sumpling distribution confidence Interval for men Permutative test lif o known, then Conditional Distribution companing means luse & seove fylx = fxy (2, y) observated mean If o is unlinous, comparison then calculate sample SD It independent: used sampling (s) and use t-score fry (x18)=fx(x) fily) to see varying When looking at Diff. differences B=rSy 20 tilx (AIX) -til (A) of means checked to 1x-7~N/M,-Mz, 0,2 + 02 see how many Law of large Number use t-statistic if o, , oz un/lucum sumple difference for LLN means 7 = (x-T)-(m,-m2) are greater than Sumple (1, 12, ... 4) observations J52/n, ·52/nz X = 1 Eix is sample ment - that percentage with of: us n->00, X -> E(x) is the p-value UN mediums (5,2/n, +52/ne) goodnes of fit m is sample ment 1 (si/n) /(n,-1) + (si/n) /(n2-1) Apply thi-square AS N->0, M->M to a bunch of 1 - Confidence Interval ladral limit Theorem Samples, then (x-4) + q / 52 + 52 Let In= In Zie X: (mem of first) follow same logic as Var (x) = 02 when of is 7-score above at (1-0/z) with Then: In (Xn-EW) ~ N(O,0C two-way tables above df Let Sn = Eizzi, Then one sample One sided (I for mean Sn-nE(x) ~ N(0,02) isn't change 4 is (1-02) quantile of Other sample's order is +-distribution n-1 df SD is more ofthe used to refer vandonized to the individual observations. X- q. & (lower bound) -check difference whereas SE is the 5D of in menn the sumpling distribution upper bound 00 follow remain so Lover confidence bond Neyman-Pearson Lemma steps Of all tests of Ho vs. Ha with x-4.5,00 Bootstrapping given sig. level a, the lower confidence board 1) Draw a resample lilcelihood ratio test has of size in (size of pap.) the largest power with replacement (-0, X+9 5/m) P(T>CIHa) Compute stutistic Likelihood toofion (moun/mediun/etc.) Confidence Interval for prop. L(001 .- -) 2) Repeat at least 10,000 use z-score for L(Oal -..) 13 Map list of Dist q: the (1-0/2) funtile Type I error (Drag example) 1-To find St (I = p + q = (Zn) - q) p(1-p)/n+q = 4/n= of bootstrup, Drug ispeffeative when it's not 1+ g2/n comprote sd of Distribution Type II error (Drug example) (use prop. test in R) - pias is Drug is claimed ineffective when it is meum of Dist. (I for Difference in prop. 1 meum(pop) P1-P2+9 P1(1-F1), P2(1-Pe) P(Type I error) = P(Reject HolHo=T) mounder two-sample B=P(Type I error)=P(Don't reject Ho | Ha=T) sample each P. = (x; +1)/(n;+2) 1-B = 1- P(Reject Hol Ha = T) (power) sample independent of the other A; = n; + Z Rejection Region somall sample legion on which the probability ! bootstrap + Considerce Int sice with . Cib Sheet for Analytics SII Final meur, that the of the null hypothesis is three is -bootstrup method lower than the defined 17/14/15 -quantile for CI varres Significance level

Correlation coefficient P(Z, Y) = (ov(X, Y)/(ox oy) Simple Linean Regression Y = 0 + Bx $\alpha = \alpha = \overline{Y} + B\overline{X}$ residuals & vi = 4: - (x+Bx:) fitted Values g= a+bz, g is the fitted Value aka predicted value.