

Linear Reduction

Ari Feiglin and Noam Kaplinski

In this paper we will define the concept of linear reduction in the context of syntax parsing. We will progress through more and more complicated examples, beginning from the programming of a simple calculator until we ultimately have created an extensible programming language.

Table of Contents

0	Notation	2
1	Theoretical Background	3
1.1	Stateless Reduction	3
1.2	Stateful Reduction	4
1.3	Valued Reduction	5

0 Notation

\mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers, including 0.

$\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined to be $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

$f: A \longrightarrow B$ means that f is a partial function from A to B .

If X is a set and x is some symbol, then $X_x = X^x = X \cup \{x\}$.

1 Theoretical Background

1.1 Stateless Reduction

The idea of linear reduction is simple: given a string ξ the first character looks if it can bind with the second character to produce a new character, and the process repeats itself. There is of course, nuance. This nuance hides in the statement “if it can bind”: we must define the rules for binding.

Let us define an *reducer* to be a tuple (Σ, β, π) where Σ is an alphabet; $\beta: \bar{\Sigma} \times \bar{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \bar{\Sigma}$ is a partial function called the *reduction function* where $\bar{\Sigma} = \Sigma \times \bar{\mathbb{N}}$; and π is the *initial priority function*. A *program* over an reducer is a string over $\bar{\Sigma}$. We write a program like $\sigma_{i_1}^1 \cdots \sigma_{i_n}^n$ instead of as pairs $(\sigma^1, i_1) \dots (\sigma^n, i_n)$. In the character σ_i , we call i the *priority* of σ .

Then the rules of reduction are as follows, meaning we define $\beta(\xi)$ for a program: We do so in cases:

- (1) If $\xi = \sigma_i$ then $\beta(\xi) = \sigma_0$.
- (2) If $\xi = \sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2 \xi'$ where $i \geq j$ and $\beta(\sigma_i^1, \sigma_j^2) = \sigma_k^3$ is defined then $\beta(\xi) = \sigma_k^3 \xi'$.
- (3) Otherwise, for $\xi = \sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2 \xi'$, $\beta(\xi) = \sigma_i^1 \beta(\sigma_j^2 \xi')$.

A string ξ such that $\beta(\xi) = \xi$ is called *irreducible*. Notice that it is possible for a string of length more than 1 to be irreducible: for example if $\beta(\sigma^1, \sigma^2)$ is not defined then $\sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2$ is irreducible.

$$\beta(\sigma_1 \tau_2) \xrightarrow{(3)} \sigma_1 \beta(\tau_2) \xrightarrow{(1)} \sigma_1 \tau_2$$

But such strings are not desired, since in the end we'd like a string to give us a value. So an irreducible string which is not a single character is called *ill-written*, and a string which is not ill-written is *well-written*.

Now the initial priority function is $\pi: \Sigma \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{N}}$ which gives characters their initial priority. We can then canonically extend this to a function $\pi: \Sigma^* \longrightarrow (\Sigma \times \bar{\mathbb{N}})^*$ defined by $\pi(\sigma^1 \cdots \sigma^n) = \sigma_{\pi(\sigma^1)}^1 \cdots \sigma_{\pi(\sigma^n)}^n$. Then a β -reduction of a string $\xi \in \Sigma^*$ is taken to mean a β -reduction of $\pi(\xi)$.

Notice that once again we require that π only be a partial function. This is since that we don't always need every character in Σ to have an initial priority; some symbols are only given their priority through the β -reduction of another pair of symbols. So we now provide a new definition of a *program*, which is a string $\xi = \sigma^1 \cdots \sigma^n \in \Sigma^*$ such that $\pi(\sigma^i)$ exists for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. We can only of course discuss the reductions of programs, as $\pi(\xi)$ is only defined if ξ is a program.

