



**Cochrane  
Library**

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

## Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)

Demicheli V, Rivetti A, Debalini MG, Di Pietrantonj C

Demicheli V, Rivetti A, Debalini MG, Di Pietrantonj C.  
Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children.  
*Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD004407.  
DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD004407.pub3](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004407.pub3).

[www.cochranelibrary.com](http://www.cochranelibrary.com)

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

HEADER .....	1
ABSTRACT .....	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY .....	2
BACKGROUND .....	3
OBJECTIVES .....	4
METHODS .....	4
RESULTS .....	6
DISCUSSION .....	16
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS .....	17
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	17
REFERENCES .....	18
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES .....	31
ADDITIONAL TABLES .....	82
APPENDICES .....	151
FEEDBACK .....	162
WHAT'S NEW .....	163
HISTORY .....	163
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS .....	164
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST .....	164
SOURCES OF SUPPORT .....	164
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW .....	164
INDEX TERMS .....	164

## [Intervention Review]

# Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children

Vittorio Demicheli<sup>1</sup>, Alessandro Rivetti<sup>2</sup>, Maria Grazia Debalini<sup>1</sup>, Carlo Di Pietrantonj<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Servizio Regionale di Riferimento per l'Epidemiologia, SSEpi-SeREMI - Cochrane Vaccines Field, Azienda Sanitaria Locale ASL AL, Alessandria, Italy. <sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Prevenzione - S.Pre.S.A.L., ASL CN2 Alba Bra, Alba, Italy. <sup>3</sup>Regional Epidemiology Unit SeREMI, Local Health Unit Alessandria- ASL AL, Alessandria, Italy

**Contact address:** Vittorio Demicheli, Servizio Regionale di Riferimento per l'Epidemiologia, SSEpi-SeREMI - Cochrane Vaccines Field, Azienda Sanitaria Locale ASL AL, Via Venezia 6, Alessandria, Piemonte, 15121, Italy. [vdemicheli@aslal.it](mailto:vdemicheli@aslal.it), [vittoriodemicheli@libero.it](mailto:vittoriodemicheli@libero.it).

**Editorial group:** Cochrane Acute Respiratory Infections Group

**Publication status and date:** Edited (no change to conclusions), comment added to review, published in Issue 10, 2016.

**Citation:** Demicheli V, Rivetti A, Debalini MG, Di Pietrantonj C. Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD004407. DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD004407.pub3](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004407.pub3).

Copyright © 2016 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

## ABSTRACT

### Background

Mumps, measles and rubella (MMR) are serious diseases that can lead to potentially fatal illness, disability and death. However, public debate over the safety of the trivalent MMR vaccine and the resultant drop in vaccination coverage in several countries persists, despite its almost universal use and accepted effectiveness.

### Objectives

To assess the effectiveness and adverse effects associated with the MMR vaccine in children up to 15 years of age.

### Search methods

For this update we searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (*The Cochrane Library* 2011, Issue 2), which includes the Cochrane Acute Respiratory Infections Group's Specialised Register, PubMed (July 2004 to May week 2, 2011) and Embase.com (July 2004 to May 2011).

### Selection criteria

We used comparative prospective or retrospective trials assessing the effects of the MMR vaccine compared to placebo, do nothing or a combination of measles, mumps and rubella antigens on healthy individuals up to 15 years of age.

### Data collection and analysis

Two review authors independently extracted data and assessed methodological quality of the included studies. One review author arbitrated in case of disagreement.

### Main results

We included five randomised controlled trials (RCTs), one controlled clinical trial (CCT), 27 cohort studies, 17 case-control studies, five time-series trials, one case cross-over trial, two ecological studies, six self controlled case series studies involving in all about 14,700,000 children and assessing effectiveness and safety of MMR vaccine. Based on the available evidence, one MMR vaccine dose is at least 95% effective in preventing clinical measles and 92% effective in preventing secondary cases among household contacts.

Effectiveness of at least one dose of MMR in preventing clinical mumps in children is estimated to be between 69% and 81% for the vaccine prepared with Jeryl Lynn mumps strain and between 70% and 75% for the vaccine containing the Urabe strain. Vaccination with MMR containing the Urabe strain has demonstrated to be 73% effective in preventing secondary mumps cases. Effectiveness of Jeryl Lynn con-

taining MMR in preventing laboratory-confirmed mumps cases in children and adolescents was estimated to be between 64% to 66% for one dose and 83% to 88% for two vaccine doses. We did not identify any studies assessing the effectiveness of MMR in preventing rubella.

The highest risk of association with aseptic meningitis was observed within the third week after immunisation with Urabe-containing MMR (risk ratio (RR) 14.28; 95% confidence interval (CI) from 7.93 to 25.71) and within the third (RR 22.5; 95% CI 11.8 to 42.9) or fifth (RR 15.6; 95% CI 10.3 to 24.2) weeks after immunisation with the vaccine prepared with the Leningrad-Zagreb strain. A significant risk of association with febrile seizures and MMR exposure during the two previous weeks (RR 1.10; 95% CI 1.05 to 1.15) was assessed in one large person-time cohort study involving 537,171 children aged between three months and five years of age. Increased risk of febrile seizure has also been observed in children aged between 12 to 23 months (relative incidence (RI) 4.09; 95% CI 3.1 to 5.33) and children aged 12 to 35 months (RI 5.68; 95% CI 2.31 to 13.97) within six to 11 days after exposure to MMR vaccine. An increased risk of thrombocytopenic purpura within six weeks after MMR immunisation in children aged 12 to 23 months was assessed in one case-control study (RR 6.3; 95% CI 1.3 to 30.1) and in one small self-controlled case series (incidence rate ratio (IRR) 5.38; 95% CI 2.72 to 10.62). Increased risk of thrombocytopenic purpura within six weeks after MMR exposure was also assessed in one other case-control study involving 2311 children and adolescents between one month and 18 years (odds ratio (OR) 2.4; 95% CI 1.2 to 4.7). Exposure to the MMR vaccine was unlikely to be associated with autism, asthma, leukaemia, hay fever, type 1 diabetes, gait disturbance, Crohn's disease, demyelinating diseases, bacterial or viral infections.

### Authors' conclusions

The design and reporting of safety outcomes in MMR vaccine studies, both pre- and post-marketing, are largely inadequate. The evidence of adverse events following immunisation with the MMR vaccine cannot be separated from its role in preventing the target diseases.

## PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

### Using the combined vaccine for protection of children against measles, mumps and rubella

Measles, mumps and rubella (MMR) are three very dangerous infectious diseases which cause severe morbidity, disability and death in low-income countries.

Based on the evidence provided by three cohort studies (3104 participants), vaccination with one dose of MMR vaccine is at least 95% effective in preventing clinical measles among preschool children; in schoolchildren and adolescents at least one dose of MMR vaccine was 98% effective in preventing laboratory-confirmed measles cases; one or two MMR doses were respectively 92% and 95% effective in preventing secondary measles cases.

At least one dose of MMR vaccine is effective in preventing clinical mumps among children and adolescents when prepared with Jeryl Lynn strains (vaccine effectiveness = 69% to 81%, one cohort and one case-control study, 1656 participants), as well as when prepared with Urabe strain (vaccine effectiveness = 70% to 75%, one cohort and one case-control study, 1964 participants). Effectiveness against laboratory-confirmed mumps in children and adolescents was estimated to be between 64% to 66% for one and 83% to 88% for two doses of Jeryl Lynn MMR (two case-control studies, 1664 participants) and 87% for Urabe-containing MMR (one cohort study, 48 participants). Vaccination with Urabe MMR confers protection against secondary mumps infection (vaccine effectiveness = 73%, one cohort study, 147 participants).

We identified no studies assessing the effectiveness of MMR vaccine against clinical or laboratory-confirmed rubella.

Results from two very large case series studies involving about 1,500,000 children who were given the MMR vaccine containing Urabe or Leningrad-Zagreb strains show this vaccine to be associated with aseptic meningitis; whereas administration of the vaccine containing Moraten, Jeryl Lynn, Wistar RA, RIT 4385 strains is associated with febrile convulsion in children aged below five years (one person-time cohort study, 537,171 participants; two self-controlled case series studies, 1001 participants). The MMR vaccine could also be associated with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (two case-controls, 2450 participants, one self-controlled case series, 63 participants).

We could assess no significant association between MMR immunisation and the following conditions: autism, asthma, leukaemia, hay fever, type 1 diabetes, gait disturbance, Crohn's disease, demyelinating diseases, or bacterial or viral infections. The methodological quality of many of the included studies made it difficult to generalise their results.

The glossary of study designs is available in the full-text review.

## BACKGROUND

### Description of the condition

Measles, mumps and rubella (MMR) are serious diseases that can lead to potentially fatal illnesses, disabilities and death. MMR are particularly prevalent in low-income countries where vaccination programmes are inconsistent and the mortality rate from disease is high. However, in high-income countries MMR are now rare, due to large-scale vaccination programmes.

### Description of the intervention

The single component live attenuated vaccines of MMR have been licensed in the USA since the 1960s (Plotkin 1999a; Plotkin 1999b; Redd 1999). These single vaccines have been shown to be highly effective at reducing the morbidity and mortality rates associated with these childhood illnesses.

At least five MMR vaccines are known.

1. Triviraten Berna is a live virus vaccine containing 1000 TCID<sub>50</sub> (50% tissue culture infectious doses) of Edmonston-Zagreb (EZ 19) measles strain, 5000 TCID<sub>50</sub> of Rubini mumps strain and 1000 TCID<sub>50</sub> of Wistar RA 27/3 rubella strain propagated on human diploid cells. The product contains lactose (14 mg), human albumin (8.8 mg), sodium bicarbonate (0.3 mg), medium 199 (5.7 mg) and distilled water as solvent.
2. M-M-R by Merck is a live virus vaccine. It is a sterile lyophilised preparation of 1000 TCID<sub>50</sub> Enders' attenuated Edmonston measles strain propagated in chick embryo cell culture; mumps 20000 TCID<sub>50</sub> Jeryl Lynn strain propagated in chick embryo cell culture; and rubella 1000 TCID<sub>50</sub> Wistar RA 27/3 propagated on human diploid lung fibroblasts. The growth medium is medium 199 (5.7 mg) used with neomycin as stabiliser.
3. Morupar by Chiron is a live virus vaccine. It contains a sterile lyophilised preparation of 1000 TCID<sub>50</sub> of Schwarz measles strain propagated in chick embryo cell culture; 1000 TCID<sub>50</sub> Wistar RA 27/3 rubella strain propagated on human diploid lung fibroblasts; and 5000 TCID<sub>50</sub> Urabe AM 9 mumps propagated in chick embryo cell culture, with neomycin as stabiliser.
4. Priorix vaccine, Glaxo SmithKline Beecham (GSK), is a lyophilised mixed preparation of the attenuated Schwarz measles CCID<sub>50</sub> (50% cell culture infective dose) strain; RIT 4385 mumps CCID<sub>50</sub> (derived from Jeryl Lynn strain); and CCID<sub>50</sub> Wistar RA 27/3 rubella strain of viruses. These are separately obtained by propagation either in chick embryo tissue cultures (mumps and measles) or MRC5 human diploid cells (rubella). The vaccine also contains residual amounts of neomycin (25 µg per dose).
5. Trimovax by Pasteur-Merieux Serums and Vaccines contains live viruses: Schwarz measles strain, 1000 TCID<sub>50</sub>; Urabe Am 9 mumps strain, 5000 TCID<sub>50</sub>; and Wistar RA 27/3 rubella strain, 1000TCID<sub>50</sub>.

### How the intervention might work

No national health policy recommends that the MMR vaccine be given as three separate vaccines. Combined live attenuated MMR vaccine was introduced in the USA in the 1970s (Redd 1999; Schwarz 1975). MMR is included in the World Health Organization's *Expanded Programme on Immunisation* and it is used in over 50 European countries, the USA, Canada, Australia and New Zealand; in

total, over 90 countries around the world use the MMR vaccine. Accepted recommendations are that the first dose should be administered on or after the first birthday and the second dose of MMR at least 28 days later. In many European countries the second dose is administered at four to 10 years of age. Vaccination with MMR provides significant improvement in the efficiency of paediatric immunisation through the administration of three vaccines in a single injection, which is important in reducing costs while increasing immunisation coverage against the three diseases (Makino 1990). The incidence of MMR worldwide has been significantly reduced by MMR vaccination (WHO 1999).

Single-component measles vaccine (MV) is actually used in nearly all African WHO member states (44 out of 47 states); in the main cases vaccination schedules prescribe a single-dose administration at nine months of age. In only four African countries (Algeria, Lesotho, Republic of South Africa, Swaziland) a second MV dose is administered at 18 months or at six years of age (Algeria) (WHO 2011). The administration of the first dose of measles-containing vaccine at nine months of age is recommended in countries with ongoing transmission and with high risk of measles mortality among infants, in order to ensure adequate protection. The introduction of a second measles-containing vaccine dose to the immunisation schedule is recommended only when a coverage of at least 80% for the first dose of measles-containing vaccine has been reached for three consecutive years. It should be administered at 15 to 18 months of age (WHO 2009). Altogether, besides 44 African WHO member countries, an additional 24 countries have exclusively used MV in their vaccination schedule (among others the Russian Federation). Eleven countries have a single-dose MV administration at nine months of age (Bangladesh, Cambodia, Djibouti, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Nepal, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Timor Leste, Vanuatu and Vietnam).

The capability of MMR mass immunisation to eliminate the targeted disease has been demonstrated in a number of countries. The USA is the largest country to have ended endemic measles transmission (Strebel 2004), with interruption of indigenous transmission in 1993 (Watson 1998). In Finland, a national programme launched in 1982 reached measles elimination in 1996 and in 1999 the country was documented as free of indigenous mumps and rubella (Pelto-la 2000). These experiences demonstrate the possibility of achieving interruption of transmission in large geographic areas and suggest the feasibility of global eradication of measles. Therefore, it would be ethically unacceptable to conduct placebo-controlled trials to assess vaccine effects. Current research on the effectiveness of MMR vaccines focuses on comparison of vaccine strains and optimising protection by modifying the immunisation schedules; these topics are outside the scope of the present review.

A retrospective study (Kreidl 2003) reported data about MMR vaccination coverage for local areas in South Tyrol (North-East Italy) and reported cases of measles in the same areas. In all areas with complete vaccination coverage below 50%, an incidence of at least 333 cases per 100,000 was observed; whereas a very low incidence of the disease was registered in those areas where the highest immunisation coverage was achieved, despite their higher population density.

After the introduction of MMR vaccine in England in October 1988, the annual incidence of mumps declined sharply. The annual incidence rate fell from 160/100,000 in 1989 to 17/100,000 in 1995 (Gay 1997).

One retrospective observational study, which seemed to show an unexpectedly low clinical effectiveness (Vandermeulen 2004) was carried out on 1825 children aged between 15 months and 11 years. It examined the incidence of mumps in seven kindergartens and primary schools in Belgium during a mumps outbreak. This was assessed using questionnaires completed by parents and following evaluation of the reported data according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (CDC 1997) case definition. On average, 91.8% of the children had received at least one dose of MMR vaccine at any time before the outbreak occurred. In this group (N = 1641) mumps was diagnosed in 85 children whereas 20 out of the 139 non-immunised children developed mumps (45 children from both groups were excluded from the analysis because they had a history of mumps prior to the outbreak).

The components of monovalent vaccine containing MMR viruses, and subsequently combined MMR vaccine, are described below (Makino 1990; Plotkin 1999b). Numerous attenuated measles vaccines, mostly derived from the Edmonston strain, are currently produced worldwide. Four vaccines containing non-Edmonston derived strains are also in use, including Leningrad-16, Shanghai-191, CAM-70 and TD97. In most cases the virus is cultured in chick embryo cells. However, a few vaccines are attenuated in human diploid cells. The majority of vaccines contain small doses of antibiotics (for example 25 µg of neomycin per dose) but some do not. Sorbitol and gelatin are used as stabilisers (Schwarz 1975).

More than 10 mumps vaccine strains (Jeryl Lynn, Urabe, Hoshino, Rubini, Leningrad-3, L-Zagreb, Miyahara, Torii, NK M-46, S-12 and RIT 4385) have been used throughout the world (Redd 1999). Most vaccines also contain neomycin (25 µg of per dose). The Jeryl Lynn strain is widely used. Several manufacturers in Japan and Europe produce a live mumps vaccine containing the Urabe Am9 virus strain. Concerns about vaccine-associated meningitis have prompted some countries to stop using MMR with the mumps Urabe strain. Often the viruses are cultured in chick embryo fibroblasts (as with the Jeryl Lynn and Urabe strain-containing vaccines) but quail and human embryo fibroblasts are also used for some vaccines.

Most rubella vaccines used throughout the world contain the RA 27/3 virus strain (Plotkin 1965). The only exceptions are vaccines produced in Japan which use different virus strains: Matsuba, DCRB 19, Takahashi and TO- 336 are all produced using rabbit kidney cells; and Matsuura is produced using quail embryo fibroblasts. The RA 27/3 strain is used most often because of consistent immunogenicity, induction of resistance to re-infection and its low rate of side effects (Plotkin 1973). The live virus produces viraemia and pharyngeal excretion, but both are of low magnitude and are non-communicable (Plotkin 1999a).

## Why it is important to do this review

Despite its worldwide use, no systematic reviews studying the effectiveness and safety of MMR vaccines are available.

## OBJECTIVES

1. To review the existing evidence on the absolute effectiveness of the MMR vaccine in children (by the effect of the vaccine on the incidence of clinical cases of measles, mumps and rubella).

2. To assess the worldwide occurrence of adverse events, including those that are common, rare, short-term and long-term, following exposure to the MMR vaccine in children.

## METHODS

### Criteria for considering studies for this review

#### Types of studies

We included randomised controlled trials (RCTs), controlled clinical trials (CCTs), cohort studies, case-control studies, time-series studies, case cross-over studies, ecological studies, self controlled case series, mixed RCT and time-series (see Appendix 1).

#### Types of participants

Healthy children up to 15 years of age.

#### Types of interventions

Vaccination with any combined MMR vaccine given in any dose, preparation or time schedule compared with do nothing or placebo.

#### Types of outcome measures

##### Primary outcomes

1. Effectiveness: clinical and/or confirmed cases of measles, mumps or rubella.
2. Safety: serious systemic adverse events. All those which have been hypothesised so far (thrombocytopenic purpura, parotitis, joint and limb symptoms, Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, autism and aseptic meningitis), plus encephalitis/encephalopathy, febrile seizure, asthma, leukaemia, hay fever, type 1 diabetes, gait disturbance, demyelinating diseases, bacterial or viral infection.

##### Secondary outcomes

1. Local reactions (for example, soreness and redness at the site of inoculation) and systemic reactions (for example, fever, rash, vomiting and diarrhoea) following MMR vaccination.

### Search methods for identification of studies

#### Electronic searches

##### For effectiveness

For this update we searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (*The Cochrane Library* 2011, Issue 2), which includes the Cochrane Acute Respiratory Infections Group's Specialised Register, EMBASE (July 2004 to May 2011) and PubMed (July 2004 to May week 2, 2011). We used the following search terms for CENTRAL and PubMed.

- ```
# 1 explode 'Vaccines-Combined' / all subheadings
# 2 explode 'Vaccines-Attenuated' / all subheadings
# 3 #1 or #2
# 4 trivalen* or combin* or simultan* or tripl* or trebl*
# 5 vaccin* or immuni* or inoculat*
# 6 # 4 and # 5
# 7 # 3 or # 6
# 8 explode 'Measles-' / all subheadings
# 9 explode 'Mumps-' / all subheadings
# 10 explode 'Rubella-' / all subheadings
```



# 11 measles and mumps and rubella  
# 12 #8 or #9 or #10 or #11  
# 13 #7 and #12  
# 14 explode 'Measles-Vaccine'  
# 15 explode 'Mumps-Vaccine'  
# 16 explode 'Rubella-Vaccine'  
# 17 explode 'Measles-Mumps-Rubella-Vaccine' / all subheadings  
# 18 measles mumps rubella or MMR  
# 19 #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18  
# 20 #13 or #19

We adapted these subject terms for EMBASE (see [Appendix 2](#)). We conducted all searches during the second week of May, 2011. We also considered the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR) and the NHS Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE) for published reviews. For search strategies used in the previous version of the review see [Appendix 3](#).

### For safety

Again, for this update we searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (*The Cochrane Library* 2011, Issue 2), which includes the Cochrane Acute Respiratory Infections Group's Specialised Register, EMBASE (July 2004 to May 2011) and PubMed (July 2004 to May week 2 2011). We used the following search terms for CENTRAL and PubMed.

1 Vaccines-Combined [mesh word (mh)]  
2 Vaccines-Attenuated  
3 ((trivalen\*[text word (tw)] or combin\* (tw) or simultan\* (tw) or tripl\* (tw) or trebl\* (tw) and (vaccin\* (tw) or immuni\* (tw) or inoculat\* (tw)))  
4 or/1-3  
5 measles (tw) and mumps (tw) and rubella (tw)  
6 4 and 5  
7 Measles-Vaccine(mh) and Mumps-Vaccine (mh) and Rubella-Vaccine (mh)  
8 MMR [title, abstract (ti,ab)]  
9 (measles (tw) and mumps (tw) and rubella (tw) and (vaccin\* (tw) or immuni\* (tw) or inoculat\* (tw))  
10 or/6-9  
11 adverse events [floating sub-heading (fs)] or chemically induced (fs) or complications (fs) or contraindications (fs) or toxicity (fs) or poisoning (fs) or drug effects (fs)  
12 ((adverse (tw) and (effect\* (tw) or event\* (tw)) or side effect\* (tw) or hypersensitiv\* (tw) or sensitiv\* (tw) or safe\* (tw) or pharmacovigil\* (tw))  
13 explode Product-Surveillance-Postmarketing (mh) or Drug-Monitoring (mh) or Drug-Evaluation (mh) or explode Risk (mh) or Odds-Ratio (mh) or explode Causality (mh)  
14 relative risk (tw) or risk (tw) or causation (tw) or causal (tw) or odds ratio (tw) or etiol\* (tw) or aetiol\* (tw) or etiology (fs) or epidemiology (fs)  
15 or/11-14  
16 10 and 15

As before, we adapted this filter for searching EMBASE (see [Appendix 2](#)).

### Searching other resources

For effectiveness trials, we searched bibliographies of all relevant articles obtained and any published reviews for additional studies. We also searched the following sources for unpublished,

prospectively registered trials: <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/> and <http://www.controlled-trials.com/>. In addition, we contacted vaccine manufacturers, companies that market vaccines, the leading or corresponding authors of studies evaluated and researchers or experts in the field, where appropriate, to identify any unpublished studies. We imposed no language restrictions.

For safety trials, we assessed bibliographies of all relevant articles and any published reviews for additional studies. We imposed no language restrictions.

### Data collection and analysis

See [Appendix 1](#) for study design definitions (based on: [Farrington 2004](#); [Jefferson 1999](#); [Last 2001](#)).

### Selection of studies

Two review authors (MGD, CDP) independently applied the inclusion criteria to all identified and retrieved articles. A third review author (VD) arbitrated in case of disagreements about eligibility of a study.

### Data extraction and management

Three review authors (AR, MGD, CDP) independently performed data extraction using a data extraction form ([Appendix 4](#)). One review author (VD) checked data extractions and arbitrated in case of disagreements.

### Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

Three review authors (AR, MGD, CDP) independently assessed the methodological quality of the included studies. We assessed the quality of RCTs and quasi-RCTs using the criteria adapted from the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* ([Higgins 2011](#)). We assessed the quality of non-RCTs in relation to the presence of potential confounders which could make interpretation of the results difficult. However, because there was insufficient empirical evidence to demonstrate the validity of the non-randomised quality assessment screens, these studies were used for the purposes of qualitative analysis only.

We evaluated the quality of case-control (prospective and retrospective) and cohort studies using the appropriate Newcastle-Ottawa Scales (NOS) ([Wells 2000](#)). We applied quality control assessment grids, based on those developed by The University of York, NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination ([Khan 2001](#)), to historical controlled trials (HCTs), interrupted time-series and case cross-over studies and ecological studies (see [Appendix 4](#)). We used a classification and methodological quality checklist (unpublished) for case-only design studies, especially developed by CP Farrington and TO Jefferson and adapted from a paper by CP Farrington ([Farrington 2004](#)).

### Measures of treatment effect

This is a descriptive review.

### Unit of analysis issues

This is a descriptive review.

### Dealing with missing data

We did not use any strategies to impute missing outcome data.

## Assessment of heterogeneity

We firstly assessed included studies for clinical homogeneity. As we found diversity of exposure, outcomes and length of follow-up, we decided against pooling data and carried out a descriptive review.

## Assessment of reporting biases

Not performed.

## Data synthesis

We classified and discussed included studies according to the type of outcomes for which they provided evidence, i.e. effectiveness, possible association with harms or local and systemic adverse effects. We illustrated study characteristics, design, population, outcomes definitions, methods used and results in the [Effects of interventions](#) section and in the Additional tables.

## Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

This is a descriptive review.

## Sensitivity analysis

This is a descriptive review.

# RESULTS

## Description of studies

### Results of the search

We updated the searches in May 2011 and identified 3371 articles for screening. We identified and retrieved 96 papers after reviewing the titles and abstracts. Out of these, we included 33 in the update. Our original searches identified 4889 articles for screening, a large number of studies because of the deliberately broad search design. After screening, we retrieved 139 studies possibly fulfilling our inclusion criteria; 108 studies did not meet all inclusion criteria and were excluded, while 31 were included in this review. In this 2011 update, we included a total of 64 studies.

### Included studies

We included the following studies.

- Five randomised controlled trials (RCTs) ([Bloom 1975](#); [Edees 1991](#); [Lerman 1981](#); [Peltola 1986](#); [Schwarz 1975](#)).
- One controlled clinical trial (CCT) ([Ceyhan 2001](#)).
- Twenty-seven cohort studies ([Ahlgren 2009a](#); [Beck 1989](#); [Benjamin 1992](#); [DeStefano 2002](#); [Chamot 1998](#); [Dunlop 1989](#); [Fombonne 2001](#); [Hviid 2004](#); [Hviid 2008](#); [Lopez Hernandez 2000](#); [Madsen 2002](#); [Makela 2002](#); [Makino 1990](#); [Marin 2006](#); [Marolla 1998](#); [McKeever 2004](#); [Miller 1989](#); [Ong 2005](#); [Ong 2007](#); [Robertson 1988](#); [Schlegel 1999](#); [Sharma 2010](#); [Stokes 1971](#); [Swartz 1974](#); [Uchiyama 2007](#); [Vestergaard 2004](#); [Weibel 1980](#)).
- Seventeen case-control studies ([Ahlgren 2009b](#); [Bertuola 2010](#); [Black 1997](#); [Black 2003](#); [Bremner 2005](#); [Bremner 2007](#); [Castilla 2009a](#); [Davis 2001](#); [DeStefano 2004](#); [Giovanetti 2002](#); [Goncalves 1998](#); [Harling 2005](#); [Ma 2005](#); [Mackenzie 2006](#); [Mrozek-Budzyn 2010](#); [Ray 2006](#); [Smeeth 2004](#)).
- Five time-series studies ([da Cunha 2002](#); [Dourado 2000](#); [Fombonne 2006](#); [Freeman 1993](#); [Honda 2005](#)).
- One case cross-over trial ([Park 2004](#)).
- Two ecological studies ([Jonville-Bera 1996](#); [Seagroatt 2005](#)).

- Six self controlled case series ([France 2008](#); [Miller 2005](#); [Miller 2007](#); [Stowe 2009](#); [Taylor 1999](#); [Ward 2007](#)).

One study ([Freeman 1993](#)) had a mixed RCT and time-series design and we classified it as the latter because adverse event data comparison was carried out on outcomes in children before and after vaccination. We classified studies reported as 'field trials' or 'controlled trials' as cohort studies when randomisation was not mentioned.

Twelve studies included effectiveness data against measles or mumps diseases: seven cohorts ([Chamot 1998](#); [Lopez Hernandez 2000](#); [Marin 2006](#); [Marolla 1998](#); [Ong 2005](#); [Ong 2007](#); [Schlegel 1999](#)) and five case-control studies ([Castilla 2009a](#); [Giovanetti 2002](#); [Goncalves 1998](#); [Harling 2005](#); [Mackenzie 2006](#)).

Seventeen reported on short-term side effects: five RCTs ([Bloom 1975](#); [Edees 1991](#); [Lerman 1981](#); [Peltola 1986](#); [Schwarz 1975](#)); one CCT ([Ceyhan 2001](#)); 10 cohort studies ([Beck 1989](#); [Benjamin 1992](#); [Dunlop 1989](#); [Makino 1990](#); [Miller 1989](#); [Robertson 1988](#); [Sharma 2010](#); [Stokes 1971](#); [Swartz 1974](#); [Weibel 1980](#)) and one time-series study ([Freeman 1993](#)).

Important safety harms had been investigated in 35 studies: nine cohort studies ([Ahlgren 2009a](#); [DeStefano 2002](#); [Fombonne 2001](#); [Hviid 2004](#); [Hviid 2008](#); [Madsen 2002](#); [McKeever 2004](#); [Uchiyama 2007](#); [Vestergaard 2004](#)); 12 case-control studies ([Ahlgren 2009b](#); [Bertuola 2010](#); [Black 1997](#); [Black 2003](#); [Bremner 2005](#); [Bremner 2007](#); [Davis 2001](#); [DeStefano 2004](#); [Ma 2005](#); [Mrozek-Budzyn 2010](#); [Ray 2006](#); [Smeeth 2004](#)); four time-series studies ([da Cunha 2002](#); [Dourado 2000](#); [Fombonne 2006](#); [Honda 2005](#)); one case cross-over trial ([Park 2004](#)); two ecological studies ([Jonville-Bera 1996](#); [Seagroatt 2005](#)) and seven self controlled case series ([France 2008](#); [Makela 2002](#); [Miller 2005](#); [Miller 2007](#); [Stowe 2009](#); [Taylor 1999](#); [Ward 2007](#)).

### Excluded studies

Out of the 96 papers identified and retrieved for this update, we excluded 50 because they were not comparative, considered vaccines other than MMR, or did not present original data. (See [Characteristics of excluded studies](#) table for detailed information regarding reasons for exclusion). We classified a further 13 studies as pending, as some important details were not available in the papers (see [Characteristics of studies awaiting classification](#) table).

## Risk of bias in included studies

### Studies evaluating vaccine effectiveness

Out of the 12 cohorts and case-control studies assessing effectiveness of MMR vaccines in preventing measles or mumps, only three had a moderate bias risk. The remaining nine were characterised by poor methodological quality due to poor reporting or missing information about comparability between exposed or non-exposed groups; the composition of MMR vaccine is sometimes not reported ([Table 1](#) [Table 2](#) and [Table 3](#)).

### Studies evaluating short-term side effects

Seventeen trials reported on short-term side effects: five RCTs; one CCT; 10 cohort studies and one time-series study ([Table 4](#)). We assessed the risk of bias in the RCTs and CCT to be of low risk of bias in two trials ([Lerman 1981](#); [Peltola 1986](#)); moderate/unknown risk



of bias in two trials ([Ceyhan 2001](#); [Edees 1991](#)); and high risk of bias in two trials ([Bloom 1975](#); [Schwarz 1975](#)).

### Allocation

Out of the five RCTs and one CCT assessing short-term side effects, only two studies ([Lerman 1981](#); [Peltola 1986](#)) had adequate concealment.

### Blinding

Out of the five RCTs and one CCT assessing short-term side effects, three trials were double-blind ([Lerman 1981](#); [Peltola 1986](#); [Schwarz 1975](#)), one single-blind ([Edees 1991](#)), whereas the remaining two ([Bloom 1975](#); [Ceyhan 2001](#)) were not blinded.

### Incomplete outcome data

In the [Ceyhan 2001](#) and [Lerman 1981](#) trials, the selection of paediatric practices involved in the recruitment of children was not explained and the number and assessment of non-responders were not reported. Similarly in the [Edees 1991](#) trial there are few details on the refusal and response rate during the recruitment phase and a lack of demographic information from the two UK areas where the trial was conducted. We considered the [Ceyhan 2001](#) and [Edees 1991](#) trials to have a moderate risk of detection bias affecting the outcomes.

### Selective reporting

In the two trials we assessed as being at high risk of reporting bias ([Bloom 1975](#); [Schwarz 1975](#)), we reported adverse effects for only 60% and 39% of participants, respectively.

### Other potential sources of bias

Not known.

### Cohort studies

- Low risk of bias: no studies.
- Moderate/unknown risk of bias: two studies ([Benjamin 1992](#); [Robertson 1988](#)).
- High risk of bias: eight studies ([Beck 1989](#); [Dunlop 1989](#); [Makino 1990](#); [Miller 1989](#); [Sharma 2010](#); [Stokes 1971](#); [Swartz 1974](#); [Weibel 1980](#)).

There was a lack of adequate description of exposure (vaccine content and schedules) in all cohort studies. Another recurring problem was the failure of any study to provide descriptions of all outcomes monitored. A lack of clarity in reporting and systematic bias made comparability across studies and quantitative synthesis of data impossible.

### Time-series studies

The only time-series study ([Freeman 1993](#)) was evaluated to be affected by a high degree of risk of bias. The number of completed weekly diaries varied over the eight-week study period, with no indication of whether the losses occurred pre or postvaccination. In addition, there was an overall attrition rate of 33%.

### Studies evaluating safety harms

The association between MMR and serious harms was investigated in 35 studies (nine cohorts, 12 case-control studies, four time-series studies, one case-cross over, two ecological studies, seven self con-

trolled case series). Results of risk of bias assessment in the following is split by study design.

### Cohort studies

- Low risk of bias: two studies ([Hviid 2004](#); [Vestergaard 2004](#)).
- Moderate/unknown risk of bias: three studies ([DeStefano 2002](#); [Hviid 2008](#); [Madsen 2002](#)).
- High risk of bias: four studies ([Ahlgren 2009a](#); [Fombonne 2001](#); [McKeever 2004](#); [Uchiyama 2007](#)).

In [Fombonne 2001](#) the number and possible impact of bias was so high that interpretation of the results was difficult. The cohort study of [Uchiyama 2007](#) was potentially affected by a different type of bias, considering that the participants were from a private clinic and that definitions of applied Autistic Spectrum Disorders (ASD) diagnosis and of methods used for ASD regression ascertainment were not clearly reported. Estimates from [McKeever 2004](#) (although significant) are strongly affected by ascertainment bias, as children who are not taken to the doctor are less likely to be vaccinated and also have fewer opportunities to have diagnoses of allergic diseases recorded.

### Case-control studies

- Low risk of bias: two studies ([Black 1997](#); [Davis 2001](#)).
- Moderate/unknown risk of bias: eight studies ([Black 2003](#); [Bremner 2005](#); [Bremner 2007](#); [DeStefano 2004](#); [Ma 2005](#); [Mrozek-Budzyn 2010](#); [Ray 2006](#); [Smeeth 2004](#)).
- High risk of bias: two studies ([Ahlgren 2009b](#); [Bertuola 2010](#)).

In [Black 1997](#) there was a moderate likelihood of selection bias because of missing cases and their records (up to 27%) but the study and its methods were well reported. Lack of clarity over the vaccine exposure status of the controls made the results of the [Black 2003](#) study difficult to interpret. In [Bertuola 2010](#), cases and controls were apparently not matched. Ascertainment of exposure was performed only with questionnaires to parents. Investigators were probably not blinded to the case or control status of the participants. In [Ma 2005](#), refusal to participate in the study or inability to locate the participants and controls could have introduced a moderate risk of selection bias. Exclusion of participants without completed questionnaires and of those who did not attend the sixth grade at school within the study area could have introduced a relevant selection bias in the [Ahlgren 2009b](#) case-control study.

### Time-series studies

- Low risk of bias: no studies.
- Moderate/unknown risk of bias: three studies ([da Cunha 2002](#); [Dourado 2000](#); [Honda 2005](#)).
- High risk of bias: one study ([Fombonne 2006](#)).

Limited error could have been introduced by using population data from a prior census (as estimation of the denominator) in [Dourado 2000](#), so as by using the number of doses administered (as opposed to supplied) in the mass vaccination programme. Assessment of Pervasive Development Disorders (PDD) cases in [Fombonne 2006](#) was made on the basis of administrative codes only: diagnosis could have been imprecise and did not allow us to consider PDD subtypes or regression.

## Case cross-over studies

- Low risk of bias: no studies.
- Moderate/unknown risk of bias in one study ([Park 2004](#)).
- High risk of bias: no studies.

In [Park 2004](#) there was a moderate likelihood of selection bias due to missing cases and their records (up to 27%).

## Ecological studies

- Low risk of bias: no studies.
- Moderate/unknown risk of bias: one study ([Jonville-Bera 1996](#)).
- High risk of bias: one study ([Seagroatt 2005](#)).

## Self controlled case series studies

- Low risk of bias: two studies ([France 2008](#); [Ward 2007](#)).
- Moderate/unknown risk of bias: four studies ([Makela 2002](#); [Miller 2005](#); [Miller 2007](#); [Taylor 1999](#)).
- High risk of bias: one study ([Stowe 2009](#)).

The study by [Makela 2002](#) was weakened by the loss of 14% of the original birth cohorts and the effects of the rather long-term follow-up. What the impact of either of these factors was in terms of confounders is open to debate. It should be taken into account that autism does not often involve hospitalisation and data about out-patients visits were not available. The long follow-up for autism could be due to the lack of a properly constructed causal hypothesis. Again, the study of [Taylor 1999](#) demonstrates the difficulties of drawing inferences in the absence of a non-exposed population or a clearly defined causal hypothesis. The exclusive use of discharge diagnoses for identification of cases in [Miller 2007](#) could have introduced a noteworthy selection bias.

## Effects of interventions

### Studies reporting effectiveness findings

Eight cohorts and five case-control studies investigated effectiveness outcomes.

### Measles

#### Evidence from cohort studies

Effectiveness against measles was investigated in three cohort studies ([Marin 2006](#); [Marolla 1998](#); [Ong 2007](#)).

One cohort study ([Marolla 1998](#)) evaluated the effectiveness of MMR vaccination in preventing clinical cases of measles in children aged 18 to 90 months from several local health agencies in Rome, Italy (n = 2745). Vaccination was performed with three different commercial MMR vaccines, two containing both Schwarz strain (Pluserix and Morupar) and one other prepared with Edmonston-Zagreb strain (Triviraten). Vaccines effectiveness was calculated by using the following formula  $[1 - (\text{measles incidence among vaccinated} / \text{measles incidence among unvaccinated}) \times 100]$ . Effectiveness (one dose) was estimated to be 97% (95% confidence interval (CI) 88 to 99) in the Morupar study arm, whereas no measles cases were found among Pluserix recipients. Effectiveness was comparably high (95%; 95% CI 90 to 98) when Triviraten was administered.

One other cohort study ([Ong 2007](#)) investigated the effectiveness of MMR immunisation (composition not reported by authors) in chil-

dren aged between eight and 14 years in preventing measles cases with laboratory confirmation. Two laboratory-confirmed measles cases occurred among the 171 vaccinated children (one dose), whereas seven were observed in the unvaccinated group (n = 13). Vaccine effectiveness (VE = 97%) was calculated in [Orenstein 1985](#),  $[(\text{attack rate among unvaccinated} - \text{attack rate among vaccinated}) / \text{attack rate among unvaccinated}] \times 100$ .

Effectiveness of MMR vaccination in preventing secondary measles cases was assessed in the [Marin 2006](#) study. Vaccination with one or two doses of MMR vaccine (composition unknown) was highly effective in preventing secondary cases among contacts. Estimate VE ([Orenstein 1985](#)) was 92% (95% CI 67 to 98) after one dose and 95% (95% CI 82 to 98) after two doses.

### Mumps

Effectiveness of the MMR vaccine against clinical mumps disease was assessed in five cohort and five case-control studies.

#### Evidence from cohort studies

In three cohort studies ([Marolla 1998](#); [Ong 2005](#); [Schlegel 1999](#)) occurrence of clinical mumps cases during outbreaks was retrospectively evaluated by comparing the incidence of disease among children who had been immunised with MMR vaccines containing different mumps strains (Jeryl Lynn, Urabe, Rubini) with that observed among non-immunised children.

In [Ong 2005](#), carried out in childcare centres and primary schools in Singapore (n = 5072, aged five to 12) and [Schlegel 1999](#), performed on children (n = 163, aged five to 13 years) from a small rural village in Switzerland, preventive effectiveness for Jeryl Lynn, Urabe or Rubini strains was compared with no immunisation.

Preventive effectiveness estimates ([Orenstein 1985](#)) for at least one dose of the Jeryl Lynn strain-containing MMR vaccine were similar in both studies, with statistically relevant significance: VE 80.7%; 95% CI 57.8 to 90.8 ([Ong 2005](#)) and 78% (95% CI 64 to 82) ([Schlegel 1999](#)).

Effectiveness of MMR Urabe vaccine (at least one dose) has been estimated to be highly effective (VE 87%; 95% CI 76 to 94) in [Schlegel 1999](#), whereas the estimate from the [Ong 2005](#) study did not reach statistical relevance (VE 54%; 95% CI -16.2 to 81.7).

The Rubini strain-containing MMR vaccine was highly ineffective in preventing clinical mumps cases in the [Ong 2005](#) study (VE -55.3%; 95% CI -121.8 to -8.8); the estimate from the [Schlegel 1999](#) study was not statistically relevant (VE -4%; 95% CI 218 to 15).

In [Marolla 1998](#) effectiveness against mumps was similar for both Urabe-containing MMR vaccines (VE 75%; 95% CI 65 to 83 for Pluserix and VE 73%; 95% CI 59 to 82 for Morupar). The Rubini strain was much less effective (VE 23%; 95% CI 6 to 37).

The cohort of [Lopez Hernandez 2000](#) estimated MMR vaccination effectiveness in preventing clinical mumps on male children aged between three and 15 years, attending a scholastic institute in Granada, Spain during an outbreak. Occurrence of clinical mumps cases was compared between children who received at least one dose of MMR vaccine (investigators were not able to determine the vaccine composition) and those who did not receive the MMR vaccine. The effectiveness estimate was 49% (P = 0.047) ([Orenstein 1985](#)).

One other cohort study ([Chamot 1998](#)) investigated the occurrence of clinical mumps in MMR vaccinated and non-vaccinated household contacts aged up to 16 years (secondary cases) of primary mumps cases (with clinical or laboratory confirmation). Urabe-containing MMR vaccine showed a protective effect against secondary case onset in comparison with no vaccination: vaccine effectiveness as  $[(1 - (\text{attack rate in vaccinated} / \text{attack rate in not vaccinated})) \times 100]$  was 73.1%; 95% CI 41.8 to 87.6. Protection afforded by both Jeryl Lynn and Rubini-containing MMR vaccines was instead not statistically relevant (VE 61.6%; 95% CI -0.9 to 85.4 and VE 6.3%; 95% CI -45.9 to 39.8, respectively).

#### Evidence from case-control studies

Five case-control studies assessed the effectiveness of MMR vaccination against mumps ([Castilla 2009a](#); [Giovanetti 2002](#); [Goncalves 1998](#); [Harling 2005](#); [Mackenzie 2006](#)).

One case-control study ([Harling 2005](#)) assessed effectiveness of immunisation with one or two doses of Jeryl Lynn-containing MMR vaccine in the prevention of clinical and laboratory-confirmed mumps cases. Cases ( $n = 156$ ) and controls ( $n = 175$ ) were children and adolescents (aged one to 18 years) living in a religious community in North-East London, where a mumps outbreak was observed (June 1998 to May 1999). Effectiveness estimates (expressed as VE =  $[(1 - \text{Odds Ratio}) \times 100]$  for one or two doses were similar against clinical (VE 69%; 95% CI 41 to 84) and laboratory-confirmed mumps (VE 65%; 95% CI 25 to 84). Two doses were more effective (VE 88%; 95% CI 62 to 96) than one (VE 64%; 95% CI 40 to 78) against clinical mumps.

The following three case-control studies used surveillance systems with the aim of identifying mumps cases in the study population.

[Goncalves 1998](#) assessed the effectiveness of at least one dose of MMR vaccines prepared with either the Urabe or Rubini strain in prevention of clinical mumps cases during an epidemic on a population of children and adolescents (189 cases and 378 controls, aged 15 months to 16 years). Significant protection was conferred by the Urabe strain-containing MMR vaccine (VE =  $[1 - \text{Odds Ratio (OR)}] \times 100 = 70\%$ ; 95% CI 25 to 88), and not by the Rubini strain-containing MMR (VE 1%; 95% CI -108 to 53).

In [Giovanetti 2002](#) field effectiveness of MMR vaccination (at least one dose, unknown composition) in preventing clinical mumps on a population of children and adolescents (139 cases and controls) was 53.7% (95% CI 20.3 to 73.0; VE =  $[1 - \text{OR}] \times 100$ ).

In [Castilla 2009a](#), case definition considers clinical mumps with laboratory or epidemiological confirmation ([Table 3](#)), occurring during an outbreak in the Navarre region (Northern Spain) between August 2006 and June 2008 in children and adolescents (241 cases and 1205 matched controls). Vaccine effectiveness of MMR vaccine prepared with Jeryl Lynn mumps strain (VE =  $[1 - \text{OR}] \times 100$ ), calculated by means of conditional logistic regression analysis, was 72% (95% CI 39% to 87%,  $P = 0.0013$ ) for any dose, 66% (95% CI 25% to 85%,  $P = 0.0075$ ) for one dose and 83% (95% CI 54% to 94%,  $P = 0.0005$ ) for two doses. The authors hypothesised a higher risk of having mumps when the first MMR dose is administered after the 36th month of age (OR 3.11; 95% CI 1.15 to 8.43,  $P = 0.0254$ ) or when the two MMR doses are administered more than 36 months apart (OR 10.19; 95% CI 1.47 to 70.73,  $P = 0.0189$ ).

