

Recursive Kate proofs

June 10, 2019

1 Two layer Libert/Kate

Think of our file as a vector $V \in \mathbb{F}_q^N$. We wish to have a “two-layer” version of Kate for proof of retrievability, where the prover’s work is only $O(\sqrt{N})$.

Notation/terminology Let \mathbb{G} be the source group of a pairing friendly curve over a field \mathbb{F}_r ; such that $|\mathbb{G}| = q$. Let \mathbb{H} be a subgroup of a pairing friendly curve of size r , over a field K .

Constructing \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H} using the zexe curves we have $\log q = 255, \log r = 377, \log |K| = 768$.

and \mathbb{H} be a pairing friendly curve of order r . We use the notation $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. For a vector $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and subset $T \subset [n]$ we denote $x_T \in \mathbb{F}_q^{|T|}$ to be x restricted to the indices in T

Libert Commitments We will use the Libert vector commitment for vectors in \mathbb{F}_q^n as a black box. It has the following properties

- A commitment to a vector $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is one element of \mathbb{G} .
- After a preprocessing taking $O(n^2)$ operations in \mathbb{G} , for any subset $S \subset [n]$ of size $t \leq n$, and vector of coefficients $(r_1, \dots, r_t) \in \mathbb{F}_q^t$, $\sum_{i=1}^t r_i \cdot x_{T(i)}$ can be opened with t group operations and the proof of correct opening is a single element of \mathbb{G} .

An important observation about Libert commitments, is

Claim 1.1. *Let $C = \text{Lib}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and $T \subset [n]$ be of size t . Fix $C_T \in \mathbb{G}$. One can construct in $O(t)$ group operations a proof that $C_T = \text{Lib}(x_T)$. The proof consists merely of two \mathbb{G} elements and one \mathbb{F}_q element.*

Proof. We describe the proof. Assume for simplicity of notation that $T = \{1, \dots, t\}$. The verifier (or using hash of C_T) chooses random $r_1, \dots, r_t \in \mathbb{F}_q^t$. The prover then opens C with $(r_1, \dots, r_t, 0, \dots, 0)$ and C_T with (r_1, \dots, r_t) . If C_T is *not* a commitment to x_T the probability that both open to the same value is $1/q$ (or at most t/q if using the derandomized choice $r_i = r^i$ for the coefficients). \square

1.1 The two-layer scheme

The two-layer scheme proceeds as follows.

Commitment to file:

1. Let $n = m := \sqrt{N}$. Split the file into n blocks of m elements. And compute for each block a Kate commitment $g_i := [f_i(s)]_1 \in \mathbb{G}$ where $f_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ has degree smaller than m .
2. Let $v = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be the coordinates of g_1, \dots, g_n . Compute a libert commitment C to v . And output C as the commitment to the file.

Remark 1.2. *For simplicity we comit in Step 2 separately to all x, y coordinates. It should be enough to commit just to the sign of y and thus pack many y 's into a single field element, thus cutting down the length of v*

Proof of retrievability

1. We have a challenge which is a subset $T \subset [n]$ of blocks, we think of $t := |T|$ as constant. For simplicity of notation assume $T = \{1, \dots, t\}$. The prover P now wishes to show he knows the contents of the first t blocks.
2. Assume the challenge also includes a uniform elements $\lambda, r \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Denote for $i \in [t], \lambda_i := \lambda^i$. P sends the element $g \in \mathbb{G}$, which is allegedly $\sum_{i \in T} \lambda^i \cdot g_i$. Note that this is exactly the Kate commitment to $f(X) := \sum_{i \in T} \lambda^i \cdot f_i(X)$. P will send a commitment $C_T = \text{Lib}(x_1, \dots, x_t, y_1, \dots, y_t)$ and prove it is correct using the protocol from Claim 1.1.
3. Now, let C be a circuit over \mathbb{F}_r whose public inputs are $C_T, g, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$; and private inputs are $x_1, \dots, x_t, y_1, \dots, y_t$ that checks that

(a) $C_T = \text{Lib}(x_1, \dots, x_t, y_1, \dots, y_t)$

(b) $g = \sum_{i \in T} \lambda_i \cdot (x_i, y_i) = \sum_{i \in T} \lambda_i \cdot g_i$

P will provide a SNARK proof using the curve \mathbb{H} that C accepts - thus proving that indeed g is the Kate commitment to f .

4. P will now open $f(r)$. Note that if P indeed has the blocks of T stored he can compute the coefficeints of f in $t \cdot n = O(\sqrt{N})$ operations. However, if he is missing any of the coefficients of $\{f_i\}_{i \in T}$ his success probability is negligible.

One important thing to notice is that in the third step the circuit size is $O(t \cdot \log q)$, as we are combining t group elements in it; i.e. there is no dependence on n .

References