	H1	H2.1	H2.2	Pass	Fail
Communication (10%)	The presentation comprehensively outlined the project. The speaker was poised and enthusiastic. Questions were excellently answered.	The presentation outlined the project in detail. The speaker was poised and enthusiastic. Questions were very well answered.	The presentation outlined the project. The speaker was poised and enthusiastic. Questions were answered.	The presentation somewhat outlined the project. The speaker was poised and enthusiastic. Questions were reasonably well answered.	The presentation is unorganised and unclear. Questions were unanswered/poorly answered.
Writing & Presentation of Results (20%)	The dissertation is written in a formal academic style; with very clear statements and conclusions and discussion of the project findings and implication, and use proper Harvard Referencing Style (HRS). Excellent statements and clear presentation of results. Excellent use of illustrations, code samples, etc. Conclusions are clearly supported by the results.	The dissertation is written in a formal academic style with clear statements and conclusions, and use proper HRS; Very good statements and clear presentation of achievements. For some results more appropriate means of presentation could have been utilised. Conclusions are clearly supported by the results.	The dissertation is written in a formal academic style but missing in conclusions and discussions not perfect HRS Good statements and clear presentation of achievements. For some results more appropriate means of presentation could have been utilised. Conclusions drawn could be more clearly supported by the results.	The dissertation is not well structured and does not use proper academic style; there are very few references and proper HRS is not used There is a statement and presentation of results (perhaps incomplete), however, there is a lack of clarity and less effective use of illustrations, code samples, etc. Conclusions are not clearly supported by the results.	The dissertation is poorly written and the statements are unclear and lacks conclusions and discussions and proper referencing style (HRS). Grossly inaccurate or incomplete presentation and statement of results. Lack of clarity and usage illustrations, code samples, etc. Conclusions are not supported by results.
Complexity / Coding Skills (10%)	A project that addresses complex issues, using sophisticated software development.	A project that partially addresses some complex issues.	Wide scopes of issues are addressed, but the implementation lacks depth.	A project that does not implement effectively or bypasses some of the more difficult aspects of the proposal.	A project with very little innovative software development.

Innovation (10%)	An innovative solution based on novel research to produce a commercially viable software tool.	An innovative concept or a novel extension of existing software applications.	An idea that merges ideas from a number of existing sources	A project with limited functionality but with some innovative features	A project that reproduces, without extension, ideas of existing sources
Technology (20%)	Exploits leading edge features of new or emerging technologies or exploits chosen technologies to the fullest extent possible appropriate to the application.	A project that uses complex or difficult features of technology appropriately. Exploits many features of the chosen technology	A project that uses less complex technology to a high standard or Integrates a number of technologies	The project uses standard technologies with little innovation	The project uses a standard technology in a very basic and rudimentary manner.
Completeness (10%)	Project is close to commercial implementation.	Project is excellent but would need more work to attain commercial implementation.	Project demonstrates a good deal of work by the learner, but where the project contains few innovative features.	Functionality is partly complete or obvious extensions are not implemented.	The learner does not understand aspects of the functionality or the implementation.
Testing/ Evaluation (10%)	End user testing/ Evidence of Evaluation/ System testing	Demonstration of System testing.	Demonstration of testing a main component of the solution.	Demonstration of testing part of a main component of the solution.	No evidence of testing or evaluation.
Project Management (10%)	Clear, concise and detailed project planning throughout the life of the project. Reference to document trail for revisions to the project scope. Evidence of contingency plans activated in response to pre-planned triggers. Response to scope changes demonstrated clear prioritisation of project goals.	Good evidence of planning, management of risks and reporting procedures, with a nearly complete document trail and evidence of contingency planning and prioritisation. Outcome of project is close to planned outcome	Documentary evidence of realistic and useful planning and continuous monitoring and reporting risks and changes to project scope. Limited prioritisation and use of contingency planning in responding to issues and problems affecting the scope of the project.	Brief Commentary on management process but limited references to documentation trail evidencing project planning, management of risks or management and reporting changes to project scope.	No documentary evidence that project was subject to any serious planning, management of risks or management and reporting of changes to project.