## 36-710, Fall 2018 Homework 1

Due Sep 19 by 5:00pm.

1. (Reading exercise. Not to be graded for correctness, but only for effort)

In this problem you are essentially required to reproduce a proof that can be found in the references given below. My intention is for you to read up and understand the proof rather than trying to solve this problem on your own, which would be challenging (though you are welcome to this challenge). Let  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a zero-mean random vector with covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  such that  $\frac{X_i}{\sqrt{\Sigma_{i,i}}}$  is sub-Gaussian with parameter  $\sigma^2$ , for all  $i = 1, \ldots, d$ . Assume we observe n i.i.d. copies of X and compute the empirical covariance matrix  $\widehat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^{\top} X_i^{-1}$ . Show that, for all  $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widehat{\Sigma}_{i,j} - \Sigma_{i,j}\right| > \epsilon\right) \le C_1 e^{-\epsilon^2 n C_2},$$

for some constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . Conclude that

$$\max_{i,j} \left| \widehat{\Sigma}_{i,j} - \Sigma_{i,j} \right| = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log d + \log n}{n}}\right),\,$$

with probability at least  $1 - \frac{1}{n}$ . Thus, estimation of the covariance matrix in the  $L_{\infty}$  norm is possible even when d is much larger than n. Of course, you may wonder whether this is a good enough guarantee. In few weeks we will look at consistency rates for covariance estimation under more sensible norms and we will see that the requirements on d are much more stringent.

You will definitely need to use the results in Problem 6 and you may want to take a look at these references:

- Lemma 12 in Yuan. M. (2010). High Dimensional Inverse Covariance Matrix Estimation via Linear Programming, JMLR, 11, 2261-2286.
- Lemma 1 in Ravikumar, P., Wainwright, M.J., Raskutti, G. and Yu, B. (2011). EJS, 5, 935-980.
- Lemma A.3 in Bickel, P.J. and Levina, E. (2008). Regularized estimation of large covariance matrices, teh Annals of Statistics, 36(1), 199-227.
- 2. From tail bounds to moment bounds and high probability bounds. (To get a better intuition, you should think of the random variable X below as an average of n independent random variables, though this is not necessarily the case).
  - (a) Suppose that the random variable X satisfies the inequality

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| \ge t) \le c_1 e^{-c_2 n t^a}, \quad \forall t > 0$$

where  $a \in \{1, 2\}$ , n is a positive integer and  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are positive numbers.

- i. Show that, when a = 2,  $\mathbb{V}[X] \leq \frac{c_1}{nc_2}$ .
- ii. Show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X|\right] < c_3 n^{-1/a}$$

and express  $c_3$  as a function of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If mean of each  $X_i$  were not zero, then we would use  $\widehat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X}_n)^\top (X_i \overline{X}_n)$  instead. We would obtain the same rate but the arguments would be slightly more complicated.

(b) (From Hoeffding/Bernstein exponential inequality to high probability bounds). Suppose that, for all t > 0, some constants a > 0, b > 0, c > 0 and a  $d \ge 0$  and a positive integer n, the random variable X is such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|X| \ge t\right) \le a \exp\left\{-\frac{nbt^2}{c+dt}\right\}.$$

Then show that, for any  $\delta \in (0,1)$ ,

$$|X| \le \sqrt{\frac{c}{nb}\ln\frac{a}{\delta}} + \frac{d}{nb}\ln\frac{a}{\delta},$$

with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ .

If the above exponential inequality holds for  $X - \theta$  instead of just X, where  $\theta$  is a number (e.g., the mean or median of X), then the last bound would immediately give a  $1 - \delta$  confidence interval for  $\theta$ .

- 3. Vectors in high-dimensions.
  - (a) Let  $X \sim N_d(0, I_d)$ , where  $I_d$  is the d-dimensional identity matrix. Then,  $||X||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d X_i^2 \sim \chi_d^2$ . Show that, for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\|X\|^2 - d\right| \ge d\epsilon\right) \le 2e^{-d\epsilon^2/8}.$$

You can use the following fact: the moment generating function of a  $\chi_d^2$  is  $(1-2\lambda)^{-d/2}$  for all  $\lambda < 1/2$ . Alternatively, use the version of Bernstein inequality for sum of sub-exponential variables given in class. This results says that, in high dimensions, X is concentrated around a sphere of radius  $\sqrt{d}$ . Informaly,  $||X|| \sim \sqrt{d}$  with hgh probability.

See, e.g., Lemma 2 in A Probabilistic Analysis of EM for Mixtures of Separated, Spherical Gaussians, by S. Dasgupta and L. Schulman, JMLR, 8, 203–26, 2007.

Of course, the assumption of Gaussianity of X can be relaxed and a similar bound holds for any random d-dimensional vector of independent zero mean sub-Gaussian variables (using Bernstein inequality). Soon, we will derive a more general concentration bound for the norm ||X|| of a sub-Gaussian vector X using maximal inequalities.

(b) Now assume that X and Y are both  $N_d(0, I_d)$  and are independent. Show that

$$\mathbb{E}[(X^{\top}Y)^2] = d.$$

In fact, it can be shown that  $|X^{\top}Y|$  concentrates around  $\sqrt{d}$  as well, i.e.  $|X^{\top}Y| \sim \sqrt{d}$  with high probability.

Using this fact and part (a), argue informally that

$$\frac{|X^\top Y|}{\|X\||Y\|} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}},$$

with high probability. Thus conclude that in high-dimensions, independent isotropic (i.e. having the identity matrix as the covariance matrix) Gaussian vectors are orthogonal with high probability, the more so the higher the dimension.

