

## Homework 2: Perceptron Learning

Devin Quirozoliver  
arik182@cs.pdx.edu, 904032321

May 4, 2013

The following is an analysis of the results of using a perceptron classifier written in google Dart on the optdigits dataset located at <http://ftp.ics.uci.edu/pub/machine-learning-databases/optdigits/>.

## 1 Setup

The source code for this program was written in Dart, and can be found on my github account at [https://github.com/arik181/perceptron\\_classifier](https://github.com/arik181/perceptron_classifier). In order to run this experiment, you will have to have the latest version of Google Dart installed (Release M4 or above).

The simplest way to run the experiment is as follows:

1. Download and install the Dart Editor at <http://www.dartlang.org/tools> for Windows or Linux.
2. Retrieve the source code from [https://github.com/arik181/perceptron\\_classifier](https://github.com/arik181/perceptron_classifier)
3. In the Dart Editor, go to file → open existing folder (or type Ctrl-O)
4. Navigate to the source directory
5. Click the Run button (or type Ctrl-R)

The output from the classifier will appear in the console window.

## 2 Overview

### Experiment 1

Training Statistics		Test Statistics	
<b>Learning rate</b>	0.2	<b>Learning rate</b>	0.2
<b>Training runs</b>	20	<b>Training runs</b>	20
<b>Total successes</b>	8520	<b>Total successes</b>	16387
<b>Total failures</b>	480	<b>Total failures</b>	1019
<b>Precision</b>	98%	<b>Precision</b>	97%
<b>Recall</b>	92%	<b>Recall</b>	92%
<b>Accuracy</b>	94%	<b>Accuracy</b>	94%

Training Confusion Matrix										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	X	8	4	0	2	0	6	0	0	0
1	0	X	6	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
2	0	16	X	0	0	0	0	4	0	6
3	0	122	28	X	2	4	2	34	12	28
4	0	66	0	0	X	0	6	16	2	4
5	4	82	20	6	0	X	4	12	4	24
6	0	2	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0
7	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0
8	0	152	18	0	10	2	30	32	X	16
9	0	110	4	0	8	2	0	30	2	X

Test Confusion Matrix										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	X	14	4	0	5	0	12	1	1	2
1	0	X	6	0	0	0	7	2	0	0
2	0	27	X	0	0	0	1	8	1	7
3	0	182	36	X	2	4	2	55	16	39
4	0	108	6	2	X	6	10	38	12	12
5	4	129	26	8	1	X	9	26	7	34
6	0	4	1	0	0	0	X	0	0	0
7	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0
8	0	226	27	1	12	4	37	58	X	19
9	0	155	6	1	11	3	0	53	5	X

**Experiment 2**

Training Statistics		Test Statistics	
Learning rate	0.8	Learning rate	0.8
Training runs	20	Training runs	20
Total successes	8520	Total successes	16387
Total failures	480	Total failures	1019
Precision	98%	Precision	97%
Recall	92%	Recall	92%
Accuracy	94%	Accuracy	94%

	Training Confusion Matrix									
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	X	8	4	0	2	0	6	0	0	0
1	0	X	6	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
2	0	16	X	0	0	0	0	4	0	6
3	0	122	28	X	2	4	2	34	12	28
4	0	66	0	0	X	0	6	16	2	4
5	4	82	20	6	0	X	4	12	4	24
6	0	2	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0
7	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0
8	0	152	18	0	10	2	30	32	X	16
9	0	110	4	0	8	2	0	30	2	X

	Test Confusion Matrix									
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	X	14	4	0	5	0	12	1	1	2
1	0	X	6	0	0	0	7	2	0	0
2	0	27	X	0	0	0	1	8	1	7
3	0	182	36	X	2	4	2	55	16	39
4	0	108	6	2	X	6	10	38	12	12
5	4	129	26	8	1	X	9	26	7	34
6	0	4	1	0	0	0	X	0	0	0
7	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0
8	0	226	27	1	12	4	37	58	X	19
9	0	155	6	1	11	3	0	53	5	X

**Experiment 3**

Training Statistics		Test Statistics	
Learning rate	0.05	Learning rate	0.05
Training runs	20	Training runs	20
Total successes	8519	Total successes	16385
Total failures	481	Total failures	1021
Precision	98%	Precision	97%
Recall	92%	Recall	92%
Accuracy	94%	Accuracy	94%