[Mackenzie 2006](#) attempted to estimate effectiveness of MMR vaccination against virological-confirmed mumps on pupils (aged 13 to 17 years) attending a boarding school in Scotland (20 cases and 40 matched controls). The numerical size of the study was not large enough to reach statistical relevance (OR for any MMR dose = 0.66; 95% CI 0.22 to 2.00).

#### Rubella

We found no studies assessing the effectiveness of MMR vaccine against clinical rubella.

#### Short-term side effects

##### CCTs and RCTs

MMR vaccines were compared with monovalent measles vaccine ([Ceyhan 2001](#); [Edees 1991](#); [Lerman 1981](#)), two types of monovalent mumps and rubella vaccines ([Lerman 1981](#)) or placebo ([Bloom 1975](#); [Lerman 1981](#); [Peltola 1986](#); [Schwarz 1975](#)). One trial ([Peltola 1986](#)) carried out in twins, reported a possible protective effect of the MMR vaccine with a lower incidence of respiratory symptoms, nausea and vomiting, and no difference in the incidence of other unintended side effects compared with placebo, with the exception of irritability. Another trial concluded that there was no increased clinical reactivity with a MMR vaccine containing two strains of rubella ([Lerman 1981](#)).

The trial by Edees concluded that there was no significant difference between the numbers of children developing symptoms after MMR or measles vaccination ([Edees 1991](#)). The trials by Bloom and Schwarz concluded that the incidence of raised temperature, rash, lymphadenopathy, coryza, rhinitis, cough, local reactions or limb and joint symptoms were not significantly different from placebo ([Bloom 1975](#); [Schwarz 1975](#)).

All RCTs and CCTs reported a wide range of outcomes and used different terms, often with no definition. For example, body temperature higher than 38 °C was measured or reported in 16 ways. When reported, different temperature increments, recording methods, observation periods and incidence made comparisons between trials and pooling of data impossible ([Table 5](#)).

##### Cohort studies

Occurrence of short-term side effects was assessed in 10 cohort studies altogether. They compared the MMR vaccine with single measles vaccine ([Dunlop 1989](#); [Makino 1990](#); [Miller 1989](#); [Robertson 1988](#)), mumps-rubella vaccine ([Swartz 1974](#)), single mumps vaccine ([Makino 1990](#)), single rubella vaccine ([Swartz 1974](#); [Weibel 1980](#)), placebo ([Beck 1989](#)) or no intervention ([Benjamin 1992](#); [Sharma 2010](#); [Stokes 1971](#)).

The study by Benjamin found that the MMR vaccine was associated with an increased risk of episodes of joint and limb symptoms in girls less than five years of age ([Benjamin 1992](#)).

There was no difference in the incidence of common outcomes such as fever, rash, cough, lymphadenopathy, arthralgia, myalgia and anorexia between the MMR vaccine and rubella vaccine ([Makino 1990](#); [Swartz 1974](#); [Weibel 1980](#)), mumps-rubella vaccine ([Swartz 1974](#)), single mumps vaccine ([Makino 1990](#)) or measles vaccine ([Dunlop 1989](#); [Makino 1990](#)). Two studies ([Miller 1989](#); [Robertson 1988](#)) found that symptoms were similar following MMR and measles vaccination except for a higher incidence of parotitis fol-

lowing MMR vaccination (Miller 1989). Makino reported a higher incidence of diarrhoea in the MMR vaccines arm compared to the single measles or rubella vaccines arms (Makino 1990). The studies by Beck and Stokes reported no difference in the incidence of rash and lymphadenopathy between MMR vaccination and placebo (Beck 1989) or do nothing (Stokes 1971). However, Stokes 1971 reported an increase in the incidence of fever in the period Day 5 to Day 12 postvaccination but Beck 1989 reported no difference.

Considering the cohort of Sharma 2010 only within the subgroup of younger children (16 to 24 months of age), fever during the 42 days postvaccination had been reported more frequently among individuals immunised with MMR than among unvaccinated individuals. This trend appeared to be different when the older population was considered; fever had been reported with slightly higher frequency among unvaccinated children.

### Time-series

In the Freeman 1993 study, conducted by 22 family physicians, occurrence of common symptoms following MMR immunisation (type not described) was assessed by means of weekly diaries in participants immunised at 13 and 15 months of age, comparing their incidence during the four weeks before with that observed four weeks after immunisation. The incidence of rash, lymphadenopathy and nasal discharge was found to be higher after exposure to MMR immunisation.

### Severe harms

Possible association of MMR immunisation with severe harms has been tested in several observational studies.

### Neurological diseases

#### 1. Encephalitis - encephalopathy

Association between MMR immunisation and occurrence of encephalopathies was investigated in three studies: one case-control study (Ray 2006) and two self controlled case series studies (Makela 2002; Ward 2007).

The case-control study of Ray 2006 tested if hospitalisations due to encephalopathy, Reyes syndrome or encephalitis (Table 6) occurring in children aged zero to six years could be linked to MMR vaccine administration. Different time intervals between MMR exposure and date of hospitalisation have been considered: seven to 14 days, zero to 14 days, zero to 30 days, zero to 60 days and zero to 90 days. Four hundred and fifty-two cases together with their 1280 matched controls were included in the analysis. In none of the considered time intervals was exposure to the MMR vaccine statistically different among the cases and controls.

Makela 2002 was based on a surveillance study by the National Public Health Institute that began after the introduction of MMR vaccination in Finland for children aged 14 to 18 months and six years (1982). Participants aged one to seven years ( $n = 535,544$ ) who received the MMR II vaccine between November 1982 and June 1986 were considered in the study (this population corresponds to 86% of all children scheduled for MMR vaccination in Finland). Risk association was evaluated by comparing the number of hospitalisations for encephalitis or encephalopathy (see Table 6 for outcome definition) within three months after vaccination with those occurring during the subsequent seven three-month intervals. Out of the 199 hospitalisations for encephalitis or encephalopathy, nine oc-

curred within three months after MMR vaccination, 110 occurred more than three months after vaccination (88 in an interval between three and 24 months), whereas 80 occurred before the vaccine was administered. Trial authors stated that no hospitalisation excess for encephalitis or encephalopathy was observed during the three months post-immunisation ( $P = 0.28$ ).

In Ward 2007, in order to evaluate the association between encephalitis (see Table 6 for case definitions) and MMR vaccination, cases ( $n = 107$ ) diagnosed at the age of 12 to 35 months were considered (children aged 12 to 15 months were scheduled for MMR vaccination in Britain and Ireland). The risk period for encephalitis was considered to be the time between 15 and 35 days following MMR immunisation. The incidence of disease within the risk period was compared with that outside it (the control period). The incidence of encephalitis in the risk period (15 to 35 days) was not statistically different from that of the control period (relative incidence = 1.34; 95% CI 0.52 to 3.47). This estimate does not change in the presence or absence of primary HHV-6 or HHV-7 infections.

#### 2. Aseptic meningitis

The association of the MMR vaccine with aseptic meningitis was evaluated in the following studies.

#### Case-control studies

In Black 1997, MMR vaccination within defined intervals before the index date (zero to 14 days, zero to 30 days, eight to 14 days) was assessed in cases and controls to assess its association with aseptic meningitis (see Table 7 for outcome definitions). Exposure to the MMR vaccine was not statistically different between cases and controls in any of the considered time intervals.

#### Cross-over studies

In Park 2004 the risk association of MMR vaccination with aseptic meningitis (see Table 7 for outcome definitions) has been evaluated by means of a cross-over design. Thirty-nine participants aged 13 to 29 months of both sexes were included. Risk estimation was calculated considering whether MMR vaccine exposure occurred during a time window of 42 days before disease onset or before (from 43 to 365 days before): 11 out of the 39 participants received MMR vaccination during the risk period and 28 outside of it. Mantel-Haenszel OR estimate indicates a positive association (3.0; 95% CI 1.5 to 6.1).

#### Self-controlled case-series study

In the study of Makela 2002, the risk association of MMR II vaccine (Enders-Edmonston, Jeryl Lynn, Wistar RA 27/3) exposure was assessed as for encephalitis, by comparing the number of hospitalisations within three months after vaccination with those occurring during the subsequent seven three-month intervals. Ten hospitalisations for aseptic meningitis occurred within three months after MMR immunisation, whereas there were 110 thereafter (54 between three and 24 months) and 41 were vaccinated after hospitalisation. No significant increase in aseptic meningitis was observed during the three months following immunisation ( $P = 0.57$ ).

#### Time-series studies

Dourado 2000 compared the incidence of aseptic meningitis hospitalisation (see Table 7 for definitions) before and after a mass immunisation campaign (Pluserix) carried out in Salvador city (State of Bahia, NE Brazil, population about 2.2 million in 1996) and having



as target population children aged one to 11 years (452,334 based on the 1996 census). The incidence of aseptic meningitis hospitalisation was significantly higher during the third (18 cases risk ratio (RR) 14.28; 95% CI 7.93 to 25.71), fourth (15 cases RR 11.90; 95% CI 6.38 to 22.19), fifth (nine cases, RR 7.14; 95% CI 3.38 to 15.08) and sixth (four cases, RR 3.17; 95% CI 1.12 to 9.02) weeks following the start of the immunisation campaign when compared with that observed during the 23 pre-immunisation weeks (reference period). Risk association was moreover estimated by case series method, including in analysis only the 37 aseptic meningitis cases with known vaccination status and date occurring during the epidemiological weeks 36 to 39 (about 15 to 35 days after immunisation). Authors attributed 32 of the 37 cases to be due to Urabe-containing MMR vaccine Pluserix (one in about 14,000 doses).

The study of [da Cunha 2002](#) had an analogous design and was carried out in two other Brazilian states, Mato Grosso (MT) and Mato Grosso do Sul (MS). As before, the target population were children aged one to 11 years (estimated 580,587 in MS and 473,718 in MT). The incidence of aseptic meningitis in MS became significantly higher than in the pre-immunisation time from two weeks after the start of the campaign (four cases, RR 5.6; 95% CI 1.3 to 14.1), which peaked at three weeks (16 cases, RR 22.5; 95% CI 11.8 to 42.9) and four weeks after the start of the campaign (15 cases, RR 21.1; 95% CI 11.0 to 40.7) and returned to the average after week 39. A similar trend was observed in MT, where the incidence of cases became significantly higher during the third week (40) after the start of the campaign (five cases, RR 2.6; 95% CI 1.1 to 6.5) which peaked in week 42 (30 cases, RR 15.6; 95% CI 10.3 to 24.2) and week 43 (23 cases, RR 12.0; 95% CI 7.6 to 19.4) and returned to the average from week 46 onwards.

### 3. Febrile seizure

#### Person-time cohort studies

The study of [Vestergaard 2004](#) is a person-time cohort assessing the risk of febrile seizure ([Table 8](#)) after the introduction of routine MMR vaccination in Denmark in 1987. The study population consisted of the birth cohorts 1991 to 1998 ( $n = 537,171$ ). Globally, the risk of febrile seizure was significantly higher among the vaccinated (RR 1.10; 95% CI 1.05 to 1.15). When different time frames after vaccination are considered, the RR was at the highest point within two weeks after immunisation (RR 2.75; 95% CI 2.55 to 2.97), did not differ significantly in weeks three to six and became slightly less than one in weeks seven, eight, nine to 26 and 27 to 52. The RR was not different to the unvaccinated after week 53. For evaluation of long-term prognosis, the number of recurrent episodes of febrile seizure and the cases of epilepsy observed in children who received MMR vaccination within 14 days before their first febrile seizure episode and in those who were vaccinated more than 14 days before their first febrile seizure episode, were compared with those who were not vaccinated at the time of their first febrile seizure episode. A significant risk association was found only for recurrent febrile seizure episodes in children who were immunised with MMR within 14 days before the first episode (RR 1.19; 95% CI 1.10 to 1.41, adjusted for age, calendar period, age at first febrile seizure and current vaccination status).

#### Self controlled case series study

In [Ward 2007](#) (already described in the section 'Encephalitis – encephalopathy'), the risk of severe illness with fever and convulsion following MMR immunisation was also investigated. The consid-

ered risk period was the time between six and 11 days following immunisation. As before, disease incidence within the risk period was compared with that outside it (the control period). Episodes of severe illness with fever and convulsion were more frequent within six to 11 days after MMR immunisation (relative incidence (RI) 5.68; 95% CI 2.31 to 13.97).

In [Miller 2007](#) children aged 12 to 23 months ( $n = 894$ ) with a discharge diagnosis of febrile convulsion ([Table 8](#)) and who received one MMR vaccine dose were included in the analysis. The incidence of disease during two "at risk" periods (between six to 11 and 15 to 35 days after immunisation) was compared with that determined for the background period. During the time between six and 11 days following MMR vaccination (of all types) a significantly higher relative incidence (RI) of febrile convulsion had been observed (RI 4.09; 95% CI 3.1 to 5.33). On the contrary, RI of febrile convulsions did not differ significantly from the background period during the 15 to 35 days following MMR immunisation (RI 1.13; 95% CI 0.87 to 1.48). The risk incidence of febrile convulsion was also analysed considering a "more specific" definition ([Table 9](#)). Considering all MMR vaccine types, the risk incidence remains higher in the six to 11 days following vaccination (RI 4.27; 95% CI 3.17 to 5.76), whereas the time between 15 to 35 days following vaccination it remains of borderline significance (RI 1.33; 95% CI 1.00 to 1.77).

### Thrombocytopaenic purpura

#### Case-control studies

In [Black 2003](#) cases ( $n = 23$ ) and matched controls ( $n = 116$ ) were selected within data contained in the General Practice Research Database (GPRD). Relative risk of developing idiopathic thrombocytopaenic purpura (ITP) (see [Table 10](#)) within six weeks after MMR immunisation was estimated to be 6.3 (95% CI 1.3 to 30.1) with an estimate attributable risk of 1 case/25,000 doses. Risk would be not statistically different from reference groups for the time between 7 and 26 weeks after vaccination.

Also [Bertuola 2010](#) tested the association between acute immune thrombocytopaenia (AIT) and MMR vaccination by means of a case-control design in children and adolescents (aged one month to 18 years). The risk estimate was calculated considering the exposure to the MMR vaccine (strain composition not reported) during the six weeks preceding hospitalisation in cases and controls (see definitions [Table 10](#)). Fourteen out of the 387 cases and 27 out of the 1924 controls received the MMR vaccine within six weeks before hospitalisation (OR 2.4; 95% CI 1.2 to 4.7, adjusted for age and use of drugs by multiple logistic regression).

#### Self controlled case series and risk interval studies

The study by [France 2008](#) is based on data contained in the Vaccines Safety Datalink project for the years 1991 to 2000, covering eight managed care organisations (MCO) across the USA. By consulting the database, 63 cases aged 12 to 23 months who met the definition ([Table 10](#)) could be identified. The 42 days following immunisation was considered as the exposed period, whereas the time before and after this was considered the not exposed period, with the exclusion of a six-week time interval before vaccination. Twenty cases had been classified as exposed and 43 as not exposed. The incidence rate ratio (IRR) between the exposed and unexposed time was calculated by using two different analytical methods: the self controlled case series (SCCS) and the "risk interval" (i.e. person-time cohort) method. By the SCCS method, condi-

tional Poisson regression was used to calculate the IRR, controlled by age and excluding fixed covariate from the model (gender, MCO, MMR dose number). By the "risk interval" method, the Poisson regression model controlled for age, MMR dose number, MCO site and gender was used to calculate IRR. Estimates were respectively 5.38 (95% CI 2.72 to 10.62) and 3.94 (95% CI 2.01 to 7.69). Considering the analysis included only children aged 12 to 15 months (the age at which about 80% of MMR vaccinations were administered), the IRR estimates were 7.06 (95% CI 1.95 to 25.88) and 7.10 (95% CI 2.03 to 25.03) for SCCS and "risk time", respectively. The attributable risk was estimated to be about 1 ITP case per 40,000 administered MMR doses.

### Ecological studies

The evidence of association between MMR, or any of its component vaccines, and the onset of thrombocytopenic purpura (TP) was also assessed in one ecological study ([Jonville-Bera 1996](#)). The study concluded that the evidence favoured an association but in all cases TP appeared to be a benign, self limiting condition not distinguishable from its idiopathic counterpart or from TP occurring after natural infection with measles, mumps or rubella. The study discussed the weakness of relying on the passive reporting system for the identification of cases and acknowledged a possible under-reporting of cases of TP.

### Autism

#### Cohort studies

Three retrospective cohort studies investigated the risk of autism and pervasive development disorders (PDD) following MMR immunisation ([Fombonne 2001](#); [Madsen 2002](#); [Uchiyama 2007](#)) ([Table 9](#)).

The study by [Madsen 2002](#) was conducted in Denmark and included all Danish children born between January 1991 and December 1998. The authors linked vaccination data reported in the National Board of Health with a diagnosis of autism ([Table 9](#)) from the Danish Psychiatric Central Register. After adjustment for confounders, the RR for autism is 0.92 (95% CI 0.68 to 1.24) and 0.83 (95% CI 0.65 to 1.07) for other autistic spectrum disorders. No association between age at vaccination, time since vaccination or date of vaccination and development of autism was found.

The retrospective cohort study by [Fombonne 2001](#) tested several causal hypotheses and mechanisms of association between exposure to MMR vaccination and pervasive development disorders (PDDs, [Table 9](#)). The population was made up of three cohorts of participants; one was of older children acting as the control (pre-MMR vaccination introduction). The authors concluded that there was no evidence that PDDs had become more frequent, the mean age at parental concern had not moved closer to the date of exposure to MMR vaccination, there was no evidence that regression with autism had become more common, parents of autistic children with regression did not become concerned about their child in a different time frame from that of children without regression and children with regressive autism did not have different profiles or severity to those in the control group. Nor was there evidence that regressive autism was associated with inflammatory bowel disorders.

The retrospective cohort study by [Uchiyama 2007](#) assessed the association between exposure to MMR vaccination and regression in autistic spectrum disorders (ASD). Participants were children with

an ASD diagnosis ([Table 9](#)) from a private paediatric psychiatric clinic located in Yokohama city, Japan (Yokohama Psycho-Developmental Clinic, YPCD), that has become recognised as a centre for ASD. For study purposes, cases of ASD in patients born between 1976 and 1999 were considered (n = 904). They were classified according to the chance of having received the MMR vaccine as follows.

1. Pre-MMR vaccine generation: born between January 1976 and December 1984, n = 113.
2. MMR vaccine generation: born between January 1985 and December 1991, n = 292.
3. Post-MMR vaccine generation with an age of one to three years old after 1993 when the MMR vaccination programme was terminated, n = 499.

For 325 out of the 904 identified ASD cases, a regression in ASD could be assessed. Data were analysed in different ways.

Within the MMR vaccine generation group, OR estimates were calculated considering the cases of deterioration observed in children who received the MMR vaccine from the MCH handbook (15/54) and the number of regression observed among participants who did not receive the MMR vaccine (45/132), after exclusion of those with unknown vaccination status (89). Authors reported an OR of 0.74 (95% CI 0.35 to 1.52, P = 0.49) in patients who received the MMR vaccine versus no MMR vaccination in the MMR period.

Furthermore, the OR estimate was calculated considering as the control group (not MMR vaccinated) also both pre- and post-MMR generation groups. Estimates were again not significant (OR 0.626; 95% CI 0.323 to 1.200). Comparison of regression cases observed within the MMR generation group (independent from documented vaccination status) with that observed in pre-MMR, post-MMR and pre- plus post-MMR groups did not provide statistically significant OR estimates.

#### Case-control studies

The risk of an association between the MMR vaccine and autism was investigated in three case-control studies ([DeStefano 2004](#); [Mrozek-Budzyn 2010](#); [Smeeth 2004](#)).

The study by [Smeeth 2004](#) assessed the association between exposure to the MMR vaccine and the onset of autism and other PDDs ([Table 9](#)). The study was based on data from the UK's General Practice Research Database (GPRD) which was set up on 1 June 1987. The authors concluded that their study added to the evidence that MMR vaccination was not associated with an increased risk of PDDs. The OR for the association between MMR vaccination and PDDs was 0.78 (95% CI 0.62 to 0.97) for the non-practice matched control group and 0.86 (95% CI 0.68 to 1.09) for the practice matched control group. The findings were similar when analysis was restricted to children with a diagnosis of autism only, to MMR vaccination before their third birthday, or to the period prior to media coverage of the hypothesis linking MMR vaccination with autism.

[DeStefano 2004](#) compared the distribution of ages at first MMR vaccination in children with autism (cases, [Table 9](#)) and controls, divided into three age strata: up to 18, 24 and 36 months. The authors concluded that there was no significant difference between cases and controls in the age at first vaccination up to 18 months (adjusted OR 0.94; 95% CI 0.65 to 1.38) and 24 months (adjusted OR 1.01;



95% CI 0.61 to 1.67); but more cases received MMR vaccination before 36 months (adjusted OR 1.23; 95% CI 0.64 to 2.36; unadjusted OR 1.49; 95% CI 1.04 to 2.14), possibly reflecting the immunisation needs of children in a surveillance programme.

In the study by [Mrozek-Budzyn 2010](#) cases of autism in children aged between two and 15 years were identified by means of general practitioners' records from Małopolska Province in southern Poland ([Table 9](#)). For each case, two controls matching for birth year, gender and practice were selected. A total of 92 cases with childhood or atypical autism and 192 matched controls were included. Estimate OR were calculated considering vaccine exposure (MMR or monovalent measles) before autism diagnosis or before symptoms onset separately in univariate and multivariate analysis (this latter balanced for mother age  $\geq 35$  years, gestation time  $\leq 38$  weeks, medication during pregnancy, perinatal injuries and five-minute Apgar score). In multivariate analysis, administration of MMR vaccine before the diagnosis was associated with a relevant reduced risk of autism (OR 0.17; 95% CI 0.06 to 0.52;  $P = 0.002$ ); this association was not confirmed when exposure before symptom onset was considered (OR 0.42; 95% CI 0.15 to 1.16). Risk of autism was significantly lower for MMR vaccinated children when compared with children immunised with single component measles vaccine, both before diagnosis (OR 0.47; 95% CI 0.22 to 0.99) and symptom onset (OR 0.44; 95% CI 0.22 to 0.91).

#### Time-series studies

[Fombonne 2006](#) analysed the trend of pervasive developmental disorders (PDDs) prevalence in cohorts born from 1987 to 1998 attending a school board in the south and west parts of Montreal ( $n = 27,749$  on 1 October 2003). The relationship between PDD prevalence trends and MMR vaccination coverage through each birth cohort was assessed. Children with PDDs ( $n = 180$ ) were identified from a special list that was filled with data of children identified by code 51 (autism) and by code 50 (autism spectrum disorder) to allow the schools to receive incremental funding. The authors reported that while a significant trend toward a decrease in MMR uptake through birth cohorts from 1988 to 1998 ( $\chi^2$  for trend = 80.7;  $df = 1$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ) could be assessed, a significant increase in rates of PDDs from 1987 to 1998 was found (OR 1.10; 95% CI 1.05 to 1.16;  $P < 0.001$ ). By comparing the rate of increase in PDDs prevalence between the one-dose and two-dose period, no statistically significant differences were detected.

A Japanese study ([Honda 2005](#)) assessed the trend of autistic spectrum disorders (ASDs) incidence among birth cohorts from 1988 to 1996 (Yokohama city, Central Japan) up to seven years of age, in relation to the decline of MMR vaccination coverage in the same birth cohorts, i.e. before and after termination of MMR vaccination programmes in children (1993). Through examination of risk factor analysis with conditional regression, a significant increase in cumulative incidence of all ASDs through birth cohorts from 1988 to 1996 has been observed ( $\chi^2 = 45.17$ ,  $df = 8$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ). This trend was different before and after the 1992 birth cohort: considering the 1996 birth cohort as a reference, incidence of all ASDs was significantly lower until 1992 and was not different after 1993. A significant increased incidence could be assessed also when outcomes definition of childhood autism ( $\chi^2 = 31.86$ ,  $df = 8$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ) or other ASD ( $\chi^2 = 19.25$ ,  $df = 8$ ,  $P = 0.01$ ) were considered. The authors concluded that causal hypothesis involving the MMR vaccine as a risk factor was not supported by the evidence because the ASD incidence

continued to increase even if the MMR vaccination programme was terminated.

#### Self controlled case series

In the study by [Makela 2002](#), already described in the section relative to neurological diseases (see above), an attempt to evaluate the association between MMR vaccination and hospitalisation for autism was made ([Table 9](#)). Unlike encephalitis and aseptic meningitis, instead of a risk period, changes in the overall number of hospitalisations for autism after MMR vaccination, including only the first hospital visit during the study period, were considered. Times between immunisation and hospitalisation observed among the 309 hospitalisations for autism following MMR immunisation were very wide (range three days to 12 years and five months), their numbers remained relatively steady during the first three years and then decreased gradually. No cluster intervals from vaccination could be identified. Authors concluded that there was no evidence of association, but did not report statistical data supporting this conclusion.

One other self controlled case series study ([Taylor 1999](#)) assessed clustering of cases of autism by post-exposure periods in a cohort of 498 (with 293 confirmed cases) children. The authors reported a significant increase in onset of parental concern at six months post-vaccination, but no significant clustering of interval to diagnosis or regression was found within any of the considered time periods (two, four, six, 12, 24 months).

#### Asthma

##### Cohort studies

The cohort study by [McKeever 2004](#) used an historical birth cohort of children (1988 to 1999) consisting of 29,238 children of both sexes aged between 0 and 11 years and identified through the West Midlands General Practice Research Database (GPRD), to investigate the association between MMR and diphtheria, polio, pertussis and tetanus (DPPT) vaccination and asthma or eczema ([Table 11](#)). Incident diagnoses of asthma/whoeze and eczema ([Table 11](#)) were identified using the relevant Oxford Medical Information System (OMIS, derived from ICD-8) and Read codes (a hierarchical code used in GP practices in England). Association with MMR vaccine exposure and risk of asthma and eczema has been assessed by univariate analysis. Correspondent crude hazard ratios (HR) were 3.51 (95% CI 2.42 to 5.11) and 4.61 (95% CI 3.15 to 6.74) for asthma and eczema, respectively. Stratifying for GP consultation frequency in the first 18 months, HR estimates remain significant only for the subgroup with lower consulting frequency (zero to six times in the first 18 months) and not for the other subgroups (seven to 10 times, 11 to 16 times and more than 16 times): HR 7.18 (95% CI 2.95 to 17.49) for association between MMR vaccination and asthma; HR 10.4 (95% CI 4.61 to 23.29) for association between MMR vaccination and eczema, respectively.

One other cohort study ([DeStefano 2002](#)) used data from the Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) project in order to detect a possible association between asthma and some infant vaccines, among which was MMR ([Table 11](#)). For the study, a population of children who were enrolled in four Health Maintenance Organisations (HMOs) from birth until at least 18 months of age (to a maximum of six years) between 1991 and 1997 was considered ( $n = 167,240$ ). Asthma cases ( $n = 18,407$ ) were identified by reviewing computerised databases maintained at each HMO (see [Table 11](#) for case definition). Ascertainment of vaccine exposure was performed by using comput-

erised immunisation tracking systems maintained by each of the HMOs. Out of the 167,240 included participants 12,426 were not immunised with the MMR vaccine. Proportional hazard regression does not show a significant association between asthma and MMR vaccination (RR 0.97; 95% CI 0.91 to 1.04).

#### Person-time cohort studies

Association between asthma hospitalisation, anti-asthma medications (Table 11) and MMR vaccine exposure was tested on Danish birth cohorts from 1991 to 2003 in the Hviid 2008 study, by using the Danish Civil Registration System. Each participant recorded in the register had an identification number, that allowed a link to data contained in other national registers (Danish National Hospital Register, Danish Prescription Drug Database and National Board of Health). MMR vaccination status was considered as a time-varying variable and individuals could contribute to person-time as both unvaccinated and vaccinated participants. MMR vaccination is protective against all asthma hospitalisation (RR 0.75; 95% CI 0.73 to 0.78); the protective effect of vaccination was greater in younger children (no more significant when the vaccine was administered after 18 months of age), in those with the longest time spent at the hospital (18 days to one year), in girls, in low birth-weight children, in children with one older sibling and in those living in rural areas. The vaccination was also protective against hospitalisation for severe asthma (RR 0.63; 95% CI 0.49 to 0.82), even if estimates were not significant within the following stratifications: age three or four years; fully immunised children; low hospitalisation propensity; male sex; birth weight below 2499 g or above 4000 g; birth order  $\geq$  three; birth in the capital or in a rural area. Total use of anti-asthma medications was less frequent among participants immunised with MMR (RR 0.92; 95% CI 0.91 to 0.92). No reduction in use (all medications) was observed for participants vaccinated at ages between 23 and 26 months (RR 1.00; 95% CI 0.98 to 1.01) or at 27 months or later (RR 1.01; 95% CI 0.99 to 1.03). Considering single classes of medication in the unstratified study population, these data were confirmed with the exception for systemic  $\beta_2$ -agonists, for which reduction in use could not be observed (RR 1.02; 95% CI 1.01 to 1.02). Considering only the first use of any anti-asthma medication in the unstratified population, the RR was 0.93; 95% CI 0.92 to 0.94.

#### Leukaemia

The case-control study of Ma 2005 was realised within the Northern California Childhood Leukaemia Study (NCCLS) and assessed whether vaccination with MMR (and other vaccines) plays a role in the aetiology of leukaemia. In NCCLS (active since 1995) incident cases of newly diagnosed leukaemia in children aged between 0 and 14 years and ascertained from major paediatric clinical centres within 72 hours after diagnosis were collected (Table 12). Analyses had been carried out for both total leukaemia cases and control (323 and 409, respectively) and for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) subset (282 cases and 360 controls). Considering leukaemia as case definition, OR estimates for any MMR dose before the reference date in all populations was 1.06 (95% CI 0.69 to 1.63). Considering ALL as case definition the OR estimate for any MMR dose before the reference date in all populations was 0.87 (95% CI 0.55 to 1.37).

#### Hay fever

Two case-control studies (Bremner 2005; Bremner 2007) investigated the risk of hay fever in MMR-vaccinated children in the UK (using the same data source).

Bremner 2005 focused particular attention on the timing of MMR vaccination to identify a critical period for MMR immunisation and hay fever risk (see Table 13 for definition). The nested case-control study was conducted within two large databases, the General Practice Database (GPRD) and Doctors' Independent Network (DIN) and involved 7098 hay fever cases and controls. After performing a conditional logistic regression the authors reported that infants who received MMR vaccination did not have a greater or lesser risk of developing hay fever than unvaccinated children. MMR unvaccinated children compared with vaccinated in month 14 (base group) had an OR of 0.79 (95% CI 0.78 to 1.08). A reduced risk of hay fever was noted after completing MMR after two years of age (OR 0.62; 95% CI 0.48 to 0.80).

Bremner 2007 specifically investigated if exposure to MMR vaccination during the first grass pollen season of life influences the risk of hay fever more than any other time of the year. The study was conducted within GPRD and DIN Databases and involved 7098 hay fever cases matched with controls. The risk of later hay fever following exposure to MMR vaccine within the first grass pollen season of life was not statistically different from that observed when MMR administration occurred outside of it (OR 1.05; 95% CI 0.94 to 1.18;  $P = 0.38$ ).

#### Type 1 diabetes

Hviid 2004 was a retrospective cohort study carried out in Denmark aiming to evaluate if there was an association between childhood vaccinations and the onset of type 1 diabetes. A cohort of children born from 1 January 1990 to 31 December 2000 from the Danish Civil Registration System was individuated. The Danish Civil Registration System identified with a unique number all people living in Denmark. This number made it possible to obtain linked information on vaccination, diagnosis of type 1 diabetes (Table 14), the presence or absence of siblings with type 1 diabetes and potential confounding factors. The vaccination data were obtained from the National Board of Health, where the General Practitioners reported data. The results of this study do not sustain the hypothesis that there is a link between vaccinations and type 1 diabetes (measles, mumps and rubella (all children): rate ratio 1.14; 95% CI 0.90 to 1.45).

#### Gait disturbance

Association between MMR vaccination and gait disturbance was assessed by means of a self controlled case series study (Miller 2005) and considered as cases hospital admissions or general practice consultations in children within the Thames regions of England. Hospital admission cases were obtained from hospital computerised records for the period April 1995 to June 2001, considered those relative to children aged 12 to 24 months with ICD-10 diagnoses related to acute gait disorder (G111, G112, G25, R26, R27, R29, H55 and F984). Cases were validated by reviewing hospital case notes and grouped into five categories (Table 15). Vaccination history of cases was obtained from immunisation records. In all, 127 cases with available immunisation status were identified. Out of these, 65 belonged to category 4 (i.e. non-ataxic, non-viral origin) and were excluded from analysis. No cases corresponding to cate-

gory 1 definition were found. Relative incidence (RI) within and outside post-vaccination time risk (0 to 30 and 31 to 60 days) was calculated after age stratification in one-month intervals. RI estimates for pooled two, three and five categories were not statistically relevant (RI 0.83; 95% CI 0.24 to 2.84 for 0 to 30 days risk time and RI 0.20; 95% CI 0.03 to 1.47 for 31 to 60 days risk time).

As gait disturbance does not require hospitalisation, authors carried out a further analysis based on cases observed in General Practices using the General Practice Research Database (GPRD) as the source, and considered children aged 12 to 24 months, born between 1988 and 1997. Read and OXNIS codes indicating a possible consult for gait disturbance were identified in GPRD by mapping ICD-9 codes and by searching keywords 'ataxia', 'gait', 'co-ordination', 'mobility' and 'movement'. Diagnoses were grouped into six categories (Table 15). Vaccination history was obtained from prescription records. In all, 1398 children with diagnoses A-F and known immunisation history were included. Since, in the authors' opinion, a vaccine-specific effect would appear one week after immunisation (an excess of B and C diagnoses was observed on vaccination day) the risk period zero to day five was separately considered. In any other considered risk periods (six to 30, 31 to 60 and six to 60 days after MMR immunisation) RI did not have a statistically relevant increased incidence. Early administration of thiomersal-containing DTP/DT vaccine did not influence this estimate.

### **Crohn's disease and inflammatory bowel disease**

Two studies (Davis 2001; Seagroatt 2005) considered the hypothesis of an association between MMR vaccination and Crohn's disease (CD) or inflammatory bowel disease and ulcerative colitis (Table 16).

One case-control study (Davis 2001) was conducted in the United States using data from the Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) to evaluate if MMR and measles-containing vaccines increased the risk for inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Medical records were reviewed and cases were classified according to the type of disease (CD, ulcerative colitis/proctitis or IBD). The authors concluded that exposure to the MMR vaccine was not associated with an increase risk of CD (OR 0.4; 95% CI 0.08 to 2.0), ulcerative colitis (OR 0.80; 95% CI 0.18 to 3.56) and all IBD (OR 0.59; 95% CI 0.21 to 1.69).

One ecological study (Seagroatt 2005) investigated a possible association between the MMR vaccine and CD. Using English national data on emergency admissions, the authors compared admissions for CD in populations with a vaccination coverage of  $\geq 84\%$  with populations with a MMR vaccination coverage of  $\geq 7\%$ . The estimated rate ratio for the MMR vaccination programme was 0.95 (95% CI 0.84 to 1.08). Even if age-specific rates of emergency admission for CD increased during the time considered in the study (April 1991 to March 2003), this trend seems not to have been influenced by the introduction of the MMR vaccine. The introduction of the MMR vaccination programme in England did not increase the risk of CD.

### **Demyelinating diseases**

The possible association between the MMR vaccine and demyelinating diseases was assessed in two studies, using the same population data set.

Ahlgren 2009a is a cohort study carried out in the Gothenburg area (Swedish west coast, 731,592 residents on 31 December 2000). Cases of multiple sclerosis (MS) and clinically isolated syndrome (CIS)

in participants born between 1959 and 1990 with onset at ages between 10 and 39 years before July 1984 among Gothenburg residents were considered, corresponding to a total of 5.9 million person-years of observation (Table 17). The incidence of probable or definite MS (Poser criteria) and CIS (372 and 162 cases, respectively) was analysed in corresponding measles, mumps and rubella vaccination programmes, by selecting four birth cohorts corresponding to the first years of a specific vaccination programme.

- Birth cohorts 1962 to 1966 (102 MS cases): administration of the monovalent rubella vaccine to 12-year old girls in 1974.
- Birth cohorts 1970 to 1973 (62 MS cases): administration of the MMR vaccine at 12 years of age (1982).
- Birth cohorts 1974 to 1978 (37 MS cases): administration of monovalent measles vaccine in pre-school children. (It was already introduced in 1971, thus adequate coverage was reached only for those born in 1974 and onwards). About 90% of subjects from these birth cohorts received the MMR vaccine at 12 years of age.
- Born between July 1981 and June 1984 (five MS cases): administration of the MMR vaccine at 18 months and at 12 years of age.

The incidence of MS and CIS within each birth cohort was compared to that calculated for the preceding ones, including that of 1959 to 1961, corresponding to the pre-vaccine era. No significant changes in age and gender-specific incidence of MS between selected and preceding selected cohorts has been observed.

Authors use the same population incidence data in order to assess an association between MMR exposure and MS onset by means of a case-control design (Ahlgren 2009b). Similar to the cohort study, case definitions included MS or CIS according to Poser's criteria, residence in Gothenburg, birth date between 1959 and 1986, and disease onset from the age of 10 years onwards. For analysis of vaccine exposure, only cases and controls who attended the sixth grade in school (12 years) within the study area, for whom CHSH records were available (206 cases and 888 controls) were included. Estimates (OR) were calculated by using a logistic model including sex and year of birth, using MMR vaccine exposure as a dependent variable. Exposure to the MMR vaccine (in all) was not statistically different among cases and controls (OR 1.13; 95% CI 0.62 to 2.05).

### **Bacterial and viral infections**

The incidence of viral and bacterial infection following MMR administration was investigated by means of a self controlled case series design by Stowe 2009. Episodes of hospitalisation for bacterial or viral infections occurring in children aged between 12 and 23 months, were identified by consultation of computerised hospital admission records from North, East and South London, Essex, East Anglia, Sussex and Kent using ICD-9 or ICD-10 codes and covering the time between 1 April 1995 and 1 May 2005 (2077 admission in 2025 children).

Bacterial infections were characterised as lobar pneumonia or invasive bacterial infection, whereas those of viral aetiology were encephalitis/meningitis, herpes, pneumonia, varicella zoster or miscellaneous virus (Table 18). Admissions were linked to date of MMR (and meningococcal) immunisation resulting from records held on child health systems. 'At risk' time periods were considered the intervals of 0 to 30, 31 to 60 and 61 to 90 days after immunisation. Admissions for lobar pneumonia were less frequent in the time between 0 and 30 days after MMR immunisation (RI 0.65; 95% CI 0.48



to 0.86) or during the 90 days following immunisation (RI 0.77; 95% CI 0.64 to 0.93). No significant differences were found comparing incidence of invasive bacterial diseases in risk periods with that of background period. Regarding viral infections, a significantly lower incidence of varicella zoster was assessed within 30 days after MMR immunisation (RI 0.58; 95% CI 0.34 to 0.99). However, RI estimates were not statistically relevant for the 31 to 60, 61 to 90 and the whole 0 to 90 days risk periods. On the contrary, the risk of hospitalisation due to herpes infection was higher in the risk time interval between 31 and 60 days after MMR vaccine administration (RI 1.69; 95% CI 1.06 to 2.70) but this risk was not significant considering the other risk periods. Hospitalisation risk for encephalitis/meningitis, viral pneumonia and miscellaneous viral infections, did not reach statistical significance in any of the considered risk time intervals. No significant risk of both bacterial and viral infection has been detected following concomitant administration of MMR and meningococcal C vaccine.

## DISCUSSION

### Summary of main results

MMR vaccination would be highly effective ( $\geq 95\%$ ) in preventing clinical measles cases in preschool children and estimates were similar for each of the two measles strains with which participants had been immunised (Schwarz or Edmonston-Zagreb, one cohort study,  $n = 2745$ ). The MMR vaccine (unspecified composition) is also about 98% effective in preventing laboratory-confirmed cases in children and adolescents (one cohort study,  $n = 184$ ). Effectiveness in preventing secondary measles cases among household contacts was 92% for one and 95% for two vaccine doses (one cohort study,  $n = 175$ ).

Effectiveness of at least one dose of a Jeryl Lynn-containing MMR vaccine in preventing clinical mumps cases in children and adolescents has been estimated between 69% and 81% (one cohort and one case-control study,  $n = 1656$ ). Effectiveness of Jeryl Lynn containing MMR in preventing laboratory-confirmed mumps cases in children and adolescents was estimated to be between 64% to 66% for one and 83% to 88% for two vaccine doses (two case-control studies,  $n = 1664$ ). At least one dose of Urabe strain-containing MMR is 70% to 75% effective in preventing clinical mumps (one cohort and one case-control study,  $n = 1964$ ) and 87% effective against laboratory-confirmed mumps (this last estimate was provided from only one small cohort study with high bias risk,  $n = 48$ ). Vaccination with MMR prepared with Urabe strain has demonstrated to be 73% effective in preventing secondary mumps cases (one cohort study,  $n = 147$ ). In any case, there was an acceptably high effectiveness of the vaccine prepared only with Urabe or Jeryl Lynn strain but not so for that containing Rubini strain.

We found no studies assessing effectiveness of MMR against rubella.

Association with aseptic meningitis is confirmed for MMR vaccines containing Urabe and Leningrad-Zagreb mumps strains on the basis of two very large time-series studies with moderate risk of bias and carried out on about 1,500,000 children aged one to 11 years, assessing a significant increased risk in the time between one and 10 weeks after immunisation, peaking within the third or fifth week. Association was not significant for vaccines prepared with mumps Jeryl Lynn strains, as it results from one cohort and one self controlled case series studies.

Due to the results of a well conducted, very large person-time cohort study involving 537,171 children between three months and five year of age, febrile seizure (as first or as recurrent episode) has been found to be associated with MMR vaccine (prepared with Moraten, Jeryl Lynn and Wistar RA) within two weeks after administration in preschool Danish children.

In children aged 12 to 23 months, association with febrile convulsion six to 11 days after immunisation, would have been assessed for MMR containing both Jeryl Lynn or RIT 4385 mumps strains in a self controlled case series study with moderate bias risk ( $n = 894$ ).

Increased risk of severe illness with fever and convulsions in children aged 12 to 35 months within six to 11 days after MMR exposure was assessed in one further self controlled case series study in which the vaccine strain composition was not reported ( $n = 107$ ).

Association with acute or idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura within six weeks from immunisation was assessed in four studies (two case-controls,  $n = 2450$ , one self controlled case series,  $n = 63$ ) but vaccine composition was not described in any of the studies.

Based on the identified studies, no significant association could be assessed between MMR immunisation and the following conditions: autism, asthma, leukaemia, hay fever, type 1 diabetes, gait disturbance, Crohn's disease, demyelinating diseases, bacterial or viral infections.

### Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

External validity of included studies was also low. Descriptions of the study populations, response rates (particularly in non-randomised studies), vaccine content and exposure (all important indicators of generalisability) were poorly and inconsistently reported. In addition, inadequate and inconsistent descriptions of reported outcomes (a well-known problem (Kohl 2001)), variable observation periods and selective reporting of results contributed to our decision not to attempt pooling data by study design.

### Quality of the evidence

We found problematic internal validity in some included studies and the biases present in the studies (selection, performance, attrition, detection and reporting) influenced our confidence in their findings. The most common type of bias was selection bias. We analysed reasons presented by the papers to justify missing data. Despite accepting as 'adequate' explanations such as 'non-response to questionnaire' and 'medical records unavailable', not all reports offered adequate explanations for missing data.

### Potential biases in the review process

There are some weaknesses in our review. The age limit of participants, although substantially justified by public health concerns about the effects of vaccination on the developing child, did lead us to exclude some studies only on this basis. Additionally, the methodological quality tools used to assess the ecological, time-series and case-only designs have not to our knowledge been empirically tested. We believe this to have had minimal impact on our findings given the size and nature of the biases present in the design and reporting of the included studies.

The range of differing study designs used by authors is partly a reflection on the lack of control children not exposed to MMR, due

to the population nature of vaccination programmes. As MMR vaccine is universally recommended, recent studies are constrained by the lack of a non-exposed control group. This is a methodologically difficulty which is likely to be encountered in all comparative studies of established childhood vaccines. We were unable to include a majority of the retrieved studies because a comparable, clearly-defined control group or risk period was not available. The exclusion may be a limitation of our review or may reflect a more fundamental methodological dilemma: how to carry out meaningful studies in the absence of a representative population not exposed to a vaccine that is universally used in public health programmes. Whichever view is chosen, we believe that meaningful inferences from individual studies lacking a non-exposed control group are difficult to make.

The hypothesis that secondary vaccine failure (waning immunity) could occur and increase over the years after the last immunisation, has been considered in some studies but it needs to be better elucidated. Two studies ([Briss 1994](#); [Hersh 1991](#)) carried out in the USA during mumps epidemics on high school student populations having high vaccination coverage (over 97% received at least one mumps-containing vaccine dose before the outbreak), showed that risk of acquiring mumps was higher in participants who were vaccinated at least three ([Briss 1994](#)) or five years ([Hersh 1991](#)) before the outbreak, than in those who were more recently vaccinated, thus this estimate was not statistically relevant. Linear regression analysis demonstrated no significant trend for increasing mumps attack rates by years, since last vaccination neither after one nor after two mumps-containing vaccine doses ([Schaffzin 2007](#)). A Belgian study carried out on pupils from seven kindergartens and primary schools in Bruges city (age range three to 12 years) during a mumps epidemic in 1995 to 1996 ([Vandermeulen 2004](#)) estimated that odds of developing mumps increased 27% per one-year increase, from one year after the last MMR immunisation onwards. A case-cohort study ([Cortese 2008](#)) carried out at a University in Kansas (USA) during the 2006 outbreak showed that case patients were more likely than their roommates without mumps to have received the second MMR dose more than 10 years before (odds ratio (OR) 2.50; 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.28 to 5.00). Waning immunity may be secondary to a lack of natural exposure ([Cortese 2008](#); [Dayan 2008a](#)). The group with the highest mumps incidence during the 2006 outbreak in the USA were college-age youths (18 to 24 years) born during the 1980s, when the spread of mumps was so low that many of them were never exposed to the disease. They probably received a second dose in the early 1990s, when opportunities for booster shots against exposure to wild viruses became increasingly rare ([Dayan 2008a](#)). Moreover, the risk of the contracting mumps virus from abroad should be considered, because in several countries, mumps vaccination was not routinely administered ([Cohen 2007](#); [Dayan 2008a](#)). Apart from waning immunity it must be taken in account that mumps strains used in vaccine preparation differed phylogenically from those isolated during recent mumps outbreaks ([Dayan 2008a](#); [Dayan 2008b](#)). These facts could explain,

at least in part, the vaccine failure observed during some mumps outbreaks.

## Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

Currently, this is the only review covering both effectiveness and safety issues of MMR vaccines. In agreement with results from other studies and reviews a significant association between autism and MMR exposure was not found. The study of Wakefield ([Wakefield 1998](#)), linking MMR vaccination with autism, has been recently fully retracted ([The Editors of The Lancet 2010](#)) as Dr. Wakefield has been found guilty of ethical, medical and scientific misconduct in the publication of the paper; many other authors have moreover demonstrated that his data were fraudulent ([Flaherty 2011](#)). A formal retraction of the interpretation that there was a causal link between MMR vaccine and autism has already been issued in year 2004 by 10 out of the 12 original co-authors ([Murch 2004](#)). At that time (1998) an excessive and unjustified media coverage of this small study had disastrous consequences ([Flaherty 2011](#); [Hilton 2007](#); [Offit 2003](#); [Smith 2008](#)), such as distrust of public health vaccination programmes, suspicion about vaccine safety, with a consequential significant decrease in MMR-vaccine coverage and re-emergence of measles in the UK.

## AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

### Implications for practice

Existing evidence on the safety and effectiveness of MMR vaccine supports current policies of mass immunisation aimed at global measles eradication and in order to reduce morbidity and mortality associated with mumps and rubella.

### Implications for research

The design and reporting of safety outcomes in MMR vaccine studies, both pre and post-marketing, need to be improved and standardised definitions of adverse events should be adopted. More evidence assessing whether the protective effect of MMR could wane with the time since immunisation should be addressed.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The review authors gratefully acknowledge the help received from Shelley Deeks, Sulachni Chandwani, Janet Wale, Sree Nair and Peter Morris for their contribution to this 2011 draft updated review. We wish to thank the following for commenting on the previous review draft: Drs Harald Hejbel, Paddy Farrington, Ms Sally Hopewell, Melanie Rudin, Anne Lusher, Letizia Sampaolo and Valeria Wenzel, Bruce Arroll, Lize van der Merwe, Janet Wale and Leonard Leibovici. The original review was funded by the European Union and by the Italian Istituto Superiore di Sanità. This 2011 update was unfunded. The review authors wish to acknowledge Tom Jefferson and Deirdre Price as previous authors.

## REFERENCES

### References to studies included in this review

#### Ahlgren 2009a {published data only}

Ahlgren C, Oden A, Toren K, Andersen O. Multiple sclerosis incidence in the era of measles-mumps-rubella mass vaccinations. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* 2009;**119**(5):313-20.

#### Ahlgren 2009b {published data only}

Ahlgren C, Toren K, Oden A, Andersen O. A population-based case-control study on viral infections and vaccinations and subsequent multiple sclerosis risk. *European Journal of Epidemiology* 2009;**24**(9):541-52.

#### Beck 1989 {published data only}

Beck M, Welsz-Malecek R, Mesko-Prejac M, Radman V, Juzbasic M, Rajninger-Miholic M, et al. Mumps vaccine L-Zagreb, prepared in chick fibroblasts. I. Production and field trials. *Journal of Biological Standards* 1989;**17**(1):85-90.

#### Benjamin 1992 {published data only}

Benjamin CM, Chew GC, Silman AJ. Joint and limb symptoms in children after immunisation with measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine. *BMJ* 1992;**304**(6834):1075-8.

#### Bertuola 2010 {published data only}

Bertuola F, Morando C, Menniti-Ippolito F, Da Cas R, Capuano A, Perilongo G, et al. Association between drug and vaccine use and acute immune thrombocytopenia in childhood: a case-control study in Italy. *Drug Safety* 2010;**33**(1):65-72.

#### Black 1997 {published data only}

Black S, Shinefield H, Ray P, Lewis E, Chen R, Glasser J, et al. Risk of hospitalization because of aseptic meningitis after measles-mumps-rubella vaccination in one- to two-year-old children: an analysis of the Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) Project. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 1997;**16**(5):500-3.

#### Black 2003 {published data only}

Black C, Kaye JA, Jick H. MMR vaccine and idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 2003;**55**(1):107-11.

#### Bloom 1975 {published data only}

Bloom JL, Schiff GM, Graubarth H, Lipp RW Jr, Jackson JE, Osborn RL, et al. Evaluation of a trivalent measles, mumps, rubella vaccine in children. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1975;**87**(1):85-7.

#### Bremner 2005 {published data only}

Bremner SA, Carey IM, DeWilde S, Richards N, Maier WC, Hilton SR, et al. Timing of routine immunisations and subsequent hay fever risk. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 2005;**90**(6):567-73.

#### Bremner 2007 {published data only}

Bremner SA, Carey IM, DeWilde S, Richards N, Maier WC, Hilton SR, et al. Vaccinations, infections and antibacterials in

the first grass pollen season of life and risk of later hayfever. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* 2007;**37**(4):512-7.

#### Castilla 2009a {published data only}

Castilla J, Garcia Cenoz M, Arriazu M, Fernandez-Alonso M, Martinez-Artola V, Etxeberria J, et al. Effectiveness of Jeryl Lynn-containing vaccine in Spanish children. *Vaccine* 2009;**27**(15):2089-93.

#### Ceyhan 2001 {published data only}

Ceyhan M, Kanra G, Erdem G, Kanra B. Immunogenicity and efficacy of one dose measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) vaccine at twelve months of age as compared to monovalent measles vaccination at nine months followed by MMR revaccination at fifteen months of age. *Vaccine* 2001;**19**(31):4473-8.

#### Chamot 1998 {published data only}

Chamot E, Toscani L, Egger P, Germann D, Bourquin C. Estimation of the efficacy of three strains of mumps vaccines during an epidemic of mumps in the Geneva canton (Switzerland). *Revue d'Epidemiologie et de Sante Publique* 1998;**46**(2):100-7.

#### da Cunha 2002 {published data only}

da Cunha SS, Rodrigues LC, Barreto ML, Dourado I. Outbreak of aseptic meningitis and mumps after mass vaccination with MMR vaccine using the Leningrad-Zagreb mumps strain. *Vaccine* 2002;**20**(7-8):1106-12.

#### Davis 2001 {published data only}

Davis RL, Kramarz P, Bohlke K, Benson P, Thompson RS, Mullooly J, et al. Measles-mumps-rubella and other measles-containing vaccines do not increase the risk for inflammatory bowel disease: a case-control study from the Vaccine Safety Datalink project. *Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine* 2001;**155**(3):354-9.

#### DeStefano 2002 {published data only}

DeStefano F, Gu D, Kramarz P, Truman BI, Iademarco MF, Mullooly JP, et al. Childhood vaccinations and risk of asthma. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2002;**21**(6):498-504.

#### DeStefano 2004 {published data only}

DeStefano F, Bhasin TK, Thompson WW, Yeargin-Allsopp M, Boyle C. Age at first measles-mumps-rubella vaccination in children with autism and school-matched control subjects: a population-based study in metropolitan Atlanta. *Pediatrics* 2004;**113**(2):259-66.

#### Dourado 2000 {published data only}

Dourado I, Cunha S, Teixeira MG, Farrington CP, Melo A, Lucena R, et al. Outbreak of aseptic meningitis associated with mass vaccination with a Urabe-containing measles-mumps-rubella vaccine: implications for immunization programs. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2000;**151**(5):524-30.



**Dunlop 1989** {published data only}

Dunlop JM, RaiChoudhury K, Roberts JS, Bryett KA. An evaluation of measles, mumps and rubella vaccine in a population of Yorkshire infants. *Public Health* 1989;**103**(5):331-5.

**Edees 1991** {published data only}

Edees S, Pullan CR, Hull D. A randomised single blind trial of a combined mumps measles rubella vaccine to evaluate serological response and reactions in the UK population. *Public Health* 1991;**105**(2):91-7.

**Fombonne 2001** {published data only}

Fombonne E, Chakrabarti S. No evidence for a new variant of measles-mumps-rubella-induced autism. *Pediatrics* 2001;**108**(4):E58.

**Fombonne 2006** {published data only}

Fombonne E, Zakarian R, Bennett A, Meng L, McLean-Heywood D. Pervasive developmental disorders in Montreal, Quebec, Canada: prevalence and links with immunizations. *Pediatrics* 2006;**118**(1):e139-50.

**France 2008** {published data only}

France EK, Glanz J, Xu S, Hambidge S, Yamasaki K, Black SB, et al. Risk of immune thrombocytopenic purpura after measles-mumps-rubella immunization in children. *Pediatrics* 2008;**121**(3):e687-92.

**Freeman 1993** {published data only}

Freeman TR, Stewart MA, Turner L. Illness after measles-mumps-rubella vaccination. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 1993;**149**(11):1669-74.

**Giovanetti 2002** {published data only}

Giovanetti F, Laudani E, Marinaro L, Dogliani MG, Giachelli V, Giachino G, et al. Evaluation of the effectiveness of mumps immunization during an outbreak [Valutazione dell'efficacia della vaccinazione contro la parotite durante un'epidemia]. *L'igiene Moderna* 2002;**117**(3):201-9.

**Goncalves 1998** {published data only}

Goncalves G, De Araujo A, Monteiro Cardoso ML. Outbreak of mumps associated with poor vaccine efficacy - Oporto Portugal 1996. *Euro surveillance: European Communicable Disease Bulletin* 1998;**3**(12):119-21.

**Harling 2005** {published data only}

Harling R, White JM, Ramsay ME, Macsween KF, van den Bosch C. The effectiveness of the mumps component of the MMR vaccine: a case control study. *Vaccine* 2005;**23**(31):4070-4.

**Honda 2005** {published data only}

Honda H, Shimizu Y, Rutter M. No effect of MMR withdrawal on the incidence of autism: a total population study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines* 2005;**46**(6):572-9.

**Hviid 2004** {published data only}

Hviid A, Stellfeld M, Wohlfahrt J, Melbye M. Childhood vaccination and type 1 diabetes. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2004;**350**(14):1398-404.

**Hviid 2008** {published data only}

Hviid A, Melbye M. Measles-mumps-rubella vaccination and asthma-like disease in early childhood. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2008;**168**(11):1277-83.

**Jonville-Bera 1996** {published data only}

Jonville-Bera AP, Autret E, Galy-Eyraud C, Hessel L. Thrombocytopenic purpura after measles, mumps and rubella vaccination: a retrospective survey by the French regional pharmacovigilance centres and Pasteur-Merieux serums et vaccins. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 1996;**15**(1):44-8.

**Lerman 1981** {published data only}

Lerman SJ, Bollinger M, Brunken JM. Clinical and serologic evaluation of measles, mumps, and rubella (HPV-77:DE-5 and RA 27/3) virus vaccines, singly and in combination. *Pediatrics* 1981;**68**(1):18-22.

**Lopez Hernandez 2000** {published data only}

Lopez Hernandez B, Martin Velez RM, Roman Garcia C, Penalver Sanchez I, Lopez Rosique JA. An epidemic outbreak of mumps. A study of vaccinal efficacy [Brote epidemico de parotiditis. Estudio de la efectividad vacunal]. *Atencion primaria/ Sociedad Española de Medicina de Familia y Comunitaria* 2000;**25**(3):148-52.

**Ma 2005** {published data only}

Ma X, Does MB, Metayer C, Russo C, Wong A, Buffler PA. Vaccination history and risk of childhood leukaemia. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2005;**34**(5):1100-9.

**Mackenzie 2006** {published data only}

Mackenzie DG, Craig G, Hallam NF, Moore J, Stevenson J. Mumps in a boarding school: description of an outbreak and control measures. *British Journal of General Practice* 2006;**56**(528):526-9.

**Madsen 2002** {published data only}

Madsen KM, Hviid A, Vestergaard M, Schendel D, Wohlfahrt J, Thorsen P, et al. A population-based study of measles, mumps, and rubella vaccination and autism. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2002;**347**(19):1477-82.

**Makela 2002** {published data only}

Makela A, Nuorti JP, Peltola H. Neurologic disorders after measles-mumps-rubella vaccination. *Pediatrics* 2002;**110**(5):957-63.

**Makino 1990** {published data only}

Makino S, Sasaki K, Nakayama T, Oka S, Urano T, Kimura M, et al. A new combined trivalent live measles (AIK-C strain), mumps (Hoshino strain), and rubella (Takahashi strain) vaccine. Findings in clinical and laboratory studies. *American Journal of Diseases in Children* 1990;**144**(8):905-10.

**Marin 2006** {published data only}

Marin M, Nguyen HQ, Langidrik JR, Edwards R, Briand K, Papania MJ, et al. Measles transmission and vaccine effectiveness during a large outbreak on a densely populated island: implications for vaccination policy. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2006;**42**(3):315-9.

**Marolla 1998** {published data only}

Marolla F, Baviera G, Cacciapuoti, Calia V, Cannavavo R, Clemente A, et al. A field study on vaccine efficacy against mumps of three MMR vaccines [Efficacia verso la parotite di tre diversi vaccini a tripla componente : studio sul campo]. *Rivista Italiana Di Pediatria* 1998;**24**(3):466-72.

**McKeever 2004** {published data only}

McKeever TM, Lewis SA, Smith C, Hubbard R. Vaccination and allergic disease: a birth cohort study. *American Journal of Public Health* 2004;**94**(6):985-9.

**Miller 1989** {published data only}

Miller C, Miller E, Rowe K, Bowie C, Judd M, Walker D. Surveillance of symptoms following MMR vaccine in children. *Practitioner* 1989;**233**(1461):69-73.

**Miller 2005** {published data only}

Miller E, Andrews N, Grant A, Stowe J, Taylor B. No evidence of an association between MMR vaccine and gait disturbance. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 2005;**90**(3):292-6.

**Miller 2007** {published data only}

Miller E, Andrews N, Stowe J, Grant A, Waight P, Taylor B. Risks of convulsion and aseptic meningitis following measles-mumps-rubella vaccination in the United Kingdom. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2007;**165**(6):704-9.

**Mrozek-Budzyn 2010** {published data only}

Mrozek-Budzyn D, Kieltyka A, Majewska R. Lack of association between measles-mumps-rubella vaccination and autism in children: a case-control study. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2010;**29**(5):397-400.

**Ong 2005** {published data only}

Ong G, Goh KT, Ma S, Chew SK. Comparative efficacy of Rubini, Jeryl-Lynn and Urabe mumps vaccine in an Asian population. *Journal of Infections* 2005;**51**(4):294-8.

**Ong 2007** {published data only}

Ong G, Rasidah N, Wan S, Cutter J. Outbreak of measles in primary school students with high first dose MMR vaccination coverage. *Singapore Medical Journal* 2007;**48**(7):656-61.

**Park 2004** {published data only}

Park T, Ki M, Yi SG. Statistical analysis of MMR vaccine adverse events on aseptic meningitis using the case cross-over design. *Statistics in Medicine* 2004;**23**(12):1871-83.

**Peltola 1986** {published data only}

Peltola H, Heinonen OP. Frequency of true adverse reactions to measles-mumps-rubella vaccine. A double-blind placebo-controlled trial in twins. *Lancet* 1986;**1**(8487):939-42.

**Ray 2006** {published data only}

Ray P, Hayward J, Michelson D, Lewis E, Schwalbe J, Black S, et al. Encephalopathy after whole-cell pertussis or measles vaccination: lack of evidence for a causal association in a retrospective case-control study. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2006;**25**(9):768-73.

**Robertson 1988** {published data only}

Robertson CM, Bennett VJ, Jefferson N, Mayon-White RT. Serological evaluation of a measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine. *Archives of Diseases of Children* 1988;**63**(6):612-6.

**Schlegel 1999** {published data only}

Schlegel M, Osterwalder JJ, Galeazzi RL, Vernazza PL. Comparative efficacy of three mumps vaccines during disease outbreak in Eastern Switzerland: cohort study. *BMJ* 1999;**319**(7206):352.

**Schwarz 1975** {published data only}

Schwarz AJ, Jackson JE, Ehrenkranz NJ, Ventura A, Schiff GM, Walters VW. Clinical evaluation of a new measles-mumps-rubella trivalent vaccine. *American Journal of Diseases of Children* 1975;**129**(12):1408-12.

**Seagroatt 2005** {published data only}

Seagroatt V. MMR vaccine and Crohn's disease: ecological study of hospital admissions in England, 1991 to 2002. *BMJ* 2005;**330**(7500):1120-1.

**Sharma 2010** {published data only}

Sharma HJ, Oun SA, Bakr SS, Kapre SV, Jadhav SS, Dhere RM, et al. No demonstrable association between the Leningrad-Zagreb mumps vaccine strain and aseptic meningitis in a large clinical trial in Egypt. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* 2010;**16**(4):347-52.

**Smeeth 2004** {published data only}

Smeeth L, Cook C, Fombonne E, Heavey L, Rodrigues LC, Smith PG, et al. MMR vaccination and pervasive developmental disorders: a case-control study. *Lancet* 2004;**364**(9438):963-9.

**Stokes 1971** {published data only}

Stokes JJ, Weibel RE, Villarejos VM, Arguedas JA, Buynak EB, Hilleman MR. Trivalent combined measles-mumps-rubella vaccine. Findings in clinical-laboratory studies. *JAMA* 1971;**218**(1):57-61.

**Stowe 2009** {published data only}

Stowe J, Andrews N, Taylor B, Miller E. No evidence of an increase of bacterial and viral infections following measles, mumps and rubella vaccine. *Vaccine* 2009;**27**(9):1422-5.

**Swartz 1974** {published data only}

Swartz TA, Klingberg W, Klingberg MA. Combined trivalent and bivalent measles, mumps and rubella virus vaccination. A controlled trial. *Infection* 1974;**2**(3):115-7.

**Taylor 1999** {published data only}

Taylor B, Miller E, Farrington CP, Petropoulos MC, Favot-Mayaud I, Li J, et al. Autism and measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine: no epidemiological evidence for a causal association. *Lancet* 1999;**353**(9169):2026-9.

**Uchiyama 2007** {published data only}

Uchiyama T, Kurosawa M, Inaba Y. MMR-vaccine and regression in autism spectrum disorders: negative results presented from Japan. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders* 2007;**37**(2):210-7.

**Vestergaard 2004 {published data only}**

Vestergaard M, Hviid A, Madsen KM, Wohlfahrt J, Thorsen P, Schendel D, et al. MMR vaccination and febrile seizures: evaluation of susceptible subgroups and long-term prognosis. *JAMA* 2004;**292**(3):351-7.

**Ward 2007 {published data only}**

Ward KN, Bryant NJ, Andrews NJ, Bowley JS, Ohrling A, Verity CM, et al. Risk of serious neurologic disease after immunization of young children in Britain and Ireland. *Pediatrics* 2007;**120**(2):314-21.

**Weibel 1980 {published data only}**

Weibel RE, Carlson AJ Jr, Villarejos VM, Buynak EB, McLean AA, Hilleman MR. Clinical and laboratory studies of combined live measles, mumps, and rubella vaccines using the RA 27/3 rubella virus. *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine* 1980;**165**(2):323-6.

**References to studies excluded from this review**
**Akobeng 1999 {published data only}**

Akobeng AK, Thomas AG. Inflammatory bowel disease, autism, and the measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 1999;**28**(3):351-2.

**Andre 1984 {published data only}**

Andre FE. Summary of clinical studies with the Oka live varicella vaccine produced by Smith Kline-RIT. *Biken Journal* 1984;**27**(2-3):89-98.

**Anonymous 1982 {published data only}**

Anonymous. Adverse effects of Virivac. *Lakartidningen* 1982;**79**(42):3822.

**Anonymous 1997 {published data only}**

Anonymous. Vaccination: news on precautions, contraindications, and adverse reactions. *Consultant* 1997;**37**(3):756-60.

**Anonymous 1999 {published data only}**

Anonymous. Incidence of measles vaccine-associated adverse events is low. *Drugs & Therapy Perspectives* 1999;**14**(11):13-6.

**Anonymous 2004 {published data only}**

Anonymous. Childhood vaccination does not increase the incidence of type 1 diabetes. *Evidence-based Healthcare and Public Health* 2004;**8**(5):286-7.

**Aozasa 1982 {published data only}**

Aozasa K, Nara H, Kotoh K, Watanabe Y, Sakai S, Honda M. Malignant histiocytosis with slow clinical course. *Pathology, Research and Practice* 1982;**174**(1-2):147-58.

**Asaria 2008 {published data only}**

Asaria P, MacMahon E. Measles in the United Kingdom: can we eradicate it by 2010?. *BMJ* 2006;**333**(7574):890-5.

**Autret 1996 {published data only}**

Autret E, Jonville-Bera AP, Galy-Eyraud C, Hessel L. Thrombocytopenic purpura after isolated or combined vaccination against measles, mumps and rubella [Purpura thrombopenique apres vaccination isolee ou associee contre la rougeole, la rubeole et les oreillons]. *Therapie* 1996;**51**(6):677-80.

**Bakker 2001 {published data only}**

Bakker W, Mathias R. Mumps caused by an inadequately attenuated measles, mumps and rubella vaccine. *Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2001;**12**(3):144-8.

**Balraj 1995 {published data only}**

Balraj V ME. Complications of mumps vaccines. *Reviews in Medical Virology* 1995;**5**(4):219-27.

**Beck 1991 {published data only}**

Beck SA, Williams LW, Shirrell MA, Burks AW. Egg hypersensitivity and measles-mumps-rubella vaccine administration. *Pediatrics* 1991;**88**(5):913-7.

**Bedford 2010 {published data only}**

Bedford HE, Elliman DA. MMR vaccine and autism. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c655.

**Beeler 1996 {published data only}**

Beeler J, Varricchio F, Wise R. Thrombocytopenia after immunization with measles vaccines: review of the vaccine adverse events reporting system (1990 to 1994). *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 1996;**15**(1):88-90.

**Benjamin 1991 {published data only}**

Benjamin CM, Silman AJ. Adverse reactions and mumps, measles and rubella vaccine. *Journal of Public Health Medicine* 1991;**13**(1):32-4.

**Berger 1988a {published data only}**

Berger R, Just M, Gluck R. Interference between strains in live virus vaccines. I: combined vaccination with measles, mumps and rubella vaccine. *Journal of Biological Standardization* 1988;**16**(4):269-73.

**Berger 1988b {published data only}**

Berger R, Just M. Interference between strains in live virus vaccines. II: Combined vaccination with varicella and measles-mumps-rubella vaccine. *Journal of Biological Standardization* 1988;**16**(4):275-9.

**Berlin 1983 {published data only}**

Berlin BS. Convulsions after measles immunisation. *Lancet* 1983;**1**(8338):1380.

**Bernsen 2008 {published data only}**

Bernsen RM, van der Wouden JC. Measles, mumps and rubella infections and atopic disorders in MMR-unvaccinated and MMR-vaccinated children. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2008;**19**(6):544-51.

**Bhargava 1995** {published data only}

Bhargava I, Chhapparwal BC, Phadke MA, Irani SF, Chhapparwal D, Dhorje S, et al. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of indigenously produced MMR vaccine. *Indian Pediatrics* 1995;**32**(9):983-8.

**Bonanni 2005** {published data only}

Bonanni P, Bechini A, Pesavento G, Boccalini S, Tiscione E, Graziani G, et al. Implementation of the plan for elimination of measles and congenital rubella infection in Tuscany: evidence of progress towards phase II of measles control. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene* 2005;**46**(3):111-7.

**Borchardt 2007** {published data only}

Borchardt SM, Rao P, Dworkin MS. Is the severity of mumps related to the number of doses of mumps-containing vaccine?. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2007;**45**(7):939-40.

**Borgono 1973** {published data only}

Borgono JM, Greiber R, Solari G, Concha F, Carrillo B, Hilleman MR. A field trial of combined measles-mumps-rubella vaccine. Satisfactory immunization with 188 children in Chile. *Clinical Pediatrics* 1973;**12**(3):170-2.

**Boxall 2008** {published data only}

Boxall N, Kubinyiova M, Prikazsky V, Benes C, Castkova J. An increase in the number of mumps cases in the Czech Republic, 2005-2006. *Euro surveillance: European Communicable Disease Bulletin* 2008;**13**(16):18842 [pii].

**Brockhoff 2010** {published data only}

Brockhoff HJ, Mollema L, Sonder GJ, Postema CA, van Binnendijk RS, Kohl RH, et al. Mumps outbreak in a highly vaccinated student population, The Netherlands, 2004. *Vaccine* 2010;**28**(17):2932-6.

**Bruno 1997** {published data only}

Bruno G, Grandolfo M, Lucenti P, Novello F, Ridolfi B, Businco L. Measles vaccine in egg allergic children: poor immunogenicity of the Edmoston-Zagreb strain. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 1997;**8**(1):17-20.

**Buntain 1976** {published data only}

Buntain WL, Missall SR. Letter: Local subcutaneous atrophy following measles, mumps, and rubella vaccination. *American Journal of Diseases of Children* 1976;**130**(3):335.

**Buynak 1969** {published data only}

Buynak EB, Weibel RE, Whitman JE Jr, Stokes J Jr, Hilleman MR. Combined live measles, mumps, and rubella virus vaccines. *JAMA* 1969;**207**(12):2259-62.

**Cardenosa 2006** {published data only}

Cardenosa N, Dominguez A, Camps N, Martinez A, Torner N, Navas E, et al. Non-preventable mumps outbreaks in schoolchildren in Catalonia. *Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2006;**38**(8):671-4.

**Castilla 2009b** {published data only}

Castilla J, Fernandez Alonso M, Garcia Cenoz M, Martinez Artola V, Inigo Pestana M, Rodrigo I, et al. Resurgence of mumps

in the vaccine era. Factors involved in an outbreak in Navarre, Spain, 2006-2007 [Rebote de parotiditis en la era vacunal. Factores implicados en un brote en Navarra, 2006-2007]. *Medicina Clínica* 2009;**133**(20):777-82.

**Chang 1982** {published data only}

Chang HH. Immunisation problems in measles, rubella and mumps. *Journal of the Korean Medical Association* 1982;**25**(9):801-6.

**Chen 1991** {published data only}

Chen RT, Moses JM, Markowitz LE, Orenstein WA. Adverse events following measles-mumps-rubella and measles vaccinations in college students. *Vaccine* 1991;**9**(5):297-9.

**Chen 2000** {published data only}

Chen RT, Mootrey G, DeStefano F. Safety of routine childhood vaccinations. An epidemiological review. *Paediatric Drugs* 2000;**2**(4):273-90.

**Cherian 2010** {published data only}

Cherian MP, Al-Kanani KA, Al Qahtani SS, Yesurathnam H, Mathew AA, Thomas VS, et al. The rising incidence of type 1 diabetes mellitus and the role of environmental factors - three decade experience in a primary care health center in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2010;**23**(7):685-95.

**Chiodo 1992** {published data only}

Chiodo F. Effectiveness and security of the trivalent vaccine against measles, parotitis and rubella (MPR). *Igiene Moderna* 1992;**97**(Suppl 1):77-86.

**Cinquetti 1994** {published data only}

Cinquetti S, Tonetto L, Portello A, Chermaz E, Sernagiotto F, De Noni R, et al. Adverse reactions following vaccination with two different types of measles mumps-rubella vaccine [Reazioni indesiderate a due diverse preparazioni di vaccine 'triplo' antimorbillo-parotite-rosolia]. *Igiene Moderna* 1994;**101**(6):793-800.

**Contardi 1989** {published data only}

Contardi I. Clinical and immunologic valuation of a new triple measles, mumps and rubella vaccine. *Giornale di Malattie Infettive e Parassitarie* 1989;**41**(11):1106-7.

**Contardi 1992** {published data only}

Contardi I, Lusardi C, Cattaneo GG. A comparative study of 3 different types of trivalent measles-mumps-rubella vaccine. *Pediatrica Medica e Chirurgica* 1992;**14**(4):421-4.

**Coplan 2000** {published data only}

Coplan P, Chiacchierini L, Nikas A, Shea J, Baumritter A, Beutner K, et al. Development and evaluation of a standardized questionnaire for identifying adverse events in vaccine clinical trials. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety* 2000;**9**(6):457-71.

**Coronado 2006** {published data only}

Coronado F, Musa N, El Tayeb el SA, Haithami S, Dabbagh A, Mahoney F, et al. Retrospective measles outbreak investigation: Sudan, 2004. *Journal of Tropical Pediatrics* 2006;**52**(5):329-34.



**Cox 2009** {published data only}

Cox AR, McDowell S. A response to the article on the association between paracetamol/acetaminophen: use and autism by Stephen T. Schultz. *Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice* 2009;**13**(1):123-4; author reply 124-5.

**Curtale 2010** {published data only}

Curtale F, Perrelli F, Mantovani J, Atti MC, Filia A, Nicoletti L, et al. Description of two measles outbreaks in the Lazio Region, Italy (2006-2007). Importance of pockets of low vaccine coverage in sustaining the infection. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 2010;**10**:62.

**Czajka 2009** {published data only}

Czajka H, Schuster V, Zepp F, Esposito S, Douha M, Willems P. A combined measles, mumps, rubella and varicella vaccine (Priorix-Tetra): immunogenicity and safety profile. *Vaccine* 2009;**27**(47):6504-11.

**D'Argenio 1998** {published data only}

D'Argenio P, Citarella A, Manfredi Selvaggi MT, Arigliani R, Casani A, et al. Field evaluation of the clinical effectiveness of vaccines against pertussis, measles, rubella and mumps. The Benevento and Compobasso Pediatrician's Network for the Control of Vaccine-Preventable Diseases. *Vaccine* 1998;**16**(8):818-22.

**D'Souza 2000** {published data only}

D'Souza RM, Campbell-Lloyd S, Isaacs D, Gold M, Burgess M, Turnbull F, et al. Adverse events following immunisation associated with the 1998 Australian Measles Control Campaign. *Communicable Diseases Intelligence* 2000;**24**(2):27-33.

**Dales 2001** {published data only}

Dales L, Hammer SJ, Smith NJ. Time trends in autism and in MMR immunization coverage in California. *JAMA* 2001;**285**(9):1183-5.

**Dallaire 2009** {published data only}

Dallaire F, De Serres G, Tremblay FW, Markowski F, Tipples G. Long-lasting measles outbreak affecting several unrelated networks of unvaccinated persons. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2009;**200**(10):1602-5.

**Dankova 1995** {published data only}

Dankova E, Domorazkova E, Skovrankova J, Vodickova M, Honzonova S, Stehlikova J, et al. Immune reactivity and risk of an undesirable response after vaccination. *Ceskoslovenská Pediatrie* 1995;**50**(9):515-9.

**Dashefsky 1990** {published data only}

Dashefsky B, Wald E, Guerra N, Byers C. Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of concurrent administration of Haemophilus influenzae type b conjugate vaccine (meningococcal protein conjugate) with either measles-mumps-rubella vaccine or diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis and oral poliovirus vaccines in 14- to 23-month-old infants. *Pediatrics* 1990;**85**(4 Pt 2):682-9.

**Davis 1997** {published data only}

Davis RL, Marcuse E, Black S, Shinefield H, Givens B, Schwalbe J, et al. MMR2 immunization at 4 to 5 years and 10 to 12 years of

age: a comparison of adverse clinical events after immunization in the Vaccine Safety Datalink project. The Vaccine Safety Datalink Team. *Pediatrics* 1997;**100**(5):767-71.

**Dayan 2008a** {published data only}

Dayan GH, Quinlisk MP, Parker AA, Barskey AE, Harris ML, Schwartz JM, et al. Recent resurgence of mumps in the United States. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2008;**358**(15):1580-9.

**Deforest 1986** {published data only}

Deforest A, Long SS, Lischner HW. Safety and efficacy of simultaneous administration of measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) with booster doses of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis (TP) and trivalent oral poliovirus (OPV) vaccines. *Developments in Biological Standardization* 1986;**65**:111.

**Deforest 1988** {published data only}

Deforest A, Long SS, Lischner HW, Girone JA, Clark JL, Srinivasan R, et al. Simultaneous administration of measles-mumps-rubella vaccine with booster doses of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis and poliovirus vaccines. *Pediatrics* 1988;**81**(2):237-46.

**De Laval 2010** {published data only}

De Laval F, Haus R, Spiegel A, Simon F. Lower long-term immunogenicity of mumps component after MMR vaccine. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2010;**29**(11):1062-3.

**DeStefano 2000** {published data only}

DeStefano F, Chen RT. Autism and measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine: no epidemiological evidence for a causal association. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2000;**136**(1):125-6.

**Diaz-Ortega 2010** {published data only}

Diaz-Ortega JL, Bennett JV, Castaneda D, Vieyra JR, Valdespino-Gomez JL, de Castro JF. Successful seroresponses to measles and rubella following aerosolized Triviraten vaccine, but poor response to aerosolized mumps (Rubini) component: comparisons with injected MMR. *Vaccine* 2010;**28**(3):692-8.

**Dobrosavljevic 1999** {published data only}

Dobrosavljevic D, Milinkovic MV, Nikolic MM. Toxic epidermal necrolysis following morbili-parotitis-rubella vaccination. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 1999;**13**(1):59-61.

**Dominguez 2008** {published data only}

Dominguez A, Torner N, Barrabeig I, Rovira A, Rius C, Cayla J, et al. Large outbreak of measles in a community with high vaccination coverage: implications for the vaccination schedule. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2008;**47**(9):1143-9.

**Doshi 2009** {published data only}

Doshi S, Khetsuriani N, Zakhshvili K, Baidoshvili L, Imnadze P, Uzicanin A. Ongoing measles and rubella transmission in Georgia, 2004-05: implications for the national and regional elimination efforts. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2009;**38**(1):182-91.

**Dos Santos 2002** {published data only}

Dos Santos BA, Ranieri TS, Bercini M, Schermann MT, Famer S, Mohrdieck R, et al. An evaluation of the adverse reaction potential of three measles-mumps-rubella combination vaccines. *Revista Panamericana de Salud Pública* 2002;**12**(4):240-6.

**Dyer 2010a** {published data only}

Dyer C. Wakefield was dishonest and irresponsible over MMR research, says GMC. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c593.

**Dyer 2010b** {published data only}

Dyer C. Lancet retracts Wakefield's MMR paper. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c696.

**Ehrenkranz 1975** {published data only}

Ehrenkranz NJ, Ventura AK, Medler EM, Jackson JE, Kenny MT. Clinical evaluation of a new measles-mumps-rubella combined live virus vaccine in the Dominican Republic. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 1975;**52**(1):81-5.

**Elphinstone 2000** {published data only}

Elphinstone P. The MMR question. *Lancet* 2000;**356**(9224):161.

**Englund 1989** {published data only}

Englund JA, Suarez CS, Kelly J, Tate DY, Balfour HH Jr. Placebo-controlled trial of varicella vaccine given with or after measles-mumps-rubella vaccine. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1989;**114**(1):37-44.

**Farrington 1996** {published data only}

Farrington CP, Nash J, Miller E. Case series analysis of adverse reactions to vaccines: a comparative evaluation. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1996;**143**(11):1165-73.

**Farrington 2001** {published data only}

Farrington CP, Miller E, Taylor B. MMR and autism: further evidence against a causal association. *Vaccine* 2001;**19**(27):3632-5.

**Fitzpatrick 2007** {published data only}

Fitzpatrick M. The end of the road for the campaign against MMR. *British Journal of General Practice* 2007;**57**(541):679.

**Fletcher 2001** {published data only}

Fletcher AP. MMR safety studies. *Adverse Drug Reactions and Toxicological Reviews* 2001;**20**(1):57-60.

**Garrido L 1992** {published data only}

Garrido Lestache A, Martin Hernandez D. Triple virus vaccination: study of its efficacy and safety. *Pediatrics* 1992;**12**:42-7.

**Geier 2004** {published data only}

Geier DA, Geier MR. A comparative evaluation of the effects of MMR immunization and mercury doses from thimerosal-containing childhood vaccines on the population prevalence of autism. *Medical Science Monitor* 2004;**10**(3):PI33-9.

**Gerber 2009** {published data only}

Gerber JS, Offit PA. Vaccines and autism: a tale of shifting hypotheses. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2009;**48**(4):456-61.

**Goodson 2010** {published data only}

Goodson JL, Perry RT, Mach O, Manyanga D, Luman ET, Kitambi M, et al. Measles outbreak in Tanzania, 2006-2007. *Vaccine* 2010;**28**(37):5979-85.

**Griffin 1991** {published data only}

Griffin MR, Ray WA, Mortimer EA, Fenichel GM, Schaffner W. Risk of seizures after measles-mumps-rubella immunization. *Pediatrics* 1991;**88**(5):881-5.

**Grilli 1992** {published data only}

Grilli G, Cimini D, Vacca F. Vaccination against measles, mumps and rubella: incidence of side effects using different vaccine strains. *Giornale di Malattie Infettive e Parassitarie* 1992;**44**(1):38-42.

**Hilton 2009** {published data only}

Hilton S, Hunt K, Langan M, Hamilton V, Petticrew M. Reporting of MMR evidence in professional publications: 1988-2007. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 2009;**94**(11):831-3.

**Hindiye 2009** {published data only}

Hindiye MY, Aboudy Y, Wohoush M, Shulman LM, Ram D, Levin T, et al. Characterization of large mumps outbreak among vaccinated Palestinian refugees. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2009;**47**(3):560-5.

**Hornig 2008** {published data only}

Hornig M, Briesse T, Buie T, Bauman ML, Lauwers G, Siemietzki U, et al. Lack of association between measles virus vaccine and autism with enteropathy: a case-control study. *PLoS ONE* 2008;**3**(9):e3140.

**Hu 2007** {published data only}

Hu JY, Tao LN, Shen J, Wang YC. Study on the epidemiological characteristics of rubella from 1990-2006 in Shanghai. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi* 2007;**28**(7):645-8.

**Hua 2009** {published data only}

Hua W, Izurieta HS, Slade B, Belay ED, Haber P, Tiernan R, et al. Kawasaki disease after vaccination: reports to the vaccine adverse event reporting system 1990-2007. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2009;**28**(11):943-7.

**Huang 1990** {published data only}

Huang LM, Lee CY, Hsu CY, Huang SS, Kao CL, Wu FF. Effect of monovalent measles and trivalent measles-mumps-rubella vaccines at various ages and concurrent administration with hepatitis B vaccine. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 1990;**9**(7):461-5.

**Ipp 2003** {published data only}

Ipp M, Cohen E, Goldbach M, McArthur C. Pain response to measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) vaccination at 12 months of age: a randomised clinical trial. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health* 2003;**39**(6):A3.

**Jiang 2009** {published data only}

Jiang Y, Pang H. Surveillance of adverse events following immunization of MMR in Changning District of Shanghai. *Zhongguo Ji Hua Mian Yi* 2009;**15**(6):496-7, 526.



**Jones 1991** {published data only}

Jones AG, White JM, Begg NT. The impact of MMR vaccine on mumps infection in England and Wales. *CDR (London, England: review)* 1991;**1**(9):R93-6.

**Just 1985** {published data only}

Just M, Berger R, Gluck R, Wegmann A. Field trial with a new human diploid cell vaccine (HDCV) against measles, mumps and rubella [Feldversuch mit einer neuartigen Humandiploidzellvakzine (HDCV) gegen Masern, Mumps und Roteln]. *Schweizerische Medizinische Wochenschrift* 1985;**115**(48):1727-30.

**Just 1986** {published data only}

Just M, Berger R, Just V. Evaluation of a combined measles-mumps-rubella-chickenpox vaccine. *Developments in Biological Standardization* 1986;**65**:85-8.

**Just 1987a** {published data only}

Just M, Berger R. Immunogenicity of vaccines. A comparative study of a mumps-measles-rubella vaccine given with or without oral polio vaccine [Immunantwort auf Impfstoffe. Vergleichende Studie mit Mumps-, Masern-, und Roteln-Impfstoff allein oder zusammen mit Polio-Impfstoff appliziert]. *Muenchner Medizinische Wochenschrift* 1987;**129**(11):188-90.

**Just 1987b** {published data only}

Just M BR. Trivalent vaccines. A comparative study of the immunogenicity of two trivalent mumps-measles-rubella vaccines given with or without diphtheria-tetanus vaccine [Trivalente Impfstoffe. Vergleichende Studie zweier Mumps-Masern-Roteln-Vakzinen in Kombination mit Diphtherie-Tetanus-Impfstoff]. *Münchener Medizinische Wochenschrift* 1987;**129**(23):446-7.

**Kaaber 1990** {published data only}

Kaaber K, Samuelsson IS, Larsen SO. Reactions after MMR vaccination [Reaktioner efter MFR-vaccination]. *Ugeskrift for Laeger* 1990;**152**(23):1672-6.

**Karim 2002** {published data only}

Karim Y, Masood A. Haemolytic uraemic syndrome following mumps, measles, and rubella vaccination. *Nephrology, Dialysis, Transplantation* 2002;**17**(5):941-2.

**Kaye 2001** {published data only}

Kaye JA, del Mar C, Melero-Montes M, Jick H. Mumps, measles, and rubella vaccine and the incidence of autism recorded by general practitioners: a time trend analysis. *BMJ* 2001;**322**(7284):460-3.

**Kazarian 1978** {published data only}

Kazarian EL, Gager WE. Optic neuritis complicating measles, mumps, and rubella vaccination. *American Journal of Ophthalmology* 1978;**86**(4):544-7.

**Khalil 2005** {published data only}

Khalil MK, Al-Mazrou YY, AlHowasi MN, Al-Jeffri M. Measles in Saudi Arabia: from control to elimination. *Annals of Saudi Medicine* 2005;**25**(4):324-8.

**Kiepiela 1991** {published data only}

Kiepiela P, Coovadia HM, Loening WE, Coward P, Botha G, Hugo J, et al. Lack of efficacy of the standard potency Edmonston-Zagreb live, attenuated measles vaccine in African infants. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 1991;**69**(2):221-7.

**Kulkarni 2005** {published data only}

Kulkarni PS, Phadke MA, Jadhav SS, Kapre SV. No definitive evidence for L-Zagreb mumps strain associated aseptic meningitis: a review with special reference to the da Cunha study. *Vaccine* 2005;**23**(46-7):5286-8.

**Kurtzke 1997** {published data only}

Kurtzke JF, Hyllested K, Arbuckle JD, Bronnum-Hansen H, Wallin MT, Heltberg A, et al. Multiple sclerosis in the Faroe Islands. 7. Results of a case control questionnaire with multiple controls. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* 1997;**96**(3):149-57.

**Lee 1998** {published data only}

Lee JW, Melgaard B, Clements CJ, Kane M, Mulholland EK, Olive JM. Autism, inflammatory bowel disease, and MMR vaccine. *Lancet* 1998;**351**(9106):905; author reply 908-9.

**Lee 2007** {published data only}

Lee KY, Lee HS, Hur JK, Kang JH, Lee BC. The changing epidemiology of hospitalized pediatric patients in three measles outbreaks. *Journal of Infection* 2007;**54**(2):167-72.

**Lucena 2002** {published data only}

Lucena R, Gomes I, Nunes L, Cunha S, Dourado I, Teixeira Mda G, et al. Clinical and laboratory features of aseptic meningitis associated with measles-mumps-rubella vaccine [Características clínicas e laboratoriais da meningite aséptica associada a vacina triplice viral]. *Revista Panamericana de Salud Pública* 2002;**12**(4):258-61.

**Maekawa 1991** {published data only}

Maekawa K, Nozaki H, Fukushima K, Sugishita T, Kuriya N. Clinical analysis of measles, mumps and rubella vaccine meningitis - comparative study of mumps, mumps meningitis and MMR meningitis. *Jikeikai Medical Journal* 1991;**38**(4):361-8.

**Maguire 1991** {published data only}

Maguire HC, Begg NT, Handford SG. Meningoencephalitis associated with MMR vaccine. *CDR (London, England: review)* 1991;**1**(6):R60-1.

**Mantadakis 2010** {published data only}

Mantadakis E, Farmaki E, Buchanan GR. Thrombocytopenic purpura after measles-mumps-rubella vaccination: a systematic review of the literature and guidance for management. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2010;**156**(4):623-8.

**Matter 1995** {published data only}

Matter L, Bally F, Germann D, Schopfer K. The incidence of rubella virus infections in Switzerland after the introduction of the MMR mass vaccination programme. *European Journal of Epidemiology* 1995;**11**(3):305-10.

**Matter 1997** {published data only}

Matter L, Germann D, Bally F, Schopfer K. Age-stratified seroprevalence of measles, mumps and rubella (MMR) virus infections in Switzerland after the introduction of MMR mass vaccination. *European Journal of Epidemiology* 1997;**13**(1):61-6.

**Meissner 2004** {published data only}

Meissner HC, Strebel PM, Orenstein WA. Measles vaccines and the potential for worldwide eradication of measles. *Pediatrics* 2004;**114**(4):1065-9.

**Menniti-Ippolito 2007** {published data only}

Menniti-Ippolito F, Da Cas R, Bolli M, Capuano A, Saggioca L, Traversa G, et al. A multicenter study on drug safety in children [Studio multicentrico sulla sicurezza dei farmaci in pediatria]. *Quaderni ACP* 2007;**14**(3):98-102.

**Miller 1983** {published data only}

Miller JR, Orgel HA, Meltzer EO. The safety of egg-containing vaccines for egg-allergic patients. *Journal of Clinical Immunology* 1983;**71**(6):568-73.