Example: let $\Sigma = \mathbb{N} \cup \{+, \cdot\} \cup \{(n+), (n\cdot) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. β as follows:

σ_i^1, σ_j^2	$\beta(\sigma_i^1, \sigma_j^2)$
$n, +$	$(n+)$
n, \cdot	$(n\cdot)$
$(n+), m$	$n + m$
$(n\cdot), m$	$n \cdot m$
$(n\cdot), (m+)$	$(n \cdot m, +)$
$(n+), (m+)$	$(n + m, +)$
$(n\cdot), (m\cdot)$	$(n \cdot m, \cdot)$

Where n, m range over all values in \mathbb{N} . Here $\beta(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$'s priority is j . We define the initial priorities

$$\pi(n) = \infty, \quad \pi(+)=1, \quad \pi(\cdot)=2$$

Now let us look at the string $1 + 2 \cdot 3 + 4$. Here,

$$\begin{aligned} 1_\infty +_1 2_\infty \cdot_2 3_\infty +_1 4_\infty &\longrightarrow (1+)_1 2_\infty \cdot_2 3_\infty +_1 4_\infty \\ &\longrightarrow (1+)_1 (2\cdot)_2 3_\infty +_1 4_\infty \\ &\longrightarrow (1+)_1 (2\cdot)_2 (3+)_1 4_\infty \\ &\longrightarrow (1+)_1 (6+)_1 4_\infty \\ &\longrightarrow (7+)_1 4_\infty \\ &\longrightarrow (7+)_1 4_0 \\ &\longrightarrow (11)_0 \end{aligned}$$

So the rules for β we supplied seem to be sufficient for computing arithmetic expressions following the order of operations. \diamond

Example: We can also expand our language to include parentheses. So our alphabet becomes $\Sigma = \mathbb{N} \cup \{+, \cdot, (,)\} \cup \{\underline{n+}, \underline{n\cdot}, \underline{n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We distinguish between parentheses and bold parentheses for readability. We extend β as follows:

σ_i^1, σ_j^2	$\beta(\sigma_i^1, \sigma_j^2)$
$n, +$	$\underline{n+}_j$
n, \cdot	$\underline{n\cdot}_j$
$\underline{n+}, m$	$(n + m)_j$
$\underline{n\cdot}, m$	$(n \cdot m)_j$
$\underline{n\cdot}, \underline{m+}$	$\underline{n \cdot m, +}_j$
$\underline{n+}, \underline{m+}$	$\underline{n + m, +}_j$
$\underline{n\cdot}, \underline{m\cdot}$	$\underline{n \cdot m, \cdot}_j$
$n,)$	$\underline{n})_j$
$\underline{n+}, \underline{m})$	$\underline{n + m})_j$
$\underline{n\cdot}, \underline{m})$	$\underline{n \cdot m})_j$
$(, \underline{n})$	n_i

$(n + m)_j$ means $n + m$ with a priority of j , not $\underline{n + m}_j$. And we define the initial priorities

$$\pi(n) = \infty, \quad \pi(+)=1, \quad \pi(\cdot)=2, \quad \pi(()=\infty, \quad \pi())=0$$

So for example reducing $2 \cdot ((1 + 2) \cdot 2) + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
2_\infty * 2 (\infty(\infty 1_\infty + 1 2_\infty)_0 * 2 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty &\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty(\infty 1_\infty + 1 2_\infty)_0 * 2 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty(\infty \underline{1+}_1 2_\infty)_0 * 2 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty(\infty \underline{1+}_1 \underline{2})_0 * 2 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty(\infty \underline{3})_0 * 2 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty 3_\infty * 2 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty \underline{3*}_2 2_\infty)_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty \underline{3*}_2 \underline{2})_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2(\infty \underline{6})_0 + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2 6_\infty + 1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{2*}_2 \underline{6+}_1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{12+}_1 1_\infty \\
&\longrightarrow \underline{12+}_1 1_0 \\
&\longrightarrow 13_0
\end{aligned}$$

◇

1.2 Stateful Reduction

Suppose we'd like to reduce a program with variables in it. Then we cannot just use the previous definitions, as the actions of σ (which is to be understood as the function $\beta(\sigma, \bullet)$) are determined before any reduction occurs. We need a way to store the value of variables, a state.