	Training Confusion Matrix									
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	X	8	4	0	2	0	6	0	0	0
1	0	X	6	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
2	0	16	X	0	0	0	0	4	0	6
3	0	122	28	X	2	4	2	34	12	28
4	0	66	0	0	X	0	6	16	2	4
5	4	82	20	6	0	X	4	12	4	24
6	0	2	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0
7	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0
8	0	152	18	0	10	2	30	32	X	16
9	0	112	4	0	8	2	0	30	2	X

	Test Confusion Matrix									
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	X	14	4	0	5	0	12	1	1	2
1	0	X	6	0	0	0	7	2	0	0
2	0	27	X	0	0	0	1	8	1	7
3	0	182	36	X	2	4	2	55	16	39
4	0	108	6	2	X	6	10	38	12	12
5	4	129	26	8	1	X	9	26	7	34
6	0	4	1	0	0	0	X	0	0	0
7	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0
8	0	227	27	1	12	4	37	58	X	19
9	0	157	6	1	11	3	0	53	5	X

### 3 Summary

The three experiments produced very similar results. The first experiment produced identical results from the second experiment. I believe that this is due to the fact that I used the same number of training epochs across all perceptrons for all three experiments. It is possible that if I had allowed the experiments to run for much longer periods of time, there would be more of a divergence. There is some variation in the confusion matrix for the third experiment, with a slightly higher number of false classifications. There is also variation between the test runs and the training runs in each experiment, with the test runs containing a slightly higher failure rate overall, as expected.

The number 1 was the most commonly misclassified digit by a considerable margin, having the highest number of false negatives, but very few false positives, it seems to have been mistaken for other numbers quite regularly, and these errors accounted for 1075 of the 1377 false negatives over the test data in experiment two, or approximately 78%. The other experiments resulted in very similar classification errors on this digit.

### 4 Classifier Output - Experiment 1

```
start
reading files
creating perceptron classifiers
training classifiers
reporting training data
```

```
-----
Learning rate      : 0.2
Training runs      : 20
Total successes    : 8520
Total failures     : 480
Precision          : 0.98%
Recall             : 0.92%
Accuracy           : 0.94%
```

```
-----
      0  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9
-----
0 |  X   8   4   0   2   0   6   0   0   0
1 |  0   X   6   0   0   0   4   0   0   0
2 |  0  16   X   0   0   0   0   4   0   6
3 |  0 122  28   X   2   4   2  34  12  28
4 |  0  66   0   0   X   0   6  16   2   4
```

5	4	82	20	6	0	X	4	12	4	24
6	0	2	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0
7	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0
8	0	152	18	0	10	2	30	32	X	16
9	0	110	4	0	8	2	0	30	2	X

-----  
running classifiers  
-----

( 0, 1 )

Successes : 180

Failures : 6

False Positives : 6

False Negatives : 0

-----  
Learning rate : 0.2

Training runs : 20

Total successes : 180

Total failures : 6

Precision : 0.94%

Recall : 1.0%

Accuracy : 0.97%

-----  
( 0, 2 )

Successes : 189

Failures : 0

False Positives : 0

False Negatives : 0

-----  
Learning rate : 0.2

Training runs : 20

Total successes : 189

Total failures : 0

Precision : 1.0%

Recall : 1.0%

Accuracy : 1.0%

-----  
( 0, 3 )

Successes : 200

Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 200  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%

---

---

( 0, 4 )

Successes : 176  
Failures : 3  
False Positives : 3  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 176  
Total failures : 3  
Precision : 0.97%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.98%

---

---

( 0, 5 )

Successes : 183  
Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 183  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%

---

---

( 0, 6 )

Successes : 180

Failures : 6  
False Positives : 6  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 180  
Total failures : 6  
Precision : 0.94%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

---

( 0, 7 )

Successes : 188  
Failures : 1  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 188  
Total failures : 1  
Precision : 0.99%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.99%

---

---

( 0, 8 )

Successes : 182  
Failures : 1  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 182  
Total failures : 1  
Precision : 0.99%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.99%

---

---

( 0, 9 )

Successes : 197

Failures : 2  
False Positives : 2  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 197  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 0.98%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.99%

---

---

( 1, 2 )

Successes : 174  
Failures : 11  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 11

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 174  
Total failures : 11  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.89%  
Accuracy : 0.94%

---

---

( 1, 3 )

Successes : 136  
Failures : 60  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 60

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 136  
Total failures : 60  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.60%  
Accuracy : 0.56%

---

---

( 1, 4 )