**Miller 1993** {published data only}

Miller E, Goldacre M, Pugh S, Colville A, Farrington P, Flower A, et al. Risk of aseptic meningitis after measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine in UK children. *Lancet* 1993;**341**(8851):979-82.

**Miller 2001** {published data only}

Miller E, Waight P, Farrington CP, Andrews N, Stowe J, Taylor B. Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura and MMR vaccine. *Archives of Diseases in Childhood* 2001;**84**(3):227-9.

**Miller 2002** {published data only}

Miller E. MMR vaccine: review of benefits and risks. *Journal of Infection* 2002;**44**(1):1-6.

**Min 1991** {published data only}

Min C-H, Lee J-H, Cho M-K. A study of immunogenicity of measles, mumps and rubella vaccine prepared from human diploid cell. *Journal of the Korean Society for Microbiology* 1991;**26**(5):487-91.

**Minekawa 1974** {published data only}

Minekawa Y, Ueda S, Yamanishi K, Ogino T, Takahashi M. Studies on live rubella vaccine. V. Quantitative aspects of interference between rubella, measles and mumps viruses in their trivalent vaccine. *Biken Journal* 1974;**17**(4):161-7.

**Mommers 2004** {published data only}

Mommers M, Weishoff-Houben M, Swaen GM, Creemers H, Freund H, Dott W, et al. Infant immunization and the occurrence of atopic disease in Dutch and German children: a nested case-control study. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2004;**38**(4):329-34.

**Mupere 2006** {published data only}

Mupere E, Karamagi C, Zirembuzi G, Grabowsky M, de Swart RL, Nanyunja M, et al. Measles vaccination effectiveness among children under 5 years of age in Kampala, Uganda. *Vaccine* 2006;**24**(19):4111-5.

**Nalin 1999** {published data only}

Nalin D. Comparative study of reactogenicity and immunogenicity of new and established measles, mumps and rubella vaccines in healthy children (Infection 26). *Infection* 1999;**27**(2):134-5.

**Nicoll 1998** {published data only}

Nicoll A, Elliman D, Ross E. MMR vaccination and autism 1998 [Erratum in: *BMJ* 1998 Mar 14;316(7134):796]. *BMJ* 1998;**316**(7133):715-6.

**Noble 2003** {published data only}

Noble KK, Miyasaka K. Measles, mumps, and rubella vaccination and autism. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2003;**348**(10):951-4; author reply 951-4.

**O'Brien 1998** {published data only}

O'Brien SJ, Jones IG, Christie P. Autism, inflammatory bowel disease, and MMR vaccine. *Lancet* 1998;**351**(9106):906-7; author reply 908-9.

**Ong 2006** {published data only}

Ong G, Hoon HB, Ong A, Chua LT, Kai CS, Tai GK. A 24-year review on the epidemiology and control of measles in Singapore, 1981-2004. *Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health* 2006;**37**(1):96-101.

**Patja 2000** {published data only}

Patja A, Davidkin I, Kurki T, Kallio MJ, Valle M, Peltola H. Serious adverse events after measles-mumps-rubella vaccination during a fourteen-year prospective follow-up. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2000;**19**(12):1127-34.

**Patja 2001** {published data only}

Patja A, Paunio M, Kinnunen E, Junttila O, Hovi T, Peltola H. Risk of Guillain-Barre syndrome after measles-mumps-rubella vaccination. *Journal of Paediatrics* 2001;**138**(2):250-4.

**Pekmezovic 2004** {published data only}

Pekmezovic T, Jarebinski M, Drulovic J. Childhood infections as risk factors for multiple sclerosis: Belgrade case-control study. *Neuroepidemiology* 2004;**23**(6):285-8.

**Peltola 1998** {published data only}

Peltola H, Patja A, Leinikki P, Valle M, Davidkin I, Paunio M. No evidence for measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine-associated inflammatory bowel disease or autism in a 14-year prospective study. *Lancet* 1998;**351**(9112):1327-8.

**Peltola 2007** {published data only}

Peltola H, Kulkarni PS, Kapre SV, Paunio M, Jadhav SS, Dhere RM. Mumps outbreaks in Canada and the United States: time for new thinking on mumps vaccines. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2007;**45**(4):459-66.

**Puvvada 1993** {published data only}

Puvvada L, Silverman B, Bassett C, Chiaramonte LT. Systemic reactions to measles-mumps-rubella vaccine skin testing. *Pediatrics* 1993;**91**(4):835-6.

**Rajantie 2007** {published data only}

Rajantie J, Zeller B, Treutiger I, Rosthoj S. Vaccination associated thrombocytopenic purpura in children. *Vaccine* 2007;**25**(10):1838-40.

**Ramos-Alvarez 1976** {published data only}

Ramos-Alvarez M, Bessudo L, Kenny MT, Jackson JE, Schwarz AJ. Simultaneous administration at different dosages of attenuated live virus vaccines against measles, mumps and rubella [Administración simultánea en diferentes dosificaciones de las vacunas de virus vivos atenuados contra el sarampión, parotiditis y rubeola]. *Boletín Médico del Hospital Infantil de México* 1976;**33**(4):875-86.

**Roost 2004** {published data only}

Roost HP, Gassner M, Grize L, Wuthrich B, Sennhauser FH, Varonier HS, et al. Influence of MMR-vaccinations and diseases on atopic sensitization and allergic symptoms in Swiss schoolchildren. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2004;**15**(5):401-7.

**Sabra 1998** {published data only}

Sabra A, Bellanti JA, Colon AR. Ileal-lymphoid-nodular hyperplasia, non-specific colitis, and pervasive developmental disorder in children. *Lancet* 1998;**352**(9123):234-7.

**Saraswathy 2009** {published data only}

Saraswathy TS, Zahrin HN, Norhashmimi H, Az-Ulhusna A, Zainah S, Rohani J. Impact of a measles elimination strategy on measles incidence in Malaysia. *Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health* 2009;**40**(4):742-7.

**Scarpa 1990** {published data only}

Scarpa B, Masia G, Contu P, Origa P, Sanna CM, Pintor C, et al. Trivalent vaccine against measles, rubella and parotitis: clinic and serological evaluation [Vaccino trivalente contro morbillo, rosolia e parotite : valutazione clinica e sierologica]. *Giornale di Malattie Infettive e Parassitarie* 1990;**42**(6):344-7.

**Schaffzin 2007** {published data only}

Schaffzin JK, Pollock L, Schulte C, Henry K, Dayan G, Blog D, et al. Effectiveness of previous mumps vaccination during a summer camp outbreak. *Pediatrics* 2007;**120**(4):e862-8.

**Schettini 1989** {published data only}

Schettini F, Manzionna MM, De Mattia D, Amendola F, Di Bitonto G. Clinico-immunologic evaluation of a trivalent vaccine against measles, rubella and mumps [Valutazione clinico-immunologica di un vaccino trivalente contro morbillo, rosolia e parotite]. *Minerva Pediatrica* 1989;**41**(3):117-22.

**Schettini 1990** {published data only}

Schettini F, Manzionna MM, De Mattia D, Amendola F, Di Bitonto G. The clinico-immunological evaluation of a bivalent vaccine against measles and rubella [Valutazione clinico-immunologica di un vaccino bivalente contro morbillo e rosolia]. *Minerva Pediatrica* 1990;**42**(12):531-6.

**Schmid 2008** {published data only}

Schmid D, Holzmann H, Alfery C, Wallenko H, Popow-Kraupp TH, Allerberger F. Mumps outbreak in young adults

following a festival in Austria, 2006. *Euro Surveillance: European Communicable Disease Bulletin* 2008;**13**(7):8042 [pii].

**Schwarz 2010** {published data only}

Schwarz NG, Bernard H, Melnic A, Bucov V, Caterinciuc N, an der Heiden M, et al. Mumps outbreak in the Republic of Moldova, 2007-2008. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2010;**29**(8):703-6.

**Schwarzer 1998** {published data only}

Schwarzer S, Reibel S, Lang AB, Struck MM, Finkel B, Gerike E, et al. Safety and characterization of the immune response engendered by two combined measles, mumps and rubella vaccines. *Vaccine* 1998;**16**(2-3):298-304.

**Seagroatt 2003** {published data only}

Seagroatt V, Goldacre MJ. Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, and measles vaccine in an English population, 1979-1998. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2003;**57**(11):883-7.

**Sharma 2004** {published data only}

Sharma MK, Bhatia V, Swami HM. Outbreak of measles amongst vaccinated children in a slum of Chandigarh. *Indian Journal of Medical Sciences* 2004;**58**(2):47-53.

**Shinefield 2002** {published data only}

Shinefield HR, Black SB, Staehle BO, Matthews H, Adelman T, Ensor K, et al. Vaccination with measles, mumps and rubella vaccine and varicella vaccine: safety, tolerability, immunogenicity, persistence of antibody and duration of protection against varicella in healthy children. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2002;**21**(6):555-61.

**Spitzer 2001** {published data only}

Spitzer WO. A sixty day war of words: is MMR linked to autism?. *Adverse Drug Reactions and Toxicological Reviews* 2001;**20**(1):47-55.

**Stetler 1985** {published data only}

Stetler HC, Mullen JR, Brennan JP, Orenstein WA, Bart KJ, Hinman AR. Adverse events following immunization with DTP vaccine. *Developments in Biological Standardization* 1985;**61**:411-21.

**Stokes 1967** {published data only}

Stokes JJ. Studies on active immunization in measles, mumps and rubella. *Johns Hopkins Medical Journal* 1967;**121**(5):314-28.

**Stratton 1994** {published data only}

Stratton KR, Howe CJ, Johnston RB Jr. Adverse events associated with childhood vaccines other than pertussis and rubella. Summary of a report from the Institute of Medicine. *JAMA* 1994;**271**(20):1602-5.

**Sugiura 1982** {published data only}

Sugiura A, Ohtawara M, Hayami M, Hisiyama M, Shishido A, Kawana R, et al. Field trial of trivalent measles-rubella-mumps vaccine in Japan. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1982;**146**(5):709.

**Ueda 1995** {published data only}

Ueda K, Miyazaki C, Hidaka Y, Okada K, Kusuhara K, Kadoya R. Aseptic meningitis caused by measles-mumps-rubella vaccine in Japan. *Lancet* 1995;**346**(8976):701-2.

**Vesikari 1979** {published data only}

Vesikari T, Elo O. Vaccination against measles, mumps and rubella--together or separately? [Tuhkarokon, sikotaudin ja vihurirokon torjunta--yhdessä vai erikseen?]. *Duodecim* 1979;**95**(9):527-9.

**Vesikari 1984** {published data only}

Vesikari T, Ala-Laurila EL, Heikkinen A, Terho A, D'Hondt E, Andre FE. Clinical trial of a new trivalent measles-mumps-rubella vaccine in young children. *American Journal of Diseases in Children* 1984;**138**(9):843-7.

**Wakefield 1998** {published data only}

Wakefield AJ, Murch SH, Anthony A, Linnell J, Casson DM, Malik M, et al. Ileal-lymphoid-nodular hyperplasia, non-specific colitis, and pervasive developmental disorder in children. *Lancet* 1998;**351**(9103):637-41.

**Wakefield 1999a** {published data only}

Wakefield AJ, Montgomery SM. Autism, viral infection and measles-mumps-rubella vaccination. *Israel Medical Association Journal* 1999;**1**(3):183-7.

**Wakefield 1999b** {published data only}

Wakefield AJ. MMR vaccination and autism. *Lancet* 1999;**354**(9182):949-50.

**Wakefield 2000** {published data only}

Wakefield AJ, Montgomery SM. Measles, mumps, rubella vaccine: through a glass, darkly. *Adverse Drug Reactions and Toxicological Reviews* 2000;**19**(4):265-83; discussion 284-92.

**Walters 1975** {published data only}

Walters VW, Miller SA, Jackson JE, Kenny MT. A field with a liver measles-mumps-rubella vaccine. *Clinical Pediatrics* 1975;**14**(10):928-33.

**Wilson 2003** {published data only}

Wilson K, Mills E, Ross C, McGowan J, Jadad A. Association of autistic spectrum disorder and the measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine: a systematic review of current epidemiological evidence. *Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine* 2003;**157**(7):628-34.

**Woyciechowska 1985** {published data only}

Woyciechowska JL, Dambrozia J, Leinikki P, Shekarchi C, Wallen W, Sever J, et al. Viral antibodies in twins with multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 1985;**35**(8):1176-80.

**Yamashiro 1998** {published data only}

Yamashiro Y, Walker-Smith JA, Shimizu T, Oguchi S, Ohtsuka Y. Measles vaccination and inflammatory bowel disease in Japanese children. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 1998;**26**(2):238.

**Yu 2007** {published data only}

Yu X, Wang S, Guan J, Mahemuti, Purhati, Gou A, et al. Analysis of the cause of increased measles incidence in Xinjiang, China in 2004. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2007;**26**(6):513-8.

**References to studies awaiting assessment**
**Arenz 2005** {published data only}

Arenz S, Schmitt HJ, Tischer A, von Kries R. Effectiveness of measles vaccination after household exposure during a measles outbreak: a household contact study in Coburg, Bavaria. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2005;**24**(8):697-9.

**Barlow 2001** {published data only}

Barlow WE, Davis RL, Glasser JW, Rhodes PH, Thompson RS, Mullooly JP, et al. The risk of seizures after receipt of whole-cell pertussis or measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2001;**345**(9):656-61.

**Barrabeig 2011** {published data only}

Barrabeig I, Rovira A, Rius C, Munoz P, Soldevila N, Batalla J, et al. Effectiveness of measles vaccination for control of exposed children. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2011;**30**(1):78-80.

**Benke 2004** {published data only}

Benke G, Abramson M, Raven J, Thien FC, Walters EH. Asthma and vaccination history in a young adult cohort. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* 2004;**28**(4):336-8.

**Cohen 2007** {published data only}

Cohen C, White JM, Savage EJ, Glynn JR, Choi Y, Andrews N, et al. Vaccine effectiveness estimates, 2004-2005 mumps outbreak, England. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 2007;**13**(1):12-7.

**da Silveira 2002** {published data only}

da Silveira CM, Kmetzsch CI, Mohrdieck R, Sperb AF, Prevots DR. The risk of aseptic meningitis associated with the Leningrad-Zagreb mumps vaccine strain following mass vaccination with measles-mumps-rubella vaccine, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, 1997. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2002;**31**(5):978-82.

**Dominguez 2010** {published data only}

Dominguez A, Torner N, Castilla J, Batalla J, Godoy P, Guevara M, et al. Mumps vaccine effectiveness in highly immunized populations. *Vaccine* 2010;**28**(20):3567-70.

**Huang 2009** {published data only}

Huang AS, Cortese MM, Curns AT, Bitsko RH, Jordan HT, Soud F, et al. Risk factors for mumps at a university with a large mumps outbreak. *Public Health Reports* 2009;**124**(3):419-26.

**Jick 2010** {published data only}

Jick H, Hagberg KW. Measles in the United Kingdom 1990-2008 and the effectiveness of measles vaccines. *Vaccine* 2010;**28**(29):4588-92.

**Mallol-Mesnard 2007** {published data only}

Mallol-Mesnard N, Menegaux F, Auvrignon A, Auclerc M-F, Bertrand Y, Nelken B, et al. Vaccination and the risk of childhood



acute leukaemia: the ESCALE study (SFCE). *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2007;**36**(1):110-6.

#### **Marin 2008** {published data only}

Marin M, Quinlisk P, Shimabukuro T, Sawhney C, Brown C, Lebaron CW. Mumps vaccination coverage and vaccine effectiveness in a large outbreak among college students - Iowa, 2006. *Vaccine* 2008;**26**(29-30):3601-7.

#### **Schultz 2008** {published data only}

Schultz ST, Klonoff-Cohen HS, Wingard DL, Akshoomoff NA, Macera CA, Ji M. Acetaminophen (paracetamol) use, measles-mumps-rubella vaccination, and autistic disorder: the results of a parent survey. *Autism* 2008;**12**(3):293-307.

#### **Sheppeard 2009** {published data only}

Sheppeard V, Forssman B, Ferson MJ, Moreira C, Campbell-Lloyd S, Dwyer DE, et al. Vaccine failures and vaccine effectiveness in children during measles outbreaks in New South Wales, March-May 2006. *Communicable Diseases Intelligence* 2009;**33**(1):21-6.

#### **So 2008** {published data only}

So JS, Go UY, Lee DH, Park KS, Lee JK. Epidemiological investigation of a measles outbreak in a preschool in Incheon, Korea, 2006. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Public Health* 2008;**41**(3):153-8.

#### **Svanstrom 2010** {published data only}

Svanstrom H, Callreus T, Hviid A. Temporal data mining for adverse events following immunization in nationwide Danish healthcare databases. *Drug Safety* 2010;**33**(11):1015-25.

#### **Wichmann 2007** {published data only}

Wichmann O, Hellenbrand W, Sagebiel D, Santibanez S, Ahlemeyer G, Vogt G, et al. Large measles outbreak at a German public school, 2006. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2007;**26**(9):782-6.

### **Additional references**

#### **Briss 1994**

Briss PA, Fehrs LJ, Parker RA, Wright PF, Sannella EC, Hutcheson RH, et al. Sustained transmission of mumps in a highly vaccinated population: assessment of primary vaccine failure and waning vaccine-induced immunity. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1994;**169**(1):77-82.

#### **CDC 1997**

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Case definitions for infectious conditions under public health surveillance. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 1997;**46**:RR-19.

#### **Cortese 2008**

Cortese MM, Jordan HT, Curns AT, Quinlan PA, Ens KA, Denning PM, et al. Mumps vaccine performance among university students during a mumps outbreak. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2008;**46**(8):1172-80.

#### **Dayan 2008b**

Dayan GH, Rubin S. Mumps outbreaks in vaccinated populations: are available mumps vaccines effective enough to prevent outbreaks?. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2008;**47**(11):1458-67.

#### **Farrington 2004**

Farrington CP. Control without separate controls: evaluation of vaccine safety using case-only methods. *Vaccine* 2004;**22**(15-16):2064-70.

#### **Flaherty 2011**

Flaherty DK. The vaccine-autism connection: a public health crisis caused by unethical medical practices and fraudulent science. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy* 2011;**45**(10):1302-4.

#### **Gay 1997**

Gay N, Miller E, Hesketh L, Morgan-Capner P, Ramsay M, Cohen B, et al. Mumps surveillance in England and Wales supports introduction of two dose vaccination schedule. *Communicable Disease Report. CDR Review* 1997;**7**(2):R21-6.

#### **Hersh 1991**

Hersh BS, Fine PE, Kent WK, Cochi SL, Kahn LH, Zell ER, et al. Mumps outbreak in a highly vaccinated population. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1991;**119**(2):187-93.

#### **Higgins 2011**

Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011] The Cochrane Collaboration. Available from [www.cochrane-handbook.org](http://www.cochrane-handbook.org). Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 2011.

#### **Hilton 2007**

Hilton S, Hunt K, Petticrew M. MMR: marginalised, misrepresented and rejected? Autism: a focus group study. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 2007;**92**(4):322-7.

#### **Jefferson 1999**

Jefferson T, Demicheli V. Relation between experimental and non-experimental study designs. HB vaccines: a case study. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 1999;**53**(1):51-4.

#### **Khan 2001**

Khan SK, ter Riet G, Popay J, Nixon J, Kleijnen J. Stage II Conducting the review: Phase 5: Study quality assessment. In: Khan SK, ter Riet G, Glanville G, Sowden AJ, Kleijnen J editor(s). Undertaking Systematic Reviews of Research on Effectiveness. CDR's guidance for carrying out or commissioning reviews. CRD Report No 4. 2nd Edition. York: NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, University of York, 2001.

#### **Kohl 2001**

Kohl KS, Bonhoeffer J. Safety reporting in clinical trials. *JAMA* 2001;**285**(16):2076-7; author reply 2077-8.

#### **Kreidl 2003**

Kreidl P, Morosetti G. Must we expect an epidemic of measles in the near future in Southern Tyrol? [Mussen wir in naher

Zukunft mit einer Masernepidemie in Südtirol rechnen?]. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift* 2003;**115**(Suppl):355-60.

#### Last 2001

Last J. A Dictionary of Epidemiology. 4th Edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001.

#### Murch 2004

Murch SH, Anthony A, Casson DH, Malik M, Berelowitz M, Dhillon AP, et al. Retraction of an interpretation. *Lancet* 2004; Vol. 363, issue 9411:750.

#### Offit 2003

Offit PA, Coffin SE. Communicating science to the public: MMR vaccine and autism. *Vaccine* 2003;**22**(1):1-6.

#### Orenstein 1985

Orenstein WA, Bernier RH, Dondero TJ, Hinman AR, Marks JS, Bart KJ, et al. Field evaluation of vaccine efficacy. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 1985;**63**(6):1055-68.

#### Peltola 2000

Peltola H, Davidkin I, Paunio M, Valle M, Leinikki P, Heinonen OP. Mumps and rubella eliminated from Finland. *JAMA* 2000;**284**(20):2643-7.

#### Plotkin 1965

Plotkin SA, Cornfeld D, Ingalls TH. Studies of immunization with living rubella virus. Trials in children with a strain cultured from an aborted fetus. *American Journal of Diseases in Children* 1965;**110**(4):381-9.

#### Plotkin 1973

Plotkin SA, Farquhar JD, Ogra PL. Immunologic properties of RA27-3 rubella virus vaccine. A comparison with strains presently licensed in the United States. *JAMA* 1973;**225**(6):585-90.

#### Plotkin 1999a

Plotkin SA. Rubella vaccine. In: Plotkin SA, Orenstein WA editor(s). *Vaccines*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1999:409-39.

#### Plotkin 1999b

Plotkin SA, Wharton M. Mumps vaccine. In: Plotkin SA, Orenstein WA editor(s). *Vaccines*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1999:267-92.

#### Redd 1999

Redd SC, Markowitz LE, Katz SL. Measles vaccine. In: Plotkin SA, Orenstein WA editor(s). *Vaccines*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1999:222-66.

#### Smith 2008

Smith MJ, Ellenberg SS, Bell LM, Rubin DM. Media coverage of the measles-mumps-rubella vaccine and autism controversy and its relationship to MMR immunization rates in the United States. *Pediatrics* 2008;**121**(4):e836-43.

#### Steffenburg 1989

Steffenburg S, Gillberg C, Hellgren L, Andersson L, Gillberg IC, Jakobsson G, et al. A twin study of autism in Denmark, Finland,

Iceland, Norway and Sweden. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines* 1989;**30**(3):405-16.

#### Strebel 2004

Strebel PM, Henao-Restrepo AM, Hoekstra E, Olive JM, Papania MJ, Cochi SL. Global measles elimination efforts: the significance of measles elimination in the United States. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2004;**189**(Suppl 1):251-7.

#### The Editors of The Lancet 2010

The Editors of The Lancet. Retraction - Ileal-lymphoid-nodular hyperplasia, non-specific colitis, and pervasive developmental disorder in children. *Lancet* 2010; Vol. 375, issue 9713:445.

#### Vandermeulen 2004

Vandermeulen C, Roelants M, Vermoere M, Roseeuw K, Goubau P, Hoppenbrouwers K. Outbreak of mumps in a vaccinated child population: a question of vaccine failure?. *Vaccine* 2004;**22**(21-22):2713-6.

#### Watson 1998

Watson JC, Redd SC, Rhodes PH, Hadler SC. The interruption of transmission of indigenous measles in the United States during 1993. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 1998;**17**(5):363-6; discussion 366-7.

#### Wells 2000

Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of non randomised studies in meta-analyses. Available from URL: <http://www.lri.ca/programs/ceu/oxford.htm> 2000.

#### WHO 1999

World Health Organization. Measles. Progress towards global control and regional elimination, 1998-1999. *Weekly Epidemiology Records* 1999;**74**(50):429-34.

#### WHO 2009

World Health Organization. Measles vaccines: WHO position paper. *Weekly Epidemiological Record* 2009;**84**(35):349-60.

#### WHO 2011

World Health Organization. Immunization schedules by disease covered by antigens within age range, selection centre: Last update: 22 July 2011 (data as of 20-July-2011) Next overall update: August 2011. [http://apps.who.int/immunization\\_monitoring/en/globalsummary/diseaseselect.cfm](http://apps.who.int/immunization_monitoring/en/globalsummary/diseaseselect.cfm) 2011 (accessed 13 September 2011).

### References to other published versions of this review

#### Demicheli 2005

Demicheli V, Jefferson T, Rivetti A, Price D. Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2005, Issue 4. [DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD004407.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004407.pub2)]



## CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

### Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

#### Ahlgren 2009a

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Cohort study                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Participants  | Participants residents in the great Gothenburg area (Sweden) born between 1959 and 1990                                                                                                                            |
| Interventions | Different vaccination programmes carried out from 1971 with different vaccines (single-component measles, mumps and rubella vaccine so as with MMR vaccine) having as target population children of different ages |
| Outcomes      | Incidence of multiple sclerosis (MS, 4 Poser's criteria) and Clinically Isolated Syndrome (CIS) with onset between 10 and 39 years of age was assessed in birth cohorts immunised within 4 vaccination programmes  |

Notes

#### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

#### Ahlgren 2009b

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Participants  | Cases: participants with multiple sclerosis (MS) or clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) born between 1959 and 1986 and disease onset at age $\geq 10$ years, resident in Gothenburg area (Sweden)<br><br>Cases: participants from the same area as the cases (randomly selected from General Population Register) born in the same year as cases |
| Interventions | MMR vaccination (vaccination with single-component vaccines has been also considered)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Outcomes      | Risk of MS associated with MMR exposure                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Notes         | Same population as for <a href="#">Ahlgren 2009a</a>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |

#### Risk of bias

| Bias                                        | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias) | High risk          | Not applicable        |

### Ahlgren 2009b (Continued)

|                                                                |           |                |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk | Not applicable |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk | Not applicable |

### Beck 1989

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Prospective cohort                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Participants  | 196 children aged 12 to 14 months                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Interventions | MMR containing 4.1 TCID <sub>50</sub> of mumps strain L -Zagreb (information about measles and rubella employed strains not reported, n = 103)<br>versus<br>Placebo (composition unknown, n = 93)<br>No information about doses given and route of immunisation |
| Outcomes      | - Local reactions (redness, swelling, tenderness, 30 days follow-up)<br>- Temperature > 37.5 °C<br>- Catarrhal symptoms<br>- Parotid swelling                                                                                                                   |
| Notes         | The study is reported with minimal details (no population description, no details given on how the groups are selected, how they are assigned, the total population, how measurements are made)                                                                 |

#### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

### Benjamin 1992

|               |                                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective cohort comparing incidence of joint and limb symptoms in MMR vaccinated children versus non-vaccinated                                     |
| Participants  | 5017 children between 1 and 5 years                                                                                                                      |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine (strains and doses not specified, 1588 participants included in analysis)<br>versus<br>No treatment (1242 participants included in analysis) |
| Outcomes      | - Joint complaints, all episodes (arthralgia, possible/probable arthritis)                                                                               |

### Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)

## Benjamin 1992 (Continued)

- Joint complaints 1st ever episodes (arthralgia, arthritis possible or probable, joint total first ever, limb/joint complaint episodes, hospital admission, GP consultation, sore eyes, convulsion, coryza, parotitis, temperature, rash)  
Within 6 weeks after immunisation. Data based on a 6-week parental recall questionnaire and clinician home visit

Notes Low response rate in non-immunised group

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Bertuola 2010

Methods Case-control study

Participants Cases (n = 387): children aged between 1 month and 18 years of age with acute immune thrombocytopenia (AIT, defined as platelets count < 100,000/l at admission) recorded between November 1999 and September 2007  
  
Controls (n = 1924): children of the same age, hospitalised during the same period as cases with acute neurological disorders and endoscopically confirmed gastroduodenal lesions were considered as controls

Interventions MMR vaccine exposure (strain composition not reported)

Outcomes Risk of AIT during the 6 weeks following MMR immunisation

Notes

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Black 1997

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Participants  | Children 12 to 23 months old from the Vaccine Safety Datalink project. Cases: children with confirmed aseptic meningitis (hospital record, discharge diagnosis and cerebrospinal fluid white blood cell count, n = 59)<br>Controls: children matching cases by age, sex, HMO membership status (n = 188) |
| Interventions | Vaccination with MMR (Jeryl Lynn strain only), data from medical records                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Outcomes      | Risk of AM within 14 days, 30 days, 8 to 14 days of vaccination                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

### *Risk of bias*

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Black 2003

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective case-control                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Participants  | Cases: children enrolled in the General Practice Research Database (GPRD), aged less than 6 years with idiopathic thrombocytopaenic purpura (ITP) (n = 23)<br>Cases: children matched with controls by age at index date, practice and sex |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine (from GPRD records)                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Outcomes      | Exposure to MMR within 6 weeks or 7 to 26 weeks                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Notes         | Controls are not described very well (for example, we do not know from which population they are drawn)                                                                                                                                    |

### *Risk of bias*

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)



## Bloom 1975

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | RCT, double-blind                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Participants  | 282 children                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Interventions | Three lots of MMR vaccine (lot 1, 2, 3 prepared from Schwarz live attenuated measles virus, Jeryl Lynn live attenuated measles virus, and Cenedehill live attenuated measles virus)<br>versus<br>Placebo<br>Vaccines contained at least 1000 TCID <sub>50</sub> for measles and rubella and 5000 for mumps |
| Outcomes      | Observations for intercurrent illness and vaccine reactions made approximately 3 times/child between 7 to 21 days post<br>- Temperature elevation above normal 1.5 °F<br>- Rash<br>- Lymphadenopathy<br>- Coryza<br>- Rhinitis<br>- Cough<br>- Other<br>- Local reaction<br>- Limb and joint symptoms      |
| Notes         | The study does not say if all children were observed at least once                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |

### *Risk of bias*

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement                                    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | Unclear risk       | Unclear                                                  |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | Unclear risk       | Unknown but decoding and tabulation done by computer     |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | Unclear risk       | Not mentioned                                            |
| Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)<br>All outcomes       | High risk          | 16% of possible total observations missing               |
| Selective reporting (reporting bias)                           | High risk          | No explanation for excluding symptom reports are missing |

## Bremner 2005

|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods      | Nested case-control studies carried out in United Kingdom (England, Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland) using 2 large databases of primary care consultations                                                                            |
| Participants | Case Certain (Definition I): a child with hay fever diagnosis before 24 months of age, and a second diagnosis of hay fever or a relevant therapy in a subsequent years and with a 3rd diagnosis or a relevant therapy in a further year |

## Bremner 2005 (Continued)

Case Certain (Definition II): a child without first diagnosis before 24 months of age, but with a second diagnosis of hay fever or a relevant therapy in subsequent year

Case Less certain (Definition I): a child as a case certain (Definition I) without 3rd diagnosis of hay fever or a relevant therapy in a further year

Case Less certain (Definition II): a child with at least a hay fever diagnosis, even if there are not a second diagnosis or a relevant therapy in a subsequent year

For GPRD Database 2115 Cases Certain and 2271 Cases Less Certain were selected. After exclusion of cases without a suitable control left (2,025 Cases certain and 2171 Cases Less Certain)

For DIN Database 1480 Cases Certain and 1477 Cases Less Certain were selected. After exclusion of cases without a suitable control left 1459 Cases certain and 1443 Cases Less Certain.

Only codex synonymous with "allergic rhinitis" with seasonal variation in recording were permitted

Description of controls: the controls were the children that had not allergic rhinitis or hay fever diagnosis. A suitable control matched a case (1:1) with a practice ID, age, sex and index date (date of a first diagnosis in a 'Less certain' case, or date of confirmatory diagnosis or therapy if a certain case)

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Interventions | MMR II (first entries). The time categories for MMR immunisation were: 1st to 13th month, 14th, 15th, 16th, 17th, 18th-24th, 25th month or later<br><br>The study considers also association with DTP and BCG vaccines |
| Outcomes      | Risk of hay fever at different immunisation ages, using administration at 14 months of age as reference value                                                                                                          |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Bremner 2007

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Participants  | Case of hay fever were children with diagnostic codes and/or treatment for hay fever (see <a href="#">Bremner 2005</a> ), after 2 years of age. Control was child that matched for general practice, sex, birth month and follow-up of control "to at least date of diagnosis case" |
| Interventions | MMR II                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Outcomes      | Incidence of hay fever following MMR exposure was compared inside versus outside the grass pollen season                                                                                                                                                                            |

## Bremner 2007 (Continued)

Notes

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Castilla 2009a

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Participants  | Cases (n = 241): children aged 1 to 10 years with confirmed (laboratory or epidemiologically) mumps with symptoms of disease between August 2006 and June 2008<br><br>Controls (n = 1205): children matched for sex, municipality, district of residence and paediatrician |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine prepared with Jeryl Lynn mumps strain                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Outcomes      | Exposure to MMR vaccine at least 30 days before mumps onset                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Ceyhan 2001

|               |                                                                                                                          |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | CCT                                                                                                                      |
| Participants  | 1000 infants aged 38 to 40 months from 5 maternity and child health centres in Ankara, Turkey                            |
| Interventions | Measles vaccine (Rouvax, Schwarz measles strain, 1000 TCID50) administered at 9 months plus MMR administered at month 15 |

## Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)

Copyright © 2016 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley &amp; Sons, Ltd.

**Ceyhan 2001** (Continued)

versus

MMR (Trimovax, Schwarz measles strain, 1000 TCID50; AM 9 mumps strain, 5000 TCID50; Wistar RA/27/3 rubella strain, 1000 TCID 50) administered at months 12 only

|          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Outcomes | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Fever 39.4 °C</li> <li>- Runny nose</li> <li>- Cough</li> <li>- Rash</li> <li>- Diarrhoea</li> <li>- Redness</li> <li>- Swelling</li> </ul> <p>Even if visits by midwife 7, 14, 28 days after vaccination to collect adverse reactions records from parents and every 3 months for 60 months phone call/visit for standard questionnaire were carried out, the time of observation for adverse events is not specified</p> |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Notes

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement                                                                                                          |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Semi-randomised                                                                                                                |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | Unclear risk       | Not used                                                                                                                       |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not blinded                                                                                                                    |
| Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)<br>All outcomes       | Unclear risk       | 10% (50/500) excluded from arm 2 because immunised with different vaccine batch                                                |
| Selective reporting (reporting bias)                           | Unclear risk       | Adverse reactions does not specify the time of observations (7, 14 days) if cumulative, number of events or number of children |

**Chamot 1998**

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective cohort study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Participants  | Family contacts (n = 265) aged up to 16 years of primary confirmed (n = 223) or probable (n = 60) mumps cases notified at Health Service Cantonal of Geneva from 01 February 1994 to 30 April 1996                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Interventions | <p>Immunisation with MMR containing different mumps strains:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MMR-II®, Merck Sharp &amp; Dohme used in Switzerland since 1971 prepared with Jeryl Lynn B mumps strain</li> <li>- Pluserix®, SmithKline Beecham or Trimovax®, Mérieux, used in Switzerland since 1983 prepared with Urabe Am 9 mumps strain</li> <li>- Triviraten®, Berna used in Switzerland since 1986 and prepared with Rubini mumps strain</li> </ul> <p>Unvaccinated contact acted as control group. The vaccination status was obtained from vaccination books</p> |
| Outcomes      | Clinical mumps cases among contacts:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |



### Chamot 1998 (Continued)

Secondary cases were those diagnosed from 10 to 30 days maximum after a index case

Tertiary cases were those diagnosed from 10 to 30 days maximum after a secondary case

|       |                                                                                                                                     |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Notes | By participants recruiting paediatricians included the serious cases and excluded household with difficult access to Health Service |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

#### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

### da Cunha 2002

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Before/after study to see if there is increased risk of acute aseptic meningitis and mumps in children aged 1 to 11 years in 2 regions of Brazil, Mato Grosso do Sul and Mato Grosso (MS and MT) |
| Participants  | About 845,000 children aged between 1 and 11 years                                                                                                                                               |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine containing Leningrad-Zagreb mumps strain (Serum Institute of India Ltd)                                                                                                              |
| Outcomes      | Aseptic meningitis (clinical diagnosis or notification form). 31 (in MT) or 37 (in MS) weeks before and 10 weeks after vaccination campaign                                                      |

Notes

#### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

### Davis 2001

|              |                                                                              |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods      | Case-control study                                                           |
| Participants | Vaccine Safety Datalink Project (VSDP), children enrolled from the 6th month |

### Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)

Copyright © 2016 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

### Davis 2001 (Continued)

Cases: cases of definite IDB (VSDP, n = 142)  
Controls: children matched for sex, HMO and birth year (n = 432)

|               |                                                                                    |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Interventions | Exposure to MMR or other measles containing vaccines (MCV)                         |
| Outcomes      | Exposure to MMR or MCV considering any time, within 2 to 4 months, within 6 months |
| Notes         | There are no details of vaccine type - manufacturer, strains, dosage etc           |

#### **Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

### DeStefano 2002

|               |                                                                 |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective cohort (from the Vaccine Safety Datalink Project) |
| Participants  | 167,240 children between 18 months and 6 years                  |
| Interventions | Exposure to MMR vaccine (and other vaccines)                    |
| Outcomes      | - Asthma (ICD -9 code 493)                                      |
| Notes         |                                                                 |

#### **Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

### DeStefano 2004

|         |                            |
|---------|----------------------------|
| Methods | Retrospective case-control |
|---------|----------------------------|

## DeStefano 2004 (Continued)

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Participants  | Cases: children with autism through the Metropolitan Atlanta Developmental Disabilities Surveillance Program (MADDSP, n = 624)<br>Controls: children matched with cases for age, gender and school attendance (n = 1824) |
| Interventions | Exposure to MMR vaccine (no better defined)                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Outcomes      | MMR exposure in cases and controls stratified for age groups                                                                                                                                                             |
| Notes         | Probable bias in the enrolment in MADDSP and cases may not be representative of the rest of the autistic population of the city                                                                                          |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Dourado 2000

|               |                                                                                                                                                                        |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Before/after. Retrospective study of aseptic meningitis. Pre-mass vaccination campaign versus post cases are compared to determine the incidence of aseptic meningitis |
| Participants  | 452,344 children aged 1 to 11 years (from census)                                                                                                                      |
| Interventions | Immunisation with MMR vaccine Pluserix (Smith Klein Beecham, containing mumps strain Urabe)                                                                            |
| Outcomes      | Aseptic meningitis periods of 23 weeks pre-vaccination and 10 weeks post were compared                                                                                 |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                        |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Dunlop 1989

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Prospective cohort                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Participants  | 335 healthy children aged about 15 months                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine Trimovax (Mérieux, containing measles strain Schwarz 1000 TCID50, rubella RA 27/3 1000 TCID50, mumps Urabe Am/9 5000 TCID50)<br>versus<br>Measles vaccine Rouvax (Mérieux, containing measles strain Schwarz, 1000 TCID50)<br>Single dose IM or sc administered |
| Outcomes      | - Rash<br>- Temperature<br>- Cough<br>- Pallor<br>- Diarrhoea<br>- Rash nappy<br>- Injection site bruise<br>- Earache<br>- Parotitis<br>- Lymphadenopathy<br>- Hospitalisation<br>Parental daily diary for 3 weeks and weekly for 3 more weeks                              |

Notes

### **Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Edees 1991

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | RCT, single-blind                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Participants  | 420 healthy children aged between 12 and 18 months                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine Trimovax (Schwarz measles strain, 1000 TCID50 ; Urabe AM/9 mumps strain, 5000 TCID50 ; RA/27/3 rubella strain, 1000 TCID 50)<br>versus<br>Measles vaccine Rouvax (Schwarz 100 TCID50)<br>Administered both in upper arm or leg                                                               |
| Outcomes      | - Local symptoms: erythema, induration, pain<br>- General - specific symptoms: rash, parotitis, conjunctivitis, testicular swelling, arthralgia, arthritis, convulsions<br>- General non-specific symptoms: temperature, adenopathy, nasopharyngeal disorders, gastrointestinal disorders, restlessness. |



**Edees 1991** (Continued)

Diary completed by parents daily for 3 weeks with a further 3 weekly observations

Notes

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | Unclear risk       | No description        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | Unclear risk       | Not used              |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Single-blind          |
| Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)<br>All outcomes       | Low risk           |                       |

**Fombonne 2001**

|               |                                                                                                                                       |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective cohort                                                                                                                  |
| Participants  | 283 children from 3 cohorts of children with pervasive development disorders (PDD)                                                    |
| Interventions | Testing several causal hypothesis between exposure to MMR and developing of PDD                                                       |
| Outcomes      | All cases were accurately assessed by a multidisciplinary team and in most cases data were summarised and extracted on standard forms |
| Notes         | The number and possible impact of biases in this study is so high that interpretation of the results is impossible                    |

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

**Fombonne 2006**

|         |                   |
|---------|-------------------|
| Methods | Time-series study |
|---------|-------------------|

**Fombonne 2006** (Continued)

|               |                                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Participants  | Birth cohorts 1988 to 1998 attending a school board in the south and west parts of Montreal area (N = 27,749 on October 1st, 2003), age 5 to 16 |
| Interventions | MMR vaccination                                                                                                                                 |
| Outcomes      | Prevalence trend of Pervasive Development Disorders (PDD) was analysed in relation to MMR vaccination status                                    |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                 |

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

**France 2008**

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Study based on Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) investigating association of immune thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP) and MMR within 42 days after immunisation and assessing association risk by means of both self controlled case series and risk intervals (person-time cohort) methods |
| Participants  | Children aged 12 to 23 months with ITP identified from VSD database for the years 1991 to 2000                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Interventions | Exposure to MMR vaccine (composition not provided in the study report)                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Outcomes      | ITP diagnoses within 42 days from immunisation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Freeman 1993

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Before/after. Children due to receive MMR (over a 1-year period) were assigned to receive the vaccine (MMR II) at either 13 or 15 months, depending on the random assignment of their family physician                                                                            |
| Participants  | Children receiving MMR                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Interventions | MMR - MMRII (Merck Sharp & Dohme) administered at either 13 or 15 months                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Outcomes      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Cough</li> <li>- Temperature</li> <li>- Rash</li> <li>- Eyes runny</li> <li>- Nose runny</li> <li>- Lymphadenopathy</li> <li>- Hospital admission</li> </ul> Assessed by daily diaries (from 4 weeks before to 4 weeks post vaccination) |
| Notes         | Only ~67% of the participants (253 out of 376) completed the study. It is not explained how delays in vaccination, for some participants, effect the 8-week diary                                                                                                                 |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Giovanetti 2002

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Participants  | <p>Children and adolescent aged 14 months to 15 years from an Italian Local Health Agency with 12,880 residents of this age group</p> <p>Cases (n = 139): clinical mumps cases identified by national infectious diseases surveillance system within study area</p> <p>Controls (n = 139): randomly selected from immunisation registry, matched for birth year and address</p> |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine exposure at least 30 days before disease onset (registry and phone interviews)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Outcomes      | Association between MMR vaccine exposure and clinical measles within 30 days                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

### Risk of bias

| Bias | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|------|--------------------|-----------------------|
|------|--------------------|-----------------------|

**Giovanetti 2002** (Continued)

|                                                                |           |                |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk | Not applicable |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk | Not applicable |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk | Not applicable |

**Goncalves 1998**

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Participants  | <p>Children and adolescents (15 months to 16 years) from Oporto city (Portugal)</p> <p><i>Before 1 November 1992 (immunisation with Urabe mumps strain):</i></p> <p>Cases (n = 73): clinical mumps cases reported by GPs or hospital doctors during the 1995 to 1996 mumps outbreak</p> <p>Controls (n = 169): 2 consecutive vaccination records of the same sex, month and birth year as the case, were selected</p> <p><i>After 1 November 1992 (immunisation with Rubini mumps strain):</i></p> <p>Cases (n = 133): clinical mumps cases reported by GPs or hospital doctors during the 1995 to 1996 mumps outbreak</p> <p>Controls (n = 236): 2 consecutive vaccination records of the same sex, month and birth year as the case, were selected</p> |
| Interventions | MMR vaccination. As in vaccination records strain was not reported, authors assume that until 1 November 1992 Urabe strain has been administered, whereas Rubini strain thereafter                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Outcomes      | Association between MMR vaccine exposure and clinical measles                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |



## Harling 2005

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study carried out on children from a religious community in North East London, as a measles outbreak occurred (June 1998 to May 1999). The community was located in a quite small area, with own schools and amenities and was served by 2 GPs. MMR vaccination coverage in the community ranged between 67% and 86%                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Participants  | <p>Cases (n = 161): clinical or laboratory mumps diagnoses with onset date between 18 June 1998 to 2 May 1999 observed in children aged from 1 to 18 years who belonged to the community, identified through mumps notification from the 2 GPs to the local Consultant Communicable Disease Control (CCDC), searching of the electronic practice list for diagnoses made using the terms "mumps" and successive checking, or verbal reports by community members. For notified cases, laboratory testing (oral fluid for IgM antibody and mumps RNA was made available (at the Enteric, Respiratory and Neurological Virus Laboratory, ERNVL). Altogether 161 mumps cases with onset during the outbreak were observed (142 notified by GPs, 12 through search in the electronic practice list, and 7 reported by parents). One case had no date of onset specified, but illness occurred in the outbreak period. Out of the 142 notified cases, 43 had also laboratory-confirm of infection by IgM radioimmuno assay, PCR detection of mumps RNA or both</p> <p>Controls (n = 192): controls were selected from children in the community registered with the 2 practices. They were chooses by random samples from electronic practices lists in order to match age and sex profile of the cases. Community membership was ascertained as by cases</p> |
| Interventions | <p>Vaccination status of cases and controls (together with clinical details of cases) was obtained from practice records and cross-checked with child health immunisation database of the local health authority. Laboratory records were obtained from ERNVL</p> <p>As vaccination status was available for 156 cases and 175 controls data analysis was carried out on this population. 79 cases and 134 controls received at least 1 dose of MMR vaccine at least 1 month before disease onset</p> <p>Even if authors did not report any descriptions of the MMR vaccine used for immunisation, it is assumed that mumps component was Jeryl Lynn strain, as it is in use in the UK at study time</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Outcomes      | <p>Association between measles (clinical defined) and receiving of any doses, 1 or 2 doses of MMR vaccine at least 1 month before disease onset</p> <p>Association between laboratory-confirmed measles cases and receiving of any doses of MMR vaccine at least 1 month before disease onset</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Notes         | <p>Composition and description of the administered vaccine was not provided, although it is stated that in UK at study time, MMR vaccine was prepared by using Jeryl Lynn strain</p> <p>Authors notes that the presence of controls who have had in the past mumps infection (i.e. could have developed immunity without vaccination) and the longer exposition to the outbreak for the cases, could have lead to underestimation of vaccine effectiveness. Other factors other than sex, age, and practices, could moreover have influenced the risk of infection and vaccination status of both cases and controls (e.g. if they were drawn from different residential areas or from groups with different levels of herd immunity and different behaviours)</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Harling 2005 (Continued)

All outcomes

### Honda 2005

|               |                                                                                                                          |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Time-series study                                                                                                        |
| Participants  | Birth cohorts from 1988 to 1996 (Yokohama city, Central Japan) up to 7 years of age (N = 31,426)                         |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine exposure                                                                                                     |
| Outcomes      | Autistic Spectrum Disorders (ASD) incidence before and after termination of MMR vaccination programme in children (1993) |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                          |

#### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

### Hviid 2004

|               |                                                                                  |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Person-time cohort study                                                         |
| Participants  | Danish birth cohorts 1990 to 2000                                                |
| Interventions | Vaccination with MMR and other vaccines (data from the National Board of Health) |
| Outcomes      | Type 1 diabetes                                                                  |
| Notes         |                                                                                  |

#### Risk of bias

| Bias                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)

Copyright © 2016 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley &amp; Sons, Ltd.

