

1.  $H(w)$  is a Householder matrix (often called a ‘Householder reflection’), which has the form  $H(w) := I - 2ww^T/w^Tw$ . Compute

$$H(w)H(w) = \left(I - \frac{2ww^T}{w^Tw}\right) \left(I - \frac{2ww^T}{w^Tw}\right) = I - 4\frac{ww^T}{w^Tw} + 4\frac{w(w^Tw)w^T}{(w^Tw)(w^Tw)} = I - 4\frac{ww^T}{w^Tw} + 4\frac{ww^T}{w^Tw} = I.$$

Note that  $H$  is both orthogonal and symmetric:  $H = H^T = H^{-1}$ .

2. Verify the three properties required satisfied by a vector norm:

- 1) positivity:  $\max_i |x_i| \geq 0, \max_i |x_i| = 0 \iff x = 0$  by properties of  $|\cdot|$ ;
- 2) scaling:  $|\alpha x_i| = |\alpha| |x_i| \implies \max_i |\alpha x_i| = |\alpha| \max_i |x_i|$ ;
- 3) triangle inequality:  $|x_i + y_i| \leq |x_i| + |y_i| \implies \max_i |x_i + y_i| \leq \max_i |x_i| + \max_i |y_i|$ .

Thus  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is a vector norm.

3. Suppose  $\|\cdot\|$  is some vector norm. Verify the three requirements for matrix norms:

- 1) positivity:  $\|x\| \geq 0 \forall x \implies \|Ax\| \geq 0 \forall x$ , so  $\|Ax\|/\|x\| \geq 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ ;  
If  $\|A\| = 0$  then  $\|Ax\| = 0 \forall x \implies Ax = 0 \forall x \implies A = 0$ . Clearly  $\|0\| = 0$ .
- 2) scaling:  $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\| \implies \|(\alpha A)x\| = |\alpha| \|Ax\|$ , so  $\|(\alpha A)x\|/\|x\| = |\alpha| \|Ax\|/\|x\| \forall x$ ;
- 3) triangle inequality:  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\| \implies \|A(x + y)\| \leq \|Ax\| + \|Ay\|$ ,  
so  $\sup_x \|(A + B)x\|/\|x\| \leq \sup_x \|Ax\|/\|x\| + \sup_x \|Bx\|/\|x\|$ .

To show  $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$ , consider

$$\begin{aligned} \|AB\| &= \sup_x \frac{\|ABx\|}{\|x\|} = \sup_x \frac{\|ABx\|}{\|x\|} \frac{\|Bx\|}{\|Bx\|} \leq \sup_x \left( \frac{\|ABx\|}{\|Bx\|} \right) \sup_x \left( \frac{\|Bx\|}{\|x\|} \right) \\ &\leq \sup_y \left( \frac{\|Ay\|}{\|y\|} \right) \sup_x \left( \frac{\|Bx\|}{\|x\|} \right) = \|A\| \|B\|. \end{aligned}$$

4. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . From the operator norm definition, we have  $\|A\|_1 = \sup_{\|x\|_1=1} \|Ax\|_1$ . Our strategy will be to develop an upper bound for  $\|A\|_1$ , and then demonstrate that the bound is attained for a specific  $x$ . For general  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\|x\|_1 = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\|_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_j \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_{ij}| |x_j| = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( |x_j| \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_{ij}| \right) \\ &\leq \left( \max_j \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_{ij}| \right) \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \\ &= \max_j \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_{ij}|. \end{aligned}$$

This bound is actually attained by the vector which is all zeros except for a 1 in the entry corresponding to the column of  $A$  with maximum 1-norm. Thus,  $\|A\|_1 = \max_j \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_{ij}|$ .

5. Suppose  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and let  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  be orthogonal. Recall that  $\|Qx\|_2 = \|x\|_2$  since  $\|Qx\|_2^2 = (Qx)^T(Qx) = x^T Q^T Q x = x^T x = \|x\|_2^2$ .

Partition  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  by columns,  $A = [a_1 \ a_2 \ \cdots \ a_n]$ , where  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then we can write the Frobenius norm of  $A$  using dot products:

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^T a_j.$$

Now write  $QA = Q[a_1 \ a_2 \ \cdots \ a_n] = [Qa_1 \ Qa_2 \ \cdots \ Qa_n]$ , and compute

$$\|QA\|_F^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n (Qa_j)^T (Qa_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^T Q^T Q a_j = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^T a_j = \|A\|_F^2.$$

6. Let  $A = U\Sigma V^T$  be the singular value decomposition (SVD) for  $A$ . (Recall that  $U$  and  $V$  are orthogonal, and  $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$  is diagonal with  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_n \geq 0$ .) Then

$$\|A\|_2 = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|_2 = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|U\Sigma V^T x\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|\Sigma V^T x\| = \sup_{\|V^T x\|=1} \|\Sigma V^T x\| = \sup_{\|y\|=1} \|\Sigma y\|.$$

This supremum is attained by the unit vector  $y = [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T$ , with  $\|A\|_2 = \sigma_1$ .

7. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . The SVD of  $A$  is  $A = U\Sigma V^T$ . Suppose  $m \geq n$ . Then  $A^T A = V\Sigma^T U^T U \Sigma V^T = V\Sigma^T \Sigma V^T$ . Notice that  $\Sigma^T \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2)$ . Since  $\Sigma^T \Sigma$  is diagonal and  $V^T = V^{-1}$ , this is an eigenvalue-eigenvector decomposition of  $A^T A$ . Thus, the eigenvalues of  $A^T A$  are  $\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2$ .

Note that  $A^T A$  is square ( $n \times n$ ), symmetric, and has positive eigenvalues.

The proof for  $m \leq n$  is very similar.

For the MATLAB exercise, you should have found that `svd(A)` returns the values 9.5255 and 0.5143 (rounding to four digits after the decimal point), while `eig(A'*A)` returns the values 0.2645 and 90.7355 (also rounding to four digits). Observe that these agree with our expectations from the first part of the problem.

8. Suppose  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is nonsingular with the SVD  $A = U\Sigma V^T$ . Then we seek a matrix  $B$  with  $AB = I$ . We can compute  $B$ :  $U\Sigma V^T B = I \implies B = V\Sigma^{-1}U^T$ . Note that  $\Sigma^{-1} = \text{diag}(1/\sigma_1, 1/\sigma_2, \dots, 1/\sigma_n)$ . Although  $A^{-1} = B = V\Sigma^{-1}U^T$ , this is not a proper SVD because it violates our convention for ordering the entries of  $\Sigma$ . To fix this problem, we need the permutation matrix with ones in the  $(i, n-i+1)$  entries,

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Unspecified entries are zero. Note that this matrix is orthogonal,  $PP^T = I$ .

Then we have  $P\Sigma^{-1}P^T = \text{diag}(1/\sigma_n, \dots, 1/\sigma_2, 1/\sigma_1)$ , which obeys the desired ordering convention. Thus,  $A^{-1} = VP^T(P\Sigma^{-1}P^T)PU^T$  is the SVD of  $A^{-1}$ .