You may use the fact that if  $X \sim N_d(I_n)$ , then  $\frac{X}{\|X\|}$  and  $\|X\|$  are independent.

Again, the assumption of Gaussianity can be replaced by that of sub-Gaussianity.

4. Suppose that  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are such that  $X_i \in SG(\sigma_i^2)$ , not necessarily independent. Show that  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \in SG(\tau^2)$  and find  $\tau$ . HInt: use the generalized Holder inequality.

**Bonus problem:** Similarly, show that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  is a sub-exponential variable (and find its parameters) if  $X_i \in SE(\tau_i^2, \alpha_i)$  for all i, and the  $X_i$ 's are not necessarily independent.

5. (Random Projection and the Johnson-Lindenstruass Lemma).

See D. Achlioptas, Database friendly random projections: Johnson-Lindenstrauss with binary coins, Journal of Computer and System Sciences 66 (2003) 671–687.

Suppose we have a (deterministic) vector x in  $\mathbb{R}^D$  and, for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  we would like to find a random mapping  $f: \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}^d$ , where d is smaller than D, such that

$$(1 - \epsilon) ||f(x)||^2 \le ||x||^2 \le (1 + \epsilon) ||f(x)||^2$$

with high probability. One way is to construct a  $d \times D$  matrix A with iid entries from the N(0,1) distribution and then take

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}Ax, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^D.$$

You can think of f as being a random projection from a high-dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^D$  into the smaller space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

Show that

- (a)  $||x||^2 = \mathbb{E}[||f(x)||^2].$
- (b) For each  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\left|\|f(x)\|^2 - \|x\|^2\right| > \epsilon \|x\|^2\Big) < 2\exp\left\{-d/4(\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^3)\right\}.$$

(c) Using the above result, show that, if we are given n deterministic vectors  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^D$  and we compute their projections  $f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_n)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we are guaranteed that the all the pairwise squared distances between the projected points are distorted by at most a factor of  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  with probability at least  $1 - \delta$  if  $d \ge \frac{4(\log(1/\delta) + 2\log(n))}{\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^3}$ . That is,

$$||x_i - x_j||^2 (1 - \epsilon) \le ||f(x_i) - f(x_j)||^2 \le ||x_i - x_j||^2 (1 + \epsilon), \quad \forall i \ne j,$$

with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ .

For parts (a) and (b) proceed as follows: show that the squared norm of  $\frac{\sqrt{d}f(x)}{\|x\|}$  is equal in distribution to the sum of d squared standard normals, and therefore has a  $\chi^2_d$  distribution. In your subsequent derivation, you may use the following facts:

- (a) The mfg of a  $\chi_1^2$  at any  $\lambda < 1/2$  is  $(1-2\lambda)^{-1/2}$ .
- (b) For any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , setting  $\lambda = \frac{\epsilon}{2(1+\epsilon)} < 1/2$ , we get

$$\frac{e^{-2(1+\epsilon)\lambda}}{1-2\lambda} = (1+\epsilon)e^{-\epsilon} < e^{-1/2(\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^3)}$$

and setting  $\lambda = \frac{\epsilon}{2(1-\epsilon)} < 1/2$  we get

$$\frac{e^{2(1-\epsilon)\lambda}}{1+2\lambda} = (1-\epsilon)e^{\epsilon} < e^{-1/2(\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^3)}$$

What is striking about this result is that the dimension D of the original space does not appear anywhere in these bounds!

This is an instance of what is also known as the Johnson-Lindenstruass Lemma, which loosely speaking, states that a random projection of n points from a high-dimensional space into a d dimensional space preserves the pairwise squared distances up to a multiplicative factor of  $\epsilon$  with high probability if d is of order  $\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2}$ , independently of the dimension of the original space.

Notice that instead of using independent N(0,1) variables to populate A, we could have used any sub-Gaussian distribution.

- 6. Squares and products of sub-gaussians are sub-exponentials
  - (a) Show that if  $X \in SG(\sigma^2)$  than  $X^2 \in SE(\nu^2, \alpha)$ , where

$$\nu = \alpha = 11\sigma^2$$
.

It is OK if you get a constant larger than 11 for one or both of  $\nu^2$  and  $\alpha$  (of course, if you get a smaller constant that would be awesome).

(b) Show that if  $X \in SG(\sigma^2)$  and  $Y \in SG(\tau^2)$ , then  $XY \in SE(\nu^2, \alpha)$ , where

$$\nu = \alpha = 11\sigma\tau$$
.

Again, you may get a different constant than 11 and it will be perfectly fine.

Hint: For this problem you may find it helpful to use the following:

(a) The  $C_r$  inequality: If X and Y are random variables such that  $\mathbb{E}|X|^r < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}|Y|^r < \infty$ , where  $r \ge 1$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}|X+Y|^r \le 2^{r-1} \left( \mathbb{E}|X|^r + \mathbb{E}|Y|^r \right)$$

(b) The bound

$$\mathbb{E}|X|^r \le (2\sigma^2)^{r/2} r \Gamma(r/2) \quad r \ge 1,$$

proved in class.

- 7. Concentration around the mean and median.
  - (a) Exercise 2.14 from Chapter 1. In part (c) you do not need to prove the claims about  $c_3$  and  $c_2$  and, similarly, in part (d) you do need to prove the claims about  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ .
  - (b) Assume that  $P(|X \mu| \ge t) \le Ce^{-ct^2}$  for all  $t \ge 0$  and some positive C and c, where  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$ . Find a bound for  $|\mu m_X|$ , where  $m_X$  is a median for X. Assuming similarly that  $P(|X m_X| \ge t) \le Ce^{-ct^2}$ , find a bound for  $|\mu m_X|$ .