## Hviid 2004 (Continued)

### All outcomes

## Hviid 2008

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | By using data from the Civil Registration System and considering all children born in Denmark between January 1st, 1991 and December 31st, 2003, the present study investigates the association between MMR immunisation and hospitalisation with asthma diagnosis and use of anti-asthma medication with a person-time cohort design                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Participants  | <p>For the analysis of association between MMR vaccination and asthma hospitalisation all born in Denmark between 1 January 1991 and 31 December 2003, aged between 1 and 5 years, has been considered within the time period from 1 January 1992 and 31 December 2004 (N = 871,234). Children contributed to person-time follow-up from 1 year of age until age of 5, or until 31 December 2004, death or disappearance/emigration. Follow-up resulted in 2,926,406 person-years. In consequence of several reasons, 15,914 children terminated their follow-up prematurely (5455 because of death, 10,159 emigrated and 300 disappeared)</p> <p>Follow-up length for the analysis of use of anti-asthma medication reached from 1 January 1996 to 31 December 2004 as data about medical prescription were available only from 1996. A total of 600,938 children contributed to follow-up, corresponding to 1,858,199 person-years. Follow-up was prematurely terminated for 12,552 children (for 4681 because of death, 7710 because of emigration, whereas 161 disappeared).</p> |
| Interventions | Dates of MMR vaccination were obtained from the National Board of Health, NBH (in Denmark routine childhood vaccination could be administered by GPs only, who have to report them to the NBH). Used preparation contains strain Moraten measles strain, Jeryl Lynn mumps strain and Wistar RA 27/3 rubella strain. Authors report that 85% of the 871,234 subjects in the cohort for asthma hospitalisation and 84% of those considered for anti-asthma medication (n = 600,938) received MMR before follow-up end. MMR vaccination status was considered as time-varying variable and individuals could contribute to person-time as both unvaccinated and vaccinated subjects                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Outcomes      | <p>Asthma hospitalisation (from the Danish National Hospital Register)</p> <p>Anti-asthma medication (from the Danish Prescription Drug Database)</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Notes         | There is no information about the time considered between vaccination and disease onset or use of medication (i.e. authors do not provide a definition of MMR vaccinated and not vaccinated status)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Jonville-Bera 1996

|               |                                                                                                                                                                    |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Ecological study to assess the association between MMR and the onset of thrombocytopenic purpura (TP)                                                              |
| Participants  | Data from the French passive survey between 1984 and June 30th 1992. The 60 cases with outcome (TP) were mainly toddlers                                           |
| Interventions | Immunisation with MMR (n = 4,396,645), measles (n = 860,938), mumps (n = 172,535), rubella DTP and single rubella (n = 2,295,307), measles/rubella (n = 1,480,058) |
| Outcomes      | Cases of thrombocytopenic purpura diagnosed at one of the 30 survey centres after. All case within 45 days from vaccination. Over 8-year period of immunisation    |
| Notes         | The denominator is determined by the number of doses distributed                                                                                                   |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Lerman 1981

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | RCT, double-blind                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Participants  | 502 healthy children aged between 15 months and 5 years                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine (Merck Sharp & Dohme) with HPV - 77: DE - 5 rubella strain<br>versus<br>MMR vaccine (MMRII) with Wistar RA 27/3 rubella strain<br>versus<br>Measles vaccine (Merck Sharp & Dohme)<br>VS<br>Mumps vaccine (Merck Sharp & Dohme)<br>versus<br>Rubella vaccine HPV 77: CE - 5<br>versus<br>Rubella vaccine Wistar RA 27/3<br>versus<br>Placebo (vaccine diluent)<br>One dose subcutaneously |
| Outcomes      | - Local reactions (pain, redness or swelling at the injection site within 4 days after immunisation)<br>- Temperature > 38 °C at 6 weeks<br>- Respiratory symptoms (6 weeks)<br>- Rash (6 weeks)<br>- Lymphadenopathy (6 weeks)<br>- Sore eyes (6 weeks)                                                                                                                                             |

**Lerman 1981** (Continued)

- Joint symptoms (6 weeks)

Notes

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | Low risk           | Adequate              |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | Low risk           | Adequate              |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | Low risk           | Double-blind          |

**Lopez Hernandez 2000**

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |  |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Methods       | Retrospective cohort study assessing effectiveness of MMR vaccination against clinical mumps on preschool and school children during an outbreak (March-November 1997)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |  |
| Participants  | Male children aged between 3 and 15 years attending one scholastic institute in the district of Cartuja y Almanj  yar (n = 775), that had the highest mumps attack rate in the district                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |  |
| Interventions | MMR immunisation (school, vaccination or register by the local Health Centre). Composition and strains not reported                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |  |
| Outcomes      | Parotitis. Clinical defined by surveillance (case definition: unilateral or bilateral swelling of parotids or salivary glands, sensible to tasting, lasting more than 2 days, that appears without apparent cause or without contact with affected subjects)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |  |
| Notes         | It was not possible to assess mumps strain types administered to study population (in Spain Urabe Am 9 strain was used till 1993, it was replaced by Jeryl Lynn and Rubini after that year. Even if cases are those identified by surveillance, there is no description in the report of how it has been performed (e.g. active or passive surveillance ?). In any case, in the paragraph of case definition, authors declare that included cases are only those identified by surveillance and that real cases are unknown (underestimated) |  |

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |



## Ma 2005

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Participants  | Cases (n = 323): newly diagnosed leukaemia in children aged between 0 and 14 years and ascertained from major paediatric clinical centres within 72 after diagnosis<br><br>Controls (n = 409): for each case 1/2 controls matched for date of birth, gender, Hispanic status (either parent Hispanic), maternal race (white, African American, or other) and maternal county of residence |
| Interventions | MMR immunisation (no vaccine description) before index date                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Outcomes      | Association between MMR exposure and onset of leukaemia or acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Mackenzie 2006

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study carried out in a private school in Lothian (Scotland) to evaluate effectiveness of 1 or 2 doses of MMR vaccine                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Participants  | Cases (n = 20): virologically confirmed mumps cases<br><br>Controls (n = 40): participants matched to cases for age, sex, residential status and country source (UK or other)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Interventions | MMR immunisation with 1 or 2 vaccine doses (no description of composition)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Outcomes      | Protective effectiveness of MMR immunisation against virological confirmed mumps                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Notes         | The size sample of cases employed was too small for reaching statistical significance, the poor accuracy in reporting vaccination status by parents of some children, the fact that controls had not virological test, the absolute lack of information about vaccine composition (e.g. strain employed), the narration done by authors to have matched cases and controls for age, sex, residential status, country source without description of these variables in 2 groups make this study at high risk of bias |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                        | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias) | High risk          | Not applicable        |

**Mackenzie 2006** (Continued)

|                                                                |           |                |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk | Not applicable |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk | Not applicable |

**Madsen 2002**

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective cohort                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Participants  | All Danish children born between January 1991 and December 1998: 537,303                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine (containing measles strain Moraten, mumps Jeryl Lynn, rubella Wistar RA 27/3) versus<br>Pre-vaccination or non-vaccinated person-years                                                                                                                |
| Outcomes      | - Autism (ICD-10 code F84.0, DSM-IV code 299.00)<br>- Autistic-spectrum disorder (ICD-10 codes F84.1 - F84.9, DSM-IV codes 299.10 - 299.80)                                                                                                                       |
| Notes         | The follow-up of diagnostic records ends one year (31 Dec 1999) after the last day of admission to the cohort. Because of the length of time from birth to diagnosis, it becomes increasingly unlikely that those born later in the cohort could have a diagnosis |

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

**Makela 2002**

|               |                                                                                                                                                                        |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Person-time cohort study                                                                                                                                               |
| Participants  | 561,089 children aged between 1 and 7 years at the time of vaccination                                                                                                 |
| Interventions | Immunisation with MMR 2 vaccine (Merck, containing measles strain Enders Edmonston, mumps Jeryl Lynn and rubella Wistar RA 27) during a national immunisation campaign |
| Outcomes      | - Encephalitis<br>- Aseptic meningitis<br>- Autism                                                                                                                     |
| Notes         | Incidence of outcomes during the first 3 months after immunisation was compared with that in the following period (from 3 to 24 months after immunisation)             |

**Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)**

**Makela 2002** (Continued)

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

**Makino 1990**

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Prospective cohort                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Participants  | 1638 healthy children                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine MPR (Kitasato Institute, Japan containing measles AIK-C 5000 TCID50 , mumps Hoshino 15000 TCID50 and rubella Takahashi 32000 TCID50)<br>versus<br>Measles vaccine (Kitasato Institute, containing measles AIK-C 25000 TCID50)<br>versus<br>Mumps vaccine (Kitasato Institute, containing mumps Hoshino 10000 TCID50) |
| Outcomes      | - Temperature, axillary (up to 37.5 °C or up to 39.0 °C)<br>- Rash (mild, moderate or severe)<br>- Lymphadenopathy<br>- Parotitis<br>- Cough<br>- Vomiting<br>- Diarrhoea<br>Within 28 days after vaccination                                                                                                                    |
| Notes         | Inadequate description of the cohorts                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Marin 2006

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective cohort study carried out in Republic of the Marshall Islands (South Pacific) after a measles outbreak in 2003 to evaluate MMR vaccine effectiveness in contacts aged 6 months to 14 years with household secondary attack rate (SAR) method                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Participants  | 72 households (a total of 857 participants) were selected by convenience sampling of measles cases reported in Majuro from 13 July to 7 November 2003. Contacts of these 72 primary cases aged between 6 months and 14 years with available MMR vaccination status were considered for effectiveness analysis (n = 219)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine (composition not reported) in 1, 2, 3 or more doses administered<br><br>A contact was considered vaccinated if documented record of measles vaccine administration > 4 days before the rash onset of primary case was available. An unvaccinated contact was a person without record of measles vaccination according to criteria in written or electronic records in a centralised electronic database. A person with unknown vaccination status had not immunisation card and his name was not in immunisation record (excluded from analysis)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Outcomes      | Measles case defined as a subject who:<br><br>1) met the WHO clinical definition for measles (fever, generalised maculopapular rash and cough, coryza or conjunctivitis)<br><br>or<br><br>2) had a positive test for measles IgM antibody by any serologic assay with the absence of vaccination 6 to 45 days before testing<br><br>Primary case: first case of measles in household<br><br>Secondary case: a contact (person that resided in household for at least 1 day through the infectious period of primary case - from 4 days before rash to 4 days after) with measles rash onset 7 to 18 days after primary case's rash onset<br><br>Non-case: a contact with no clinically apparent disease within 18 days after primary case's rash onset<br><br>Data were collected by a "standardized questionnaire" and interviews were conducted at home with household member |

Notes

### **Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Marolla 1998

|         |                            |
|---------|----------------------------|
| Methods | Retrospective cohort study |
|---------|----------------------------|

## Marolla 1998 (Continued)

|                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Participants        | Participants were children born between 1 January 1989 and 31 December 1994, whose parents requested an ambulatory visits by their family paediatricians between 15 May and 30 June 1996. 3050 were enrolled, corresponding to about 40% of the children population in the same age range in care by the 20 paediatricians who participated in the study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Interventions       | <p>During the time between 15 May and 30 June 1996 (period in which the visits has been performed) the 20 family paediatricians together with children's parents and by considering the content of medical records filled in a schedule, in which following information were collected: personal data, study titre of both parents, type of trivalent MMR vaccine, date of immunisation, practitioner who administered vaccine, onset of measles or mumps disease, eventual hospital admission, diagnostic criteria used and the practitioner who diagnosed the disease. For the cases when vaccination status could not be immediately assessed, parents were required to communicate as soon as possible the data contained in vaccination records</p> <p>During study time paediatricians received a questionnaire on vaccination modality and on how to store and administer it correctly</p> <p>Out of the 3050 initially enrolled children, 2099 were vaccinated with 1 of 3 MMR commercial preparations whereas 646 were not vaccinated. A total of 2745 were included in the effectiveness analysis</p> <p>The remaining 305 participants were excluded because of receiving monovalent vaccine (167), because schedule was compiled with insufficient detail (124), received vaccine after disease onset (6), or contracted measles or mumps before the 15th month of age</p> <p>Out of the 2099 vaccinated, 1023 received Pluserix®SKB, 747 Morupar® Biocine, and 329 Triviraten® Berna</p> |
| Outcomes            | <p>Diseases under investigation has been defined as following:</p> <p>Measles: exanthema lasting for at least 3 days, with fever and/or coryza, and/or conjunctivitis, diagnosed at least 30 days after vaccine administration</p> <p>Mumps: parotid swelling lasting for at least 2 days diagnosed by a practitioner at least 30 days after vaccine administration</p> <p>Even if not described, paediatricians who conducted the study, considered as cases those corresponding to these definition from schedule data</p> <p>Altogether 124 measles cases (10 among vaccinated) and 457 mumps cases (251 among vaccinated) has been observed. 92 (74.2%) measles and 386 mumps cases (84.5%) occurred in the years 1995 to 1996</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Notes               | <p>Diagnosis of measles and mumps disease was made only on clinical parameters and on the basis of data sampled during interviews and of those present in the medical records by paediatricians</p> <p>Results have been managed by paediatricians themselves, who were not blind to vaccination status of the children</p> <p>Mean age at enrolment was not statistical different between not vaccinated and pooled vaccinated groups (about 52 months), but authors do not provide these data (or age stratification) within each vaccine arm (considering age interval and visit time, follow-up time considered could range from 3 to 75 months). Administered vaccine types varied during the time considered for investigation: Pluserix (Schwarz/Urabe AM9) has been more used in the years between 1990 and 1991 and was withdrawn from the mark in 1992. Triviraten (Edmonston-Zagreb/Rubini) was of prevalent use in the years 1992, 1993 and 1994, Morupar (Schwarz/Urabe AM9) in 1995 and 1996. Exposition to disease and time since vaccination could be very different among subjects and this is not taken in account by evaluating effectiveness</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <b>Risk of bias</b> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| <b>Bias</b>         | <b>Authors' judgement      Support for judgement</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |



### Marolla 1998 (Continued)

|                                                                |           |                |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk | Not applicable |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk | Not applicable |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk | Not applicable |

### McKeever 2004

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                            |  |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Methods       | Cohort study assessing association between MMR and diphtheria, polio, pertussis and tetanus vaccination (DPPT) and asthma or eczema                                                        |  |
| Participants  | Birth cohorts 1988 to 1999 identified through the West Midlands General Practice Research Database (GPRD; n = 16,470, aged from 20 months to 11 years, accounting for 69,602 person-years) |  |
| Interventions | MMR vaccination (data from GPRD; also data about other vaccination has been considered)                                                                                                    |  |
| Outcomes      | Incident diagnoses of asthma/whoop and eczema were identified using the relevant Oxford Medical Information System (OXMIS, derived from ICD-8) and Read codes                              |  |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                            |  |

#### **Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

### Miller 1989

|               |                                                                                                                                                                       |  |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Methods       | Prospective cohort                                                                                                                                                    |  |
| Participants  | 12023 healthy children aged 1 to 2 years                                                                                                                              |  |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine (Immrawa or Pluserix, both containing measles strain Schwarz, rubella RA 27/3, mumps Urabe 9)<br>versus<br>Measles vaccine (not described)<br>Single dose |  |
| Outcomes      | - Temperature (2 or more days over 21 days)<br>- Rash (2 or more days over 21 days)                                                                                   |  |

**Miller 1989** (Continued)

- Anorexia (2 or more days over 21 days)
- Number of symptoms for 1 day only  
(Daily diary completed by parents)

|       |                                                                                      |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Notes | The study reports that 84% of diaries/questionnaires completed but only analysed 65% |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

**Miller 2005**

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Self controlled case series                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Participants  | Children hospitalised with gait disturbance between April 1995 and June 2001 (n = 127, age 12 to 24 months)<br><br>Children with gait disturbance resulting from general practice visit (GPRD archive), born between 1988 and 1997 (n = 1398, age 12 to 24 months) |
| Interventions | MMR immunisation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Outcomes      | Relative incidence of gait disturbance after MMR immunisation (considered risk periods 0 to 30 to 31 60 days)                                                                                                                                                      |

|       |  |
|-------|--|
| Notes |  |
|-------|--|

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Miller 2007

|               |                                                                                                                |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Self controlled case series                                                                                    |
| Participants  | Children aged 12 to 23 months (894) with discharge diagnosis of febrile convulsion (ICD-10 codes R560 or R568) |
| Interventions | MMR vaccination dose when on age of 12 to 23 months (immunisation records)                                     |
| Outcomes      | Incidence of disease during two at risk periods (between 6 to 11 and 15 to 35 days after immunisation)         |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                |

### *Risk of bias*

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Mrozek-Budzyn 2010

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Participants  | Cases: 96 children with childhood or atypical autism diagnosis aged between 2 and 15 years from Małopolska Province (southern Poland)<br><br>Controls: 192 children matched for birth year, gender and practice to the cases |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine and monovalent measles                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Outcomes      | Association between vaccine exposure before diagnosis or symptoms onset                                                                                                                                                      |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |

### *Risk of bias*

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Ong 2005

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective cohort study carried out on children aged between about 5 and 12 years in order to state protection conferred from MMR immunisation (containing different mumps strains) against clinical defined mumps during an outbreak in Singapore in 1999                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Participants  | Children from childcare centres (n = 2533) and primary schools (n = 2539)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Interventions | MMR vaccination status of each child (MMR or nothing) was obtained from health booklet (updated in Singapore when a child receives vaccination in accordance with the immunisation schedule). The specific strain type (Rubini, Jeryl Lynn, Urabe, or unknown mumps strain) has been identified by matching the batch number of vaccine in health booklet with the record of the vaccine in polyclinic or family doctor's clinic. Even if the number of administered doses was not indicated, we can suppose that only older children could have received a 2nd MMR dose, as it was routinely introduced in January 1998 |
| Outcomes      | Mumps: clinically defined as fever associated with unilateral or bilateral swelling and tenderness of one or more salivary glands, usually the parotid gland. Diagnosed by physician. Serological confirmation was not carried out                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

### *Risk of bias*

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Ong 2007

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective cohort study carried out in Singapore during a measles outbreak in April to May 2004 in primary 3 and 6 school to evaluate the MMR vaccine effectiveness                                                                                |
| Participants  | Students (n = 184, age 8 to 14 years) from 5 classes of primary school in Singapore                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine (no description). Only 1 dose administered. Data about vaccination (date and type vaccine administered) were noted in health booklet of each child and confirmed with the National Immunisation Registry (NIR)<br><br>Control: do nothing |
| Outcomes      | Measles cases laboratory-confirmed, defined following the World Health Organization criteria (WHO 2001)                                                                                                                                               |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |

### *Risk of bias*

| Bias | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|------|--------------------|-----------------------|
|------|--------------------|-----------------------|

### Ong 2007 (Continued)

|                                                                |           |                |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk | Not applicable |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk | Not applicable |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk | Not applicable |

### Park 2004

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case cross-over. The design divides the study period (1 year of 365 days) into a hazard period (42 days after MMR - or before meningitis as defined by the authors) and a control period of 323 days                        |
| Participants  | Children aged 13 to 29 months                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Interventions | Immunisation with MMR                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Outcomes      | Cases of aseptic meningitis before and after immunisation                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Notes         | There is a likelihood of selection bias which the authors dismiss as they say that moving (probable cause of wrong phone numbers) is not associated with MMR exposure. The missing 27% of hospital records is also worrying |

#### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

### Peltola 1986

|               |                                                                                                                |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | RCT, double-blind                                                                                              |
| Participants  | 6086 pairs of twins aged between 14 months and 6 years                                                         |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine (Vivirac, Merck Sharp & Dohme)<br>versus<br>Placebo<br>One 0.5 ml dose subcutaneously administered |
| Outcomes      | - Temperature (< 38.5 °C; 38.6 to 39.5 °C; > 39.5 °C) rectal<br>- Irritability<br>- Drowsiness                 |



**Peltola 1986** (Continued)

- Willingness to stay in bed
  - Rash generalised
  - Conjunctivitis
  - Arthropathy
  - Tremor peripheral
  - Cough and/or coryza
  - Nausea or vomiting
  - Diarrhoea
- Measured by parental completed questionnaire for 21 days - parents given a thermometer

## Notes

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | Unclear risk       | Unclear               |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | Low risk           | Adequate              |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | Low risk           | Double-blind          |
| Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)<br>All outcomes       | Low risk           | Adequate              |

**Ray 2006**

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study investigating possible relationship between MMR and DTP immunisation and hospital admission for encephalopathy within 60 days. Data from 4 health maintenance organisations (Group Health Cooperative, Washington, Northern and Southern California Kaiser Permanente, Northwest Kaiser Permanente, Oregon and Washington), involving children aged 0 to 6 years, who were hospitalised for encephalopathy or related conditions between 1 January 1981 and 31 December 1995 (from 1 August 1998 for Southern California Kaiser Permanente) were reviewed |
| Participants  | <p><u>Cases</u> (n = 452): children (aged 0 to 6 years) with encephalopathy, Reye syndrome or encephalitis defined accordingly to definition (see <a href="#">Table 8</a>)</p> <p><u>Controls</u> (n = about 1280): for each case up to 3 controls were selected, matching for health maintenance organisation location, age within 7 days, sex and length of enrolment in health plan</p>                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Interventions | Vaccination status concerning MMR and DTP vaccines exposure of both cases and controls was assessed by vaccination records. Only the neurologist who made the final case diagnosis was blind to vaccination status, not so the abstracter. Exposure to both vaccines was stratified in the results on the basis of the time elapsed between vaccination and hospital admission (0 to 90 days, 0 to 60 days, 0 to 30 days, 0 to 14 days, 7 to 14 days, 0 to 7 days)                                                                                                           |
| Outcomes      | Observed cases (encephalopathy, Reye syndrome or encephalitis) were further classified considering disease aetiology: known, unknown or suspected but unconfirmed (this latter includes cases in which a diagnosis such as meningitis has not been confirmed by specific laboratory test)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Notes         | Authors did not indicate formally how many controls have been included in the analysis. Controls included in each stratification could be calculated from percentages in tables 2, 3, 4. Regarding vaccine                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |

## Ray 2006 (Continued)

exposure, we know only that it has been assessed by means of vaccination record, but any further information (e.g. vaccine type and composition, number of administered doses) is absent in the report. This would be an important information, as it would permit to test association with diseases and single vaccine strains: cases were enrolled between 1981 and 1995, during this time different vaccines formulation have been in use

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Robertson 1988

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Prospective cohort                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Participants  | 319 children aged 13 months                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine (Mérieux, containing measles strain Schwarz, mumps Urabe AM/9 and rubella Wistar RA 27/3)<br>versus<br>Measles vaccine (Schwarz strain)<br>Allocation by parental choice                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Outcomes      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Irritability</li> <li>- Rash</li> <li>- Coryza</li> <li>- Temperature (parental touch)</li> <li>- Cough</li> <li>- Lethargy</li> <li>- Diarrhoea</li> <li>- Vomiting</li> <li>- Anorexia</li> <li>- Conjunctivitis</li> <li>- Lymphadenopathy</li> <li>- Parotitis</li> <li>- Local reactions</li> <li>- No symptoms</li> <li>- Paracetamol use</li> <li>- Seen by GP</li> <li>- Convulsion</li> </ul> Parental completed diaries of symptoms. 3-week follow-up |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

### Risk of bias

| Bias | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|------|--------------------|-----------------------|
|------|--------------------|-----------------------|

## Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)

**Robertson 1988** (Continued)

|                                                                |           |                |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk | Not applicable |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk | Not applicable |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk | Not applicable |

**Schlegel 1999**

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective cohort study carried out on children aged between 5 and 13 years in order to assess protective effectiveness of MMR vaccine prepared with different mumps strains (Rubini, Jeryl Lynn, Urabe) during a mumps epidemic in comparison with no vaccination                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Participants  | Participants were children aged 5 to 13 years from a small village in Switzerland (n = 165). Vaccination coverage in this population was high (95%)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Interventions | Immunisation with MMR vaccine prepared with different mumps strain. 79 children were immunised with Rubini-containing MMR vaccine, 36 with Jeryl Lynn containing MMR vaccine, and 40 with Urabe-containing MMR vaccine. 8 participants were not MMR vaccinated. Vaccine strain was not known for 2 children without mumps, who were excluded from study. Vaccination status was ascertained by study investigators from vaccination certificates. All children received immunisation within 2 years of age |
| Outcomes      | A mumps case was defined by viral isolation of mumps virus in a culture, doctor's confirm of diagnosis or if the presence of the typical clinical picture was described in a sibling of a patient with confirmed disease. Investigators who ascertained mumps cases were blind to vaccination status                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Notes         | Many study details are described with insufficient detail present in this brief report (e.g. mumps case definition, onset and duration of the outbreak, methods of cases ascertainment)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

**Schwarz 1975**

|              |                                                                                                     |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods      | Multicentre RCT, double-blind                                                                       |
| Participants | Altogether 1481 healthy children from different countries in North and South America were allocated |

## Schwarz 1975 (Continued)

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Interventions | Three lots of MMR vaccine (Liutrin, Do Chemical containing live attenuated measles strain Schwarz, at least 1000 TCID <sub>50</sub> ; mumps live strain Jeryl Lynn, at least 5000 TCID <sub>50</sub> ; live rubella Cenedehill strain, at least 1000 TCID <sub>50</sub> )<br>versus<br>Placebo<br>One dose subcutaneously administered |
| Outcomes      | Axillary and rectal temperature, rash, lymphadenopathy, conjunctivitis, otitis media, coryza, rhinitis, pharyngitis, cough, headache, parotitis, orchitis, arthralgia, paraesthesia, site adverse events, hypersensitivity. Children were observed for adverse events approximately 3 times each between 7 to 21 days                  |
| Notes         | - Age restriction (1 to 4 years) was not enforced<br>- A large number of patients were missing from all observations                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | Unclear risk       | Not described         |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Inadequate            |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | Low risk           | Double-blind          |
| Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)<br>All outcomes       | High risk          |                       |

## Seagroatt 2005

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Ecological study                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Participants  | England population aged between 4 and 18 years between April 1991 and March 2003 (about 11.6 million)                                                                                                    |
| Interventions | Introduction of MMR vaccination (1988)                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Outcomes      | Emergency hospitalisation for Crohn's disease (CD). Age specific ranges were calculated so as rates in population with at least 84% coverage and that in population with coverage below 7% were compared |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                        | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias) | High risk          | Not applicable        |

### Seagroatt 2005 (Continued)

|                                                                |           |                |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk | Not applicable |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk | Not applicable |

### Sharma 2010

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Cohort study carried out in Egypt, assessing reaction observed after immunisation with MMR in occasion of compulsory vaccinations                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Participants  | Children aged 16 to 24 months (n = 73,745) from 9 Egyptian governorates and aged 5 to 7 years (n = 371,184) from 8 Egyptian governorates                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Interventions | Immunisation with MMR vaccine containing Leningrad-Zagreb mumps strain (Tresivac, Serum Institute of India)<br><br>It contains 1000 CCID <sub>50</sub> live attenuated measles Edmonston-Zagreb strains, 5000 CCID <sub>50</sub> of mumps strain Leningrad-Zagreb, 1000 CCID <sub>50</sub> of rubella strain Wistar RA 27/3 in each 0.5 ml dose. Partially hydrolysed gelatin (2.5%), sorbitol (5%), neomycin ( $\leq 15\mu\text{g}$ ) and water as diluent belong also to vaccine composition. 24 different lots (EU 615V, EU 618V - EU 640V) were used in the study. Younger children were immunised in the thigh, older in the deltoid |
| Outcomes      | Pain, redness, swelling, fever, rash, parotitis, arthralgia, lymphadenopathy. Data collected by means of a structured questionnaires for the time within 42 days after vaccination                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Notes         | One of the main study purpose was to investigate the association between MMR and aseptic meningitis. No disease cases have been identified                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |

#### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

### Smeeth 2004

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective case-control study                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Participants  | All person born in 1973 or later registered in the General Practice Research Database (GPRD)<br>Cases: participants with diagnosis of pervasive developmental disorders<br>Controls: individuals matched to cases by year of birth or by practice registration |
| Interventions | Exposure to MMR vaccination from birth to index date (date of the first diagnosis with PDD)                                                                                                                                                                    |

### Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)



**Smeeth 2004** (Continued)

|          |                                                                                                                    |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Outcomes | Number of MMR vaccination among cases and controls prior to PDD diagnosis and prior PDD diagnosis and 3rd birthday |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Notes

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

**Stokes 1971**

|         |                    |
|---------|--------------------|
| Methods | Prospective cohort |
|---------|--------------------|

|              |                                                               |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Participants | Altogether 966 children (334 in the US and 632 in Costa Rica) |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Interventions | MMR vaccine (Merck Sharp & Dohme containing measles strain Moraten 1000 TCID50, mumps strain Jeryl Lynn 5000 TCID50, rubella strains HPV - 77 1000 TCID50) 1 dose subcutaneous<br>versus<br>No treatment |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

|          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Outcomes | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Temperature (&gt; 38 °C in US, no range given in Costa Rica)</li> <li>- Conjunctivitis</li> <li>- Upper respiratory tract illness</li> <li>- Lymphadenopathy</li> <li>- Gastroenteritis</li> <li>- Fretfulness</li> <li>- Malaise and anorexia</li> <li>- Measles-like rash</li> <li>- Arthralgia (only in Costa Rica)</li> </ul> Follow-up 28 days |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Notes

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) | High risk          | Not applicable        |

**Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)**

**Stokes 1971** (Continued)

All outcomes

**Stowe 2009**

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Self controlled case series                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Participants  | Children aged 12 to 23 months with hospitalisation for bacterial or viral infections identified from hospital admission records by reviewing ICD-9 or -10 codes (n = 2025)                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Interventions | MMR vaccination                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Outcomes      | - Bacterial infections: lobar pneumonia or invasive bacterial infection<br>- Viral infections: encephalitis/meningitis, herpes, pneumonia, varicella Zoster, or miscellaneous virus<br>Relative incidence (RI) of each disease was assessed within specified time risk intervals (0 to 30, 31 to 60, 61 to 90 or 0 to 90 days) after MMR immunisation |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |

**Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

**Swartz 1974**

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Prospective cohort                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Participants  | 59 children aged 1 to 6 years (mean about 2 years)                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine (Merck Institute for Therapeutic Research)<br>versus<br>Mumps - rubella vaccine (Merck Institute for Therapeutic Research)<br>versus<br>Rubella vaccine (Merck - Meruvax HPV 77-DE5)<br>No information about doses and schedule |
| Outcomes      | - Temperature (37.2 to 38.2; 38.3 to 39.3; over 39.4 °C)<br>- Lymphadenopathy<br>- Enanthema<br>- Conjunctivitis<br>- Rash<br>Complaints - any (up to 60 days)<br>Follow-up 7 to 15 days                                                    |

**Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)**

Copyright © 2016 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley &amp; Sons, Ltd.

## Swartz 1974 (Continued)

Notes

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Taylor 1999

|               |                                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-coverage comparing incidence of autistic disorders in 8 health districts in UK                                                             |
| Participants  | 498 children with autism                                                                                                                        |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine and, in some cases, measles or MR vaccines identified through a computerised register                                               |
| Outcomes      | Typical and atypical autism and Asperger's syndrome. No definition given, but identification of some of the cases was made through ICD 10 codes |
| Notes         | The absence of unvaccinated controls limits the inductive statements that can be made from this study                                           |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Uchiyama 2007

|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods      | Retrospective cohort study conducted in Japan, employing data from patients of a private child psychiatric clinic in Yokohama to evaluate association between MMR vaccination and regression in autism spectrum disorders (ASD) and to evaluate the "change over time" in proportion of children who presented regressive symptoms during the pre-MMR (before MMR vaccine programme), MMR (during MMR vaccine programme) and post MMR era (when the programme ceased). |
| Participants | Children born between 1976 and 1999 with clinical diagnosis of ASD assessed at the Yokohama Psycho-Developmental Clinic (YPDC, n = 904)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |

## Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)

Copyright © 2016 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley &amp; Sons, Ltd.

### Uchiyama 2007 (Continued)

|               |                                                                                        |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Interventions | MMR vaccine containing AIK-C (measles), Urabe AM9 (mumps) and To-336 (rubella) strains |
| Outcomes      | ASD regression                                                                         |
| Notes         |                                                                                        |

#### **Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

### Vestergaard 2004

|               |                                                                                                                                                           |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Person-time cohort study                                                                                                                                  |
| Participants  | 537,171 Danish children                                                                                                                                   |
| Interventions | Exposure to MMR vaccine (containing measles strain Moraten, Mumps Jeryl Lynn and rubella Wistar)                                                          |
| Outcomes      | Febrile seizure (ICD definition) in children aged 3 months to 5 years: cases occurred within 2 weeks after vaccination and cases occurred after this time |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                           |

#### **Risk of bias**

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

### Ward 2007

|         |                                                                                                                                                                               |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods | Self controlled case series study carried out to assess whether exposure to MMR and other vaccines (DTP/Hib, MenC) was associated with onset of serious neurological diseases |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

## Ward 2007 (Continued)

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Participants  | 155 children aged between 2 and 35 months from Republic of Ireland and Britain with serial neurological disease (see outcome definition) and documented vaccination history. Data about cases were collected between October 1998 and September 2001                                                                                                                                                |
| Interventions | Immunisation with MMR or DTP vaccine. Data were obtained from child's GP by Immunisation Department and Center for Infection. Vaccination history should cover 1 year after disease onset. Authors consider as at risk period the time between 0 and 3 days or 0 and 7 days following DTP, Hib and MenC vaccinations and the time between 6 and 11 days or 15 and 35 days following MMR vaccination |
| Outcomes      | - Severe illness with fever and convulsion<br><br>- Encephalitis<br><br>(see <a href="#">Table 8</a> for detailed definition)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |

### Risk of bias

| Bias                                                           | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk          | Not applicable        |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk          | Not applicable        |

## Weibel 1980

|               |                                                                                                                                                               |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Prospective cohort                                                                                                                                            |
| Participants  | 135 children                                                                                                                                                  |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine (Merck, containing measles strain Moraten, mumps Jeryl Lynn, rubella RA 27/3) versus<br>Rubella vaccine (strain RA 27/3)<br>One dose subcutaneous |
| Outcomes      | - Temperature > 38 °C<br>- Rash<br>- Lymphadenopathy<br>- Arthralgia<br>- Myalgia<br>- Anorexia<br>Follow-up 42 days                                          |
| Notes         | No information given on how the children were distributed between the 3 arms. Sparse detail on safety data collection procedures                              |

### Risk of bias

| Bias | Authors' judgement | Support for judgement |
|------|--------------------|-----------------------|
|------|--------------------|-----------------------|

## Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)

**Weibel 1980** (Continued)

|                                                                |           |                |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Random sequence generation (selection bias)                    | High risk | Not applicable |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias)                        | High risk | Not applicable |
| Blinding (performance bias and detection bias)<br>All outcomes | High risk | Not applicable |

AIT = acute immune thrombocytopenia

AM = aseptic meningitis

BCG = Bacillus Calmette-Guérin

DIN = Doctors' Independent Network

DPT = diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus

GPRD = General Practice Database

HMO = Health Maintenance Organisation

IM = intra-muscular

MMR = measles, mumps, rubella

MS = multiple sclerosis

n = number

PCR = polymerase chain reaction

sc = subcutaneous

wks = weeks

**Characteristics of excluded studies** [ordered by study ID]

| Study                          | Reason for exclusion                                                                                                                                  |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <a href="#">Akobeng 1999</a>   | No original research - review                                                                                                                         |
| <a href="#">Andre 1984</a>     | No direct data on MMR; only observation that it may interfere with varicella vaccine                                                                  |
| <a href="#">Anonymous 1982</a> | Non-comparative                                                                                                                                       |
| <a href="#">Anonymous 1997</a> | No original data                                                                                                                                      |
| <a href="#">Anonymous 1999</a> | Not original research - review                                                                                                                        |
| <a href="#">Anonymous 2004</a> | Abstract of <a href="#">Hviid 2004</a> (included study)                                                                                               |
| <a href="#">Aozasa 1982</a>    | Not MMR vaccine                                                                                                                                       |
| <a href="#">Asaria 2008</a>    | Review                                                                                                                                                |
| <a href="#">Autret 1996</a>    | Epidemiological survey comparing onset of ITP following vaccination with MMR compared to M, M and R                                                   |
| <a href="#">Bakker 2001</a>    | Authors attribute school mumps outbreak to bad attenuated MMR vaccine lots; uncertain data about relationship between MMR exposure and symptoms onset |
| <a href="#">Balraj 1995</a>    | Review on mumps vaccine                                                                                                                               |
| <a href="#">Beck 1991</a>      | Assesses safety of MMR vaccination in children allergic to eggs                                                                                       |



| Study                          | Reason for exclusion                                          |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| <a href="#">Bedford 2010</a>   | Editorial                                                     |
| <a href="#">Beeler 1996</a>    | Case series                                                   |
| <a href="#">Benjamin 1991</a>  | No new research review                                        |
| <a href="#">Berger 1988a</a>   | Serology outcomes only                                        |
| <a href="#">Berger 1988b</a>   | Serology (seroconversion) outcomes only                       |
| <a href="#">Berlin 1983</a>    | Surveillance data                                             |
| <a href="#">Bernsen 2008</a>   | No outcomes                                                   |
| <a href="#">Bhargava 1995</a>  | Non-comparative                                               |
| <a href="#">Bonanni 2005</a>   | Non-comparative                                               |
| <a href="#">Borchardt 2007</a> | Not comparative                                               |
| <a href="#">Borgono 1973</a>   | Insufficient data presented                                   |
| <a href="#">Boxall 2008</a>    | Non-comparative                                               |
| <a href="#">Brockhoff 2010</a> | Non-comparative                                               |
| <a href="#">Bruno 1997</a>     | Compares 2 types of MMR                                       |
| <a href="#">Buntain 1976</a>   | Case report                                                   |
| <a href="#">Buynak 1969</a>    | Several studies - non-comparative                             |
| <a href="#">Cardenosa 2006</a> | Non-comparative                                               |
| <a href="#">Castilla 2009b</a> | Same study as <a href="#">Castilla 2009a</a> (included study) |
| <a href="#">Chang 1982</a>     | No adverse effect data                                        |
| <a href="#">Chen 1991</a>      | Individuals over 15 years                                     |
| <a href="#">Chen 2000</a>      | Review                                                        |
| <a href="#">Cherian 2010</a>   | No data                                                       |
| <a href="#">Chiodo 1992</a>    | Non-comparative                                               |
| <a href="#">Cinquetti 1994</a> | Compares 2 types of MMR                                       |
| <a href="#">Contardi 1989</a>  | Non-comparative                                               |
| <a href="#">Contardi 1992</a>  | Compares 3 types of MMR                                       |
| <a href="#">Coplan 2000</a>    | Does not compare against a single component or do nothing     |
| <a href="#">Coronado 2006</a>  | Case-fatality rate study                                      |

| Study                               | Reason for exclusion                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <a href="#">Cox 2009</a>            | Letter                                                                               |
| <a href="#">Curtale 2010</a>        | Non-comparative                                                                      |
| <a href="#">Czajka 2009</a>         | No comparison: MMR-v versus MMR+v                                                    |
| <a href="#">D'Argenio 1998</a>      | No safety data                                                                       |
| <a href="#">D'Souza 2000</a>        | Non-comparative                                                                      |
| <a href="#">Dales 2001</a>          | Non-comparative                                                                      |
| <a href="#">Dallaire 2009</a>       | Non-comparative                                                                      |
| <a href="#">Dankova 1995</a>        | No adverse event data                                                                |
| <a href="#">Dashefsky 1990</a>      | MMR not given independently                                                          |
| <a href="#">Davis 1997</a>          | MMR not given independently                                                          |
| <a href="#">Dayan 2008a</a>         | Non-comparative                                                                      |
| <a href="#">De Laval 2010</a>       | Seroprevalence study                                                                 |
| <a href="#">Deforest 1986</a>       | MMR given with DTP and OPV in different schedules                                    |
| <a href="#">Deforest 1988</a>       | DTP/OPV plus or minus MMR versus placebo or without MMR                              |
| <a href="#">DeStefano 2000</a>      | Duplicate data                                                                       |
| <a href="#">Diaz-Ortega 2010</a>    | No comparison: MMR versus MMR versus MMR                                             |
| <a href="#">Dobrosavljevic 1999</a> | Case report                                                                          |
| <a href="#">Dominguez 2008</a>      | Surveillance study                                                                   |
| <a href="#">Dos Santos 2002</a>     | MMR versus MMR versus MMR                                                            |
| <a href="#">Doshi 2009</a>          | Effectiveness of measles-containing vaccines has been assessed, not specifically MMR |
| <a href="#">Dyer 2010a</a>          | Commentary                                                                           |
| <a href="#">Dyer 2010b</a>          | Commentary                                                                           |
| <a href="#">Ehrenkranz 1975</a>     | Duplicate data <a href="#">Schwarz 1975</a>                                          |
| <a href="#">Elphinstone 2000</a>    | Data free                                                                            |
| <a href="#">Englund 1989</a>        | MMR not given independently                                                          |
| <a href="#">Farrington 1996</a>     | Non-comparative                                                                      |
| <a href="#">Farrington 2001</a>     | No new data                                                                          |
| <a href="#">Fitzpatrick 2007</a>    | Commentary                                                                           |

| Study                          | Reason for exclusion                                                                |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <a href="#">Fletcher 2001</a>  | No data                                                                             |
| <a href="#">Garrido L 1992</a> | Non-comparative                                                                     |
| <a href="#">Geier 2004</a>     | Uncertain MMR focus, mixed with thimerosal                                          |
| <a href="#">Gerber 2009</a>    | Review                                                                              |
| <a href="#">Goodson 2010</a>   | Monovalent measles vaccine                                                          |
| <a href="#">Griffin 1991</a>   | Non-comparative                                                                     |
| <a href="#">Grilli 1992</a>    | Comparison of different types of measles in MMR                                     |
| <a href="#">Hilton 2009</a>    | Content analysis                                                                    |
| <a href="#">Hindiye 2009</a>   | No outcomes of interest                                                             |
| <a href="#">Hornig 2008</a>    | Subjects affected by gastrointestinal disturbance                                   |
| <a href="#">Hu 2007</a>        | Non-comparative                                                                     |
| <a href="#">Hua 2009</a>       | Association with KD tested for vaccines other than MMR                              |
| <a href="#">Huang 1990</a>     | No safety data                                                                      |
| <a href="#">Ipp 2003</a>       | Head-to-head of 2 types of MMR                                                      |
| <a href="#">Jiang 2009</a>     | Non-comparative                                                                     |
| <a href="#">Jones 1991</a>     | Non-comparative                                                                     |
| <a href="#">Just 1985</a>      | Comparison of different types of MMR; CCT with serological outcomes                 |
| <a href="#">Just 1986</a>      | MMR not given independently - comparison of MMR plus or minus varicella vaccine     |
| <a href="#">Just 1987a</a>     | Not given independently - comparison of MMR plus or minus OPV                       |
| <a href="#">Just 1987b</a>     | Comparison of MMR plus or minus DTP                                                 |
| <a href="#">Kaaber 1990</a>    | Comparison of MMR with or without other vaccine versus other vaccines (DTP and OPV) |
| <a href="#">Karim 2002</a>     | Case report                                                                         |
| <a href="#">Kaye 2001</a>      | Non-comparative                                                                     |
| <a href="#">Kazarian 1978</a>  | Case report                                                                         |
| <a href="#">Khalil 2005</a>    | Cross-sectional study                                                               |
| <a href="#">Kiepiela 1991</a>  | RCT of 2 types of measles vaccine                                                   |
| <a href="#">Kulkarni 2005</a>  | Review                                                                              |
| <a href="#">Kurtzke 1997</a>   | Case-control of exposure to anything/measles vaccine and MS                         |

| Study                                 | Reason for exclusion                                                                                                      |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <a href="#">Lee 1998</a>              | Data free                                                                                                                 |
| <a href="#">Lee 2007</a>              | Retrospective analysis of medical records                                                                                 |
| <a href="#">Lucena 2002</a>           | No comparator                                                                                                             |
| <a href="#">Maekawa 1991</a>          | Non-comparative - non-inferential                                                                                         |
| <a href="#">Maguire 1991</a>          | Non-comparative                                                                                                           |
| <a href="#">Mantadakis 2010</a>       | Review                                                                                                                    |
| <a href="#">Matter 1995</a>           | Non-comparative                                                                                                           |
| <a href="#">Matter 1997</a>           | Seroprevalence study                                                                                                      |
| <a href="#">Meissner 2004</a>         | Review                                                                                                                    |
| <a href="#">Menniti-Ippolito 2007</a> | Previous report of <a href="#">Bertuola 2010</a> (included study)                                                         |
| <a href="#">Miller 1983</a>           | Non-comparative; egg allergy                                                                                              |
| <a href="#">Miller 1993</a>           | Non-comparative                                                                                                           |
| <a href="#">Miller 2001</a>           | Non-comparative                                                                                                           |
| <a href="#">Miller 2002</a>           | No new data                                                                                                               |
| <a href="#">Min 1991</a>              | Compares 2 types of MMR                                                                                                   |
| <a href="#">Minekawa 1974</a>         | Non-comparative                                                                                                           |
| <a href="#">Mommers 2004</a>          | MMR and all other childhood vaccines, indistinguishable comparison                                                        |
| <a href="#">Mupere 2006</a>           | No MMR vaccine                                                                                                            |
| <a href="#">Nalin 1999</a>            | No data                                                                                                                   |
| <a href="#">Nicoll 1998</a>           | No data                                                                                                                   |
| <a href="#">Noble 2003</a>            | Follow-up of the Madsen et al study with some data about resurgence of measles in Japan after vaccination became optional |
| <a href="#">O'Brien 1998</a>          | No data presented                                                                                                         |
| <a href="#">Ong 2006</a>              | Review                                                                                                                    |
| <a href="#">Patja 2000</a>            | Non-comparative                                                                                                           |
| <a href="#">Patja 2001</a>            | Non-comparative                                                                                                           |
| <a href="#">Pekmezovic 2004</a>       | Not about MMR                                                                                                             |
| <a href="#">Peltola 1998</a>          | Non-comparative case series                                                                                               |

| Study                              | Reason for exclusion                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <a href="#">Peltola 2007</a>       | Review                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <a href="#">Puvvada 1993</a>       | Non-comparative case series                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| <a href="#">Rajantie 2007</a>      | Non-comparative (unclear study design)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <a href="#">Ramos-Alvarez 1976</a> | Duplicate publication of <a href="#">Schwarz 1975</a>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| <a href="#">Roost 2004</a>         | Cross-sectional study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| <a href="#">Sabra 1998</a>         | Data free                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| <a href="#">Saraswathy 2009</a>    | Seroprevalence study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <a href="#">Scarpa 1990</a>        | Non-comparative                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| <a href="#">Schaffzin 2007</a>     | Differences between the 2 subpopulations in the study were not taken into account. Partially outside age. Effectiveness was calculated cumulatively for campers (n = 368, age 7 to 15 years, mean 12 years, 366/368 previously immunised with 2 doses of mumps containing vaccine, only 2/368 with one dose) and staff members (n = 139, age 14 to 65 years, mean 21 years, of whom 74, 44, and 21 received respectively 2, 1 or no doses of a mumps-containing vaccine) |
| <a href="#">Schettini 1989</a>     | No safety data                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| <a href="#">Schettini 1990</a>     | Non-comparative                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| <a href="#">Schmid 2008</a>        | Non-comparative                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| <a href="#">Schwarz 2010</a>       | No treatment: measles + MMR vaccine                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <a href="#">Schwarzer 1998</a>     | Compares 2 types of MMR                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <a href="#">Seagroatt 2003</a>     | Assesses measles vaccine                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| <a href="#">Sharma 2004</a>        | Non-comparative                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| <a href="#">Shinefield 2002</a>    | MMR not given independently                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| <a href="#">Spitzer 2001</a>       | No data                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <a href="#">Stetler 1985</a>       | DTP vaccine                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| <a href="#">Stokes 1967</a>        | No safety data                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| <a href="#">Stratton 1994</a>      | Review                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <a href="#">Sugiura 1982</a>       | Data not reported by arm                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| <a href="#">Ueda 1995</a>          | Compares 2 types of MMR                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <a href="#">Vesikari 1979</a>      | No new data to review                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| <a href="#">Vesikari 1984</a>      | Compares 2 types of MMR                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |

| Study                              | Reason for exclusion                                |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| <a href="#">Wakefield 1998</a>     | Case series                                         |
| <a href="#">Wakefield 1999a</a>    | No comparative data                                 |
| <a href="#">Wakefield 1999b</a>    | No data                                             |
| <a href="#">Wakefield 2000</a>     | No comparative data                                 |
| <a href="#">Walters 1975</a>       | Redundant publication: <a href="#">Schwarz 1975</a> |
| <a href="#">Wilson 2003</a>        | Systematic review                                   |
| <a href="#">Woyciechowska 1985</a> | Not MMR                                             |
| <a href="#">Yamashiro 1998</a>     | Children past age limit                             |
| <a href="#">Yu 2007</a>            | Non-comparative                                     |

CCT = controlled clinical trial

DTP = diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus

ITP = idiopathic thrombocytopaenic purpura

KD = Kawasaki disease

MMR = measles, mumps, rubella

MS = multiple sclerosis

OPV = trivalent oral poliovirus

### Characteristics of studies awaiting assessment *[ordered by study ID]*

#### [Arenz 2005](#)

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Cohort study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Participants  | Child household contacts in families with at least 1 mumps case                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Interventions | Vaccination with measles-containing vaccine                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Outcomes      | Measles secondary cases                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Notes         | Insufficient information about vaccine composition (if MMR or bivalent) for household contact study. Screening method was used for vaccine effectiveness assessment in Coburg school population aged above 5 years. Many important details are missing |

#### [Barlow 2001](#)

|               |                                                                                  |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Cohort study                                                                     |
| Participants  | Children (n = 137,457) from 4 Health Maintenance Organisations in USA            |
| Interventions | Immunisation with MMR vaccine                                                    |
| Outcomes      | Risk of febrile seizure within 0 to 7, 8 to 14, 15 to 30 days after immunisation |
| Notes         |                                                                                  |

### Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)

Copyright © 2016 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley &amp; Sons, Ltd.



