# Layoff Data Analysis Project

SQL-BASED DATA CLEANING AND EXPLORATORY DATA ANALYSIS

**ARIF AMIN** 

#### **Contents**

- Introduction
- Data Preparation
- Objective
- Methodology
- ► Key SQL Queries
- Insights
- Conclusion

#### Introduction

- ► Project Overview:
- > This project focuses on analyzing global tech layoffs using SQL.
- > Two key phases: Data Cleaning and Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA).
- Purpose:
- Clean raw layoff data to make it analysis-ready.
- Extract patterns, trends, and insights on layoffs by time, region, and company.

#### **Data Preparation**

- Dataset Overview:
- > Imported data into MySQL environment.
- > Initial Table: layoffs
- > Staging Tables: layoffs staging, layoffs\_staging2
- **Columns:**
- company, location, industry, total\_laid\_off, percentage\_laid\_off, date, stage, country, funds\_raised\_millions
- **▶** Transformations:
- > Created layoffs\_staging for cleaning operations.
- ➤ Used layoffs\_staging2 to track and delete duplicates using row numbers.
- ▶ Record Count: 2500+ rows processed through cleaning and standardization.

#### **Objective**

- Primary Goal:
- Identify significant patterns in layoffs from a cleaned dataset.
- Key Focus Areas:
- Which companies, countries, and industries had the most layoffs?
- Monthly and yearly trends in layoffs.
- Ranking of companies by layoffs over time.
- Rolling cumulative layoffs across months.

#### Methodology

#### SQL Functions Used:

- Window Functions: ROW\_NUMBER(),DENSE\_RANK(), SUM() OVER.
- > Text Functions: TRIM(), SUBSTRING().
- Date Conversion: STR\_TO\_DATE().
- Conditional Clauses: WHERE, IS NULL, LIKE.
- Joins: Self-joins for populating missing values.
- CTEs: Used for modular, readable SQL (e.g., ranking and rolling totals).

# Data Cleaning

### Removed Duplicates

#### Created table layoff\_staging2

```
CREATE TABLE `layoffs staging2` (
 company text,
 location text,
 industry text,
 'total laid off' int DEFAULT NULL,
  percentage laid off text,
  'date' text,
  stage text,
  country text,
 'funds raised millions' int DEFAULT NULL,
  row num int
 ENGINE=InnoDB DEFAULT CHARSET=utf8mb4 COLLATE=utf8mb4 0900 ai ci;
```

#### Inserted data into layoff\_staging 2

```
Insert into layoffs_staging2
Select *,row_number() over(
partition by company,location,industry,
total_laid_off,percentage_laid_off,`date`,
stage,country,funds_raised_millions) as row_num
from layoffs_staging;
```

#### Deleted Data from layoff\_staging 2

```
Pelete
From layoffs_staging2
where row_num >1;
```

#### Standardized the data

## Removed extra-spaces from company column

```
select company,trim(company)
from layoffs_staging2;

update layoffs_staging2
set company=trim(company);
```

#### Removed trailing "." from country column

```
select distinct Country ,trim(Trailing '.' from country)
from layoffs_staging2
order by 1;

Update layoffs_staging2
set country = Trim(Trailing '.' from country)
where country like 'United States%';
```

#### Standardized the data

#### Standardized the date format

```
select `date`, str_to_date(`date`, '%m/%d/%Y')
from layoffs_staging2;

Update layoffs_staging2
set `date` = str_to_date(`date`, '%m/%d/%Y');
```

#### Changed datatype to date

```
alter table layoffs_staging2
modify column `date` Date;
```

#### Updated Null & Blank Values

#### Self-joined the layoffs\_staging2 table

```
select t1.industry,t2.industry
from layoffs_staging2 t1 join
layoffs_staging2 t2 on t1.company=t2.company
and t1.location=t2.location
where t1.industry is null
and t2.industry is not null;
```

## Updated blank with null for industry column

```
update layoffs_staging2
set industry =null
where industry='';
```

#### Updated the null Industry values

```
Update layoffs_staging2 t1 join
layoffs_staging2 t2 on t1.company=t2.company
and t1.location=t2.location
Set t1.industry= t2.industry
where t1.industry is null and
t2.industry is not null;
```