This leads us to the following definition: let Σ_P and Σ_A be two disjoint sets of symbols: Σ_P the set of *printable symbols* and Σ_A the set of *abstract symbols*. Σ_P will generally be a set consisting of the string representations of abstract symbols, be it operators like $+$ and \cdot or variable names. Σ_A are the actual objects which can “execute something”. Let us further define $\Sigma = \Sigma_P \cup \Sigma_A$.

Now a state is a mapping from printable symbols to strings. So for example, if x is a printable symbol a line like **let** $x = 1$ should change the state so that x maps to the abstract symbol representing 1.

A *point state* is a partial function $s: \Sigma_P \longrightarrow \Sigma_A$. If s_1, s_2 are point states, define their composition to be a point state $s_1 s_2$ such that

$$s_1 s_2(\sigma) = \begin{cases} s_2(\sigma) & \sigma \in \text{dom}(s_2) \\ s_1(\sigma) & \sigma \in \text{dom}(s_1) \end{cases}$$

A *state* is a sequence of point states: $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Let us define

$$\text{State} = \{\Sigma_P \longrightarrow \Sigma_A\}^+$$

the set of all states.

Let $\bar{s} = (s_1 \cdots s_n) \in \mathbf{State}$ be a state, then define

- for $\sigma \in \Sigma_P$ we define $s(\sigma) = s_1 \cdots s_n(\sigma)$ (the composition of states),
- define $\text{pop } \bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$,
- define $\text{push } \bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n, \emptyset)$ (\emptyset is the empty state),
- if s is a point state, $\bar{s}s = (s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, s_n s)$,
- if s is a point state, $\bar{s} + s = (s_1, \dots, s_n, s)$ (so $\text{push } \bar{s} = \bar{s} + \emptyset$).

So if we'd like to revert to a previous state, we simply pop from the current state. And substituting the current state only alters the current (topmost) point state.

Now we begin with an initial β function which is a partial function

$$\beta: \bar{\Sigma}_A \times (\bar{\Sigma} \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times \mathbf{State} \longrightarrow \bar{\Sigma}^* \times \mathbf{State}$$

Recall that $\bar{\Sigma}$ is $\Sigma \times \mathbb{N}$. We will denote tuples in $X \times \mathbf{State}$ by $\langle x, \mid s \rangle$ for $x \in X$ and $s \in \mathbf{State}$ for the sake of readability. So we now wish to extend to a β function

$$\beta: \bar{\Sigma}^* \times \mathbf{State} \longrightarrow \bar{\Sigma}^* \times \mathbf{State}$$

We do this as follows: given $\xi \in (\Sigma \times \bar{\mathbb{N}})^*$ and $s \in \mathbf{State}$ we define $\beta\langle \xi \mid s \rangle$ as follows:

- (1) if $\xi = \sigma_i \xi'$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma_P$ then $\beta\langle \xi \mid s \rangle = \langle s(\sigma)_i \xi' \mid s \rangle$,
- (2) if $\xi = \sigma_i \xi'$ such that $\beta\langle \sigma_i \varepsilon \mid s \rangle = \langle \xi'' \mid s' \rangle$ is defined then $\beta\langle \xi \mid s \rangle = \langle \xi'' \xi' \mid s' \rangle$,
- (3) if $\xi = \sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2 \xi'$ for $\sigma^1 \in \Sigma_A$, $i \geq j$, such that $\beta\langle \sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2 \mid s \rangle = \langle \xi'' \mid s' \rangle$ is defined, then $\beta\langle \xi \mid s \rangle = \langle \xi'' \xi' \mid s' \rangle$,
- (4) otherwise for $\xi = \sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2 \xi'$, if $\beta\langle \sigma_j^2 \xi' \mid s \rangle = \langle \xi'' \mid s' \rangle$ then $\beta\langle \xi \mid s \rangle = \langle \sigma_i^1 \xi'' \mid s' \rangle$.

Notice that (2) cares not about the priority of σ , and neither if $\beta\langle \sigma_i, \tau_j \rangle$ is defined for some $\tau \neq \varepsilon$.