Successes : 133



Failures : 42  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 42

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 133  
Total failures : 42  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.68%  
Accuracy : 0.68%

---

---

( 1, 5 )

Successes : 132  
Failures : 47  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 47

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 132  
Total failures : 47  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.66%  
Accuracy : 0.64%

---

---

( 1, 6 )

Successes : 177  
Failures : 5  
False Positives : 3  
False Negatives : 2

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 177  
Total failures : 5  
Precision : 0.97%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

---

( 1, 7 )

Successes : 183

Failures : 2  
False Positives : 2  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 183  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 0.98%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.99%

---

---

( 1, 8 )

Successes : 105  
Failures : 74  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 74

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 105  
Total failures : 74  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.55%  
Accuracy : 0.30%

---

---

( 1, 9 )

Successes : 150  
Failures : 45  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 45

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 150  
Total failures : 45  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.67%  
Accuracy : 0.70%

---

---

( 2, 3 )

Successes : 191

Failures : 8  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 8

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 191  
Total failures : 8  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.92%  
Accuracy : 0.96%

---

---

( 2, 4 )

Successes : 172  
Failures : 6  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 6

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 172  
Total failures : 6  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.94%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

---

( 2, 5 )

Successes : 176  
Failures : 6  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 6

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 176  
Total failures : 6  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.94%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

---

( 2, 6 )

Successes : 183

Failures : 2  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 1

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 183  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 0.99%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.99%

---

---

( 2, 7 )

Successes : 184  
Failures : 4  
False Positives : 4  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 184  
Total failures : 4  
Precision : 0.96%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.98%

---

---

( 2, 8 )

Successes : 172  
Failures : 10  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 9

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 172  
Total failures : 10  
Precision : 0.99%  
Recall : 0.91%  
Accuracy : 0.94%

---

---

( 2, 9 )

Successes : 195

Failures : 3  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 2

-----  
Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 195  
Total failures : 3  
Precision : 0.99%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.98%  
-----

-----  
( 3, 4 )

Successes : 187  
Failures : 2  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 2

-----  
Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 187  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.99%  
-----

-----  
( 3, 5 )

Successes : 191  
Failures : 2  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 2

-----  
Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 191  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.99%  
-----

-----  
( 3, 6 )

Successes : 196

Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 196  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%

---

---

( 3, 7 )

Successes : 178  
Failures : 21  
False Positives : 21  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 178  
Total failures : 21  
Precision : 0.80%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.88%

---

---

( 3, 8 )

Successes : 188  
Failures : 5  
False Positives : 4  
False Negatives : 1

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 188  
Total failures : 5  
Precision : 0.96%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

---

( 3, 9 )

Successes : 197

Failures : 12  
False Positives : 11  
False Negatives : 1

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 197  
Total failures : 12  
Precision : 0.90%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.94%

---

---

( 4, 5 )

Successes : 165  
Failures : 7  
False Positives : 6  
False Negatives : 1

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 165  
Total failures : 7  
Precision : 0.93%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.96%

---

---

( 4, 6 )

Successes : 171  
Failures : 4  
False Positives : 4  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 171  
Total failures : 4  
Precision : 0.95%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.98%

---

---

( 4, 7 )

Successes : 156

Failures : 22  
False Positives : 22  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 156  
Total failures : 22  
Precision : 0.74%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.86%

---

---

( 4, 8 )

Successes : 160  
Failures : 12  
False Positives : 10  
False Negatives : 2

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 160  
Total failures : 12  
Precision : 0.88%  
Recall : 0.97%  
Accuracy : 0.93%

---

---

( 4, 9 )

Successes : 177  
Failures : 11  
False Positives : 8  
False Negatives : 3

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 177  
Total failures : 11  
Precision : 0.90%  
Recall : 0.96%  
Accuracy : 0.94%

---

---

( 5, 6 )

Successes : 174



Failures : 5  
False Positives : 5  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 174  
Total failures : 5  
Precision : 0.94%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

---

( 5, 7 )

Successes : 168  
Failures : 14  
False Positives : 14  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 168  
Total failures : 14  
Precision : 0.84%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.92%

---

---

( 5, 8 )

Successes : 171  
Failures : 5  
False Positives : 3  
False Negatives : 2

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 171  
Total failures : 5  
Precision : 0.97%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

---

( 5, 9 )

Successes : 181

Failures : 11  
False Positives : 10  
False Negatives : 1

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 181  
Total failures : 11  
Precision : 0.89%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.94%

