\Sigma_P \longrightarrow \Sigma^*\}^+$$

the set of all finite non-empty sequences of maps.

Let $s = s_1 \cdots s_n \in \mathbf{State}$ be a state, then define

- for $\sigma \in \Sigma_P$ we define $s(\sigma) = s_n(\sigma)$,
- define $\text{pop } s = s_1 \cdots s_{n-1}$ (if $n > 1$),
- define $\text{push } s = s_1 \cdots s_n s_n$,
- if ν is a substitution, define $s\nu$ to be $s_1 \cdots s_{n-1}(s_n\nu)$.

So if we'd like to revert to a previous state, we simply pop from the current state. And substituting the current state only alters the current (topmost) point state.

Now we begin with an initial β function which is a partial function

$$\beta: \overline{\Sigma}_A \times \overline{\Sigma} \times \mathbf{State} \hookrightarrow \overline{\Sigma}^* \times \mathbf{State}$$

Recall that $\overline{\Sigma}$ is $\Sigma \times \mathbb{N}$. We will denote tuples in $X \times \mathbf{State}$ by $\langle x, s \rangle$ for $x \in X$ and $s \in \mathbf{State}$ for the sake of readability. So we now wish to extend to a β function

$$\beta: \overline{\Sigma}^* \times \mathbf{State} \hookrightarrow \overline{\Sigma}^* \times \mathbf{State}$$

We do this as follows: given $\xi \in (\Sigma \times \overline{\mathbb{N}})^*$ and $s \in \mathbf{State}$ we define $\beta\langle \xi, s \rangle$ as follows:

- (1) if $\xi = \sigma_i \xi'$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma_P$ then $\beta\langle \xi, s \rangle = \langle s(\sigma)_i \xi', s \rangle$,
- (2) if $\xi = \sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2 \xi'$ for $\sigma^1 \in \Sigma_A$, $i \geq j$, such that $\beta\langle \sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2, s \rangle = \langle \xi'', s' \rangle$ is defined, then $\beta\langle \xi, s \rangle = \langle \xi'' \xi', s' \rangle$,
- (3) otherwise if $\beta\langle \sigma_j^2 \xi', s \rangle = \langle \xi'', s' \rangle$ then $\beta\langle \xi, s \rangle = \langle \sigma_i^1 \xi'', s' \rangle$.

We also define the *initial priority function* to be a map $\pi: \Sigma_P \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ (this is not a partial function: every printable symbol must be given a priority). This is once again canonically extended to a function $\pi: \Sigma_P^* \longrightarrow (\Sigma_P \times \overline{\mathbb{N}})^*$. And an *initial state* s_0 which is a point state. The quintuple $(\Sigma_P, \Sigma_A, \beta, \pi, s_0)$ is called an *reducer*. The reduction of a string $\xi \in S$ is the process of iteratively applying β to $\langle \pi(\xi), s_0 \rangle$.

Example: let

$$\Sigma_P = \mathbb{N} \cup \{+, \cdot, =, ;\} \cup \{\text{let}\} \cup \{x^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

$$\Sigma_A = \mathbb{N} \cup \{(n+), (n\cdot) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\text{let}\} \cup \{(\text{let } x^i), (\text{let } x^i =) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

where the natural numbers in Σ_A are not the same as the natural numbers in Σ_P since they must be disjoint, same for **let**. But they both essentially represent the same thing: s_0 maps $n \mapsto n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (the left-hand n is in Σ_P , the right-hand n is in Σ_A) and **let** \mapsto **let**. All other printable symbols are mapped to ε .

And similar to the previous example we define $\pi(n) = \infty$, $\pi(+)=1$, and $\pi(\cdot)=2$. We extend this to $\pi(;)=0$, $\pi(=)=0$, $\pi(\text{let})=\infty$, and $\pi(x^i)=\infty$.

Let us take the same transitions as the example in the previous section for $n, (n+), (n\cdot)$ (we have to add the condition that the state doesn't change). We further add the transitions

$$\begin{array}{c} \langle \sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2, s \rangle \qquad \beta\langle \sigma^1 \sigma^2, s \rangle \\ \hline \langle \sigma; , s \rangle \qquad \langle \sigma_j, s \rangle \\ \langle \text{let } x^i, s \rangle \qquad \langle (\text{let } x^i)_j, s \rangle \\ \langle (\text{let } x^i) =, s \rangle \qquad \langle (\text{let } x^i =)_j, s \rangle \\ \langle (\text{let } x^i =) \sigma, s \rangle \qquad \langle \varepsilon, s[x^i \mapsto \sigma] \rangle \end{array}$$

In the final transition, $n \in \Sigma_A$. Then for example (we will be skipping trivial reductions):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } x^1 = 1 + 2; \text{ let } x^2 = 2; x^1 \cdot x^2; &\longrightarrow \text{let}_{\infty} x_{\infty}^1 =_0 1_{\infty} +_1 2_{\infty};_0 \text{ let}_{\infty} x_{\infty}^2 =_0 2_{\infty};_0 x_{\infty}^1 \cdot_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0 &\longrightarrow (\text{let } x^1 =)_0 1_{\infty} +_1 2_{\infty};_0 \text{ let}_{\infty} x_{\infty}^2 =_0 2_{\infty};_0 x_{\infty}^1 \cdot_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0 &\longrightarrow (\text{let } x^1 =)_0 3_0 \text{ let}_{\infty} x_{\infty}^2 =_0 2_{\infty};_0 x_{\infty}^1 \cdot_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0[x^1 \mapsto 3] &\longrightarrow \text{let}_{\infty} x_{\infty}^2 =_0 2_{\infty};_0 x_{\infty}^1 \cdot_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0[x^1 \mapsto 3, x^2 \mapsto 2] &\longrightarrow x_{\infty}^1 \cdot_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0[x^1 \mapsto 3, x^2 \mapsto 2] &\longrightarrow 3_{\infty} \cdot_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0[x^1 \mapsto 3, x^2 \mapsto 2] &\longrightarrow (3\cdot)_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0[x^1 \mapsto 3, x^2 \mapsto 2] &\longrightarrow (3\cdot)_2 2_{\infty};_0 \\ s_0[x^1 \mapsto 3, x^2 \mapsto 2] &\longrightarrow 6_0 \end{aligned}$$

◇

Example: Why does the initial β function map to strings $\bar{\Sigma}^* \times \text{State}$ and not $\Sigma \times \text{State}$? This is in order to implement functions; let us analyze the following example:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_P &= \mathbb{N} \cup \{+, \cdot, =, (,), \{, \}, ,, ;, \text{let}, \text{fun}\} \cup \{x^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ \Sigma_A &= \Sigma_P^*\end{aligned}$$

We will denote strings in Σ_A with an underline to distinguish them from elements of Σ_P . This is an extension of the previous examples, so the initial β function acts the same on these characters, all we must do is add what happens to **fun** and the other characters we added.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2, s \rangle \quad \beta \langle \sigma^1 \sigma^2, s \rangle}{\langle \{i\sigma_j, s \rangle}$$