We also define the *initial priority function* to be a map $\pi: \Sigma_P \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{N}}$ (this is not a partial function: every printable symbol must be given a priority). This is once again canonically extended to a function $\pi: \Sigma_P^* \longrightarrow (\Sigma_P \times \bar{\mathbb{N}})^*$. And an *initial state* s_0 which is a point state. The quintuple $(\Sigma_P, \Sigma_A, \beta, \pi, s_0)$ is called a *reducer*. The reduction of a string $\xi \in S$ is the process of iteratively applying β to $\langle \pi(\xi) \mid s_0 \rangle$.

Example: let

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_P &= \mathbb{N} \cup \{+, \cdot, =, ;\} \cup \{\text{let}\} \cup \{x^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ \Sigma_A &= \mathbb{N} \cup \{(n+), (n\cdot) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\text{let}\} \cup \{(\text{let } x^i), (\text{let } x^i =) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned}$$

where the natural numbers in Σ_A are not the same as the natural numbers in Σ_P since they must be disjoint, same for **let**. But they both essentially represent the same thing: s_0 maps $n \mapsto n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (the left-hand n is in Σ_P , the right-hand n is in Σ_A) and **let** \mapsto **let**. All other printable symbols are mapped to ε .

And similar to the previous example we define $\pi(n) = \infty$, $\pi(+)=1$, and $\pi(\cdot)=2$. We extend this to $\pi(;)=0$, $\pi(=)=0$, $\pi(\text{let})=\infty$, and $\pi(x^i)=\infty$.

Let us take the same transitions as the example in the previous section for $n, (n+), (n\cdot)$ (we have to add the condition that the state doesn't change). We further add the transitions

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\langle \sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2 \mid s \rangle \quad \beta\langle \sigma^1 \sigma^2 \mid s \rangle}{\begin{array}{cc} \langle \sigma; \mid s \rangle & \langle \sigma_j \mid s \rangle \\ \langle \text{let } x^i \mid s \rangle & \langle (\text{let } x^i)_j \mid s \rangle \\ \langle (\text{let } x^i) = \mid s \rangle & \langle (\text{let } x^i =)_j \mid s \rangle \\ \langle (\text{let } x^i =) \sigma \mid s \rangle & \langle \varepsilon \mid s[x^i \mapsto \sigma] \rangle \end{array}} \end{array}$$

In the final transition, $n \in \Sigma_A$. Then for example (we will be skipping trivial reductions):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } x^1 &= 1 + 2; \text{ let } x^2 = 2; x^1 \cdot x^2; \longrightarrow \text{let}_{\infty} x_{\infty}^1 =_0 1_{\infty} +_1 2_{\infty};_0 \text{ let}_{\infty} x_{\infty}^2 =_0 2_{\infty};_0 x_{\infty}^1 \cdot_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0 &\longrightarrow (\text{let } x^1 =)_0 1_{\infty} +_1 2_{\infty};_0 \text{ let}_{\infty} x_{\infty}^2 =_0 2_{\infty};_0 x_{\infty}^1 \cdot_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0 &\longrightarrow (\text{let } x^1 =)_0 3_0 \text{ let}_{\infty} x_{\infty}^2 =_0 2_{\infty};_0 x_{\infty}^1 \cdot_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0[x^1 \mapsto 3] &\longrightarrow \text{let}_{\infty} x_{\infty}^2 =_0 2_{\infty};_0 x_{\infty}^1 \cdot_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0[x^1 \mapsto 3, x^2 \mapsto 2] &\longrightarrow x_{\infty}^1 \cdot_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0[x^1 \mapsto 3, x^2 \mapsto 2] &\longrightarrow 3_{\infty} \cdot_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0[x^1 \mapsto 3, x^2 \mapsto 2] &\longrightarrow (3\cdot)_2 x_{\infty}^2;_0 \\ s_0[x^1 \mapsto 3, x^2 \mapsto 2] &\longrightarrow (3\cdot)_2 2_{\infty};_0 \\ s_0[x^1 \mapsto 3, x^2 \mapsto 2] &\longrightarrow 6_0 \end{aligned}$$

1.3 Valued Reduction

Notice that in the previous examples, many symbols had values, be it a number, a list, etc. This leads us to the next variation of linear reduction: *valued* linear reduction. Here we start with the sets: \mathcal{U} the universe of values, Σ_P the set of printable types, and Σ_A the set of abstract types.