---

---

( 6, 7 )

Successes : 185  
Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 185  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%

---

---

( 6, 8 )

Successes : 172  
Failures : 7  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 7

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 172  
Total failures : 7  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.93%  
Accuracy : 0.96%

---

---

( 6, 9 )

Successes : 195

Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 195  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%

---

---

( 7, 8 )

Successes : 156  
Failures : 26  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 26

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 156  
Total failures : 26  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.78%  
Accuracy : 0.83%

---

---

( 7, 9 )

Successes : 175  
Failures : 23  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 23

---

Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 175  
Total failures : 23  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.80%  
Accuracy : 0.87%

---

---

( 8, 9 )

Successes : 186

Failures : 6  
False Positives : 3  
False Negatives : 3

-----  
Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 186  
Total failures : 6  
Precision : 0.97%  
Recall : 0.97%  
Accuracy : 0.97%  
-----

reporting test data

-----  
Learning rate : 0.2  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 16387  
Total failures : 1019  
Precision : 0.97%  
Recall : 0.92%  
Accuracy : 0.94%  
-----

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	X	14	4	0	5	0	12	1	1	2
1	0	X	6	0	0	0	7	2	0	0
2	0	27	X	0	0	0	1	8	1	7
3	0	182	36	X	2	4	2	55	16	39
4	0	108	6	2	X	6	10	38	12	12
5	4	129	26	8	1	X	9	26	7	34
6	0	4	1	0	0	0	X	0	0	0
7	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0
8	0	226	27	1	12	4	37	58	X	19
9	0	155	6	1	11	3	0	53	5	X

## 4.1 Classifier Output - Experiment 2

```
start
reading files
creating perceptron classifiers
training classifiers
reporting training data
```

```
-----
Learning rate      : 0.8
Training runs      : 20
Total successes    : 8520
Total failures     : 480
Precision          : 0.98%
Recall             : 0.92%
Accuracy           : 0.94%
-----
```

```
-----
      0  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9
-----
0 |  X  8  4  0  2  0  6  0  0  0
1 |  0  X  6  0  0  0  4  0  0  0
2 |  0 16  X  0  0  0  0  4  0  6
3 |  0 122 28  X  2  4  2 34 12 28
4 |  0 66  0  0  X  0  6 16  2  4
5 |  4 82 20  6  0  X  4 12  4 24
6 |  0  2  0  0  0  0  X  0  0  0
7 |  0  4  0  0  0  0  0  X  0  0
8 |  0 152 18  0 10  2 30 32  X 16
9 |  0 110  4  0  8  2  0 30  2  X
-----
```

```
-----
running classifiers
-----
```

```
( 0, 1 )
Successes      : 180
Failures       : 6
False Positives : 6
False Negatives : 0
```

```
-----  
Learning rate      : 0.8  
Training runs     : 20  
Total successes   : 180  
Total failures    : 6  
Precision         : 0.94%  
Recall           : 1.0%  
Accuracy          : 0.97%  
-----  
-----
```

```
( 0, 2 )  
Successes        : 189  
Failures         : 0  
False Positives  : 0  
False Negatives  : 0
```

```
-----  
Learning rate      : 0.8  
Training runs     : 20  
Total successes   : 189  
Total failures    : 0  
Precision         : 1.0%  
Recall           : 1.0%  
Accuracy          : 1.0%  
-----  
-----
```

```
( 0, 3 )  
Successes        : 200  
Failures         : 0  
False Positives  : 0  
False Negatives  : 0
```

```
-----  
Learning rate      : 0.8  
Training runs     : 20  
Total successes   : 200  
Total failures    : 0  
Precision         : 1.0%  
Recall           : 1.0%  
Accuracy          : 1.0%  
-----  
-----
```

```
( 0, 4 )  
Successes        : 176  
Failures         : 3  
False Positives  : 3  
False Negatives  : 0
```

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 176  
Total failures : 3  
Precision : 0.97%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.98%  
-----

-----  
( 0, 5 )

Successes : 183  
Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 183  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%  
-----

-----  
( 0, 6 )

Successes : 180  
Failures : 6  
False Positives : 6  
False Negatives : 0

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 180  
Total failures : 6  
Precision : 0.94%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.97%  
-----

-----  
( 0, 7 )

Successes : 188  
Failures : 1  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 0

```
-----  
Learning rate      : 0.8  
Training runs      : 20  
Total successes    : 188  
Total failures     : 1  
Precision          : 0.99%  
Recall             : 1.0%  
Accuracy           : 0.99%  
-----  
-----
```