#### Removed the Null Values

```
Delete
from layoffs_staging2
where total_laid_off IS NULL
And percentage_laid_off is NULL;
```

# **Exploratory Data Analysis**

# Company-wise highest lay-offs

```
select company ,sum(total_laid_off)
from layoffs_staging2
group by company
order by 2 desc;
```

Amazon, Google and Meta had the highest layoffs.

| company     | sum(total_laid_off) |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Amazon      | 18150               |
| Google      | 12000               |
| Meta        | 11000               |
| Salesforce  | 10090               |
| Microsoft   | 10000               |
| Philips     | 10000               |
| Ericsson    | 8500                |
| Uber        | 7585                |
| Dell        | 6650                |
| Booking.com | 4601                |
| Cisco       | 4100                |
| Peloton     | 4084                |
| Byju's      | 4000                |
| Carvana     | 4000                |
| Twitter     | 3940                |
| Better.com  | 3900                |
| IBM         | 3900                |
| Groupon     | 3800                |
| Bytedance   | 3750                |
| Katerra     | 3074                |

# Country-wise highest lay-offs

```
select country ,sum(total_laid_off)
from layoffs_staging2
group by country
order by 2 desc;
```

The United States saw overwhelming majority of layoffs.

| country              | sum(total_laid_off) |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| United States        | 256559              |
| India                | 35993               |
| Netherlands          | 17220               |
| Sweden               | 11264               |
| Brazil               | 10391               |
| Germany              | 8701                |
| United Kingdom       | 6398                |
| Canada               | 6319                |
| Singapore            | 5995                |
| China                | 5905                |
| Israel               | 3638                |
| Indonesia            | 3521                |
| Australia            | 2324                |
| Nigeria              | 1882                |
| United Arab Emirates | 995                 |
| France               | 915                 |
| Hong Kong            | 730                 |
| Austria              | 570                 |
| Russia               | 400                 |
| Kenya                | 349                 |

#### Industry-wise the highest laid off

```
select industry ,sum(total_laid_off)
from layoffs_staging2
group by industry
order by 2 desc;
```

Consumer, retail and transportation industries were hit hard.

| industry       | sum(total_laid_off) |  |  |
|----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Consumer       | 45182               |  |  |
| Retail         | 43613               |  |  |
| Other          | 36289               |  |  |
| Transportation | 33748               |  |  |
| Finance        | 28344               |  |  |
| Healthcare     | 25953               |  |  |
| Food           | 22855               |  |  |
| Real Estate    | 17565               |  |  |
| Travel         | 17159               |  |  |
| Hardware       | 13828               |  |  |
| Education      | 13338               |  |  |
| Sales          | 13216               |  |  |
| Crypto         | 10693               |  |  |
| Marketing      | 10258               |  |  |
| Fitness        | 8748                |  |  |
| Security       | 5979                |  |  |
| Infrastructure | 5785                |  |  |
| Media          | 5234                |  |  |
| Data           | 5135                |  |  |
| Logistics      | 4026                |  |  |
| Construction   | 3863                |  |  |
| Support        | 3523                |  |  |
| HR             | 2783                |  |  |
| Recruiting     | 2775                |  |  |
| Product 1233   |                     |  |  |

## Which year had the highest lay-offs

```
select year(`date`) ,sum(total_laid_off)
from layoffs_staging2
where year(`date`) is not NULL
group by year(`date`)
order by 2 desc;
```

| year('date') | sum(total_laid_off) |
|--------------|---------------------|
| 2022         | 160661              |
| 2023         | 125677              |
| 2020         | 80998               |
| 2021         | 15823               |

2022 had the highest layoffs and early 2023.