### Barrabeig 2011

|               |                                            |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Cohort study                               |
| Participants  | School children (n = 166)                  |
| Interventions | Post-exposure prophylaxis with MMR vaccine |
| Outcomes      | Measles                                    |
| Notes         |                                            |

### Benke 2004

|               |                                                                |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective cohort                                           |
| Participants  | Young adults aged between 22 and 44 years                      |
| Interventions | Immunisation with MMR and other vaccines                       |
| Outcomes      | Possible association between vaccination and asthma was tested |
| Notes         | Outside of age range                                           |

### Cohen 2007

|               |                                                                                                                                         |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Screening method                                                                                                                        |
| Participants  | Children (n = 312) with confirmed mumps in England                                                                                      |
| Interventions | Immunisation with MMR vaccine                                                                                                           |
| Outcomes      | Effectiveness against mumps diseases                                                                                                    |
| Notes         | Screening method design (effectiveness is estimated considering the proportion of vaccinated among cases and in the general population) |

### da Silveira 2002

|               |                                                                                                                                                           |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Surveillance study carried out in Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil) following an immunisation campaign with MMR vaccine containing Leningrad-Zagreb mumps strain |
| Participants  | Children between 1 and 11 with aseptic meningitis                                                                                                         |
| Interventions | Immunisation with Leningrad-Zagreb MMR vaccine                                                                                                            |
| Outcomes      | Risk association with aseptic meningitis                                                                                                                  |
| Notes         |                                                                                                                                                           |

### Dominguez 2010

|               |                                                                                                                                  |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Screening method                                                                                                                 |
| Participants  | Children and adults (n = 381) measles cases                                                                                      |
| Interventions | Immunisation with MMR vaccine                                                                                                    |
| Outcomes      | Effectiveness against measles diseases                                                                                           |
| Notes         | Screening method (effectiveness is estimated considering the proportion of vaccinated among cases and in the general population) |

### Huang 2009

|               |                                                                                                                           |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study                                                                                                        |
| Participants  | Cases = 126 undergraduate students with mumps<br>Controls = 147 controls matched for age, sex, dormitory                  |
| Interventions | Case and controls with adequate MMR immunisation (at least 2 doses) were compared in univariate and multivariate analysis |
| Outcomes      | Risk factor for developing mumps                                                                                          |
| Notes         | Outside of age range                                                                                                      |

### Jick 2010

|               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study carried out in England                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Participants  | Cases = measles cases diagnosed in 1994, age 1 to 19 years, born from 1982 onwards (n = 1261)<br>Controls = no prior measles, matched to each case on year of birth, gender, general practice attended, index date (n = 4996) |
| Interventions |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Outcomes      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Notes         | Unclear MMR or MR exposure. Author was asked about. Further review of the study is needed                                                                                                                                     |

### Mallol-Mesnard 2007

|               |                                                                                                    |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study                                                                                 |
| Participants  | Cases of acute leukaemia in subjects aged < 15 years residing in France (ESCALE study)             |
| Interventions | Vaccination with MMR and other vaccines (diphtheria, tetanus, poliomyelitis, pertussis and others) |

**Mallol-Mesnard 2007** *(Continued)*

|          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Outcomes | Association of vaccine exposure with acute leukaemia                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Notes    | Effect of exposure to several vaccination (i.e. not MMR only) was evaluated in this study. As data about MMR vaccine were not available from study report, we made an attempt to contact trial authors in order to obtain this information, but no answer was received |

**Marin 2008**

|               |                                                            |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Cohort study                                               |
| Participants  | Student population from 2 colleges in Iowa, USA (n = 2363) |
| Interventions | Immunisation with MMR vaccine                              |
| Outcomes      | Mumps cases following an outbreak                          |
| Notes         | Study population outside of review's age range             |

**Schultz 2008**

|               |                                                                                                    |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Case-control study                                                                                 |
| Participants  | Cases = 83 children with autistic disorders<br>Controls = 80 children                              |
| Interventions | MMR vaccine administration with or without acetaminophen                                           |
| Outcomes      | Association of intervention exposure with autistic disorders                                       |
| Notes         | The study evaluated association between acetaminophen and MMR or MMR alone with autistic disorders |

**Sheppeard 2009**

|               |                                                                                                                                         |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Screening method                                                                                                                        |
| Participants  | Notified measles cases in children from New South Wales, Australia during 2006 (n = 56)                                                 |
| Interventions | MMR immunisation                                                                                                                        |
| Outcomes      | Effectiveness against measles diseases                                                                                                  |
| Notes         | Screening method design (effectiveness is estimated considering the proportion of vaccinated among cases and in the general population) |

### So 2008

|               |                                                                   |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective cohort study performed following a measles outbreak |
| Participants  | Preschool students (n = 152) in Incheon, Korea                    |
| Interventions | Immunisation with measles-containing vaccine                      |
| Outcomes      | Measles cases                                                     |
| Notes         | Article in Korean. No translation available                       |

### Svanstrom 2010

|               |                                                                                                                                                |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Person-time cohort                                                                                                                             |
| Participants  | Children born in Denmark from 1995 to 2007 (n = 918,831)                                                                                       |
| Interventions | MMR vaccination Enders-Edmonston (measles), Jeryl Lynn (mumps) and Wistar RA 27/3 (rubella)                                                    |
| Outcomes      | Possible association between vaccine exposure and febrile convulsion, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, lymphadenopathy and rash was tested |
| Notes         | Unclear design                                                                                                                                 |

### Wichmann 2007

|               |                                                                                     |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Methods       | Retrospective cohort study                                                          |
| Participants  | Students between 10 and 21 years of age (Duisburg, Germany)                         |
| Interventions | Immunisation with measles-containing vaccine                                        |
| Outcomes      | Effectiveness of vaccination in preventing measles during an outbreak               |
| Notes         | Unclear if all study population was immunised with MMR or single component vaccines |

## ADDITIONAL TABLES

**Table 1. Effectiveness against measles: summary findings from cohort studies**

| Study        | Population characteristics                                                                            | Case definition/finding                                                                                  | MMR strain/exposure                                                                             | Control                       | Number of events/<br>number of exposed<br>Effectiveness estimate VE% (95% CI)                                                                                                                                                     | Evaluation of bias risk | Generalisability |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Marolla 1998 | Children (19 to 67 months) whose parent required a paediatrician visit during a measles outbreak peak | Clinical diagnosis<br><br>Patients record and parents interview                                          | Schwarz<br><br>n = 329 (Pluserix)<br>n = 747 (Morupar)<br><br>1 dose<br><br>Vaccination records | n = 646<br><br>not vaccinated | - No measles cases observed among 'Pluserix' recipients (0/19,836 person-months)<br><br>- Morupar = 2 cases /12,906 person-months<br><br>- Control 114 cases/22,188 person-months<br><br>VE = 97% (88% to 99%) for 1 Morupar dose | High                    | Low              |
| Marolla 1998 | See above                                                                                             | Clinical diagnosis<br><br>Patients record and parents interview                                          | Edmonston-Zagreb (Triviraten)<br><br>n = 1023<br><br>Vaccination records                        | n = 646<br><br>not vaccinated | - Triviraten = 8 cases/31,329 person-months<br><br>- Control 114/22,188 person-months<br><br>VE = 95% (90-98) for 1 Triviraten dose                                                                                               | High                    | Low              |
| Ong 2007     | Children from primary school in Singapore (aged 8 to 14 years) during a measles outbreak              | Clinical with laboratory-confirmation.<br><br>Active survey and serological confirmation                 | Not reported<br><br>n = 171<br><br>1 dose<br><br>Health booklets                                | n = 13<br><br>not vaccinated  | - 2 cases/171 vaccinated<br><br>- 7 cases/13 unvaccinated controls<br><br>VE = 97.8% for 1 dose                                                                                                                                   | High                    | Low              |
| Marin 2006   | Household contacts (6 months to 14 years) of primary measles cases                                    | Clinical (WHO definition) or IgM positive antibody of secondary cases<br><br>Standardised questionnaires | Not reported<br><br>n = 48 (1 MMR dose)<br><br>n = 106 (2 MMR doses)<br><br>Vaccination records | n = 21<br><br>not vaccinated  | - 2 secondary cases/48 contacts vaccinated with 1 MMR dose<br><br>- 3 secondary cases/106 contacts vaccinated                                                                                                                     | High                    | Low              |

**Table 1. Effectiveness against measles: summary findings from cohort studies** (Continued)

nated with 2 MMR doses

- 11 secondary cases/21 unvaccinated contacts

VE = 92% (67 to 98) from 1 MMR dose

VE = 95% (82 to 98) for 2 MMR doses

IgM: immunoglobulin M

MMR: measles, mumps, rubella vaccine

n: number of participants in intervention and control arm

VE: vaccine effectiveness

WHO: World Health Organization

**Table 2. Effectiveness against mumps: summary findings from cohort studies**

| Study    | Population characteristics                                                            | Case definition/finding                                                                                   | MMR-strain/exposure                                                | Control                       | Number of events/number of exposed<br><br>Effectiveness estimate VE% (95% CI)                                                            | Evaluation of bias risk | Generalisability |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Ong 2005 | Children from child-care centres and primary schools in Singapore, aged 5 to 12 years | Clinical diagnosis. Standard questionnaire filled by trained public health officer or physician diagnoses | Jeryl Lynn<br><br>n = 711<br><br>1 or 2 MMR doses (health booklet) | n = 614<br><br>no vaccination | - Jeryl Lynn = 8 cases/711 vaccinated<br><br>- Control = 35 cases/614 unvaccinated<br><br>VE = 80.7% (57.8 to 90.8) for at least 1 dose  | High                    | Low              |
| Ong 2005 | See above                                                                             | See above                                                                                                 | Urabe<br><br>n = 190<br><br>1 or 2 MMR doses (health booklet)      | n = 614<br><br>no vaccination | - Urabe = 5 cases/190 vaccinated<br><br>- Control = 35 cases 614 unvaccinated<br><br>VE = 54.4% (from -16.2 to 81.7) for at least 1 dose | High                    | Low              |



**Table 2. Effectiveness against mumps: summary findings from cohort studies** *(Continued)*

|               |                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                               |                               |                                                                                                                                                  |      |     |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----|
| Ong 2005      | See above                                                                                             | See above                                                                                                                                                             | Rubini<br><br>n = 1694<br><br>1 or 2 MMR doses<br>(health booklet)                            | n = 614<br><br>no vaccination | - Rubini = 150 cases/1694 vaccinated<br><br>- Control = 35 cases/614 unvaccinated<br><br>VE = -55.3% (from -121.8% to -8.8%) for at least 1 dose | High | Low |
| Schlegel 1999 | Children aged 5 to 13 years from a small village in Switzerland                                       | Clinical confirmation after virus isolation or clinical picture observed in sibling of confirmed cases<br><br>Parents interview and evaluation by study investigators | Urabe<br><br>n = 40<br><br>vaccination records                                                | n = 8<br><br>not vaccinated   | - Urabe = 3 cases/40 vaccinated<br><br>- Control = 5 cases/8 unvaccinated<br><br>VE = 87% (76 to 94) for at least 1 dose                         | High | Low |
| Schlegel 1999 | See above                                                                                             | See above                                                                                                                                                             | Jeryl Lynn<br><br>n = 36<br><br>Vaccination records                                           | n = 8<br><br>not vaccinated   | - Jeryl Lynn = 5 cases/36 vaccinated<br><br>- Control = 5 cases/8 unvaccinated<br><br>VE = 78% (64 to 82) for at least 1 dose                    | High | Low |
| Schlegel 1999 | See above                                                                                             | See above                                                                                                                                                             | Rubini<br><br>n = 79<br><br>vaccination records                                               | n = 8<br><br>not vaccinated   | - Rubini = 53 cases/79 vaccinated<br><br>- Control = 5 cases/8 unvaccinated<br><br>VE = -4% (-218 to 15) for at least 1 dose                     | High | Low |
| Marolla 1998  | Children (19 to 67 months) whose parent required a paediatrician visit during a measles outbreak peak | Clinical diagnosis<br><br>Patients record and parents interview                                                                                                       | Urabe<br><br>n = 329 (Pluserix)<br>n = 747 (Morupar)<br><br>1 dose<br><br>vaccination records | n = 646<br><br>not vaccinated | - Pluserix = 38 cases/19,433 person-months<br><br>- Morupar = 28 cases/12,785 person-months<br><br>- Control = 206 cases/25,816 person-months    | High | Low |

**Table 2. Effectiveness against mumps: summary findings from cohort studies** (Continued)

|                      |                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                    |                                                                    |                           |                                                                                                                                                               |          |     |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----|
|                      |                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                    |                                                                    |                           | VE = 75% (65% to 83%) for 1 dose Pluserix                                                                                                                     |          |     |
|                      |                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                    |                                                                    |                           | VE = 73% (59 to 82) for 1 dose Morupar                                                                                                                        |          |     |
| Marolla 1998         | See above                                                                                                                                                                 | See above                                                          | Rubini (Triviraten)<br>n = 1023<br>One dose<br>Vaccination records | n = 646<br>Not vaccinated | - Triviraten = 185 cases/29,974 person-months<br>VE = 23% (6 to 37) for 1 dose Triviraten                                                                     | High     | Low |
| Lopez Hernandez 2000 | Male children aged between 3 and 15 years attending a scholastic institute during a mumps outbreak (March to November 1997)                                               | Clinical diagnosis. Cases notified by the Andalusian survey system | Not known<br>n = 685<br>vaccination record                         | n = 38<br>not vaccinated  | - 73 cases/685 vaccinated<br>- 8 cases/38 unvaccinated controls<br>VE = 49% (Chi <sup>2</sup> test = 3.91, P = 0.047) for at least 1 dose                     | High     | Low |
| Chamot 1998          | Children aged up to 16 years from Ginevra were household contacts of primary confirmed mumps cases (clinical or with laboratory confirmation notified by a paediatrician) | Clinical diagnosis of secondary cases<br>Phone interview           | Urabe<br>n = 75<br>vaccination records                             | n = 72<br>no vaccination  | - Urabe = 7 cases/75 vaccinated contacts<br>- Control = 25 cases/72 unvaccinated contacts<br>VE = 73.1% (41.8 to 87.6)<br>Number of doses not specified       | Moderate | Low |
| Chamot 1998          | See above                                                                                                                                                                 | See above                                                          | Jeryl Lynn<br>N = 30<br>vaccination records                        | n = 72<br>no vaccination  | - Jeryl Lynn = 4 cases/30 vaccinated contacts<br>- Control = 25 cases/72 unvaccinated contacts<br>VE = 61.6 % (-0.9 to 85.4)<br>Number of doses not specified | Moderate | Low |
| Chamot 1998          | See above                                                                                                                                                                 | See above                                                          | Rubini<br>n = 83<br>vaccination records                            | n = 72<br>no vaccination  | - Rubini = 27 cases/83 vaccinated contacts<br>- Control = 25 cases/72 unvaccinated contacts                                                                   | Moderate | Low |

**Table 2. Effectiveness against mumps: summary findings from cohort studies** (Continued)

VE = 6. 3% (-45.9 to 39.8)

Number of doses not specified

MMR: measles, mumps, rubella vaccine

n: number of participants in intervention and control arm

VE: vaccine effectiveness

**Table 3. Effectiveness against mumps: summary findings from case-control studies**

| Study        | Population characteristics                                                                                                      | Case definition/finding                                                                                                                                                | Controls/se-<br>lection                                                                          | MMR strain/exposure                                                           | Num-<br>ber of<br>vacci-<br>nated<br>in cas-<br>es/con-<br>trols                                                                                                | Evaluation<br>of bias risk | Generalis-<br>ability |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
|              |                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                  |                                                                               | Effec-<br>tive-<br>ness<br>esti-<br>mate<br>VE %<br>(95%<br>CI)                                                                                                 |                            |                       |
| Harling 2005 | Children and adoles-<br>cents aged between 1<br>and 18 years from reli-<br>gious community in NE<br>London. Mumps out-<br>break | Clinical diagnosis<br><br>n = 156<br><br>(GP notification to the local CCDC, mumps<br>diagnoses from electronic practice list,<br>verbal reports by community members) | n = 175 ran-<br>domly select-<br>ed and strat-<br>ified for age<br>and sex from<br>practice list | Jeryl Lynn 1 or 2 MMR<br>doses received at least 1<br>month before index date | 79/156<br>cases<br>and<br>134/175<br>con-<br>trols<br>re-<br>ceived<br>at least<br>1 MMR<br>dose<br><br>VE =<br>69%<br>(41 to<br>84) for<br>at least<br>1 dose, | Moderate                   | Medium                |

**Table 3. Effectiveness against mumps: summary findings from case-control studies** *(Continued)*

|                              |           |                                                                                                                                                                                |           |           | adjust-<br>ed for<br>age,<br>sex,<br>prac-<br>tice                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |          |        |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|
| <a href="#">Harling 2005</a> | See above | Laboratory-confirmation of clinical diag-<br>nosis<br><br>n = 43<br><br>- GP notification to the local CCDC<br><br>To notified cases, IgM and mumps RNA<br>testing was offered | See above | See above | - VE for<br>at least<br>1 dose<br>= 65%<br>(25 to<br>84)<br><br>- VE for<br>1 dose<br>= 64%<br>(40 to<br>78)<br><br>- VE for<br>2 dos-<br>es =<br>88%<br>(62 to<br>96)<br><br>All ad-<br>just-<br>ed for<br>age,<br>sex,<br>prac-<br>tice<br><br>Pro-<br>por-<br>tion of<br>vacci-<br>nated<br>in cas-<br>es and<br>con-<br>trols<br>not | Moderate | Medium |

**Table 3. Effectiveness against mumps: summary findings from case-control studies** (Continued)

|                    |                                                                                |                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                 | provided                                                                                                             |      |     |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----|
| Goncalves<br>1998  | Children and adolescents (15 months to 16 years) from Oporto (Portugal)        | Clinical diagnosis n = 73<br><br>Cases reported by GPs or hospital doctors, occurred during the 1995 to 1996 mumps outbreak  | n = 169, 2 consecutive vaccination records of the same sex, month and birth year as the case, were selected | Urabe vaccination records<br><br>(assuming that before 1 November 1992 MMR mumps Urabe strain was administered) | 56/73 cases and 142/169 controls received at least 1 MMR dose<br><br>VE = 70% (25 to 88) for at least 1 dose         | High | Low |
| Goncalves<br>1998  | See above                                                                      | Clinical diagnosis n = 133<br><br>Cases reported by GPs or hospital doctors, occurred during the 1995 to 1996 mumps outbreak | n = 236 see above                                                                                           | Rubini vaccination records (assuming that after 1 November 1992 MMR mumps Rubini strain was administered)       | 116/133 cases and 209/236 controls received at least 1 MMR dose<br><br>VE = 1% (from -108 to 53) for at least 1 dose | High | Low |
| Giovanetti<br>2002 | Children and adolescent aged from 14 months to 15 years from urban area of Al- | Clinical diagnosis (cases notified by national infectious diseases surveillance system)                                      | n = 139 randomly selected from immunisa-                                                                    | Not specified<br><br>Vaccination registry and phone interviews, im-                                             | 90/139 cases and 111/139                                                                                             | High | Low |

**Table 3. Effectiveness against mumps: summary findings from case-control studies** *(Continued)*

|                       | ba and Bra and 10 rural towns (12,880 residents from 0 to 15 years). During 2000 to 2001 epidemic                                                                | n = 139<br>- Notified mumps cases                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | tion registry, matched for birth year and address                               | munisation should have been received at least 30 days before disease onset                                                              | controls received at least 1 MMR dose<br><br>VE = 53.7% (20.4 to 73.0) for at least 1 dose                                                                       |          |        |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|
| <b>Castilla 2009a</b> | Children aged between 15 months and 10 years from Navarre region (Northern Spain) at the time when a mumps outbreak occurred (between August 2006 and June 2008) | Laboratory or epidemiological confirmation of clinical cases: swelling of 1 of more salivary glands for at least 2 days with either laboratory (PCR or IgM positive) or epidemiological confirmation (i.e. epidemiological relation with other laboratory-confirmed or clinical mumps cases)<br><br>Obtained from cases notified to the regional health authority n = 241 | n = 1205 matched for sex, municipality, district of residence and paediatrician | Jeryl Lynn 1 or 2 MMR doses received at least 30 days before symptom disease onset. Blinded review of primary care vaccination registry | - 169/241 cases and 852/1205 matched controls were immunised with 1 MMR dose<br><br>- 59/241 cases and matched 330/1205 controls were immunised with 2 MMR doses | Moderate | Medium |



**Table 3. Effectiveness against mumps: summary findings from case-control studies** (Continued)

|                                |                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                             |      |     | VE for<br>any<br>doses<br>= 72%<br>(95%<br>CI from<br>39% to<br>87%,<br>P =<br>0.0013)<br><br>VE for<br>1 dose<br>= 66%<br>(95%<br>CI 25%<br>to<br>85%,<br>P =<br>0.0075)<br><br>VE for<br>2 dos-<br>es =<br>83%<br>(95%<br>CI 54%<br>to<br>94%,<br>P =<br>0.0005) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <a href="#">Mackenzie 2006</a> | About 600 pupils attending a boarding schools in Scotland during a mumps outbreak that peaked between October and November 2004 | Virological confirmation of clinical diagnosis<br><br>n = 20 (age 13 to 17 years)<br><br>Cases notified to consultant in public health medicine. Acute cases with virological positive test | n = 40 matched for age, sex, residential status, UK or international students | Not specified Pre-outbreak vaccination status obtained by medical notes held in the school, communication with parents and from Scottish Immunisation Recall System | - 9/20 cases and 20/40 controls received 1 MMR dose<br><br>- 2/20 cases and | High | Low |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |

**Table 3. Effectiveness against mumps: summary findings from case-control studies** *(Continued)*

6/40  
con-  
trols  
re-  
ceived  
2 MMR  
doses

- VE (at  
least  
1 ver-  
sus un-  
vacci-  
nated)  
= 34%  
(from  
-100 to  
88)

- VE  
(For 2  
doses  
versus  
unvac-  
cinat-  
ed) =  
48%  
(from  
-216 to  
91)

VE (1  
versus  
2 dos-  
es) =  
26%  
(from  
-340 to  
88)

CCDC: Consultant in Communicable Disease Control  
IgM: immunoglobulin M  
MMR: measles, mumps, rubella vaccine  
n: number of cases or control participants  
PCR: polymerase chain reaction

VE: vaccine effectiveness

**Table 4. Salient characteristics of studies evaluating short-term side effects**

| Study                          | Study design | Population enrolled              | Risk of bias | Likely bias | Generalisability |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| <a href="#">Bloom 1975</a>     | RCT          | 282                              | High         | Reporting   | Low              |
| <a href="#">Ceyhan 2001</a>    | CCT          | 1000                             | Moderate     | Detection   | Medium           |
| <a href="#">Edees 1991</a>     | RCT          | 420                              | Moderate     | Detection   | Medium           |
| <a href="#">Lerman 1981</a>    | RCT          | 502                              | Low          | Detection   | Medium           |
| <a href="#">Peltola 1986</a>   | RCT          | 686                              | Low          | Detection   | High             |
| <a href="#">Schwarz 1975</a>   | RCT          | 1481                             | High         | Reporting   | Low              |
| <a href="#">Beck 1989</a>      | Cohort       | 196 *                            | High         | Selection   | Low              |
| <a href="#">Benjamin 1992</a>  | Cohort       | 5017                             | Moderate     | Detection   | Medium           |
| <a href="#">Dunlop 1989</a>    | Cohort       | 335                              | High         | Selection   | Low              |
| <a href="#">Makino 1990</a>    | Cohort       | 1638                             | High         | Selection   | Low              |
| <a href="#">Miller 1989</a>    | Cohort       | 12185                            | High         | Reporting   | Low              |
| <a href="#">Robertson 1988</a> | Cohort       | 319                              | Moderate     | Selection   | Medium           |
| <a href="#">Sharma 2010</a>    | Cohort       | 453,119                          | High         | Reporting   | Low              |
| <a href="#">Stokes 1971</a>    | Cohort       | 966                              | High         | Selection   | Low              |
| <a href="#">Swartz 1974</a>    | Cohort       | 59                               | High         | Selection   | Low              |
| <a href="#">Weibel 1980</a>    | Cohort       | 135                              | High         | Selection   | Low              |
| <a href="#">Freeman 1993</a>   | Time-series  | 375                              | High         | Attrition   | Low              |
|                                |              | * The number enrolled is unclear |              |             |                  |

**Table 5. Reporting of temperature in RCTs (MMR versus single components/placebo/do nothing)**

| Temperature increment (°C) | Measurement site | Reporting frequency | Observation period | Reference                    |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| 38.0 to 38.4               | Axilla           | All episodes        | 21                 | <a href="#">Schwarz 1975</a> |
| 38.0 to 38.4               | Rectal           | All episodes        | 21                 | <a href="#">Schwarz 1975</a> |
| 38.5 to 38.9               | Axilla           | All episodes        | 21                 | <a href="#">Schwarz 1975</a> |

**Table 5. Reporting of temperature in RCTs (MMR versus single components/placebo/do nothing)** *(Continued)*

|                    |              |                         |    |                              |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------------------|----|------------------------------|
| 38.5 to 38.9       | Rectal       | All episodes            | 21 | <a href="#">Schwarz 1975</a> |
| 38.6 to 39.5       | Not reported | Mean number of episodes | 21 | <a href="#">Peltola 1986</a> |
| 39.0 to 39.4       | Axilla       | All episodes            | 21 | <a href="#">Schwarz 1975</a> |
| 39.0 to 39.4       | Rectal       | All episodes            | 21 | <a href="#">Schwarz 1975</a> |
| 39.5 to 39.9       | Axilla       | All episodes            | 21 | <a href="#">Schwarz 1975</a> |
| 39.5 to 39.9       | Rectal       | All episodes            | 21 | <a href="#">Schwarz 1975</a> |
| 40.0 to 40.4       | Rectal       | All episodes            | 21 | <a href="#">Schwarz 1975</a> |
| Up to 38.5         | Not reported | Mean number of episodes | 21 | <a href="#">Peltola 1986</a> |
| > 1 C above normal | Not reported | First episode           | 21 | <a href="#">Bloom 1975</a>   |
| > 38               | Not reported | All episodes            | 42 | <a href="#">Lerman 1981</a>  |
| Not reported       | Not reported | First episode           | 21 | <a href="#">Edees 1991</a>   |
| Up to 39.5         | Not reported | Mean number of episodes | 21 | <a href="#">Peltola 1986</a> |

**Table 6. MMR and encephalitis/encephalopathy**

| Study and design         | Outcome                                        | Population                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Outcome definition                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Findings                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | MMR type                                                                                                | Risk time                                           | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Risk of bias | Generalisability |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Ray 2006<br>Case-control | Encephalopathy, Reyes syndrome or encephalitis | Cases (n = 452): children aged 0 to 6 years with outcome of interest.<br><br>Controls (n = 1280): matching for health maintenance Organisation location, age within 7 days, sex and length of enrolment in health plan | 1. Encephalopathy: acute generalised disturbance of brain function requiring hospitalisation and consisting of coma or stupor that cannot be attributed to medication or postictal state. Such cases must have altered consciousness, delirium, obtundation and/or confusion.<br><br>2. Reyes syndrome: clinical symptoms of acute encephalopathy with altered level of consciousness as well as:<br><br>a. Absence of inflammatory changes in cerebrospinal fluid as indicated by 5 white blood cells/mm <sup>3</sup> or brain histology showing cerebral oedema without perivascular or meningeal inflammation, plus<br><br>b. Evidence of hepatitis or liver failure documented by a 3-fold or greater elevation in serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase, serum glutamate pyruvate transaminase or serum ammonia or fatty changes of hepatocytes | Hospitalisation cases for encephalopathy, Reyes syndrome or encephalitis (primary or secondary diagnosis) in children aged 0 to 6 years, members of the health plan of 4 Health Maintenance Organisations in the USA and occurred between 1 January 1981 and 31 December 1995, were considered as possible cases. Hospital charts were reviewed by abstracter (not blind to vaccination status of the cases) who included in first instance encephalitis diagnoses by a neurologist with clear aetiology and excluded all cases with a condition other than encephalopathy. All other neurologic cases were reviewed by a neurologist (blind to vaccination status of the cases) and included as cases if they met case definition (see column on the right). | Not reported.<br><br>Vaccination status of both cases and controls was ascertained from medical records | 7 to 14, 0 to 14, 0 to 30, 0 to 60 and 0 to 90 days | Not significant<br><br>- OR 7 to 14 days<br>0.40 (95% CI from 0.05 to 3.46)<br><br>- OR 0 to 14 days<br>0.35 (95% CI from 0.04 to 2.95)<br><br>- OR 0 to 30 days<br>0.85 (95% CI from 0.27 to 2.68)<br><br>- OR 0 to 60 days<br>0.64 (95% CI from 0.27 to 1.50)<br><br>- OR 0 to 90 days<br>0.98 (95% CI from 0.47 to 2.01) | Moderate     | Medium           |

**Table 6. MMR and encephalitis/encephalopathy** *(Continued)*

|                                                                       |                             |                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                       |                             |                                                                     |          |        |  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|--|
|                                                                       |                             |                                                                  | <p>on liver biopsy or autopsy, plus</p> <p>c. Absence of other aetiologies for cerebral or hepatic abnormalities</p> <p>3. Encephalitis/encephalomyelitis: evidence of acute neurologic disease presenting with nonspecific signs such as fever, seizures, altered consciousness, headache, vomiting, meningismus or anorexia. We required multifocal involvement of the central nervous system and evidence of cerebrospinal fluid inflammation (7 white blood cells/mm<sup>3</sup>)</p> <p>Disease with other known etiologies were excluded.</p> <p>For data analysis all cases were stratified on the basis of their aetiology: known, unknown, suspected but unconfirmed (this last when a diagnosis was not confirmed by a diagnostic test)</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                       |                             |                                                                     |          |        |  |
| <a href="#">Makela 2002</a><br><br><i>Self controlled case series</i> | Encephalitis/encephalopathy | Children immunised between 1 and 7 years of age between November | Encephalitis: acute or subacute onset of neurologic symptoms. Presence of neurologic symptoms or findings (clinical or laboratory, for example microbiological, electroencephalograph                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <p>The National Hospital Discharge Register was consulted by using the following ICD-8 codes: 065.99, 066.01, 066.02, 072.01, 292.20, 292.38, 292.39, 323.00, 323.01, 323.08, 323.09, 781.70, 999, 999.10</p> <p>Medical records of hospitalised subjects were reviewed (in order to evaluate possible other causes of the event) and their correspon-</p> | MMR II<br><br>En-<br>ders-Ed-<br>monston<br>(measles)<br><br>Jeryl<br>Lynn<br>(mumps) | 3 months after immunisation | Not significant excess of hospitalisation within 3 months of vacci- | Moderate | Medium |  |



**Table 6. MMR and encephalitis/encephalopathy** (Continued)

|                           |              |                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                        |                                  |                                                                                 |     |      |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|
|                           |              | ber 1982 and June 1986 (535,544) with outcome of interest (n = 199)  | ic, computed tomographic) indicative of involvement of the brain parenchyma, such as coma, seizures, focal neurologic findings, or mental function impairment. Absence of evidence of other diagnoses, including non-inflammatory conditions and no microbiological or other laboratory findings suggestive of a nonviral infection. When pleocytosis in CSF is present, the term encephalitis is used, implying an inflammatory response within the brain. The presence of normal CSF findings does not preclude the diagnosis if the other criteria are satisfied. | dence to diagnostic criteria (see column on the right) examined                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Wistar RA 27/3 (rubella)                                               | nation (P = 0.28)                |                                                                                 |     |      |
|                           |              |                                                                      | Encephalopathy: clinically resembles encephalitis but no inflammatory response is evident. Chronic encephalopathy: persistence of acute findings usually over several months                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Vaccination data were assessed through vaccination register            |                                  |                                                                                 |     |      |
| <a href="#">Ward 2007</a> | Encephalitis | Children aged 12 to 35 months, (immunised with MMR; NK) with outcome | Encephalitis:<br><br>(i) Encephalopathy for at least 24 hours and at least 2 of the following: fever, convulsions, focal neurologic findings ( $\geq$ 24 hrs), pleocytosis ( $>$ 5 leukocytes per $\mu$ L CSF), characteristic abnormal                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Cases of suspected encephalitis and/or severe illness with fever and convulsion occurring in children aged between 2 and 35 months through Britain and Ireland, were identified by consultant paediatricians taking part in a survey (October 1998 to September 2001) and notified to the British Paediatric Surveillance Unit. Details about neurologic illnesses were collected by reporting paediatricians by means of a detailed questionnaires. | Not reported.<br><br>Immunisation history of cases was obtained by the | 15 to 35 days after immunisation | The incidence of encephalitis was not statistically different between "at risk" | Low | High |

**Table 6. MMR and encephalitis/encephalopathy** (Continued)

|                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                               |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| of interest diagnosed between October 98 and September 2001 (n = 106) | <p>results of neuroimaging (computerised tomography or MRI), herpes simplex virus nucleic acid (or nucleic acid of any other virus proven to cause encephalitis) in CSF; or</p> <p>(ii) postmortem histologic evidence of encephalitis</p> <p>Exclude:</p> <p>(i) viral (aseptic) meningitis without encephalopathy</p> <p>(ii) the following confirmed causes were excluded: hypoxic/ischaemic; vascular; toxic; metabolic, neoplastic, traumatic and pyogenic infections</p> <p>(iii) uncomplicated convulsions or a series of convulsions lasting &lt; 30 minutes</p> <p>(iv) immunocompromised children</p> | <p>For diagnostic purposes saliva, blood and cerebrospinal samples were also collected. Questionnaires were reviewed by study investigators in order to assess whether reported cases corresponded to an analytical case definition taking in account severe illness with fever and convulsion and encephalitis (see column on the right)</p> | <p>Immunisation Department of the Health Protection Agency (other than MMR vaccine the study considers also DTP, Hib and MenC vaccines). Only cases with known vaccination history were included in the analysis</p> | <p>and control period: relative incidence 1.34 (95% CI from 0.52 to 3.47)</p> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

CI: confidence interval

CSF: cerebro-spinal fluid

DTP: diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis vaccine

Hib: *Haemophilus influenzae* b vaccine

MenC: meningococcus C vaccine

MMR: measles, mumps, rubella vaccine

n: number of participants

OR: odds ratio

**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis**

| Study and design           | Outcome            | Population                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Findings                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | MMR type                                                                                                            | Risk time                                               | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Risk of bias | Generalisability |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Black 1997<br>Case-control | Aseptic meningitis | 59 cases and 118 matched controls (age 12 to 23 months at the time of discharge diagnosis, between 1984 and 1993). For each ascertained case (n = 59), 2 controls matched for age, sex, HMO and HMO membership status were selected | Potential cases of aseptic meningitis were identified by computerised hospitalisation data from Health Maintenance Organisations (HMO) that participated in the Vaccine Safety Datalink project. They were children aged 12 to 23 months with ICD-9 discharge diagnoses 045.2, 047.*, 048, 072.1, 072.2 or 322.* between 1984 and 1993. Medical records of potential cases were reviewed and included as cases when correspond to a validation criteria (see column on the right) deriving disease caused by toxoplasmosis, syphilis, cytomegalovirus, neonatal herpes simplex or | Jeryl Lynn mumps strain<br><br>Vaccination status of both cases and controls was derived from medical record review | 0 to 14,<br>0 to 30,<br>8 to 14 days after immunisation | No statistically relevant difference in exposure to MMR for any of the considered at risk time intervals<br><br>- OR (0 to 14 days) 0.50 (95% CI from 0.1 to 4.5)<br><br>- OR (0 to 30 days) 0.84 (95% CI from 0.2 to 3.5)<br><br>- OR (8 to 14 days) 1.00 (95% CI from 0.1 to 9.2) | Low          | High             |

**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** *(Continued)*

human immunodeficiency virus. (The same exclusion criteria were also used for controls.) In addition bacterial, mycobacterial and fungal cultures of

**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** (Continued)

|              |                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |              |         |                                                                                                   |               |        |
|--------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
|              |                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | the<br>cere-<br>brospinal<br>flu-<br>id<br>must<br>have<br>been<br>neg-<br>a-<br>tive,<br>and<br>the<br>pa-<br>tient<br>must<br>have<br>had<br>a<br>cere-<br>brospinal<br>flu-<br>id<br>white<br>blood<br>cell<br>count<br>of<br>>=<br>10<br>cells/<br>mm <sup>3</sup>                                                                                                                   |              |         |                                                                                                   |               |        |
| Park<br>2004 | Aseptic<br>meningi-<br>tis | 39 subjects with AS aged<br>13 to 29 months of both<br>sexes identified from in-<br>surance claims and hos-<br>pitalisation data during<br>1998 in Korea who had<br>received MMR vaccine<br>within 1 year before dis-<br>ease onset and for whom<br>vaccination record were<br>available | Cases of aseptic meningitis<br>were identified from insur-<br>ance claims and hospitalisa-<br>tion data during 1998 in Ko-<br>rea. Authors considered cas-<br>es corresponding to diagnosis<br>as <i>listeria</i> occurred in children<br>aged 8 to 36 months who had<br>received MMR vaccine within 1<br>year before disease onset and<br>for whom vaccination record<br>were available | Not reported | 42 days | Strong association with ex-<br>posure to MMR within 42<br>days. OR 3.0; 95% CI from<br>1.5 to 6.1 | Moder-<br>ate | Medium |

Case  
cross-  
over

**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** (Continued)

|                                    |                    |                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                        |                             |                                                                                     |          |        |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|
|                                    |                    |                                                                                                                              | by<br>acute<br>on-<br>set<br>of<br>meningeal<br>symp-<br>toms,<br>fever<br>and<br>cere-<br>brospinal<br>flu-<br>id<br>pleo-<br>cy-<br>to-<br>sis,<br>with<br>bac-<br>te-<br>ri-<br>o-<br>log-<br>i-<br>cal-<br>ly<br>ster-<br>ile<br>cul-<br>tures |                                                                                        |                             |                                                                                     |          |        |
| <a href="#">Makela 2002</a>        | Aseptic meningitis | Children immunised between 1 and 7 years of age between November 82 and June 86 (535,544) with outcome of interest (n = 161) | Hospitalisation records (ICD-8 codes: 045.99, 320.88, 320.99) and review of patients' medical record for assess correspondence to case definition the meninges. Usually a self limit-                                                              | MMR II<br>Enders-Edmonston (measles)<br>Jeryl Lynn (mumps)<br>Wistar RA 27/3 (rubella) | 3 months after immunisation | Not significant excess of hospitalisation within 3 months of vaccination (P = 0.57) | Moderate | Medium |
| <i>Self controlled case series</i> |                    |                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                        |                             |                                                                                     |          |        |

**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** *(Continued)*

ing  
dis-  
ease  
of  
known  
or  
sus-  
pect-  
ed  
vi-  
ral  
cause  
con-  
sist-  
ing  
of  
fever,  
headache,  
signs  
of  
meningeal  
ir-  
ri-  
ta-  
tion,  
with-  
out  
ev-  
i-  
dence  
of  
brain  
parenchy-  
mal  
in-  
volve-  
ment  
and  
a  
lym-  
pho-  
cyt-  
ic  
and  
mononu-  
clear



**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** *(Continued)*

|                              |                    |                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                 |                               |                                                                               |               |        |
|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
|                              |                    |                                                                                                | pleo-<br>cy-<br>to-<br>sis<br>of<br>CSF.<br>The<br>term<br>menin-<br>goen-<br>cephali-<br>tis<br>does<br>not<br>dif-<br>fer-<br>en-<br>ti-<br>ate<br>cas-<br>es<br>with<br>promi-<br>nent<br>in-<br>volve-<br>ment<br>of<br>the<br>brain<br>parenchy-<br>ma<br>from<br>those<br>with<br>meningeal<br>in-<br>volve-<br>ment<br>on-<br>ly |                                                 |                               |                                                                               |               |        |
| <a href="#">Dourado 2000</a> | Aseptic meningitis | About 452,334 children aged 1 to 11 years in Salvador city (Bahia, NE Brazil). 29 hospitalisa- | Data about meningitis were obtained from the state Epidemiology Surveillance System and from the neurolog-                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Pluserix vaccine (Smith-Kline Beecham, UK) con- | 1 to 10 weeks after immunisa- | Strong association<br><br>The incidence of aseptic meningitis hospitalisation | Moder-<br>ate | Medium |

**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** (Continued)

| Time-series |                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|             | tions for AM has been recorded during the reference period before the campaign began (surveillance weeks 10 to 33), 58 thereafter weeks (surveillance weeks 34 to 43) | in service of the state referral hospital for infectious disease (Hospital Couto Maia), by reviewing hospital records of children admitted between the 10th and the 43rd epidemiological surveillance weeks. Demographic, clinical, and laboratory data were collected on a standardised form. | taining mumps <b>Urabe</b> Strain.                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | tion (as time-series)                                                 | was significantly higher during the third (18 cases risk ratio (RR) 14.28; 95% CI 7.93 to 25.71), fourth (15 cases RR 11.90; 95% CI 6.38 to 22.19), fifth (9 cases, RR 7.14; 95% CI 3.38 to 15.08) and sixth (4 cases, RR 3.17; 95% CI 1.12 to 9.02) weeks following the start of the immunisation campaign when compared with that observed during the reference period |
|             |                                                                                                                                                                       | 3) Cerebrospinal fluid with a cell count of > 10 and < 1200 cells per ml (higher counts could be attributed to unconfirmed bacterial                                                                                                                                                           | Vaccination began on 16 August 1997 (National Immunisation Day, surveillance week 33), 45% coverage of the target population was achieved on that day, high coverage (exact data not reported, but very close to 100%) during the 2 following weeks. | 3 to 5 weeks (i.e. 15 to 35 days) after immunisation (as case series) |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|             |                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Vaccination history was obtained by vaccination cards or visits/ phone call.                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** *(Continued)*

r-  
i-  
al  
menin-  
gi-  
tis)  
  
4)  
Pre-  
dom-  
i-  
nance  
of  
lym-  
pho-  
cytes  
in  
the  
cere-  
brospinal  
flu-  
id  
of  
>  
50  
per-  
cent  
of  
the  
to-  
tal  
num-  
ber  
of  
cells  
  
5)  
Ex-  
clu-  
sion  
of  
any  
bac-  
te-  
ri-  
o-

**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** *(Continued)*

log-  
ic  
or  
fun-  
gal  
con-  
fir-  
ma-  
tion  
through  
the  
use  
of  
Gram  
stain,  
la-  
tex,  
im-  
mu-  
no-  
elec-  
trophore-  
sis,  
stain  
for  
*Cryp-  
to-  
coc-  
cus  
neo-  
for-  
mans*,  
Ziehl-  
Neelsen  
stain,  
or  
cul-  
ture  
for  
bac-  
te-  
ria  
and  
*My-  
cobac-  
teri-*

**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** *(Continued)*

um  
tu-  
ber-  
cu-  
lo-  
sis;  
and  
  
6)  
Ex-  
clu-  
sion  
of  
all  
cas-  
es  
with  
a  
his-  
to-  
ry  
of  
pri-  
or  
menin-  
gi-  
tis  
or  
any  
neu-  
ro-  
log-  
ic  
dis-  
or-  
der  
and  
any  
cas-  
es  
with  
sep-  
sis,  
pneu-  
mo-  
nia,

**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** (Continued)

|                    |                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | oti-<br>tis,<br>or<br>any<br>oth-<br>er<br>dis-<br>ease<br>that<br>might<br>be<br>as-<br>so-<br>ci-<br>at-<br>ed<br>with<br>an<br>in-<br>creased<br>cell<br>count<br>in<br>the<br>cere-<br>brospinal<br>flu-<br>id                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |               |      |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------|
| da Cun-<br>ha 2002 | Aseptic<br>meningi-<br>tis | About 580,587 in MS (Mato Grosso do Sul) and 473,718 in MT (Mato Grosso) children aged 1 to 11 years. According to the first case definition 22 cases of AM (with viral or unknown aetiology) were notified before the start of the immunisation campaign (weeks 1 to 31, 1998) and 48 during weeks 32 to 42 in MS. In MT they were 71 before the campaign started (weeks 1 to 37 of 1998) and 103 there- | Cases of aseptic meningitis identified from routine surveillance system were reviewed considering 2 different definitions, one based on the diagnosis reported in the notification form (first definition) and one based on the laboratory findings of the same form (when these are available on file). These definitions are independent but not exclusive. | Serum Institute of India, Ltd, Pune. containing Leningrad-Zagreb mumps strain. Three different lots were used in each state (MS and MT).<br><br>Mass immunisation campaign started in mid August 1998 (32 <sup>nd</sup> epidemiological week) in MS and late September in MS (week 38), and lasted for about 1 | 1 to 10<br>weeks<br>after im-<br>munisa-<br>tion | Strong association<br><br>AM incidence in MS became significantly higher than in the pre-immunisation time from 2 weeks after the start of the campaign (4 cases, RR 5.6; 95% CI 1.3 to 14.1), peaked at 3 weeks (16 cases, RR 22.5; 95% CI 11.8 to 42.9) and 4 weeks after the start of the campaign (15 cases, RR 21.1; 95% CI 11.0 to 40.7) and returned to the average after week 39 | Moder-<br>ate | High |

In MT, incidence of AM cases became significantly higher during the third week (40) after the start of the campaign (5 cases, RR 2.6; 95% CI 1.1 to 6.5), peaked in week 42 (30 cases, RR 15.6; 95% CI 10.3 to 24.2) and week 43 (23 cases, RR 12.0; 95% CI 7.6 to 19.4) and returned to the average from week 46 onwards

month, even if the most part of the doses has been administered during the first 2 campaign weeks. Vaccination was reported for 69.4% and 93.5% of the target population in MT and in MS respectively.

