

SDS 387, Fall 2024
Homework 3

Due October 17, by midnight on [Canvas](#).

1. The **Delta Method** is a method to derive the asymptotic distribution of a function of a random vector converging in distribution to a Gaussian. It is a consequence of the CLT. Formally, let $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function continuously differentiable at a point μ on its domain and let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of random vectors such that

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N_d(0, \Sigma).$$

Show that

$$\sqrt{n}(f(\bar{X}_n) - f(\mu)) \xrightarrow{d} N_d(0, \nabla f(\mu)^\top \Sigma \nabla f(\mu)),$$

where $\nabla f(\mu)$ denotes the gradient of f evaluated at μ . This result is referred to as the delta method. *Hint: Do a first-order Taylor series expansion.*

2. The delta method is not very useful when $\nabla f(\mu) = 0$. Here is a one-dimensional example. Suppose that $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$ and let $f(x) = x^2$. Show that $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n^2 - \mu^2) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 4\mu^2\sigma^2)$. If $\mu = 0$ the result implies that $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n^2 - \mu^2) \xrightarrow{p} 0$. To obtain a limiting distribution, we need to consider a higher-order Taylor series expansion. Show that

$$n\bar{X}_n^2 \xrightarrow{d} \sigma^2 \chi_1^2$$

Hint: perform a second order Taylor series expansion and use the fact that if $X \sim N(\gamma, \sigma^2)$, then $X^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi_1^2(\gamma^2)$.

3. Let A be a symmetric matrix with eigendecomposition $A = U\Lambda U^\top$.

(a) Show that, for any positive integer k

$$A^k = U\Lambda^k U^\top$$

and, provided that A is non-singular,

$$A^{-k} = U\Lambda^{-k} U^\top.$$

(If A is singular, not all hopes are lost: we would use a pseudo-inverse. But that is a topic for another homework.)

(b) The matrix exponent of a symmetric matrix A is

$$e^A = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^i}{i!}.$$

Let $A = U\Lambda U^\top$ be the eigendecomposition of A . Show that

$$e^A = Ue^\Lambda U^\top,$$

where e^Λ is the diagonal matrix with diagonal elements $e^{\lambda_1}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n}$, where the λ_i 's are the eigenvalues of A .

4. Let Σ be the covariance matrix of a n -dimensional random vector X that has mean zero. If Σ has rank $r < n$, show that X takes values on a r -dimensional linear subspace and finds that subspace.
5. Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with SVD $U\Sigma V^\top$. Suppose we want to approximate it using a rank $r < \min\{m, n\}$ matrix. We measure the quality of the approximation by the squared Frobenius norm, i.e., we want to find a rank- r $m \times n$ matrix B such that the least squares error

$$\|A - B\|_F^2$$

is minimal. Find a B such that

$$\|A - B\|_F^2 = \sum_{i>r} \sigma_i^2,$$

where the σ_i 's are the singular values of A (in decreasing order). In fact, that is the best we can do, a result known as the Eckart-Young-Mirsky theorem.

6. **PCA.** Let A be a n -dimensional positive definite matrix. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, denote with λ_i be the i -th eigenvalue, with corresponding eigenvector u_i , and, without loss of generality, assume that the eigenvalues are ordered in decreasing order. Let $U\Lambda U^\top$ be the eigendecomposition of A . The Courant-Fischer-Weyl theorem implies that the eigenvalue/eigenvector pairs can be characterized in the following way. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $q(x) = x^\top A x$. Then

$$\lambda_1 = q(u_1) = \max_{\|x\|=1} q(x).$$

For $k \geq 2$, let \mathcal{U}_k be the k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n spanned by the first k leading eigenvectors u_1, \dots, u_k . Then

$$\lambda_k = q(u_k) = \max_{\|x\|=1, x \perp \mathcal{U}_{k-1}} q(x),$$

where $x \perp \mathcal{U}_{k-1}$ signifies that $x \in \mathcal{U}_{k-1}^\perp$.

PCA is a technique for dimensionality reduction. If X is a n -dimensional random vector with covariance matrix Σ , then the first k principal components of X are the eigenvectors u_1, \dots, u_k and their scores are the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$, respectively.

- (a) Show that $\text{Var}(u_k^\top X) = \lambda_k$. That is, k -th PCA indicates a direction (a one-dimensional subspace) along which to orthogonal project X , and that projection has variance λ_k . Furthermore, the first PCAs are directions of maximal variance.

- (b) The *total variance* of a (possibly rank deficient) covariance matrix is the sum of its diagonal. Show that this is the same as the sum of its eigenvalue.
- (c) Show that the total variance of the orthogonal projection of X onto the first k principal components is maximal, i.e. larger than the total variance of the orthogonal projection of X onto any other k -dimensional linear subspace. So, one way to think of PCA is as the best - in the sense of maximizing the total variance - linear approximation of X by an affine subspace of dimension k .
7. **Distance between equidimensional linear subspaces.** Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{E} be two r -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d with orthogonal projection matrices $P_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{E}}$, respectively. To measure the distance between them, a very commonly used metric is the sin- θ distance:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|P_{\mathcal{F}} - P_{\mathcal{E}}\|_F.$$

(The fact that this is a distance is immediate and follow from the fact that the Frobenius norm is a norm. The division by $\sqrt{2}$ is made out of convenience and is immaterial. To learn more about this topic, see Chapter 5 of the book “Matrix Perturbation Theory” by Stewart and Sun). Show that the squared sin- θ distance is equal to

$$\|P_{\mathcal{F}}(I_d - P_{\mathcal{E}})\|_F^2 = \|P_{\mathcal{E}}(I_d - P_{\mathcal{F}})\|_F^2.$$

When $r = 1$ show that the above expression reduces to

$$1 - (e^\top f)^2,$$

where e and f are unit vectors spanning \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} respectively. It is, of course, not a coincidence that in this case the squared sin- θ distance is 1 minus the squared cosine of the angle between the vectors f and e .