

# Software Quality Assurance (WS 20/21)

## Problem Set 4

### Problem 1: Measurement Theory

- a. We have A a set of software modules. We introduce a new binary empirical relation  $\preceq$  between two software modules on the set A. Write the formal definitions of the measurement axioms that should be satisfied by  $\preceq$  to yield an ordinal scale?
- b. In Measurement Chapter, slide number 17, the following relations has been defined:
  - 1)  $\bullet \geq$  more complex or equally complex
  - 2)  $\bullet >$  more complex
  - 3)  $\bullet \approx$  equally complex

Clarify which axioms does each of the previous relations satisfy and subsequently the binary relation they form?

Note: you may find this [link](#) helpful.

### Problem 2: Measurement Theory

On which scale types are the following measurement value groups valid and why? Please give the corresponding mapping and range for each group.

- a) House number
- b) Sea level of different sites
- c) The amount of ducks on a lake
- d) Weights of Martians on their planet

### Problem 3: Single Measurement

What is McCabe's cyclomatic number? Determine the cyclomatic number for the following code snippets:

```
01 boolean ALL_POSITIVE(int[] array) {
02     boolean result;
03     int i,len,tmp;
04     len = array.length;
05     i=0;
06     result=true;
07     while (i<len&&result) {
08         tmp=array[i];
09         if (tmp<=0)
10             result=false;
11         i++;
12     }
13     return result;
14 }
```

```

01 public static int sum(int n) {
02     int sum = 0;
03     int i;
04     for (i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
05         sum = sum + i;
06     }
07     return sum;
08 }

01 public string printlnMCS() {
02     if (Type == MCSType.security)
03         return "MCS " + Number + " " + SecurityValue + "\n";
04     else if (Type == MCSType.safety)
05         return "MCS " + Number + " " + SafetyValue + "\n";
06     else
07         return "MCS "+Number+" (" +SafetyValue+", "+SecurityValue+)"+" \n";
08 }

```

#### Problem 4: Single Measurement

Given is a measure  $P$ , which equals the number of the atomic predicates in a software module. Atomic predicates in the sense of the measure  $P$  are only present in the decisions of a module. They have a Boolean value range and are not combined (Example:  $(x > 5)$  is an atomic predicate;  $((x = 6) \text{ OR } (y < z))$  is not an atomic predicate, but is combined of two atomic predicates together)

- What is the measure type of  $P$ ?
- Can the values of  $P$  be used as ordinal scale?
- Can the values of  $P$  be used as rational scale in terms of the textual chaining of two modules?

#### Problem 5: Single Measurement

A data-flow oriented measure  $M_d$  should describe the number of different data accesses to different variables. Counted are defs, c-uses and p-uses; however, each variable will be counted only once.

Example: If  $\text{defs}(x)$  occurs more than once, only one time will be counted. If  $\text{c-uses}(x)$  occurs once or more times, the measure value will just be increased by 1. The same rule holds for  $\text{p-uses}(x)$ . Accesses to a different variable (e.g.,  $y$ ) will also be counted again.

```

y := x + 1;
y := y2;
z := y - 1;

```

For the code section mentioned above, the value of  $M_d$  is 4.

- How should the empirical relation be evaluated concerning the given modifications 1-3, in order to apply the measure  $M_d$  as an ordinal scale?
  - Add data access to new variable?
  - Add already available data access to available variable?
  - Add new data access type to available variable?
- Can the measure  $M_d$  be used as a rational scale concerning the textual chaining of two modules? If so, why?
- Please give the monotony condition as a criterion for the rational scale. Explain the significance of the monotony condition in your own words.
- Please prove that measures  $M$ , that are quotients  $M(C) = \frac{M_a(C)}{M_b(C)}$ , generally do not fulfill the monotony condition in general (see the example of the textual chaining).