**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** *(Continued)*

|                          |        |
|--------------------------|--------|
| after (weeks 38 to 48).  | of     |
| Data analysis by using   | vi-    |
| the second case defini-  | ral    |
| tion reflected an analo- | ae-    |
| gous trend.              | ti-    |
|                          | ol-    |
|                          | o-     |
|                          | gy     |
|                          | or     |
|                          | un-    |
|                          | known  |
|                          | ae-    |
|                          | ti-    |
|                          | ol-    |
|                          | o-     |
|                          | gy,    |
|                          | cas-   |
|                          | es     |
|                          | were   |
|                          | clas-  |
|                          | si-    |
|                          | fied   |
|                          | as     |
|                          | AM.    |
|                          | They   |
|                          | were   |
|                          | clas-  |
|                          | si-    |
|                          | fied   |
|                          | as     |
|                          | not    |
|                          | hav-   |
|                          | ing    |
|                          | AM     |
|                          | if     |
|                          | they   |
|                          | had    |
|                          | a      |
|                          | sus-   |
|                          | pect-  |
|                          | ed     |
|                          | or     |
|                          | con-   |
|                          | firmed |
|                          | di-    |
|                          | ag-    |



**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** *(Continued)*

no-  
sis  
of  
menin-  
gi-  
tis  
by  
a  
known  
(non-  
vi-  
ral)  
agent  
through  
any  
lab-  
o-  
ra-  
to-  
ry  
or  
clin-  
i-  
cal  
find-  
ing.  
  
Se-  
cond  
de-  
f-  
i-  
n-  
i-  
tion:  
cas-  
es  
were  
con-  
sid-  
ered  
AM  
if  
they  
had  
a

**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** *(Continued)*

CSF  
with  
the  
fol-  
low-  
ing  
find-  
ings:  
cell  
count  
greater  
than  
10  
and  
less-  
er  
than  
1500  
and  
pres-  
ence  
of  
lym-  
pho-  
cytes  
greater  
than  
49%.  
(Ap-  
plied  
for  
the  
cas-  
es  
in  
which  
lab-  
o-  
ra-  
to-  
ry  
da-  
ta  
were  
present  
in

**Table 7. MMR and aseptic meningitis** *(Continued)*

the  
no-  
ti-  
fi-  
ca-  
tion  
forms.  
In  
their  
ab-  
sence,  
cas-  
es  
were  
ex-  
clud-  
ed).

AM: aseptic meningitis  
CI: confidence interval  
HMO: Health Maintenance Organisation  
ICD: international classification of diseases  
MS: multiple sclerosis  
MT: Mato Grosso do Sul  
n: number of participants  
RR: risk ratio

**Table 8. MMR and febrile seizure**

| Study and de-<br>sign                                               | Out-<br>come                             | Population                                                                                                                                   | De-<br>fini-<br>tion                                                                         | Findings                                                                                     | MMR type                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Risk<br>time                                      | Results                                                                                                    | Risk of<br>bias | General-<br>isability |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Vester-<br>gaard<br>2004<br><br><i>Per-<br/>son-time<br/>cohort</i> | Febrile<br>seizure<br>(first<br>episode) | Children born in Den-<br>mark between 1 Jan-<br>uary 1991 and 31 De-<br>cember 1998 aged<br>between 3 months<br>and 5 years (n =<br>537,171) | Dis-<br>charge<br>diag-<br>noses<br>cor-<br>re-<br>spond-<br>ing to<br>the<br>indi-<br>cated | ICD-8 code 780.21 or<br>ICD-10 code R56.0 from<br>National Register of Hos-<br>pitalisations | Moraten measles, Jeryl Lynn<br>mumps, and Wistar RA 27/3.<br><br>Vaccination status of the children<br>was ascertained by using data of<br>the National Board of Health to<br>which vaccination data were trans-<br>mitted by general practitioners | 1 to 260<br>weeks<br>after im-<br>munisa-<br>tion | Associ-<br>ation<br>within<br>2 weeks<br>follow-<br>ing vac-<br>cination<br><br>RR 1.10;<br>95% CI<br>from | Low             | High                  |

**Table 8. MMR and febrile seizure** (Continued)

|                      |                                    |                                                                                        | ICD-8<br>and<br>ICD-10<br>codes.<br>Only<br>cases<br>with-<br>out<br>record-<br>ed<br>his-<br>to-<br>ry of<br>non-<br>febrile<br>seizure,<br>cere-<br>bral<br>pal-<br>sy,<br>se-<br>vere<br>head<br>trau-<br>mas,<br>in-<br>tracra-<br>nial<br>tu-<br>mours,<br>menin-<br>gi-<br>tis, or<br>en-<br>cephali-<br>tis<br>were<br>in-<br>clud-<br>ed |                                                                                |              | 1.05 to<br>1.15                |                                                                                                                 |     |      |  |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|--|
|                      |                                    |                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Recur-<br>rent<br>febrile<br>seizure                                           |              | Not<br>specified               | Associ-<br>ation<br>when<br>MMR<br>was ad-<br>minis-<br>tered<br>within 14<br>days be-<br>fore first<br>episode |     |      |  |
|                      |                                    |                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                |              |                                | RR 1.19;<br>95% CI<br>from<br>1.10 to<br>1.41                                                                   |     |      |  |
|                      |                                    |                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Epilep-<br>sy sub-<br>sequent<br>to a first<br>febrile<br>seizure<br>episode   |              | Not<br>specified               | Not sig-<br>nificant                                                                                            |     |      |  |
|                      |                                    |                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                |              |                                | RR 0.70;<br>95% CI<br>from<br>0.33 to<br>1.50                                                                   |     |      |  |
| Ward<br>2007         | Severe<br>illness<br>with<br>fever | Children aged 12 to<br>35 months, (immu-<br>nised with MMR; NK)<br>with outcome of in- | Se-<br>vere<br>ill-<br>ness                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Paediatrician survey<br>(questionnaires) and re-<br>view of the collected data | Not reported | 6 to 11<br>days af-<br>ter im- | Strong<br>associa-<br>tion.                                                                                     | Low | High |  |
| Self con-<br>trolled |                                    |                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                |              |                                |                                                                                                                 |     |      |  |

munisa-  
tion

RI 5.68;  
95% CI  
from  
2.31 to  
13.97

**Table 8. MMR and febrile seizure** *(Continued)*

| case se-<br>ries | and con-<br>vulsions | terest diagnosed be-<br>tween October 98<br>and September 2001<br>(n = 107) | with<br>fever<br>and<br>con-<br>vul-<br>sions                                                                                            |
|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                  |                      |                                                                             | (i)<br>with<br>a to-<br>tal<br>dura-<br>tion<br>of 30<br>min;<br>or                                                                      |
|                  |                      |                                                                             | (ii)<br>fol-<br>lowed<br>by<br>en-<br>cephalopa-<br>thy<br>for 2<br>to 23<br>hours;<br>or                                                |
|                  |                      |                                                                             | (iii)<br>fol-<br>lowed<br>by<br>paral-<br>ysis<br>or<br>other<br>neu-<br>ro-<br>logic<br>signs<br>not<br>pre-<br>vi-<br>ously<br>present |

**Table 8. MMR and febrile seizure** *(Continued)*

for 24  
hours

Ex-  
clude :

(i) vi-  
ral  
(asep-  
tic)  
menin-  
gitis  
with-  
out  
en-  
cephalopa-  
thy

(ii)  
the  
fol-  
low-  
ing  
con-  
firmed  
caus-  
es  
were  
ex-  
clud-  
ed:  
hy-  
pox-  
ic/is-  
chaemic;  
vas-  
cular;  
toxic;  
meta-  
bolic,  
neo-  
plas-  
tic,  
trau-  
mat-  
ic,

**Table 8. MMR and febrile seizure** (Continued)

|                                                    |                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                          |                                                                                          |               |        |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
|                                                    |                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                      | and<br>pyo-<br>genic<br>infec-<br>tions                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                          |                                                                                          |               |        |
|                                                    |                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                      | (iii)<br>un-<br>com-<br>pli-<br>cated<br>con-<br>vul-<br>sions<br>or a<br>se-<br>ries<br>of<br>con-<br>vul-<br>sions<br>last-<br>ing<br>< 30<br>min-<br>utes                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                          |                                                                                          |               |        |
|                                                    |                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                      | (iv)<br>im-<br>muno-<br>com-<br>pro-<br>mised<br>chil-<br>dren                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                          |                                                                                          |               |        |
| <a href="#">Miller<br/>2007</a>                    | Febrile<br>convul-<br>sion | Children aged 12 to<br>23 months with dis-<br>charge diagnosis cor-<br>responding to the<br>outcome of interest<br>and hospitalised be-<br>tween January 1998<br>and June 2002 who<br>received MMR (n =<br>894) | Hos-<br>pital<br>dis-<br>charge<br>diag-<br>noses<br>with<br>ICD-10<br>codes<br>R560 | Cases with discharge di-<br>agnoses corresponding<br>to the given ICD-10 codes<br>(febrile convulsion or fit,<br>not otherwise specified).<br>Episodes within a same<br>individual were consid-<br>ered as separate when<br>occurred at least 10 days<br>apart. | - MMRII (Sanofi Pasteur Lyon,<br>France) containing Jeryl Lynn<br>mumps<br><br>- Priorix, mumps vaccine compo-<br>nent RIT 4385<br><br>- Unknown vaccine type<br><br>- Priorix, MMRII or unknown | 6 to 11<br>days af-<br>ter im-<br>munisa-<br>tion<br><br>15 to 35<br>days af-<br>ter im- | Strong<br>associ-<br>ation<br>with-<br>in 6 to<br>11 days<br>for all<br>vaccine<br>types | Moder-<br>ate | Medium |
| <i>Self con-<br/>trolled<br/>case se-<br/>ries</i> |                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                          |                                                                                          |               |        |



**Table 8. MMR and febrile seizure** *(Continued)*

|                                                                                 |           |                                                              |                            |                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                                 |           | or<br>R568.<br>Case<br>re-<br>view<br>not<br>per-<br>formed. |                            |                                                                                                             | munisa-<br>tion                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | RI 4.09;<br>95% CI<br>from 3.1<br>to 5.33<br><br>Not sta-<br>tistically<br>relevant<br>within<br>15 to 35<br>days af-<br>ter im-<br>munisa-<br>tion<br><br>RI 1.13;<br>95% CI<br>from<br>0.87 to<br>1.48 |
| Febrile<br>convul-<br>sion (in-<br>cludes<br>ICD-10<br>codes<br>R560 on-<br>ly) | See above |                                                              | Priorix, MMRII or unknown. | 6 to 11<br>days af-<br>ter im-<br>munisa-<br>tion<br><br>15 to 35<br>days af-<br>ter im-<br>munisa-<br>tion | Strong<br>associ-<br>ation<br>within<br>6 to 11<br>days<br><br>RI 4.27;<br>95% CI<br>from<br>3.17 to<br>5.76<br><br>Not sta-<br>tistically<br>relevant<br>within<br>15 to 35<br>days af-<br>ter im-<br>munisa-<br>tion<br><br>RI 1.33;<br>95% CI<br>from |                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

**Table 8. MMR and febrile seizure** (Continued)

1.00 to  
1.77

CI: confidence interval  
ICD: international classification of diseases  
n: number of participants  
RR: risk ratio

**Table 9. MMR and autism**

| Study and design                                              | Outcome                                                 | Population                                                                                            | Definition                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Findings                                                    | MMR type                                                                                                                        | Risk time     | Results                                                                                                                                                  | Risk of bias | Generalisability |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| <a href="#">Madsen 2002</a><br><i>Retro-spective cohort</i>   | Autistic disorders or other autistic spectrum disorders | Danish children born between January 1991 and December 1998 (n = 537,303)                             | Diagnosis of autism using ICD-10 codes F84.0 or similar DSM-IV code 299; for autistic spectrum disorders ICD-10 codes F84.1 through F84.9 and DSM-IV codes 299.1 through 299.80. (DSM= Diagnostic and Statistical manual of Mental Disorders) | From medical records in Danish Psychiatric Central Register | MMR: Moraten (measles), Jeryl Lynn (mumps), Wistar RA 27/3.<br><br>Vaccination data reported in the National Board of Health    | Not to assess | Not significant association either for autism (RR 0.92; 95% CI from 0.68 to 1.24) or for autistic-spectrum disorders (RR 0.83; 95% CI from 0.65 to 1.07) | Moderate     | High             |
| <a href="#">Fombonne 2001</a><br><i>Retro-spective cohort</i> | Regressive autism                                       | Stafford sample (96 with PDD children born between 1992 and 1995) and MFS sample (99 cases of autism) | Regression defined with Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R). E.G ("Regression is assessed for language                                                                                                                                | Autism Diagnostic Interview (ADI) administered to parents   | Stafford sample (no description vaccine, but there were immunization data)<br><br>MFS sample (none vaccinated with MMR vaccine) | Not to assess | No statistically relevant differences across the 2 samples for the rate of probable or definite regression, P = 0.70                                     | High         | Low              |

**Table 9. MMR and autism** *(Continued)*

|                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| born between<br>1954 and 1979<br>(mean age<br>17.8 years) | skills as follows: Were you ever concerned that your child might have lost language skills during the first years of his/her life? Was there never a time when he/she stopped speaking for some months after having learned to talk" in Stafford sample. For MFS sample: "slightly different version of ADI...and regression was defined using three items of the original ADI version that assessed probable and definite level of regression and loss of skills, in the first 5 years of life and in 3 domains: language, social interactions, and play and imagination" |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

**Table 9. MMR and autism** (Continued)

|                                               |                                         |                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |      |     |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----|
| Uchiyama 2007<br><i>Retro-spective cohort</i> | Regression in autism spectrum disorders | Children born between 1976 and 1999 with clinical diagnosis of ASD (n = 904) | ASD regression defined as “a documented deterioration in any aspect of development or reported loss of skills, however transient”<br><br>Note: in process of time 2 different diagnostic processes has been adopted at YPCD: until February 2000 diagnosis process consisted in the assessment of ASD initially conducted by a child psychiatrist using The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-IV, American Psychiatric Association, 1994), afterward a clinical psychologist conducted an intelligence test. After admission a psychiatrist followed the patients | Consulting of questionnaires about patient's developmental, behavioural and medical history filled out by parents, and archived in a database | Measles, mumps and rubella (MMR) vaccine containing AIK-C (measles), Urabe AM9 (mumps) and To-336 (rubella) strains.<br><br>Participants were classified according to the chance of having received MMR vaccine (MMR was administered in Japan in the time April 1989 to April 1993 to children between 12 and 36 months of age):<br><br>1) Pre-MMR generation: born between January 1976 and December 1984, n = 113<br><br>2) MMR generation: born between January 1985 and December 1991, n = 292<br><br>3) Post-MMR generation with an age of 1 to 3 years old after 1993 when MMR programme was terminated, n = 499<br><br>Data concerning MMR vaccination were moreover obtained from records of the Maternal and Child Health (MCH) handbook and were referred to the MMR generation group only | Not to assess | Within MMR generation group, the estimate of association between regression and MMR vaccine exposure was not significant (OR 0.744; 95% CI from 0.349 to 1.517, P = 0.490), so as when both pre- and post-MMR vaccine generation groups were used as control (OR 0.626; 95% CI from 0.323 to 1.200) | High | Low |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----|

**Table 9. MMR and autism** (Continued)

|                             |                                        |                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                |                                                                                              |          |        |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|
|                             |                                        |                                                                                                                                                                  | once or twice a month. All doctors had received a training using a common concept of diagnosis. From February 2000 onwards a child psychiatrist with clinical psychologist conducted the full assessment in one day. Diagnoses of ASD was made by 3 experienced child psychiatrists basing on clinical observations, intellectual and developmental tests, interviews with parents and patients |                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                |                                                                                              |          |        |
| <a href="#">Smeeth 2004</a> | Pervasive developmental disorder (PDD) | Cases: 1294 children with a first diagnosis of a PDD (either by OXMIS or READ codes) during the study period registered with a GPRD practice. Controls: n = 4469 | “Those with autistic disorders and similar presentations were classified as having “autism” and those with other description (such as Asperger’s syndrome) were classified as having                                                                                                                                                                                                            | From diagnosis contained in UK General Practice Research Database (GPRD electronic records). Codes were available from request. | No single clinical code was immediately implemented for MMR, then MMR was identified by codes of measles, mumps and rubella administered at the same day | Data on exposure to MMR for cases: from their date of birth up to the index date for cases. For controls: from | No significant for PDD and autism only and other PDD<br><br>OR 0.86; 95%CI from 0.68 to 1.09 | Moderate | Medium |

**Table 9. MMR and autism** (Continued)

|                    |                              |                                                                                                             | “other PDD””. Patients who had more than one PDD diagnostic code recorded at different times (for example, autism and then Asperger’s syndrome) were classified as having the most specific diagnosis (in this example Asperger’s syndrome)”. |                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                             | their date of birth up to index date to the nearest month of age |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |          |        |
|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|
| DeStefano 2004     | Autism                       | Cases: 624 children with autism aged 3-10 years in 1996.<br><br>Controls:1824                               | Defined as behavioural characteristics consistent with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition (DSM-IV) criteria for autism spectrum disorders (ASDs)                                                          | Records about children with autism were abstracted from source files at schools, hospitals, clinics and specialty providers. Furthermore clinical psychologists reviewed records according to DMS-IV | MMR vaccination was abstracted from “standardized state immunization forms”                                                                 | Not to assess                                                    | No significant difference in the age at first vaccination.<br><br>- Up 18 months OR 0.94 ; 95%CI from 0.65 to 1.38<br><br>- Up to 24 months OR 1.01 ; 95% CI from 0.61 to 1.67<br><br>- Up to 36 months OR 1.23 ; 95% CI from 0.64 to 2.36 | Moderate | Medium |
| Mrozek-Budzyn 2010 | Childhood or atypical autism | Cases: 96 children aged between 2 and 15 years with diagnoses of childhood or atypical autism (ICD-10 codes | Cases with ICD-10 diagnoses codes F84.0 and F84.1 determined by child psychiatrist                                                                                                                                                            | Data from general practitioner records from Lesser Poland region                                                                                                                                     | MMR (not described) and/or monovalent measles vaccine<br><br>Informations about vaccination history were extracted from physician's records | At any time before autism diagnosis<br><br>At any time before    | No association. Lower risk of autism in children immunised with MMR before diagnosis (OR 0.17; 95% CI from 0.06 to 0.52)<br><br>Estimate not statistically relevant when exposure to MMR was considered                                    | Moderate | Medium |

**Table 9. MMR and autism** *(Continued)*

|                       |                                              | F84.0 and F84.1 identified from practitioner registers in the Lesser Poland region.                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Controls:192 children matched for birth year, gender and practice                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                         |                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | symp-toms on-set | before symptom onset (OR 0.42; 95% CI from 0.15 to 1.16) |  |  |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Fom-bonne 2006</b> | Perva-sive de-velop-men-tal dis-orders (PDD) | Children aged 5 to 11 years (birth cohorts 1987 to 1998 attending a school board in Montreal (n = 27,749 out of whom 180 with PDD) | Diagnostic and Statisti-cal Manual of Mental Disor-ders, 4th edi-tion (DSM-IV)                                                                                                                                     | Administratively identified by code 51 (autism), code 50 (autism spectrum disorder) of Ministry of Education of Que-bec (MEQ). In this study a special list was available filled by a team that mon-itored children with PDD diagnosis | MMR (no description) Identified by vaccina-tion records | Not to assess     | No association. Signifi-cant increase in rates of PDDs from 1987 to 1998 (OR 1.10; 95% CI 1.05 to 1.16; P < 0.001) despite decrease in MMR uptake through birth cohorts from 1988 to 1998 ( $\chi^2$ for trend = 80.7; df = 1; P < 0.001) | High             | Medium                                                   |  |  |
| <b>Honda 2005</b>     | Autism spec-trum dis-orders (ADS)            | Children born from 1988 to 1996                                                                                                    | ASD cases de-fined as all cases of per-vasive de-velopmen-tal disorders according to ICD-guide-lines, but in Kohoku Ward was active an early detec-tion clinical system called DISCOVERY that included items drawn | Community-based early detection                                                                                                                                                                                                        | MMR (no description)                                    | 6 years after MMR | No association<br><br>Significant increased incidence for ASD ( $\chi^2$ = 19.25, df = 8, P = 0.01) was assessed after vac-ci-nation programme was stopped                                                                                | Moder-ate        | Medium                                                   |  |  |

**Table 9. MMR and autism** (Continued)

|                                    |                   |                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                          |                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                            |          |        |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|
|                                    |                   |                                                                     | up by the Public Health Bureau of Yokohama called YACHT (Young autism and other developmental disorders Checkup tool)                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                          |                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                            |          |        |
| <a href="#">Makela 2002</a>        | Autism            | Children 1 to 7 years old (535,544)                                 | Autistic disorder: "Severe qualitative impairment in reciprocal social interaction, in verbal and non verbal communication and in imaginative activity and markedly restricted repertoire of activities and interests" ( <a href="#">Steffenburg 1989</a> ) | Data about first hospital visits during the study period identified by ICD-8/9 codes respectively effective from 1969 to 1986 and from 1987 through 1995 (299- Psychoses ex origine infantia; 2990 - Autismus infantilis; 2998- Developmental disorder; 2999- Developmental disorder)          | MMRII (Merck & Co, West Point, PA) containing Enders-Edmonston strain, Jeryl Lynn, Wistar RA 27/3 strain | For autism the risk period is open ended                                            | Unclear without data reported in article                                                                                                                                   | Moderate | Medium |
| <i>Self controlled case series</i> |                   |                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                          |                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                            |          |        |
| <a href="#">Taylor 1999</a>        | Autistic disorder | Children born since 1979 from 8 health districts (North Thames, UK) | "By use of criteria of the International Classification of Diseases, tenth revision (ICD10), the diagnosis of autism was checked against information in the available records on the child's                                                                | ICD10-confirmed and non-confirmed cases from computerised special needs/disability registers at child development centres and from records in special schools. Information on children with such disorders who were younger than 16 years of age was extracted from clinical records by 1 of 3 | MMR vaccination identified by Regional Interactive Child Health Computing System (RICHS)                 | Periods within 1 or 2 years, so as 2, 4, 6 months after vaccination were considered | No temporal association between onset of autism within 12 months (RI 0.94; 95% CI from 0.60 to 1.47) or 24 months from MMR vaccination (RI 1.09; 95% CI from 0.79 to 1.52) | Moderate | Medium |
| <i>Self controlled case series</i> |                   |                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                          |                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                            |          |        |



**Table 9. MMR and autism** (Continued)

present condition and his or her condition between the ages of 18 months and 3 years.”

experienced paediatric registrars

ADS: autism spectrum disorders  
CI: confidence interval  
ICD: international classification of diseases  
MMR: measles, mumps, rubella vaccine  
n: number of participants  
OR: odds ratio  
PDD: pervasive developmental disorders  
RI: relative incidence

**Table 10. MMR and thrombocytopaenic purpura**

| Study and design                                        | Outcome                              | Population                                                                                                                                                                       | Definition                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Findings                                                                                                                       | MMR type                                                                                                                      | Risk time                                                                               | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Risk of bias | Generalisability |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| <a href="#">Black 2003</a><br><i>Case-control study</i> | Idiopathic thrombocytopaenic purpura | Cases: 23 children with outcome of interest at 12 to 23 months, between 1988 and 1999, GPRD members.<br><br>Controls: 116 subjects matching for index date (age), sex, practice. | From cases with first time diagnosis of thrombocytopaenia (ICD-9 code 287.1) were excluded those with bone marrow failure, congenital thrombocytopaenia, severe malabsorption, severe sepsis and neonatal thrombocytopaenia | General Practice Research Database (GPRD) electronic records with first time diagnosis of thrombocytopaenia (ICD-9 code 287.1) | Not reported<br><br>Data about MMR vaccination were presumably obtained from GPRD records (type and composition not reported) | 0 to 6 weeks following MMR immunisation<br><br>7 to 26 weeks following MMR immunisation | Association within 6 weeks from immunisation.<br><br>RR 6.3; 95% CI from 1.3 to 30.1<br><br>No significant association within 7 to 26 weeks following MMR immunisation<br><br>RR 1.5 ; 95% CI from 0.4 to 4.8 | Moderate     | Medium           |

**Table 10. MMR and thrombocytopaenic purpura** (Continued)

|                                                          |                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                           |                                                                                                                                     |                                         |                                                                                         |      |      |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|
| <b>Bertuola 2010</b><br><i>Case-control study</i>        | Acute immune thrombocytopaenia   | <p>Cases: 387 children aged 1 month to 18 years, hospitalised at emergency department with outcome of interest between November 1999 and September 2007, with outcome of interest.</p> <p>Controls: 1924 children of same age interval hospitalised at emergency department for acute neurological disorders or endoscopically confirmed gastro-duodenal lesions</p> | Platelets count < 100,000/ $\mu$ L at admission. Subjects with following conditions were excluded: cancer, immunodeficiency, chronic renal and hepatic failure, so as acute events related to a reactivation of an underlying chronic disease or a congenital anomaly                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Hospitalisation (emergency department) records review                     | Not reported.<br><br>Exposure to the vaccine (and other drugs) was assessed during hospital admission by means of parents interview | 0 to 6 weeks following MMR immunisation | Association within 6 weeks following immunisation<br><br>OR 2.4; 95% CI from 1.2 to 4.7 | High | Low  |
| <b>France 2008</b><br><i>Self controlled case series</i> | Immune thrombocytopaenic purpura | 63 children aged 12 to 23 months with outcome of interest                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Subjects with 2 platelet counts $\leq$ 50,000/ $\mu$ L within 6 weeks period or with 1 platelets count $\leq$ 50,000/ $\mu$ L associated with ICD-9 diagnosis codes 287.0-287.9 within 6 weeks, with exclusion of: cases of thrombocytopaenia from a known condition (neonatal thrombocytopenia, aplastic anaemia, defibrination syndrome, acquired haemolytic anaemia, chronic liver disease, malignant neoplasm), thrombocytopaenia diagnosed within the 30th day of life. By subsequent patient charts reviews subjects who did not have not have ITP, who had drug exposure, with | Vaccine Safety Datalink database (1991 to 2000) and patient charts review | Not reported.<br><br>MMR vaccination date assessed by means of separate audit of patient charts                                     | 0 to 42 days following MMR immunisation | Strong association<br><br>IRR 5.38; 95% CI from 2.72 to 10.62                           | Low  | High |

**Table 10. MMR and thrombocytopaenic purpura** (Continued)  
acute illness, or with  
serendipitous finding  
during routine care  
were further excluded

|                                                            |                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                         |                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                           |               |        |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| France<br>2008<br><i>Risk interval</i>                     | Immune<br>throm-<br>bocy-<br>topaenic<br>purpura | See above                                                                                                                                                        | See above                                                                                                                                                               | See above                              | See above                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 0 to 42<br>days fol-<br>lowing<br>MMR im-<br>munisa-<br>tion | Strong association<br><br>IRR 3.94; 95% CI<br>from 2.01 to 25.03                                                                                                                                          | Low           | High   |
| Jonville-<br>Bera<br>1996<br><i>Ecologi-<br/>cal study</i> | Throm-<br>bocy-<br>topaenic<br>purpura<br>(TP)   | Case observed after vaccine<br>administration between<br>1984 and June 30th, 1992 (n<br>= 60). Estimate number of<br>administered vaccine doses<br>was 9,205,483 | Acute haemorrhagic<br>syndrome associated<br>with platelet count<br>of < 100,000/mm <sup>3</sup> , all<br>cases within 45 days<br>of vaccination, over<br>8-year period | Pharma-<br>covi-<br>gence re-<br>ports | Intervention: ROR,<br>Trimovax (MMR),<br>comparators: Rou-<br>vax (measles),<br>DTbis Rudivax<br>(rubella, diptheria,<br>tetanus) Imovax Or-<br>eillons (mumps),<br>Rudi-Rouvax<br>(measles/rubella),<br>Rudivax (rubella) | 2 to 45<br>days fol-<br>lowing<br>immuni-<br>sation          | Strict temporal oc-<br>currence of TP af-<br>ter MMR makes as-<br>sociation possible,<br>even if not proven.<br><br>Incidence of TP<br>was estimated be-<br>tween 0.5 and 3<br>cases/100,000 MMR<br>doses | Moder-<br>ate | Medium |

CI: confidence interval  
GPRD: general practice research database  
ICD: international classification of diseases  
IRR: incident rate ratio  
ITP: immune thrombocytopaenic purpura  
MMR: measles, mumps, rubella vaccine  
TP: thrombocytopaenic purpura  
yr: years

**Table 11. MMR and asthma**

| Study<br>and de-<br>sign | Out-<br>come | Population                                                               | Definition                                                                                 | Findings                                  | MMR<br>type       | Risk<br>time                        | Results                                                                 | Risk of<br>bias | General-<br>isability |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| DeSte-<br>fano<br>2002   | Asthma       | Children (0 to 6<br>years) enrolled<br>in VSD project (4<br>HMO) between | To be classified as having<br>asthma a child had to meet<br>one of the following criteria: | Reviewing of com-<br>puterised data bases | Not re-<br>ported | Not speci-<br>fied. Any<br>time af- | No significant association.<br><br>RR 0.97; 95% CI from 0.91 to<br>1.04 | Moder-<br>ate   | Medium                |

**Table 11. MMR and asthma** *(Continued)*

| Cohort Study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Asthma | Children (n = 16,470) aged from 20 months to 11 years, account- | Not provided | West Midlands General Practice Re- | Not reported | Not specified. Any time after MMR | Significant association only for the group with lower GP consultation during the first 6 live months (hazard | High | Medium |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|--------|
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| 1991 and 1997 (n = 167,240)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| <p>- At least 1 diagnosis of asthma (ICD9 = 493) and at least 1 prescription for an asthma medication; the first diagnosis and the first prescription had to be within a 2-year period. Asthma medications included oral or inhaled beta-antagonist, theophylline, oral or inhaled corticosteroids, cromolyn sodium, adrenergic drugs not elsewhere specified and unclassified asthma medications;</p> <p>- At least 1 prescription for an inhaled beta-antagonist and at least 1 prescription for cromolyn within a 2 year period;</p> <p>- At least 5 prescriptions or asthma medications during a 2-year period. In addition to these criteria it was also required that the child had at least one asthma diagnosis or prescription at 1 year of age or older. Authors defined the asthma incidence date as the earliest of the first asthma diagnosis date or the first date of an asthma medication prescription. A child could have had an asthma onset date when younger than 1 years of age, but to be classified as a case the child had to have an indication that asthma was still present when he or she was older than 1 year of age</p> |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| main-                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| tained at                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| each HMO.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| In these                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| databases                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| hospital                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| discharge,                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| emergency                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| room visits,                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| and medication                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| prescriptions                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| were registered.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| ter MMR                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| immunisation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| McKeev-                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| er 2004                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| Cohort                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |
| Study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |        |                                                                 |              |                                    |              |                                   |                                                                                                              |      |        |

**Table 11. MMR and asthma** (Continued)  
ing for 69,602 per-  
son-years

|                            |                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | search<br>Database                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                        | immuni-<br>sation                                                   | ratio 7.18; 95% CI from 2.95<br>to 17.49)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |               |        |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| <b>McKeev-<br/>er 2004</b> | Eczema                              | Children (n =<br>14,353) aged from<br>20 months to 11<br>years, account-<br>ing for 59,520 per-<br>son-years                                                                                      | Not provided                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | West Mid-<br>lands Gen-<br>eral Prac-<br>tice Re-<br>search<br>Database | Not re-<br>ported                                                                                                                                                                                      | Not speci-<br>fied. Any<br>time af-<br>ter MMR<br>immuni-<br>sation | Significant association on-<br>ly for the group with lower<br>GP consultation during the<br>first 6 live months (hazard<br>ratio 10.4; 95% CI from 4.61<br>to 23.29)                                                                                                                                                   | High          | Medium |
| <b>Hviid<br/>2008</b>      | Asthma<br>hospital-<br>isation      | Danish birth co-<br>horts 1991 to 2003<br>followed up be-<br>tween 1 January<br>1991 and 31 De-<br>cember 2003, or<br>between 1 and 5<br>years of age (n =<br>871,234; 2,926,406<br>person-years) | Inpatient hospitalisation with<br>asthma diagnosis (occurred<br>between 1 January 1992 and<br>31 December 2004)<br><br>- Asthma diagnosis: 493.xx<br>(ICD-8) and J45.x, J46.x<br>(ICD-10)<br><br>- Severe asthma (status asth-<br>maticus) 493.01 (ICD-8) and<br>J49.9 for severe asthma                                          | Data from<br>the Danish<br>National<br>Hospital<br>Register             | MMR:<br>Moraten<br>(measles),<br>Jeryl<br>Lynn<br>(mumps),<br>Wistar<br>RA 27/3<br><br>Dates<br>of MMR<br>vacci-<br>nation<br>were ob-<br>tained<br>from the<br>National<br>Board of<br>Health,<br>NBH | Not speci-<br>fied. Any<br>time af-<br>ter MMR<br>immuni-<br>sation | Significant protective effect<br>of MMR vaccination was ob-<br>served against Asthma (RR<br>0.75; 95% CI from 0.73 to<br>0.78) and severe asthma (RR<br>0.63 ; 95% CI from 0.49 to<br>0.82) was globally assessed                                                                                                      | Moder-<br>ate | High   |
| <b>Hviid<br/>2008</b>      | An-<br>ti-asthma<br>med-<br>ication | Danish birth co-<br>horts 1991 to 2003<br>followed up be-<br>tween 1 January<br>1996 and 31 De-<br>cember 2003, or<br>between 1 and 5<br>years of age (n =<br>600,938; 1,858,199<br>person-years) | Prescription of the following<br>cases of anti-asthma medica-<br>tions have been considered:<br><br>- glucocorticoid inhalants<br>(ATC code R03BA)<br><br>- short-acting b2-agonist in-<br>halants (ATC codes R03AC02,<br>R03AC03, and R03AC04)<br><br>- long-acting b2-agonist in-<br>halants (ACT codes R03AC12<br>and R03AC13) | Data from<br>the Danish<br>Prescrip-<br>tion Drug<br>Database           | MMR:<br>Moraten<br>(measles),<br>Jeryl<br>Lynn<br>(mumps),<br>Wistar<br>RA 27/3<br><br>Dates<br>of MMR<br>vacci-<br>nation<br>were ob-                                                                 | Not speci-<br>fied. Any<br>time af-<br>ter MMR<br>immuni-<br>sation | Use of anti-asthma medica-<br>tions (all types) was signifi-<br>cantly less frequent among<br>subjects immunised with<br>MMR (RR 0.92; 95% CI from<br>0.91 to 0.92).<br><br>Considering single classes<br>of medication, reduction in<br>use of b2-agonists was not<br>observed (RR 1.02; 95% CI<br>from 1.01 to 1.02) | Moder-<br>ate | High   |

**Table 11. MMR and asthma** (Continued)

|                                                                         |                                               |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| - systemic b2-agonists (ACT code R03CC)                                 | tained from the National Board of Health, NBH |
| - other types of anti-asthma medication (all other ATC codes under R03) |                                               |

CI: confidence interval  
HMO: Health Maintenance Organisation  
ICD: international classification of diseases  
n: number of participants  
RR: risk ratio  
VSD: Vaccine Safety Datalink

**Table 12. MMR and leukaemia**

| Study and design            | Outcome   | Definition   | Findings                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | MMR type                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Risk time                       | Results                                                             | Risk of bias | Generalisability |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Ma 2005<br><br>Case-control | Leukaemia | Not reported | Within the NCCLS study, incident leukaemia cases were ascertained from major paediatric clinical centres within 72 hours after diagnosis. This study was carried out in order to assess if there is a link between exposure to vaccines and leukaemia in children aged below 14 years. Population coverage includes initially 17 countries in the Greater San Francisco Bay Area and since 1999 was expanded to further 18 countries in Northern and Southern California. The present studies relies on cases of leukaemia ascertained between 1995 and 2002 | Not reported<br><br>A copy of child's complete vaccination record was requested to primary care takers of case or control subjects (usually the biological mother) were interviewed after informed consent was obtained and asked to provide a copy of child's complete vaccination record or to the primary care physician. Other than MMR, vaccinations against diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT), DT, Td, poliomyelitis, MMR, hepatitis B or Hib has been considered in the study. | Any time after MMR immunisation | No significant association<br><br>OR 1.06; 95% CI from 0.69 to 1.63 | Medium       | Medium           |

**Table 12. MMR and leukaemia** *(Continued)*

Child-  
hood  
Leukaemia  
Study  
(NC-  
CLS)  
be-  
tween  
1995  
and  
2002.  
  
Con-  
trols  
(n  
=  
409):  
matched  
to  
cas-  
es  
for  
date  
of  
birth,  
gen-  
der,  
His-  
pan-  
ic  
sta-  
tus  
(ei-  
ther  
par-  
ent  
His-  
pan-  
ic),  
ma-  
ter-  
nal  
race  
(white,  
African  
Amer-

**Table 12. MMR and leukaemia** *(Continued)*

i-  
can,  
or  
oth-  
er)  
and  
ma-  
ter-  
nal  
coun-  
ty  
of  
res-  
i-  
dence,  
by  
means  
of  
birth  
cer-  
tifi-  
cates.

To  
be  
el-  
i-  
gi-  
ble,  
each  
case  
or  
con-  
trol  
had  
to  
re-  
side  
in  
the  
study  
area,  
be  
less  
than  
15



**Table 12. MMR and leukaemia** *(Continued)*

years  
of  
age  
at  
the  
ref-  
er-  
ence  
date  
(time  
of  
di-  
ag-  
no-  
sis  
for  
cas-  
es  
and  
the  
cor-  
re-  
spond-  
ing  
date  
for  
matched  
con-  
trols),  
have  
at  
least  
one  
par-  
ent  
or  
guardian  
who  
speaks  
Eng-  
lish  
or  
Span-  
ish,  
and  
have

**Table 12. MMR and leukaemia** (Continued)

|         |                                     | no<br>pre-<br>vi-<br>ous<br>his-<br>to-<br>ry<br>of<br>any<br>ma-<br>lig-<br>nan-<br>cy                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                 |                                                                     |               |
|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Ma 2005 | Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia (ALL) | Not provided (n = 282) aged 0 to 14 years identified within the Northern California Childhood Leukaemia Study (NCCLS) between 1995 | Within the NCCLS study, incident leukaemia cases were ascertained from major paediatric clinical centres within 72 hours after diagnosis. This study was carried out in order to assess if there is a link between exposure to vaccines and leukaemia in children aged below 14 years. Population coverage includes initially 17 countries in the Greater San Francisco Bay Area and since 1999 was expanded to further 18 countries in Northern and Southern California. The present studies relies on cases of leukaemia ascertained between 1995 and 2002. | Not reported | A copy of child's complete vaccination record was requested to primary care takers of case or control subjects (usually the biological mother) were interviewed after informed consent was obtained and asked to provide a copy of child's complete vaccination record or to the primary care physician. Other than MMR, vaccinations against diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT), DT, Td, poliomyelitis, MMR, hepatitis B or Hib has been considered in the study | Any time after MMR immunisation | No significant association<br><br>OR 0.87; 95% CI from 0.55 to 1.37 | Medium Medium |

**Table 12. MMR and leukaemia** *(Continued)*

and  
2002.

Con-  
trols  
(n  
=  
360)  
matched  
to  
cas-  
es  
for  
date  
of  
birth,  
gen-  
der,  
His-  
pan-  
ic  
sta-  
tus  
(ei-  
ther  
par-  
ent  
His-  
pan-  
ic),  
ma-  
ter-  
nal  
race  
(white,  
African  
Amer-  
i-  
can,  
or  
oth-  
er)  
and  
ma-  
ter-  
nal

**Table 12. MMR and leukaemia** *(Continued)*

coun-  
ty  
of  
res-  
i-  
dence,  
by  
means  
of  
birth  
cer-  
tifi-  
cates.