( 0, 8 )

```
Successes      : 182  
Failures       : 1  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 0
```

```
-----  
Learning rate      : 0.8  
Training runs      : 20  
Total successes    : 182  
Total failures     : 1  
Precision          : 0.99%  
Recall             : 1.0%  
Accuracy           : 0.99%  
-----  
-----
```

( 0, 9 )

```
Successes      : 197  
Failures       : 2  
False Positives : 2  
False Negatives : 0
```

```
-----  
Learning rate      : 0.8  
Training runs      : 20  
Total successes    : 197  
Total failures     : 2  
Precision          : 0.98%  
Recall             : 1.0%  
Accuracy           : 0.99%  
-----  
-----
```

( 1, 2 )

```
Successes      : 174  
Failures       : 11  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 11
```



-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 174  
Total failures : 11  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.89%  
Accuracy : 0.94%  
-----

-----  
( 1, 3 )

Successes : 136  
Failures : 60  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 60

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 136  
Total failures : 60  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.60%  
Accuracy : 0.56%  
-----

-----  
( 1, 4 )

Successes : 133  
Failures : 42  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 42

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 133  
Total failures : 42  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.68%  
Accuracy : 0.68%  
-----

-----  
( 1, 5 )

Successes : 132  
Failures : 47  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 47

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 132  
Total failures : 47  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.66%  
Accuracy : 0.64%  
-----

-----  
( 1, 6 )

Successes : 177  
Failures : 5  
False Positives : 3  
False Negatives : 2

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 177  
Total failures : 5  
Precision : 0.97%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.97%  
-----

-----  
( 1, 7 )

Successes : 183  
Failures : 2  
False Positives : 2  
False Negatives : 0

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 183  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 0.98%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.99%  
-----

-----  
( 1, 8 )

Successes : 105  
Failures : 74  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 74

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 105  
Total failures : 74  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.55%  
Accuracy : 0.30%  
-----

-----  
( 1, 9 )

Successes : 150  
Failures : 45  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 45

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 150  
Total failures : 45  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.67%  
Accuracy : 0.70%  
-----

-----  
( 2, 3 )

Successes : 191  
Failures : 8  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 8

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 191  
Total failures : 8  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.92%  
Accuracy : 0.96%  
-----

-----  
( 2, 4 )

Successes : 172  
Failures : 6  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 6

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 172  
Total failures : 6  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.94%  
Accuracy : 0.97%  
-----

-----  
( 2, 5 )

Successes : 176  
Failures : 6  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 6

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 176  
Total failures : 6  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.94%  
Accuracy : 0.97%  
-----

-----  
( 2, 6 )

Successes : 183  
Failures : 2  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 1

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 183  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 0.99%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.99%  
-----

-----  
( 2, 7 )

Successes : 184  
Failures : 4  
False Positives : 4  
False Negatives : 0

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 184  
Total failures : 4  
Precision : 0.96%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.98%  
-----

-----  
( 2, 8 )

Successes : 172  
Failures : 10  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 9

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 172  
Total failures : 10  
Precision : 0.99%  
Recall : 0.91%  
Accuracy : 0.94%  
-----

-----  
( 2, 9 )

Successes : 195  
Failures : 3  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 2

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 195  
Total failures : 3  
Precision : 0.99%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.98%  
-----

-----  
( 3, 4 )

Successes : 187  
Failures : 2  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 2

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 187  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.99%  
-----  
-----

( 3, 5 )  
Successes : 191  
Failures : 2  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 2

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 191  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.99%  
-----  
-----

( 3, 6 )  
Successes : 196  
Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 196  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%  
-----  
-----

( 3, 7 )  
Successes : 178  
Failures : 21  
False Positives : 21  
False Negatives : 0

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 178  
Total failures : 21  
Precision : 0.80%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.88%  
-----

-----  
( 3, 8 )

Successes : 188  
Failures : 5  
False Positives : 4  
False Negatives : 1

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 188  
Total failures : 5  
Precision : 0.96%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.97%  
-----

-----  
( 3, 9 )

Successes : 197  
Failures : 12  
False Positives : 11  
False Negatives : 1

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 197  
Total failures : 12  
Precision : 0.90%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.94%  
-----

-----  
( 4, 5 )

Successes : 165  
Failures : 7  
False Positives : 6  
False Negatives : 1

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 165  
Total failures : 7  
Precision : 0.93%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.96%  
-----

