

SDS 387 Linear Models

Fall 2025

Lecture 22 - Thu, Nov 13, 2025

Instructor: Prof. Ale Rinaldo

- Today finish the proof of minimax optimality of the OLS estimator when the model is linear and the covariates are fixed. That is, our data consist of

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$Y = \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{non fixed matrix}}}{\Phi} \underset{\substack{\nearrow \\ \text{True unknown coeff.}}}{\beta^*} + \varepsilon \quad \text{where } \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} (0, \sigma^2) \quad \downarrow \text{known!}$$

- Remark: the extension to the random design case can be found in Mourtada's paper. The result is the same: the OLS estimator is the minimax estimator if the model is linear.

- Last time we established the following lower bound

$$\inf_{A} \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_{\substack{\varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{iid} \sim (0, \sigma^2)}} \underbrace{\left[R(A(\Phi\beta + \varepsilon)) \right]}_{\text{excess risk of } A(\cdot)} - \sigma^2$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}_{(\beta, Y)} \left[\|\hat{\beta}_\lambda - \beta\|_{\Sigma}^2 \right] \quad (\star)$$

ridge estimator \nwarrow

$\hookrightarrow \frac{\Phi^T \Phi}{n}$

where $\beta \sim N_d(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{n\lambda} I_d)$ prior

$$Y|\beta \sim N_n(\Phi\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

This is a standard argument: lower bound the maximal possible risk of any estimator $A(\cdot)$ over all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by the average risk of $A(\cdot)$ with respect to a carefully chosen distribution for β (a prior).

• Next, we have that (\star) is equal

$$\mathbb{E}_{\beta \sim N_d(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{n\lambda} I_d)} \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I_n)} \left[\underbrace{\|(\Phi^T \Phi + n\lambda I_d)^{-1} \Phi^T (\Phi\beta + \varepsilon) - \beta\|_{\Sigma}^2}_{T_0} \right]$$

Next, we have that \hookrightarrow exercise

$$T_0 = (\Phi^T \Phi + n\lambda I_d)^{-1} \Phi^T \varepsilon - n\lambda (\Phi^T \Phi + n\lambda I_d)^{-1} \beta \quad (2)$$

Because $\varepsilon \perp \beta$ the expression reduces to

$$\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I_n)} \left[\left\| (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda I_d) \frac{\Phi^\top \varepsilon}{n} \right\|_{\hat{\Sigma}}^2 \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\beta \sim Nd(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{n\lambda} I_d)} \left[\left\| \lambda (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda I_d)^{-1} \beta \right\|_{\hat{\Sigma}}^2 \right]$$

$$= T_1 + T_2$$

It can be seen to see that

$$T_1 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \text{tr} \left((\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda I_d)^{-2} \hat{\Sigma}^2 \right)$$

$$T_2 = \lambda^2 \mathbb{E}_{\beta} \left[\beta^\top (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda I_d)^{-1} \hat{\Sigma} (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda I_d)^{-1} \beta \right]$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{n\lambda} \text{tr} \left((\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda I_d)^{-2} \hat{\Sigma} \right)$$

↳ on the

$$\hookrightarrow T_1 + T_2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \text{tr} \left((\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda I_d)^{-1} \hat{\Sigma} \right) \quad \forall \lambda > 0$$



This is a lower bound on the minimax risk.

exercise

$$\text{Notice that } \text{tr} \left((\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda I_d)^{-1} \hat{\Sigma} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\hat{\lambda}_j}{\hat{\lambda}_j + \lambda}$$

where $\hat{\lambda}_j$ is the j th eigenvalue of $\hat{\Sigma}$.

This is decreasing in λ . So

$$\sup_{\lambda} T_1 + T_2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \text{tr} \left((\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda I_d)^{-1} \hat{\Sigma} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \text{tr}(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \hat{\Sigma})$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} d$$

↓

This is the exact risk of $\hat{\beta}$ OLS

- About minimaxity for estimation: let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ be a parametric family of prob. distributions (a parametric statistical model). We are interested in estimating θ^* , the true parameter, s.t.
 $x_1, \dots, x_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P_{\theta^*}$

parameter space
↑

function of data
↗

For any estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of θ^* (where $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$)

let $L(\hat{\theta}, \theta^*)$ be the loss function associated to $\hat{\theta}$ (e.g. $L(\hat{\theta}, \theta^*) = \|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\|^2$).

The risk of $\hat{\theta}$ is the function

$$\theta \in \Theta \mapsto \mathbb{E}_{x_1, \dots, x_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P_\theta} [L(\hat{\theta}, \theta)] = R(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$$

- The minimax risk for this problem

$$\inf_{\hat{\theta}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} R(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$$

↓
over all estimators

A natural lower bound on the minimax risk is the Bayes risk

$$\inf_{\hat{\theta}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \pi} [R(\hat{\theta}, \theta)] = R(\pi)$$

\downarrow
 prior

a procedure attaining that infimum is called a Bayes procedure wrt π .

When the loss function is e.g. quadratic, the Bayes procedure is the posterior mean of θ .

- If $\{\pi_k\}$ is a sequence of priors s.t.

$$R(\pi_k) \rightarrow r \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

and $\hat{\theta}$ is a procedure s.t.

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} R(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = r$$

Then $\hat{\theta}$ is minimax → then

- Remark: if the covariate (i.e. the rows of Φ) are random, the risk of OLS $\hat{\beta}$ is

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\Phi} \text{tr}(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \Sigma^{-1}) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\Sigma = \mathbb{E} [\Phi, \Phi^T]$$

↳ it's row of Φ .

This is also the minimax risk.

See Mourtou's paper.

STATISTICAL INFERENCE FOR β^*

As before we assume a well-specified linear model and fixed covariates, i.e.

$$y = \underbrace{\Phi}_{\substack{\text{fixed} \\ n \times 1}} \underbrace{\beta^*}_{\substack{\text{dimension} \\ d}} + \varepsilon \quad \hookrightarrow \text{i.i.d. } (0, \sigma^2)$$

Goal: to estimate and carry out statistical inference for β^* , in fixed dimensions (i.e. d is fixed)

- Is the OLS consistent?

$$\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{P} \beta^*$$

- Yes! Assume that $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{\Phi^T \Phi}{n} \rightarrow \Sigma$ p.d.

Then

$$\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \frac{\Phi^T \varepsilon}{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

PROV

By WLLN

$$\frac{\Phi^T \varepsilon}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\Phi_i}_{\substack{\text{row of } \Phi \\ \text{transpose of } \varepsilon_i}} \varepsilon_i \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

(6)

To see this $\Phi_i \varepsilon_i \sim (0, \sigma^2 \Phi_i \Phi_i^T)$

So because the ε_i 's are indep.

$$\text{var} \left[\frac{\Phi^T \varepsilon}{n} \right] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2} \Phi^T \Phi$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left(\frac{\Phi^T \Phi}{n} - \Sigma' + \Sigma' \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \Sigma' + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left(\frac{\Phi^T \Phi}{n} - \Sigma' \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Then $\frac{\Sigma'^{-1} \Phi^T \varepsilon}{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$ by Slutsky's theorem \square

$\hat{\beta}$ is asymptotically normal

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) \xrightarrow{d} N_d(0, \sigma^2 \Sigma'^{-1})$$

$$\downarrow$$
$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}]$$