

Programming Languages

Homework 2

Amit Moshcovitz and Ari Feiglin

2.1 Exercise

- (1) Prove the following semantic equivalence: $(S_1; S_2); S_3 \sim S_1; (S_2; S_3)$.
- (2) Prove that the following is not an equivalence: $S_1; S_2 \sim S_2; S_1$.
- (3) Prove the equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } b \text{ then (if } c \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2) \text{ else } S_3 \\ & \sim \text{if } b \text{ and } c \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else if } b \text{ and not } c \text{ then } S_2 \text{ else } S_3 \end{aligned}$$

- (1) We have the following semantic trees:

$$\frac{\frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s_1 \quad \frac{\langle S_2, s_1 \rangle \rightarrow s_2 \quad \langle S_3, s_2 \rangle \rightarrow s_3}{\langle S_2; S_3, s_1 \rangle \rightarrow s_3}}{\langle S_1; (S_2; S_3), s \rangle \rightarrow s_3} \quad \frac{\frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s_1 \quad \langle S_2, s_1 \rangle \rightarrow s_2}{\langle S_1; S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s_2} \quad \langle S_3, s_2 \rangle \rightarrow s_3}{\langle (S_1; S_2); S_3, s \rangle \rightarrow s_3}$$

So we see that both commands are equivalent as they both produce the same state.

- (2) Let $S_1 = x := 1$ and $S_2 = x := 2$, let s be the default state and $s_1 = s[x \mapsto 1]$ and $s_2 = s[x \mapsto 2]$, so $s_1 \neq s_2$. Then

$$\frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s_1 \quad \langle S_2, s_1 \rangle \rightarrow s_1[x \mapsto 2] = s_2}{\langle S_1; S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s_2}$$

While

$$\frac{\langle S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s_2 \quad \langle S_1, s_2 \rangle \rightarrow s_2[x \mapsto 1] = s_1}{\langle S_2; S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s_1}$$

- (3) We have the following semantic trees: If $B[b]s = tt$ and $B[c]s = tt$ then

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s_1}{\langle \text{if } c \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s_1}}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then (if } c \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2) \text{ else } S_3, s \rangle \rightarrow s_1} \quad \langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s_1}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ and } c \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else if } b \text{ and not } c \text{ then } S_2 \text{ else } S_3, s \rangle \rightarrow s_1}$$

If $B[b]s = tt$ and $B[c]s = ff$ then

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\langle S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s_2}{\langle \text{if } c \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s_2}}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then (if } c \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2) \text{ else } S_3, s \rangle \rightarrow s_2} \quad \langle S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s_2}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ and not } c \text{ then } S_2 \text{ else } S_3, s \rangle \rightarrow s_2} \quad \langle S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s_2}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ and } c \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else if } b \text{ and not } c \text{ then } S_2 \text{ else } S_3, s \rangle \rightarrow s_2}$$

If $B[b]s = ff$ then

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\langle S_3, s \rangle \rightarrow s_3}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then (if } c \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2) \text{ else } S_3, s \rangle \rightarrow s_3} \quad \langle S_3, s \rangle \rightarrow s_3}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ and not } c \text{ then } S_2 \text{ else } S_3, s \rangle \rightarrow s_3} \quad \langle S_3, s \rangle \rightarrow s_3}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ and } c \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else if } b \text{ and not } c \text{ then } S_2 \text{ else } S_3, s \rangle \rightarrow s_3}$$

So in all cases, they are equivalent, as required.

2.2 Exercise

(1) Add semantics for a `do...while` loop, without utilizing the `while` loop.

(2) Prove

$$\text{do } S \text{ while } b \sim S; \text{ if } b \text{ then } (\text{do } S \text{ while } b) \text{ else skip}$$

(1) Let $\langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s'$ then

$$\text{if } B[b]s' = tt \quad \frac{\langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s' \quad \langle \text{do } S \text{ while } b, s' \rangle \rightarrow s''}{\langle \text{do } S \text{ while } b, s \rangle \rightarrow s''}$$

$$\text{if } B[b]s' = ff \quad \frac{\langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle \text{do } S \text{ while } b, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}$$

(2) Let $\langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s'$. If $B[b]s' = tt$ then

$$\frac{\langle s, s \rangle \rightarrow s' \quad \frac{\langle \text{do } S \text{ while } b, s' \rangle \rightarrow s''}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } (\text{do } s \text{ while } b) \text{ else skip}, s' \rangle \rightarrow s''}}{\langle s; \text{ if } b \text{ then } (\text{do } s \text{ while } b) \text{ else skip}, s \rangle \rightarrow s''}$$

And if $B[b]s' = ff$ then

$$\frac{\langle s, s \rangle \rightarrow s' \quad \frac{\langle \text{skip}, s' \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } (\text{do } s \text{ while } b) \text{ else skip}, s' \rangle \rightarrow s'}}{\langle s; \text{ if } b \text{ then } (\text{do } s \text{ while } b) \text{ else skip}, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}$$

Which are equivalent to the state results for `do...while`.

2.3 Exercise

Define syntax for numbers n by $n := 0 \mid 1 \mid n0 \mid n1$.

(1) Define semantics for these binary numbers.

(2) Show that this definition is total.

This is just we did in recitation: $\mathcal{N}[0] = 0$, $\mathcal{N}[1] = 1$, $\mathcal{N}[n0] = 2 \cdot \mathcal{N}[n]$, $\mathcal{N}[n1] = 2 \cdot \mathcal{N}[n] + 1$, which is clearly total by variant induction.