-----  
( 4, 6 )  
Successes : 171  
Failures : 4  
False Positives : 4  
False Negatives : 0  
-----

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 171  
Total failures : 4  
Precision : 0.95%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.98%  
-----

-----  
( 4, 7 )  
Successes : 156  
Failures : 22  
False Positives : 22  
False Negatives : 0  
-----

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 156  
Total failures : 22  
Precision : 0.74%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.86%  
-----

-----  
( 4, 8 )  
Successes : 160  
Failures : 12  
False Positives : 10  
False Negatives : 2  
-----



-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 160  
Total failures : 12  
Precision : 0.88%  
Recall : 0.97%  
Accuracy : 0.93%  
-----

-----  
( 4, 9 )

Successes : 177  
Failures : 11  
False Positives : 8  
False Negatives : 3

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 177  
Total failures : 11  
Precision : 0.90%  
Recall : 0.96%  
Accuracy : 0.94%  
-----

-----  
( 5, 6 )

Successes : 174  
Failures : 5  
False Positives : 5  
False Negatives : 0

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 174  
Total failures : 5  
Precision : 0.94%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.97%  
-----

-----  
( 5, 7 )

Successes : 168  
Failures : 14  
False Positives : 14  
False Negatives : 0

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 168  
Total failures : 14  
Precision : 0.84%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.92%  
-----

-----  
( 5, 8 )  
Successes : 171  
Failures : 5  
False Positives : 3  
False Negatives : 2  
-----

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 171  
Total failures : 5  
Precision : 0.97%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.97%  
-----

-----  
( 5, 9 )  
Successes : 181  
Failures : 11  
False Positives : 10  
False Negatives : 1  
-----

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 181  
Total failures : 11  
Precision : 0.89%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.94%  
-----

-----  
( 6, 7 )  
Successes : 185  
Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0  
-----

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 185  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%  
-----  
-----

( 6, 8 )  
Successes : 172  
Failures : 7  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 7

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 172  
Total failures : 7  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.93%  
Accuracy : 0.96%  
-----  
-----

( 6, 9 )  
Successes : 195  
Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

-----  
Learning rate : 0.8  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 195  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%  
-----  
-----

( 7, 8 )  
Successes : 156  
Failures : 26  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 26

```
-----  
Learning rate      : 0.8  
Training runs     : 20  
Total successes   : 156  
Total failures    : 26  
Precision         : 1.0%  
Recall           : 0.78%  
Accuracy         : 0.83%  
-----
```

```
-----  
( 7, 9 )
```

```
Successes      : 175  
Failures      : 23  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 23
```

```
-----  
Learning rate      : 0.8  
Training runs     : 20  
Total successes   : 175  
Total failures    : 23  
Precision         : 1.0%  
Recall           : 0.80%  
Accuracy         : 0.87%  
-----
```

```
-----  
( 8, 9 )
```

```
Successes      : 186  
Failures      : 6  
False Positives : 3  
False Negatives : 3
```

```
-----  
Learning rate      : 0.8  
Training runs     : 20  
Total successes   : 186  
Total failures    : 6  
Precision         : 0.97%  
Recall           : 0.97%  
Accuracy         : 0.97%  
-----
```

```
reporting test data
```

```
-----  
Learning rate      : 0.8  
Training runs     : 20  
Total successes   : 16387  
Total failures    : 1019
```

```
Precision      : 0.97%
Recall         : 0.92%
Accuracy       : 0.94%
```

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	X	14	4	0	5	0	12	1	1	2
1	0	X	6	0	0	0	7	2	0	0
2	0	27	X	0	0	0	1	8	1	7
3	0	182	36	X	2	4	2	55	16	39
4	0	108	6	2	X	6	10	38	12	12
5	4	129	26	8	1	X	9	26	7	34
6	0	4	1	0	0	0	X	0	0	0
7	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0
8	0	226	27	1	12	4	37	58	X	19
9	0	155	6	1	11	3	0	53	5	X

## 4.2 Classifier Output - Experiment 3

```
start
reading files
creating perceptron classifiers
training classifiers
reporting training data
```

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Learning rate	: 0.05									
Training runs	: 20									
Total successes	: 8519									
Total failures	: 481									
Precision	: 0.98%									
Recall	: 0.92%									
Accuracy	: 0.94%									

