

## Problem Set #2

Ari Boyarsky  
aboyarsky@uchicago.edu  
OSM Bootcamp Math

July 11, 2018

### Exercise 6.6

$$\nabla f(x, y) = (6xy + 4y^2 + y, 3x^2 + 8xy + x)$$

$$6xy + 4y^2 + y = 0 \implies y = 0 \text{ or } 6x + 4y + 1 = 0 \implies x = \frac{-4y - 1}{6}$$

$$y = 0 \implies 3x^2 + x = 0 \implies x = 0 \text{ or } 3x + 1 = 0 \implies x = -1/3$$

$$x = \frac{-4y - 1}{6} \implies 3\left(\frac{-4y - 1}{6}\right)^2 + 8\left(\frac{-4y - 1}{6}\right)y + \frac{-4y - 1}{6} = 0 \implies y = -1/4 \text{ or } -1/12 \implies x = 0 \text{ or } -1/9$$

So, critical points are  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(0, -1/4)$ ,  $(-1/9, -1/12)$ ,  $(-1/3, 0)$ . The Hessian is,

$$D^2 f(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} 6y & 6x + 8y + 1 \\ 6x + 8y + 1 & 8x \end{bmatrix}$$

Plugging in and evaluating the eigenvalues reveals that the max is  $(-1/9, -1/12)$  while rest are saddle points.

### Exercise 6.7

1.  $Q$  is clearly symmetric by definition since  $A^T + A = A + A^T$ .

$$x^T Q x = x^T (A^T + A) x = 2x^T A x$$

This clearly yields the result when plugging in and dividing by 2.

2.  $f'(x) = 2x^T A x - b^T = 0 \implies 2x^T A x = b^T \implies Qx = b^T$  from the last part. This gives the result.
3. Notice that  $Q^T x = b$  is satisfied only if  $Q$  is invertible. Then, this implies that it is either positive or negative definite since if a matrix is invertible the determinant is non-zero which implies there are no zero eigenvalues. Since, we are looking for a min, we know it is positive definite.

### Exercise 6.11

$$x_1 = x_0 - f'(x_0)/f''(x_0)$$

$$x_1 = -b/2ax_0 \implies f'(x_1) = 2ax_0 + b = -b + b = 0$$

$$f''(x_1) = 2a > 0$$

## Exercise 6.15

See attached .ipynb file.

## Exercise 7.1

Take  $y_1, y_2 \in \text{Conv}(S)$ . Then,  $\lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2 = \lambda_1(\sum_i \theta_i x_i) + \lambda_2(\sum_i \psi_i x_i) = \sum_i \lambda \theta_i x_i + (1-\lambda)\psi_i x_i \in \text{Conv}(S)$  since the convex hull is the set of all convex combinations of elements of  $S$  and any elements in  $\text{Conv}(S)$  is a convex combo of elements in  $S$ .

## Exercise 7.2

1.  $x, y \in H = \{x \in V | \langle a, x \rangle = b\} \implies \langle a, x \rangle = \langle a, y \rangle = b \implies \lambda \langle a, x \rangle + (1-\lambda)\langle a, y \rangle = b \implies \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y = b \forall \lambda \in (0, 1)$
2.  $x, y \in \{x \in V | \langle a, x \rangle \leq b\} \implies \langle a, x \rangle, \langle a, y \rangle \leq b \implies \lambda \langle a, x \rangle + (1-\lambda)\langle a, y \rangle \leq b \implies \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \leq b \in H \forall \lambda \in (0, 1)$

## Exercise 7.4

1.  $\|x-y\|^2 = \|x-p+p-y\|^2 = \langle x-p+p-y, x-p+p-y \rangle = \|x-p\|^2 + \|p-y\|^2 + 2\langle x-p, p-y \rangle$
2.  $\|x-y\| = \|x-p\| \iff y = p \implies \|x-y\| > \|x-p\| \iff y \neq p$  and 7.14 holds.
3.  $\|x-z\|^2 = \|x-p+p-z\|^2 = \langle x-p+p-z, x-p+p-z \rangle = \|x-p\|^2 + \|p-y\|^2 + 2\langle x-p, p-z \rangle = \|x-p\|^2 + \|p-\lambda y + (1-\lambda)p\|^2 + 2\langle x-p, p-(\lambda y + (1-\lambda)p) \rangle$  which clearly yields the result.
4. 7.15 yields  $0 \leq 2\langle x-p, p-y \rangle + \lambda\|y-p\|^2$  since from the previous part we have  $\|x-z\| > \|x-p\|$

## Exercise 7.8

$y_1 = Ax_1 + b, y_2 = Ax_2 + b$  then,  $g(\lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2) = f(\lambda(Ax_1 + b) + (1-\lambda)(Ax_2 + b)) \leq \lambda f(Ax_1 + b) + (1-\lambda)f(Ax_2 + b) = \lambda g(y_1) + (1-\lambda)g(y_2)$ .

## Exercise 7.12

1. Take  $x, y \in PD_n(\mathbb{R})$  then,  $\lambda(x) + (1-\lambda)y = z \in PD_n(\mathbb{R}) \forall \lambda \in (0, 1)$  since,  $v^T z v = v^T(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y)v \geq 0$  by def of pos definite which of course also implies that the convex combo is positive definite which implies the convexity of the set.
2. First, we know  $g(t) = f(tA + (1-t)B)$  is convex from the previous exercise.

### Exercise 7.13

Suppose by way of contradiction that  $f$  is not constant, then since  $f$  is bounded take  $x, y$  s.t.  $f(x) > f(y)$  then  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \implies \frac{\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)}{\lambda} = \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{\lambda} \leq f\left(\frac{\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y}{\lambda}\right)$  and  $f(x) > f(y) \implies f(x) - f(y)/\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  thus we do not have a bounded function so we must have a constant function.

### Exercise 7.20

$f(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y)$  and  $-f(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq -tf(x) - (1 - t)f(y)$ . But then,  $-tf(x) - (1 - t)f(y) \geq -f(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq -tf(x) - (1 - t)f(y)$  so instead we have equality then,  $f(tx + (1 - t)y) = tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y)$ . Then we have exactly the definition of affine.

### Exercise 7.21

Let  $x^*$  be a local minimizer. Then,  $f(x^*) \leq f(x)$ . Since  $\phi$  is monotonic,  $x^*$  also minimizes  $\phi \circ f$ . In the reverse,  $\phi(f(x^*)) \leq \phi(f(x))$  for some neighborhood of  $x^*$ . Then since  $\phi$  is monotonic we also have that  $x^*$  is a local minimizer of  $f$ .