Here \mathcal{U} is some arbitrary set, it will contain of all possible values (numbers, lists, strings, functions, etc.) in our program. Σ_P and Σ_A are sets of symbols which we call *types*.

Let us further define $\Pi_A := \Sigma_A \times \mathcal{U}$ to be the set of *abstract values*, $\Sigma := \Sigma_P \cup \Sigma_A$ the set of types, and $\Pi = \Sigma_P \cup \Pi_A$ the set of *values* (printable types are also values).

Let us define a point-state, similar to stateful reductions, but now the state of a printable type can also be a sequence of printable types. This is so we can define functions: we can allow a symbol to expand to the code of a function. So a point-state is a partial function $\Sigma_P \longrightarrow (\Sigma_A \cup \Sigma_P^*)$, and a state is a sequence of point-states. Define State to be the set of states. *push*, *pop*, etc. is defined as before.

Now we define a *initial β -reducer* to be

$$\hat{\beta}: \bar{\Sigma}_A \times \bar{\Sigma}_\varepsilon \times \text{State} \longrightarrow \bar{\Sigma}^* \times (\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}_\emptyset \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_\emptyset^*) \times \text{State}$$

The idea is that given two types, you create a new sequence of types, a value function f , and a new state. If the values of the original two types are v, u then the values of the new sequence of types will be $f(v, u)$. Here we consider the value of a printable type to be \emptyset .

For readability, an element of $\bar{\Pi}$ will be written as $\sigma_n(v)$ for a type of σ , a priority of n , and a value of v ($v = \emptyset$ if $\sigma \in \Sigma_P$). And for $\xi = \sigma_{n_1}^1 \cdots \sigma_{n_k}^k \in \bar{\Sigma}^*$ and $\vec{v} = v_1 \cdots v_k \in \mathcal{U}_\emptyset^*$, define $\xi(\vec{v})$ to be $\sigma_{n_1}^1(v_1) \cdots \sigma_{n_k}^k(v_k)$.

A *β -reducer* is a partial function

$$\beta: \bar{\Pi}^* \times \text{State} \longrightarrow \bar{\Pi}^* \times \text{State}$$

The *β -reducer derived* from an initial β -reducer $\hat{\beta}$ is defined recursively as follows: for $\langle \xi \mid s \rangle \in \bar{\Pi}^* \times \text{State}$,

- (1) If $\xi = \sigma_n \xi'$ where $\sigma \in \Sigma_P$ is a printable symbol, then:
 - (1) if $s(\sigma) = \tau \in \Sigma_A$ then $\langle \tau_n \xi' \mid s \rangle$,
 - (2) otherwise, $s(\sigma) = \xi''$ then $\langle \pi(\xi'') \xi' \mid s \rangle$.
- (2) If $\xi = \sigma_n(v) \xi'$ where $\hat{\beta}(\sigma, \varepsilon, s) = (\xi'', f, s')$ then $\langle \xi''(f(v)) \xi' \mid s \rangle$.
- (3) If $\xi = \sigma_n(v) \tau_m(u) \xi'$ where $n \geq m$ and $\hat{\beta}(\sigma, \tau, s) = (\xi'', f, s')$ then $\langle \xi''(f(v)) \xi' \mid s \rangle$.
- (4) Otherwise $\xi = \sigma_n(v) \tau_m(u) \xi'$ and $n < m$ or $\hat{\beta}(\sigma, \tau, s)$ is undefined, then if $\beta \langle \tau_m(u) \xi' \mid s \rangle = \langle \xi'', s' \rangle$, then $\langle \sigma_n(v) \xi'' \mid s' \rangle$.