0		X	8	4	0	2	0	6	0	0	0
1		0	X	6	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
2		0	16	X	0	0	0	0	4	0	6
3		0	122	28	X	2	4	2	34	12	28
4		0	66	0	0	X	0	6	16	2	4
5		4	82	20	6	0	X	4	12	4	24
6		0	2	0	0	0	0	X	0	0	0
7		0	4	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0
8		0	152	18	0	10	2	30	32	X	16
9		0	112	4	0	8	2	0	30	2	X

-----  
running classifiers  
-----

( 0, 1 )

Successes : 180  
Failures : 6  
False Positives : 6  
False Negatives : 0

-----  
Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 180  
Total failures : 6  
Precision : 0.94%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.97%  
-----

( 0, 2 )

Successes : 189  
Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

-----  
Learning rate : 0.05  
-----

Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 189  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%

---

---

( 0, 3 )

Successes : 200  
Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 200  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%

---

---

( 0, 4 )

Successes : 176  
Failures : 3  
False Positives : 3  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 176  
Total failures : 3  
Precision : 0.97%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.98%

---

---

( 0, 5 )

Successes : 183  
Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05

Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 183  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%

---

( 0, 6 )

Successes : 180  
Failures : 6  
False Positives : 6  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 180  
Total failures : 6  
Precision : 0.94%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

( 0, 7 )

Successes : 188  
Failures : 1  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 188  
Total failures : 1  
Precision : 0.99%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.99%

---

( 0, 8 )

Successes : 182  
Failures : 1  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05



Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 182  
Total failures : 1  
Precision : 0.99%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.99%

---

---

( 0, 9 )

Successes : 197  
Failures : 2  
False Positives : 2  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 197  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 0.98%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.99%

---

---

( 1, 2 )

Successes : 174  
Failures : 11  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 11

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 174  
Total failures : 11  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.89%  
Accuracy : 0.94%

---

---

( 1, 3 )

Successes : 136  
Failures : 60  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 60

---

Learning rate : 0.05

Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 136  
Total failures : 60  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.60%  
Accuracy : 0.56%

---

( 1, 4 )

Successes : 133  
Failures : 42  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 42

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 133  
Total failures : 42  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.68%  
Accuracy : 0.68%

---

( 1, 5 )

Successes : 132  
Failures : 47  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 47

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 132  
Total failures : 47  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.66%  
Accuracy : 0.64%

---

( 1, 6 )

Successes : 177  
Failures : 5  
False Positives : 3  
False Negatives : 2

---

Learning rate : 0.05

Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 177  
Total failures : 5  
Precision : 0.97%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

( 1, 7 )

Successes : 183  
Failures : 2  
False Positives : 2  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 183  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 0.98%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.99%

---

( 1, 8 )

Successes : 104  
Failures : 75  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 75

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 104  
Total failures : 75  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.55%  
Accuracy : 0.28%

---

( 1, 9 )

Successes : 150  
Failures : 45  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 45

---

Learning rate : 0.05

Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 150  
Total failures : 45  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.67%  
Accuracy : 0.70%

---

( 2, 3 )

Successes : 191  
Failures : 8  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 8

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 191  
Total failures : 8  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.92%  
Accuracy : 0.96%

---

( 2, 4 )

Successes : 172  
Failures : 6  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 6

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 172  
Total failures : 6  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.94%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

( 2, 5 )

Successes : 176  
Failures : 6  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 6

---

Learning rate : 0.05

Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 176  
Total failures : 6  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.94%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

---

( 2, 6 )

Successes : 183  
Failures : 2  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 1

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 183  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 0.99%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.99%

---

---

( 2, 7 )

Successes : 184  
Failures : 4  
False Positives : 4  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 184  
Total failures : 4  
Precision : 0.96%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.98%

---

---

( 2, 8 )

Successes : 172  
Failures : 10  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 9

---

Learning rate : 0.05

Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 172  
Total failures : 10  
Precision : 0.99%  
Recall : 0.91%  
Accuracy : 0.94%

---

---

( 2, 9 )

Successes : 195  
Failures : 3  
False Positives : 1  
False Negatives : 2

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 195  
Total failures : 3  
Precision : 0.99%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.98%

---

---

( 3, 4 )

Successes : 187  
Failures : 2  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 2

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 187  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.99%

---

---

( 3, 5 )

Successes : 191  
Failures : 2  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 2

---

Learning rate : 0.05

Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 191  
Total failures : 2  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.99%

---

---

( 3, 6 )

Successes : 196  
Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 196  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%

---

---

( 3, 7 )