# Progression of lay-off, rolling sum until the end

```
with Rolling_total as
(
Select substring(`date`,1,7) as `Month`,sum(total_laid_off) as total_off
from layoffs_staging2
where substring(`date`,1,7) is not Null
group by `Month`
order by 1 asc
)
Select `Month`,total_off,sum(total_off) over(order by `Month`) as rolling_total
from Rolling_total;
```

Layoffs surged in 2022, with rolling cumulative totals highlighting a steep upward curve through late 2022 and early 2023.

| Month   | total_off | rolling_total |
|---------|-----------|---------------|
| 2020-03 | 9628      | 9628          |
| 2020-04 | 26710     | 36338         |
| 2020-05 | 25804     | 62142         |
| 2020-06 | 7627      | 69769         |
| 2020-07 | 7112      | 76881         |
| 2020-08 | 1969      | 78850         |
| 2020-09 | 609       | 79459         |
| 2020-10 | 450       | 79909         |
| 2020-11 | 237       | 80146         |
| 2020-12 | 852       | 80998         |
| 2021-01 | 6813      | 87811         |
| 2021-02 | 868       | 88679         |
| 2021-03 | 47        | 88726         |
| 2021-04 | 261       | 88987         |
| 2021-06 | 2434      | 91421         |
| 2021-07 | 80        | 91501         |
| 2021-08 | 1867      | 93368         |
| 2021-09 | 161       | 93529         |
| 2021-10 | 22        | 93551         |
| 2021-11 | 2070      | 95621         |
| 2021-12 | 1200      | 96821         |
| 2022-01 | 510       | 97331         |
| 2022-02 | 3685      | 101016        |
| 2022-03 | 5714      | 106730        |
| 2022-04 | 4128      | 110858        |

# Identify the top 5 companies with the highest total layoffs for each year

```
with company year(company, years, total laid off) as
select company ,Year(`date`),sum(total_laid_off)
from layoffs staging2
group by company, Year('date')
Company Year Rank AS
Select *, dense_rank() over
(partition by years order by total laid off desc) as Ranking
from company year
where years is not Null
Select *
from Company Year Rank
where Ranking <=5;
```

Uber, Byte-dance, Meta and Google lead the lay-offs each year.

| company     | years | total_laid_off | Ranking |
|-------------|-------|----------------|---------|
| Uber        | 2020  | 7525           | 1       |
| Booking.com | 2020  | 4375           | 2       |
| Groupon     | 2020  | 2800           | 3       |
| Swiggy      | 2020  | 2250           | 4       |
| Airbnb      | 2020  | 1900           | 5       |
| Bytedance   | 2021  | 3600           | 1       |
| Katerra     | 2021  | 2434           | 2       |
| Zillow      | 2021  | 2000           | 3       |
| Instacart   | 2021  | 1877           | 4       |
| WhiteHat Jr | 2021  | 1800           | 5       |
| Meta        | 2022  | 11000          | 1       |
| Amazon      | 2022  | 10150          | 2       |
| Cisco       | 2022  | 4100           | 3       |
| Peloton     | 2022  | 4084           | 4       |
| Carvana     | 2022  | 4000           | 5       |
| Philips     | 2022  | 4000           | 5       |
| Google      | 2023  | 12000          | 1       |
| Microsoft   | 2023  | 10000          | 2       |
| Ericsson    | 2023  | 8500           | 3       |
| Amazon      | 2023  | 8000           | 4       |
| Salesforce  | 2023  | 8000           | 4       |
| Dell        | 2023  | 6650           | 5       |

### Insights

- ► Findings from SQL Analysis:
- > 2022 had the highest layoffs, followed by partial data from early 2023.
- > USA led in total layoffs, significantly ahead of other countries.
- > Rolling layoff trends showed consistent month-over-month increase during peak periods.
- > Consumer retail, transportation industries were the most impacted.
- > Several companies experienced 100% layoffs.
- Companies with high funding still saw large layoffs.
- ► Recommendations:
- > Standardize data entry: Enforce naming conventions for countries, companies, and industries.
- > Add workforce size: Enables better understanding of impact (not just absolute layoffs).
- > Investigate funding vs. layoffs: Use additional data to analyze correlation.

#### Conclusion

- ► Cleaned and standardized layoff dataset using SQL.
- ► Exploratory analysis revealed trends by time, location, and company scale. SQL functions (window, CTEs, joins) enabled effective analysis on structured data.
- Dataset ready for visualization and advanced analytics.

# Thank You