To  
be  
el-  
i-  
gi-  
ble,  
each  
case  
or  
con-  
trol  
had  
to  
re-  
side  
in  
the  
study  
area,  
be  
less  
than  
15  
years  
of  
age  
at  
the  
ref-  
er-  
ence  
date

**Table 12. MMR and leukaemia** *(Continued)*

(time  
of  
di-  
ag-  
no-  
sis  
for  
cas-  
es  
and  
the  
cor-  
re-  
spond-  
ing  
date  
for  
matched  
con-  
trols),  
have  
at  
least  
one  
par-  
ent  
or  
guardian  
who  
speaks  
Eng-  
lish  
or  
Span-  
ish,  
and  
have  
no  
pre-  
vi-  
ous  
his-  
to-  
ry  
of  
any

**Table 12. MMR and leukaemia** (Continued)

ma-  
lig-  
nan-  
cy

CI: confidence interval  
DTP: diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis vaccine  
DT: diphtheria, tetanus vaccine  
Hib: *Haemophilus influenzae* b vaccine  
MMR: measles, mumps, rubella vaccine  
n: number of participants  
NCCLS: northern California childhood leukaemia study  
OR: odds ratio  
Td: tetanus, diphtheria vaccine

**Table 13. MMR and hay fever**

| Study and de-<br>sign                                   | Out-<br>come   | Popu-<br>lation                                                                                                               | Definition                                                                                              | Findings                   | MMR<br>type | Risk time                                                                                                                                                  | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Risk of<br>bias | General-<br>isability |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| <a href="#">Bremner 2005</a><br><br><i>Case-control</i> | Hay fever risk | The cases and controls were children with at least 5 years of follow-up from birth and registered "with-in the practice with- | "Only codes synonymous with "allergic rhinitis" and with seasonal variation in recording were permitted | From GPRD and DIN database | MMR II      | MMR (first entries)<br><br>The time categories for MMR immunisation were:<br><br>1st to 13th month, 14th, 15th, 16th, 17th, 18th-24th, 25th month or later | Not significant (comparing vaccinated at 14th month versus unvaccinated children), but with result significant (OR 0.62; 95% CI from 0.48 to 0.80) of reduced hay risk fever after completion of MMR after 2 years | Moderate        | Medium                |

**Table 13. MMR and hay fever** *(Continued)*

|                                  |                                                                   | in 3<br>months<br>of<br>birth"                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                             |                                          |        |                                                                                                |                                                             |               |        |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| <a href="#">Bremner<br/>2007</a> | Hay<br>fever<br>risk in<br>the first<br>grass<br>pollen<br>season | Case<br>of hay<br>fever<br>were<br>chil-<br>dren<br>with<br>diag-<br>nostic<br>codes<br>and/or<br>treat-<br>ment<br>for hay<br>fever,<br>after 2<br>years<br>of age.<br><br>Con-<br>trol<br>was<br>child<br>that<br>matched<br>for<br>gen-<br>eral<br>prac-<br>tice,<br>sex,<br>birth<br>month<br>and<br>fol-<br>low-up<br>of con-<br>trol to<br>at least<br>date of<br>diag- | "Cases of hayfever were<br>those who had diagnos-<br>tic codes and/or treat-<br>ment for hayfever, after<br>2 years of age" | From<br>GPRD<br>and DIN<br>data-<br>base | MMR II | MMR exposure by 24<br>months in a grass pollen<br>season (May, June, July)<br>versus outside 1 | Not significant<br><br>OR 1.05; 95% CI from 0.94 to<br>1.18 | Moder-<br>ate | Medium |

**Table 13. MMR and hay fever** *(Continued)*

nosis  
case

CI: confidence interval

DIN: doctors' independent network

GPRD: general practice research database

MMR: measles, mumps, rubella vaccine

OR: odds ratio

**Table 14. MMR and type 1 diabetes**

| Study and design                     | Outcome                              | Population                                                                                                            | Definition                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Findings                                                                                                                                                                                                       | MMR type                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Risk time                                      | Results                                                              | Risk of bias | Generalisability |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Hviid 2004<br><br><i>Person time</i> | Type 1 diabetes coded as 249 and E10 | A cohort of children born from 1 January 1990 to 31 December 2000 from the Danish Civil Registration System (739,694) | From 1990 to 1993 the codes used (E10) were obtained from a modified version of the International Classification of Diseases, 8th version (ICD-8).<br><br>From 1994 to 2001 the codes used (249 and E10) were obtained by the International Classification of Disease, 10th version | The diagnosis of type 1 diabetes, within 1 January 1990 to 31 December 2001, was obtained from the Danish National Hospital Register that in 1995 began to register outpatients visits and visits to the emer- | Measles, mumps, and rubella (1990 to 2001); schedule (15 months and 12 years of age); composition (Live, attenuated measles (Moraten), mumps (Jeryl Lynn), and rubella (Wistar RA 27/3)) virus.<br><br>The authors did not obtain information about the second dose of MMR vaccine because the administration of this dose was recommended at 12 years of years (out of inclusion range) | Not specified. Any time after MMR immunisation | No significant association.<br><br>RR 1.14; 95% CI from 0.90 to 1.45 | Low          | High             |



**Table 14. MMR and type 1 diabetes** *(Continued)*

gency  
room.

Type 1  
diabetes  
among  
siblings  
of cas-  
es (aged  
0 to 14  
years be-  
tween  
1 Janu-  
ary 1997  
to 31 De-  
cember  
2001)  
were ob-  
tained  
from the  
Danish  
National  
Hospital  
Register.  
Before  
1 Janu-  
ary 1987  
ICD-8  
code  
250 was  
used for  
type 1  
diabetes  
diag-  
nosis,  
where-  
as codes  
249 or  
E10 were  
used  
there-  
after

CI: confidence interval

ICD: international classification of diseases

MMR: measles, mumps, rubella vaccine  
RR: risk ratio

**Table 15. MMR and gait disturbances**

| Study and design                                             | Outcome                              | Population                                                                        | Definition                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Findings                                                                                                                                                                                              | MMR type     | Risk time                | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Risk of bias | Generalisability |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| <b>Miller 2005</b><br><br><i>Self controlled case series</i> | Hospitalisation for gait disturbance | 127 children aged 12 to 24 months with admission between April 1995 and June 2001 | (1) presumptive viral/post-viral ataxia (clinical history of ataxia and evidence of encephalomyelitis or cerebellitis with lymphocytosis in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or encephalographic changes);<br><br>(2) probable post-viral ataxia (history consistent with ataxia but CSF/other investigations inconclusive or not done and no other cause identified);<br><br>(3) probably not post-viral gait disturbance (vague symptoms not suggestive of cerebellar ataxia, e.g. unsteady gait associated with constipation or gastroenteritis);<br><br>(4) non-ataxic, non-viral gait disturbance (including limp after trauma, septic bone or joint disease, unsteadiness following drug ingestion);<br><br>(5) transient synovitis/"irritable hip" (a transient condition described following viral | Review of hospital computerised records (April 1995 to June 2001, children aged 12 to 24 months) with ICD-10 diagnoses related to acute gait disorder (G111, G112, G25, R26, R27, R29, H55, and F984) | Not reported | 0 to 30 and 0 to 60 days | No significant association.<br><br>Relative incidence not statistically relevant neither for the 0 to 30 days risk time (RI 0.83; 95% CI 0.24 to 2.84) nor for the 31 to 60 days risk time (RI 0.20; 95% CI 0.03 to 1.47) | Medium       | Low              |

**Table 15. MMR and gait disturbances** (Continued)  
illnesses and with no  
long term sequelae)

|                |                                           |                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                               |                   |                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |        |        |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Miller<br>2005 | GP visits<br>for gait<br>distur-<br>bance | 1398<br>children<br>aged 12<br>to 24<br>months<br>born be-<br>tween<br>1988 and<br>1997 | (A) ataxia (including<br>cerebellar ataxia and<br>ataxic gait)<br><br>(B) unsteady/veer-<br>ing/shuffling gait<br><br>(C) gait abnormality -<br>unspecified<br><br>(D) limp/limping gait<br><br>(E) poor mobility<br><br>(F) abnormal /involun-<br>tary movements | Analysis of Gener-<br>al Practice Research<br>Database (GPRD)<br>records (children aged<br>12 to 24 months, born<br>between 1988 and<br>1997) | Not re-<br>ported | 0 to 5,<br>6 to 30,<br>31 to 60,<br>6 to 60<br>days | No significant association.<br><br>Relative incidence of all cases<br>(A to F):<br><br>- within 6 to 30 days: 0.90; 95%<br>CI from 0.70 to 1.17<br><br>- within 31 to 60 days: 0.95; 95%<br>CI from 0.77 to 1.19<br><br>- within 6 to 60 days: 0.93; 95%<br>CI from 0.78 to 1.12 | Medium | Medium |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|

CI: confidence interval  
CSF: cerebro-spinal fluid  
GP: general practitioner  
RI: relative incidence

**Table 16. MMR and inflammatory bowel disease**

| Study<br>and de-<br>sign              | Out-<br>come                                                            | Population                                                                                                                                                                          | Definition                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Findings                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | MMR<br>type       | Risk<br>time                                                                                | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Risk of<br>bias | General-<br>isability |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Davis<br>2001<br><br>Case-<br>control | Inflam-<br>matory<br>bowel<br>diseases<br>(IBD)<br>hospital-<br>isation | 142 IBD cases (75<br>with Crohn's dis-<br>ease and 67 with<br>ulcerative colitis)<br><br>432 controls<br>matched for sex,<br>Health Mainte-<br>nance Organisation<br>and birth year | After abstrac-<br>tion of medical<br>records, IBD<br>cases were clas-<br>sified as:<br><br>Definite IBD:<br>as persons di-<br>agnosed with<br>IBD by a gas-<br>troenterologist<br>at one of the<br>HMOs who had<br>at least 1 sign or | Review of medical records con-<br>tained in the Vaccine Safety<br>Datalink database of 4 Health<br>Maintenance Organisations (HMOs)<br>and identified by using ICD-9 codes<br>specific for Crohn's disease, ulcer-<br>ative colitis and idiopathic procto-<br>colitis (555 and 556). Outpatient,<br>emergency department, urgent<br>care clinic visits were available for<br>3 out of the 4 HMOs and were also<br>taken in account | Not re-<br>ported | Not spec-<br>ified.<br>MMR ad-<br>minis-<br>tered at<br>any time<br>before<br>index<br>date | No statistically<br>relevant associ-<br>ation between<br>MMR vaccine ex-<br>posure and in-<br>creased risk of:<br><br>- all IBD (OR 0.59;<br>95% CI 0.21 to<br>1.69);<br><br>- CD (OR 0.4; 95%<br>CI 0.08 to 2.0) | Low             | High                  |

- ulcerative colitis  
(OR 0.80; 95% CI  
0.18 to 3.56)

**Table 16. MMR and inflammatory bowel disease** *(Continued)*

symptom compatible with IBD (such as bloody stool and/or bloody diarrhoea or severe and/or recurrent abdominal pain) recorded and a diagnostic test result (such as biopsy with pathology specimen, colonoscopy, or sigmoidoscopy) consistent with IBD.

Probable IBD: the diagnosis of IBD was made by either an HMO non-gastroenterologist physician or a gastroenterologist outside the HMO, there was at least 1 sign or symptom compatible with IBD, and there was a diagnostic test result consistent with IBD

IBD cases (suspected or questionable), that did not correspond to these criteria were excluded from analysis. IBD

**Table 16. MMR and inflammatory bowel disease** (Continued)

(definite and probable) were further classified as Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis cases

|                 |                                           |                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                            |              |               |                                                                 |      |        |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------|--------|
| Sea-groatt 2005 | Crohn's disease (CD) emergency admissions | CD emergency admission cases (n = 4463) observed between April 1991 and March 2003 in England population aged below 19 years (about 11.6 million) | Emergency admissions for CD between April 1991 and March 2003 among subjects aged 4 to 18 years in England | Not reported | Not specified | No significant association<br>RR 0.95; 95% CI from 0.84 to 1.08 | High | Medium |
| Ecological      |                                           |                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                            |              |               |                                                                 |      |        |

CD: Crohn's disease  
CI: confidence interval  
IBD: inflammatory bowel diseases  
HMO: Health Maintenance Organisation  
OR: odds ratio  
RR: risk ratio

**Table 17. MMR and demyelinating diseases**

| Study and design                     | Outcome                                                                               | Population                                                                                                                                                                                        | Definition                                                                | Findings                                                                                          | MMR-type                                                                                                                                                                                           | Risk time     | Results                                                          | Risk of bias | Generalisability |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Ahlgren 2009a<br><i>Cohort study</i> | Multiple sclerosis (MS, probable or definite) and Clinically Isolated Syndromes (CIS) | Birth cohorts 1959 to 1990 from residents in the greater Gothenburg area (Sweden), corresponding to 5.9 million person-years. 534 MS and CIS cases with onset between 10 and 39 years before July | MS defined according to the 4 Poser's criteria with addition of CIS cases | Analysis, review, and reclassification of medical records contained in the Gothenburg MS register | Not specified. Impact of mass vaccination with different vaccine type (monovalent measles, mumps or rubella, so as MMR) in different birth cohorts in different times on MS incidence was assessed | Not specified | No vaccine related changes in MS incidence changes were detected | High         | Medium           |

**Table 17. MMR and demyelinating diseases** (Continued)

|                                         |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |           |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |               |                                                                                                           |      |        |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|--------|
| 2004 has been as-certained              |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |           |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |               |                                                                                                           |      |        |
| Ahlgren 2009b<br><br>Case-control study | Multiple sclerosis (MS, probable or definite) and Clinically Isolated Syndromes (CIS) | Cases (n = 206) :<br>Birth years 1959 to 1986, to be resident in the greater Gothenburg area (Sweden), MS onset from age of 10 years onwards, did attend the 6th school grade within study area, availability of CHSH records<br><br>Controls (n = 888): matched to cases for year of birth by random selection from the population register. Controls should have attended the 6th school grade within study area, and have available CHSH record | See above | See above | Not specified.<br><br>Exposure to MMR vaccine was classified in 4 categories, accordingly to age of subjects at MMR immunisation:<br><br>- no MMR vaccination;<br><br>- early MMR vaccination only (MMR immunisation within 10 years of age);<br><br>- late MMR vaccination only (MMR immunisation after 10 years of age);<br><br>- both an early and late MMR vaccination | Not specified | No significant association for vaccinated versus unvaccinated<br><br>OR 1.13;<br>95% CI from 0.62 to 2.05 | High | Medium |

CHSH: child health and school health records  
 CI: confidence interval  
 CIS: clinically isolated syndromes  
 MMR: measles, mumps, rubella vaccine  
 MS: multiple sclerosis  
 OR: odds ratio

**Table 18. MMR and bacterial or viral infections**

| Study and design | Outcome | Population | Definition | Findings | MMR type | Risk time | Results | Risk of bias | Generalisability |
|------------------|---------|------------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|--------------|------------------|
|------------------|---------|------------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|--------------|------------------|

**Table 18. MMR and bacterial or viral infections** (Continued)

|                                                  |                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                       |               |                                                               |                                                                                                                                                       |      |     |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----|
| Stowe 2009<br><i>Self controlled case series</i> | Lobar pneumonia               | Infants aged 12 to 23 months hospitalised for viral or bacterial infection between April 1995 and May 2005 identified from hospital admission records (n = 2025 accounting for 2077 admissions) | ICD-9 codes: 481<br>ICD-10 codes: J18.1                                                       | Review of computerised hospital admission records from North, East, and South London, Essex, East Anglia, Sussex and Kent using ICD-9 or ICD-10 codes | Not specified | 0 to 30; 31 to 60; 61 to 90; 0 to 90 days after immunisation  | Lower risk association within 0 to 30 (OR 0.65; 95% CI from 0.48 to 0.86) or 0 to 90 days after immunisation (OR 0.77; 95% CI from 0.64 to 0.93)      | High | Low |
| Stowe 2009<br><i>Self controlled case series</i> | Invasive bacterial infections | See above                                                                                                                                                                                       | ICD-9 codes: 036, 038, 320, 711.0, 730.0<br>ICD-10 codes: A39, A40, A41, G00, M00, M86, J13 X | See above                                                                                                                                             | Not specified | 0 to 30; 31 to 60; 61 to 90 ; 0 to 90 days after immunisation | No significant association within any of the considered times intervals after immunisation                                                            | High | Low |
| Stowe 2009<br><i>Self controlled case series</i> | Encephalitis/meningitis       | See above                                                                                                                                                                                       | ICD-9 codes: not specified<br>ICD-10 codes: A85, A86, A87, A88, A89                           | See above                                                                                                                                             | Not specified | 0 to 30; 31 to 60; 61 to 90; 0 to 90 days after immunisation  | No significant association within any of the considered times intervals after immunisation                                                            | High | Low |
| Stowe 2009<br><i>Self controlled case series</i> | Herpes                        | See above                                                                                                                                                                                       | ICD-9 codes: not specified<br>ICD-10 codes: B00                                               | See above                                                                                                                                             | Not specified | 0 to 30; 31 to 60; 61 to 90; 0 to 90 days after immunisation  | Increased risk between 31 and 60 days after immunisation (OR 1.69; 95% CI from 1.06 to 2.70). No significant association for the other time intervals | High | Low |
| Stowe 2009                                       | Pneumonia                     | See above                                                                                                                                                                                       | ICD-9 codes: not specified                                                                    | See above                                                                                                                                             | Not specified | 0-30; 31-60; 61-90; 0-90 days after immunisation              | No significant association within any                                                                                                                 | High | Low |

**Table 18. MMR and bacterial or viral infections** (Continued)

| Self controlled case series |                                | ICD-10 codes: J12 |                                                 |           |               |                                                              |                                                                                                       | of the considered times intervals after immunisation |     |  |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----|--|
| Stowe 2009                  | Varicella zoster               | See above         | ICD-9 codes: not specified                      | See above | Not specified | 0 to 30; 31 to 60; 61 to 90; 0 to 90 days after immunisation | Lower risk within 30 days after immunisation. No significant association for the other time intervals | High                                                 | Low |  |
| Self-controlled case series |                                |                   | ICD-10 codes: B01, B02                          |           |               |                                                              |                                                                                                       |                                                      |     |  |
| Stowe 2009                  | Miscellaneous viral infections | See above         | ICD-9 codes: not specified                      | See above | Not specified | 0 to 30; 31 to 60; 61 to 90; 0 to 90 days after immunisation | No significant association within any of the considered times intervals after immunisation            | High                                                 | Low |  |
| Self controlled case series |                                |                   | ICD-10 codes: B08, B09, B15, B17, B25, B27, B34 |           |               |                                                              |                                                                                                       |                                                      |     |  |

CI: confidence interval

ICD: international classification of diseases

n: number of participants

OR: odds ratio



## APPENDICES

### Appendix 1. Definitions

A **case-control study** is an epidemiological study usually used to investigate the causes of disease. Study participants who have experienced an adverse outcome or disease are compared with participants who have not. Any differences in the presence or absence of hypothesised risk factors are noted.

A **cohort study** is an epidemiological study where groups of individuals are identified who vary in their exposure to an intervention or hazard and are followed to assess outcomes. Association between exposure and outcome are then estimated. Cohort studies are best performed prospectively but can also be undertaken retrospectively if suitable data records are available.

An **historical controlled trial** (HCT) is a study with control participants for whom data were collected at a time preceding that at which the data are gathered on the group being studied.

**Indirect comparisons** are comparisons of the two or more index groups with a control (usually in randomly allocated groups). The comparisons are usually not contemporaneous and inference is made from the comparisons to the general population.

A **randomised controlled trial** (RCT) is any study on humans in which the individuals (or other experimental units) followed in the study were definitely or possibly assigned prospectively to one of two (or more) alternative forms of health care using random allocation.

A **controlled clinical trial** (CCT) is any study on humans in which the individuals (or other experimental units) followed in the study were definitely or possibly assigned prospectively to one of two (or more) alternative forms of health care using some quasi-random method of allocation (such as alternation, date of birth or case record number).

A **time-series study** is a comparative design with controls in which measurements are made at different times to allow trend detection and before-and-after exposure assessment.

An **ecological study** is a study in which the units of analysis are populations or groups of people rather than individuals. Inference is then made by observing the difference in incidence between populations of the event in question.

A **case cross-over study** is a design in which exposures of individuals during one period is compared by matched-pair analyses to their own exposure during a preceding period of similar length.

**Case-coverage design** is a study comparing prevalence of exposure in individuals with exposure in the reference population. No denominator data are required and the population coverage information is derived from summary statistics. When coverage information is derived from a population sample, the design is that of a case-base study.

A **self controlled case series study** uses individuals as their own controls. The ages at vaccination are regarded as fixed and the age at the time of an adverse event is the random variable of interest within a pre-determined observation period.

A **person-time cohort study** is a study in which outcome rates in higher and lower risk periods for the same individuals are compared. The time of exposure is regarded as fixed and person-time periods for the risk categories are added and the rates are compared. When the risk periods are not summed but are within each individual, the design is that of a **self controlled case series study**.

### Appendix 2. EMBASE search strategy

#### Effectiveness

#1 'vaccine'/exp OR

#2 (trivalen\* OR combin\* OR simultan\* OR tripl\* OR trebl\*) AND (vaccin\* OR immuni\* OR inoculat\*)

#3 ('measles'/exp OR 'mumps'/exp OR 'rubella'/exp) OR (measles:ab,ti AND mumps:ab,ti AND rubella:ab,ti)

#4 1# OR #2

#5 #4 AND #3

#6 'measles vaccine'/exp OR 'mumps vaccine'/exp OR 'rubella vaccine'/exp OR 'measles mumps rubella vaccine'/exp

#7 'measles mumps rubella':ab,ti OR mmr:ab,ti

#8 #5 OR #6 OR #7

#9 #8 AND ([child]/lim OR [adolescent]/lim)

#10 #8 AND (child\* OR pediatric OR paediatric OR adolescent\* OR infant\* OR preschool\* OR school\* OR toddler\*)

#11 #9 OR #10

#12 #11 AND [embase]/lim AND [01-06-2004]/sd

### Safety

#1 ('vaccine'/exp) OR ((trivalen\* OR combin\* OR simultan\* OR tripl\* OR trebl\*) AND (vaccin\* OR immuni\* OR inoculat\*))

#2 measles AND mumps AND rubella

#3 #1 AND #2

#4 'measles vaccine'/exp AND 'mumps vaccine'/exp AND 'rubella vaccine'/exp

#5 mmr:ti,ab

#6 (measles AND mumps AND rubella) AND (vaccin\* OR immuni\* OR inoculat\*)

#7 #3 OR #4 OR #5 OR #6

#8 'adverse drug reaction'/exp OR 'chemically induced disorder'/exp OR 'toxicity'/exp

#9 ((adverse OR side OR serious OR severe OR threatening OR long AND term OR 'long term') AND (event\* OR effect\* OR disease\* OR condition\*)) OR hypersensitiv\* OR sensitiv\* OR safe\* OR pharmacovigil\*

#10 'postmarketing surveillance'/exp OR 'drug monitoring'/exp OR 'drug screening'/exp OR 'risk'/exp

#11 'relative risk' OR risk OR causation OR causal OR 'odds ratio' OR etiol\* OR aetiol\*

#12 #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11

#13 #7 AND #12

#14 #7 AND #12 AND ([child]/lim OR [adolescent]/lim)

#15 child\* OR pediatric OR paediatric OR adolescent\* OR infant\* OR preschool\* OR school\* OR toddler\*

#16 #13 AND #15

#17 #14 OR #16

#18 #14 OR #16 AND [embase]/lim AND [01-06-2004]/sd

## Appendix 3. Previous searches

### Effectiveness

We searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (*The Cochrane Library* 2004, Issue 4) which contains the Cochrane Acute Respiratory Infections (ARI) Group's specialised trials register, and MEDLINE (1966 to December 2004) to identify randomised and quasi-randomised controlled trials identified through electronic databases and handsearches. We used the following search terms.

### MEDLINE (Webspirs)

# 1 explode 'Vaccines-Combined' / all subheadings

# 2 explode 'Vaccines-Attenuated' / all subheadings

# 3 #1 or #2

# 4 trivalen\* or combin\* or simultan\* or tripl\* or trebl\*

# 5 vaccin\* or immuni\* or inoculat\*

# 6 # 4 and # 5

# 7 # 3 or # 6

# 8 explode 'Measles-' / all subheadings

# 9 explode 'Mumps-' / all subheadings

# 10 explode 'Rubella-' / all subheadings

# 11 measles and mumps and rubella

# 12 #8 or #9 or #10 or #11

# 13 #7 and #12

### Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)

Copyright © 2016 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

```
# 14 explode 'Measles-Vaccine'
# 15 explode 'Mumps-Vaccine'
# 16 explode 'Rubella-Vaccine'
# 17 explode 'Measles-Mumps-Rubella-Vaccine' / all subheadings
# 18 measles mumps rubella or MMR
# 19 #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18
# 20 #13 or #19
```

We adapted these subject terms to search the other databases. We searched EMBASE (1980 to the end of 2004) to identify controlled trials in combination with subject terms adapted for EMBASE; Biological Abstracts (1985 to the end of 2004); and Science Citation Index (1980 to present). We also searched the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR) and NHS Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE) for published reviews.

We updated the searches during the third July week of 2010, performing searches on the same databases and using the same search strategy terms.

## Safety

We searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (*The Cochrane Library* 2004, Issue 4) which contains the Cochrane Acute Respiratory Infections (ARI) Group's specialised trials register to identify reports of randomised and quasi-randomised controlled trials and published reviews. We searched *The Cochrane Library* to identify reports from the results of handsearching the journal *Vaccine* (1983 to 2004).

We also searched MEDLINE (1966 to December 2004) using the following search terms.

## MEDLINE (OVID)

```
1 Vaccines-Combined [mesh word (mh)]
2 Vaccines-Attenuated
3 ((trivalen*[text word (tw)] or combin* (tw) or simultan* (tw) or tripl* (tw) or trebl* (tw) and (vaccin* (tw) or immuni* (tw) or inoculat* (tw)))
4 or/1-3
5 measles (tw) and mumps (tw) and rubella (tw)
6 4 and 5
7 Measles-Vaccine(mh) and Mumps-Vaccine (mh) and Rubella-Vaccine (mh)
8 MMR [title, abstract (ti,ab)]
9 (measles (tw) and mumps (tw) and rubella (tw) and (vaccin* (tw) or immuni* (tw) or inoculat* (tw))
10 or/6-9
11 adverse events [floating sub-heading (fs)] or chemically induced (fs) or complications (fs) or contraindications (fs) or toxicity (fs) or poisoning (fs) or drug effects (fs)
12 ((adverse (tw) near (effect* (tw) or event* (tw)) or side effect* (tw) or hypersensitiv* (tw) or sensitiv* (tw) or safe* (tw) or pharmacovigil* (tw)
13 explode Product-Surveillance-Postmarketing (mh) or Drug-Monitoring (mh) or Drug-Evaluation (mh) or explode Risk (mh) or Odds-Ratio (mh) or explode Causality (mh)
14 relative risk (tw) or risk (tw) or causation (tw) or causal (tw) or odds ratio (tw) or etiol* (tw) or aetiol* (tw) or etiology (fs) or epidemiology (fs)
15 or/11-14
16 10 and 15
```

This filter was adapted for searching EMBASE (1980 to the end of 2004), Biological Abstracts (1985 to the end of 2004) and Science Citation Index (1980 to the end of 2004).

## Appendix 4. Data extraction form

### PART 1

#### Description of study

#### Methods

#### Participants

#### Interventions-Exposure

#### Outcomes effectiveness

#### Outcomes safety

---

## Results

### Notes

#### PART 2a

##### Methodological quality assessment(RCT and CCT only)

###### Type of randomisation:

A = individual participants allocated to vaccine or control group.

B = groups of participants allocated to vaccine or control group.

###### Generation of the allocation sequence:

A = Random

B = Quasi-random

C = Not described

###### Allocation concealment:

A = adequate, e.g. numbered or coded identical containers administered sequentially, on-site computer system that can only be accessed after entering the characteristics of an enrolled participant, or serially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes.

B = possibly adequate, e.g. sealed envelopes that are not sequentially numbered or opaque.

C = inadequate, e.g. open table of random numbers.

D = not described.

###### Blinding:

A = double-blinding

B = single-blind

C = no blinding

D = unclear

###### Baseline data :

1 = reported

2 = not reported

###### Participant flow:

1 = Reported

2 = Only described

3 = Absent

###### Exclusion of participants :

1 = mentioned

2 = not mentioned

3 = not applicable

###### Follow-up:

Average duration of follow-up and number of losses to follow-up.

Note

PART 2b

Description of interventions and outcomes (RCT and CCT only)

Vaccines used

Vaccines and composition | Product and manufacturer | Schedule & dosage and status | Route of administration

Arm 1

Arm 2

Arm 3

Arm 4

Placebo

Rule: index vaccine goes in the Arm 1 line, placebo in the last line

Status: primary, secondary or tertiary immunisation.

Details of participants

Enrolled | Missing | Reasons | Inclusion in analysis | Notes

Active arm 1

Active arm 2

Active arm 3

Active arm 4

Controls

Outcomes list efficacy/effectiveness

Outcome | How defined | Description/Follow-up/Notes

Outcomes list - safety

Outcome | How defined | Description/Follow-up/Notes

Investigators to be contacted for more information? Yes/No

Contact details (principal investigator, fill in only if further contact is necessary)

PART 2c

Data extraction and manipulation (to be used for dichotomous or continuous outcomes; RCT and CCT only)

Comparison

Outcomes | n/N Index Arm | n/N Comparator

Outcomes | n/N Index Arm | n/N Comparator

Outcomes | n/N Index Arm | n/N Comparator

Notes (for statistical use only)

PART 3a

Methodological quality assessment (non-randomised studies only)

NEWCASTLE - OTTAWA QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE - CASE-CONTROL STUDIES

Selection

*1. Is the case definition adequate?*

- a. yes, with independent validation
- b. yes, e.g. record linkage or based on self reports
- c. no description

*2. Representation of the cases*

- a. consecutive or obviously representative series of cases
- b. potential for selection biases or not stated

*3. Selection of controls*

- a. community controls
- b. hospital controls
- c. no description

*4. Definition of controls*

- a. no history of disease (endpoint)
- b. no description of source

Comparability*1. Comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design or analysis*

- a. study controls for \_\_\_\_\_ (select the most important factor)
- b. study controls for any additional factor (this criteria could be modified to indicate specific control for a second important factor)

Exposure*1. Ascertainment of exposure*

- a. secure record (e.g. surgical records)
- b. structured interview where blind to case/control status
- c. interview not blinded to case/control status
- d. written self report or medical record only
- e. no description

*2. Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls*

- a. yes
- b. no

*3. Non-response rate*

- a. same rate for both groups
- b. non-respondents described
- c. rate different and no designation

## NEWCASTLE - OTTAWA QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE - COHORT STUDIES

Note: a study can be awarded a maximum of one star for each numbered item within the Selection and Outcome categories. A maximum of two stars can be given for Comparability

## Selection

### *1. Representation of the exposed cohort*

- a. truly representative of the average \_\_\_\_\_ (describe) in the community
- b. somewhat representative of the average \_\_\_\_\_ in the community
- c. selected group of users e.g. nurses, volunteers
- d. no description of the derivation of the cohort

### *2. Selection of the non-exposed cohort*

- a. drawn from the same community as the exposed cohort
- b. drawn from a different source
- c. no description of the derivation of the non-exposed cohort

### *3. Ascertainment of exposure*

- a. secure record (e.g. surgical records)
- b. structured interview
- c. written self report
- d. no description

### *4. Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study*

- a. yes
- b. no

## Comparability

### *1. Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis*

- a. study controls for \_\_\_\_\_ (select the most important factor)
- b. study controls for any additional factor \* (this criteria could be modified to indicate specific control for a second important factor)

## Outcome

### *1. Assessment of outcome*

- a. independent blind assessment
- b. record linkage
- c. self report
- d. no description

### *2. Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur*

- a. yes (select an adequate follow-up period for outcome of interest)
- b. no

### *3. Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts*

- a. complete follow-up - all subjects accounted for
- b. subjects lost to follow-up unlikely to introduce bias - small number lost - > \_\_\_\_ % (select an adequate %) follow-up, or description provided of those lost) \*

c. follow-up rate < \_\_\_\_% (select an adequate %) and no description of those lost

d. no statement

#### CRD QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE HISTORICAL CONTROLLED TRIALS

*- Was the assignment to the treatment groups really random?*

Adequate: random numbers table or computer and central office or coded packages

Possibly adequate: sealed envelopes without further description or serially number opaque, sealed envelopes

Inadequate: alternation, case record number, birth date, or similar procedures.

Unknown: just the term 'randomised' or 'randomly allocated' used

*- Was the treatment allocation concealed?*

Adequate: the person who decides on eligibility cannot distinguish or predict cases from controls centralised or pharmacy-controlled randomisation, serially numbered identical vials, unreadable, random sequence, etc.

Inadequate: where foreknowledge of allocation to group is possible: use of alternation, case record numbers, birth dates or week days, open random number list.

Unknown: no details given in text.

*- Were the groups similar in baseline regarding the prognostic factors?*

Reported: details reported on which patients were recruited.

Unknown: no details given.

*- Were the eligibility criteria specified?*

Adequate: reported: appropriate criteria listed.

Inadequate: insufficient, inappropriate criteria given.

Unknown: no details given.

*- Were the outcome assessors blinded to the treatment allocation?*

Adequate: independent person(s) or investigator if secure double-blind conditions met.

Inadequate: clinician is assessor on trial were it is possible (from symptoms, lab results, etc) to distinguish allocation.

Unknown: no mention in text.

*- Was the care provider blinded?*

Adequate: placebo described as 'indistinguishable.'

Possibly adequate: just 'double-blind' and no further description of procedures or placebo.

Inadequate: placebo distinguishable from vaccine

Unknown: no details in text.

*- Was the patient blinded?*

Adequate: placebo described as 'indistinguishable' and blinding procedures secure.

Possibly adequate: the phrase 'double-blind' used in text with no further description.

Inadequate: no placebo or clearly distinguishable from vaccine.

Unknown: no details given.

*- Did the analysis include an intention-to-treat analysis?*

**Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)**

Copyright © 2016 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



Adequate: details of analysis presented including a.) percentage of missing, distribution over groups, and procedure for handling; b.) Drop-out rate less than 20% for each group and reasons given.

Possibly adequate: incomplete data.

Inadequate: wrong procedures used.

Unknown: no mention in text or not deducible from tables.

#### CRD QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE - INTERRUPTED TIME SERIES AND CASE CROSS-OVER STUDIES

*- Were the eligibility criteria specified?*

Adequate: criteria appropriate to outcomes being measured.

Inadequate: exclusion criteria impact on outcomes being measured.

Unknown: no mention in text.

*- Were objective measurements taken both before and after the intervention?*

Adequate: relevant data recorded before and after a verifiable intervention.

Inadequate: non-verifiable intervention points or incomplete data before/after records.

*- Was the time frame appropriate?*

Adequate: the outcomes being measured are detectable within the study time frame.

Inadequate: brevity of time frame precludes accurate measure, e.g. of long-term outcomes.

Unknown: no mention in text.

*- Was exposure adequate and appropriate?*

Adequate: sufficient time to allow plausible association was allowed. Exposure was to the vaccine and no obvious confounding interventions were present.

#### CRD QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE - ECOLOGICAL STUDIES

*- Were the population selection criteria appropriate?*

Appropriate - anything likely to minimise the play of confounders e.g. same age and ethnic group

*- Were the populations comparable for exposure?*

Comparable - anything likely to minimise the play of confounders e.g. same type of records.

*- Were the outcomes verifiable?*

Verifiable anything likely to minimise the play of confounders.

*- Were the conclusions of the study justified by the evidence presented?*

Justified anything likely to minimise the play of confounders, e.g. stock taken of the limitations of the study and alternative explanation offered.

#### CRD QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE - PERSON TIME COHORT DESIGN

##### 1) Representativeness of the cohort

a) truly representative of the average \_\_\_\_\_ (describe) in the community

b) somewhat representative of the average \_\_\_\_\_ in the community

c) selected group of users, e.g. nurses, volunteers

d) no description of the derivation of the cohort

2) Ascertainment of the exposure

- a) secure record (e.g. surgical records)
- b) structured interview
- c) written self report
- d) no description

3) Exposures to multiple vaccines

- a) has been documented in the analysis
- b) has been accounted for in the analysis
- c) unclear

4) Are the risk periods well-defined?

5) Are the risk periods appropriate?

6) Have known confounders been controlled for?

- a) Yes (for the example of exposure to live attenuated vaccines: are the risk periods consistent with what is known of the effects of the vaccine)
- b) No
- c) Unclear

CRD QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE - SELF CONTROLLED CASE SERIES

1) Are the risk periods well-defined?

2) Are the risk periods appropriate?

3) Has exposure been verified?

4) Exposure to multiple vaccines

- a) has been documented in the analysis
- b) has been accounted for in the analysis
- c) unclear

PART 3b

Description of interventions and outcomes. Non-randomised longitudinal studies only

Vaccines used

Vaccines and composition | Product and manufacturer | Schedule & dosage and status | Route of administration

Group 1

Group 2

Group 3

Group 4

Comparator

Rule: index vaccine goes in the Group 1 line, placebo in the last line

Vaccine batch numbers

Details of participants

Enrolled | Missing | Reasons | Inclusion in analysis | Notes

Group 1

Group 2

Group 3

Group 4

Comparator

Outcomes list - effectiveness

Outcome | How defined (including length of follow-up) | Description/Follow-up/Notes

Outcomes list - safety

Outcome | How defined (including length of follow-up) | Description/Follow-up/Notes

Investigators to be contacted for more information? Yes/No

Contact details (principal investigator, fill in only if further contact is necessary):

PART 3c

Data extraction and manipulation (to be used for dichotomous outcomes). Non-randomised longitudinal studies only

Comparison

Outcomes | n/N Index Group | n/N Comparator

Notes (for statistical use only)

PART 3d

Description of studies. Case-control studies only

Event 1

How defined | Enrolled | Missing | Reasons | Inclusion in analysis

Cases n =

Controls n =

Exposure

How defined | How ascertained | Notes

Vaccine Exposure 1

Vaccine Exposure 2

Event 2

How defined | Enrolled | Missing | Reasons | Inclusion in analysis

Cases n =

Controls n =

Exposure

How defined | How ascertained | Notes

Vaccine exposure 1

**Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children (Review)**

Copyright © 2016 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Vaccine exposure 2

Notes (for statistical use only)

Part 3e

Data extraction and manipulation. Case-control studies only

Status | Numerator | Denominator

Cases

Control

Notes (for statistical use only)

## FEEDBACK

### Vaccines for MMR in children

#### Summary

Based on the title and the introduction, this is a review of the effectiveness and safety of MMR vaccine. However, the authors concluded that they "could find no comparative studies assessing the effectiveness of MMR that fitted [their] inclusion criteria as all had serological outcomes" and then continued to discuss only studies of MMR vaccine safety. The review and discussion of the safety of these vaccines accurately reflects the literature; rather this letter is about the conclusions regarding vaccine effectiveness.

The authors' conclusion that no comparative studies exist about the effectiveness of MMR vaccines do not seem to be borne out by other reviews of the literature. Using the stated inclusion criteria, one can find several studies of the effectiveness of MMR vaccine against individual diseases (measles, mumps or rubella) using cohort and case-control methods. Numerous retrospective studies have also documented the effectiveness of measles-containing vaccines (vs. MMR vaccine) for preventing measles. A partial list of articles found in PubMed using the criteria (measles OR mumps OR rubella) AND "vaccine efficacy", screened for articles including calculation of clinical vaccine efficacy, follows this feedback.

The authors also restricted their search to articles appearing in 1966 and later; given that measles vaccines were developed and used in clinical trials in the late 1950s and 1960s, the authors should strongly consider repeating their search for all years ? or, at a minimum, from 1954 to the present, given that measles virus was first isolated in 1954.

The authors fail to note that the effectiveness of measles, mumps and rubella vaccines were documented individually before their combination into MMR vaccine, and that the serological correlates of protection are well defined for protection against measles and rubella virus infections. These serological correlates of protection are now used to compare various vaccine virus strains and combinations.

I would strongly suggest that this review be revised so that it includes a discussion of articles that assess the efficacy of MMR vaccines or the individual vaccines included in MMR vaccines against their target diseases using any appropriate methodology. The authors could then compare the efficacy of the individual vaccines with that of the combined vaccine. If they choose not to include any of the articles found that demonstrate clinical vaccine efficacy, it would be helpful if the authors could provide a clear justification for doing so. At the very least, the title and introduction should be changed so that it is clear that the review is of studies of the safety of the vaccines, not their efficacy.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments

#### Reply

Dear Dr. Perry,

Many thanks for the attention paid to our MMR vaccines review. We have read with interest you observation, we must though call your attention to the fact that for Cochrane Reviews inclusion criteria are established rigorously from an experienced team of specialists with the aim to made comparisons so homogeneous as possible and to consider preferably those outcomes that have direct implications for decision making in Public Health. For this reason the evaluation of evidences based only on serological parameters is debatable or at least not overall accepted at the rate of their indirect nature.

It shouldn't be forgotten that our review was also performed in order to provide some responses to an important specific question in Public Health regarding the suspected association of MMR vaccine with serious diseases. As reported in the conclusions, vaccine efficacy is in any case out of the question, since we consider as important point of evidence the fact that in many countries eradication of the targeted diseases could be achieved by means of mass immunisation programs.

We agree that studies in which single MMR antigens are tested could contribute some evidence, but in this review the only MMR in comparison with placebo or not intervention was considered. Effectiveness or efficacy of measles vaccine has been already reviewed by other authors (e.g. 1, 2, 3 ; all present in DARE).

Many studies out of those indicated by you in the list, report results of a single component vaccines and are for this reason not includible. In some of them MMR is tested, but all appear results of surveys and consequently their design is markedly affected from different types of biases which would preclude in any case their inclusion in the analysis. To complete background information about efficacy of MMR vaccines (or of different strain combinations), we may comment briefly on the evidence from these and other similar reports in occasion of the next update of the review.

All Authors

1. Aaby P, Samb B, Simondon F, Seck A M, Knudsen K, Whittle H. Non-specific beneficial effect of measles immunisation: analysis of mortality studies from developing countries. *BMJ*. 1995; 311:481-485.
2. Anders J F, Jacobson R M, Poland G A, Jacobsen S J, Wollan P C. Secondary failure rates of measles vaccines: a metaanalysis of published studies. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*. 1996; 15(1):62-66.
3. Cooper W O, Boyce T G, Wright P F, Griffin M R. Do childhood vaccines have non-specific effects on mortality?. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2003; 81(11):821-826.

## Contributors

Robert Perry, MD, MPH  
Feedback added 09/08/06

## Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children, 2 June 2016

### Summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

I have a newborn baby and I am reviewing if I should vaccinate her or not. I am an osteopath and I am use to reading research but in this case I'm a little bit confused. And for that I would like some clarification. I would really appreciate some explanations on this as for now I don't feel your review is objective. But I might be mistaken and clarification would be welcome.

Please read my comments ahead on your article <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD004407.pub3/full>.

The conclusions of your article seem contradictory to your findings. Considering that:  
Firstly, MMR studies are not well conducted, have low internal and external validity, have medium to high level of biases, don't have control groups, and  
second, MMR may wain with time (more than natural exposure), is associated with aseptic meningitis, febrile seizures, febrile convulsions, acute or idiopathic thrombocytopaenic purpura, and  
third, in your conclusion you summarise that MMR vaccine "reduces morbidity and mortality associated with mumps and rubella" contradicting yourself with "we found no studies assessing the effectiveness of MMR vaccine against rubella.

I am seriously wondering and considering if actually MMR vaccine is safe and effective. Therefore I don't understand your conclusions.  
Thank you very much,  
Arturo Fernandez

I do not have any affiliation with or involvement in any organisation with a financial interest in the subject matter of my comment.

## WHAT'S NEW

| Date           | Event                          | Description                |
|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 4 October 2016 | Feedback has been incorporated | Feedback comment inserted. |

## HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 3, 2003  
Review first published: Issue 4, 2005

| Date             | Event                                                  | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 12 May 2011      | New search has been performed                          | The searches have been updated and 33 new trials have been included in the review, including one previously excluded trial (Marolla 1998). Fifty new trials were excluded and 13 new trials are awaiting classification. The conclusions remain unchanged. |
| 1 February 2011  | New citation required but conclusions have not changed | A new author joined the team to update this review.                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| 6 May 2008       | Amended                                                | Converted to new review format.                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| 8 August 2006    | Feedback has been incorporated                         | Feedback comment and reply added to review.                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| 18 December 2004 | New search has been performed                          | Searches conducted.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |

## CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

For this update Alessandro Rivetti (AR) performed the searches, and together with Maria Grazia Debalni (MGD) and Carlo Di Pietrantonj (CDP) applied inclusion criteria and extracted data. Vittorio Demicheli (VD) arbitrated on both study inclusion and extraction. All authors contributed to the final draft.

In the previous version, Vittorio Demicheli (VD), Tom Jefferson (TOJ) and Deirdre Price (DP) designed the protocol and carried out data extraction. VD arbitrated on study inclusion. Alessandro Rivetti (AR) carried out the effectiveness assessment and updated safety searches. All authors contributed to the final draft.

## DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Dr Jefferson in 1999 acted as an ad hoc consultant for a legal team advising MMR manufacturers.

## SOURCES OF SUPPORT

### Internal sources

- Istituto Superiore di Sanita, Italy.

### External sources

- European Union Programme for Improved Vaccine Safety Surveillance. EU Contract Number 1999/C64/14, Other.

## DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

None

## INDEX TERMS

### Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Age Factors; Autistic Disorder [etiology]; Clinical Trials as Topic; Crohn Disease [etiology]; Epidemiologic Studies; Measles [\*prevention & control]; Measles-Mumps-Rubella Vaccine [\*administration & dosage] [\*adverse effects]; Mumps [\*prevention & control]; Purpura, Thrombocytopenic [etiology]; Rubella [\*prevention & control]; Seizures, Febrile [etiology]; Vaccines, Attenuated [administration & dosage] [adverse effects]

### MeSH check words

Adolescent; Child; Child, Preschool; Humans; Infant