Successes : 178  
Failures : 21  
False Positives : 21  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 178  
Total failures : 21  
Precision : 0.80%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.88%

---

---

( 3, 8 )

Successes : 188  
Failures : 5  
False Positives : 4  
False Negatives : 1

---

Learning rate : 0.05

Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 188  
Total failures : 5  
Precision : 0.96%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

---

( 3, 9 )

Successes : 197  
Failures : 12  
False Positives : 11  
False Negatives : 1

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 197  
Total failures : 12  
Precision : 0.90%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.94%

---

---

( 4, 5 )

Successes : 165  
Failures : 7  
False Positives : 6  
False Negatives : 1

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 165  
Total failures : 7  
Precision : 0.93%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.96%

---

---

( 4, 6 )

Successes : 171  
Failures : 4  
False Positives : 4  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05



Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 171  
Total failures : 4  
Precision : 0.95%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.98%

---

---

( 4, 7 )

Successes : 156  
Failures : 22  
False Positives : 22  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 156  
Total failures : 22  
Precision : 0.74%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.86%

---

---

( 4, 8 )

Successes : 160  
Failures : 12  
False Positives : 10  
False Negatives : 2

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 160  
Total failures : 12  
Precision : 0.88%  
Recall : 0.97%  
Accuracy : 0.93%

---

---

( 4, 9 )

Successes : 177  
Failures : 11  
False Positives : 8  
False Negatives : 3

---

Learning rate : 0.05

Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 177  
Total failures : 11  
Precision : 0.90%  
Recall : 0.96%  
Accuracy : 0.94%

---

( 5, 6 )

Successes : 174  
Failures : 5  
False Positives : 5  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 174  
Total failures : 5  
Precision : 0.94%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

( 5, 7 )

Successes : 168  
Failures : 14  
False Positives : 14  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 168  
Total failures : 14  
Precision : 0.84%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 0.92%

---

( 5, 8 )

Successes : 171  
Failures : 5  
False Positives : 3  
False Negatives : 2

---

Learning rate : 0.05

Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 171  
Total failures : 5  
Precision : 0.97%  
Recall : 0.98%  
Accuracy : 0.97%

---

---

( 5, 9 )

Successes : 181  
Failures : 11  
False Positives : 10  
False Negatives : 1

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 181  
Total failures : 11  
Precision : 0.89%  
Recall : 0.99%  
Accuracy : 0.94%

---

---

( 6, 7 )

Successes : 185  
Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 185  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%

---

---

( 6, 8 )

Successes : 172  
Failures : 7  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 7

---

Learning rate : 0.05

Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 172  
Total failures : 7  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.93%  
Accuracy : 0.96%

---

---

( 6, 9 )

Successes : 195  
Failures : 0  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 0

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 195  
Total failures : 0  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 1.0%  
Accuracy : 1.0%

---

---

( 7, 8 )

Successes : 156  
Failures : 26  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 26

---

Learning rate : 0.05  
Training runs : 20  
Total successes : 156  
Total failures : 26  
Precision : 1.0%  
Recall : 0.78%  
Accuracy : 0.83%

---

---

( 7, 9 )

Successes : 175  
Failures : 23  
False Positives : 0  
False Negatives : 23

---

Learning rate : 0.05

```

Training runs      : 20
Total successes    : 175
Total failures     : 23
Precision          : 1.0%
Recall            : 0.80%
Accuracy          : 0.87%

```

---

( 8, 9 )

```

Successes      : 186
Failures       : 6
False Positives : 3
False Negatives : 3

```

---

```

Learning rate    : 0.05
Training runs    : 20
Total successes  : 186
Total failures   : 6
Precision        : 0.97%
Recall          : 0.97%
Accuracy        : 0.97%

```

---

reporting test data

```

Learning rate    : 0.05
Training runs    : 20
Total successes  : 16385
Total failures   : 1021
Precision        : 0.97%
Recall          : 0.92%
Accuracy        : 0.94%

```

---

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	X	14	4	0	5	0	12	1	1	2
1	0	X	6	0	0	0	7	2	0	0
2	0	27	X	0	0	0	1	8	1	7
3	0	182	36	X	2	4	2	55	16	39
4	0	108	6	2	X	6	10	38	12	12
5	4	129	26	8	1	X	9	26	7	34

6	0	4	1	0	0	0	X	0	0	0
7	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	0
8	0	227	27	1	12	4	37	58	X	19
9	0	157	6	1	11	3	0	53	5	X

-----