

# SDS 387 Linear Models

Fall 2025

Lecture 23 - Tue, Nov 18, 2025

Instructor: Prof. Ale Rinaldo

- HW 4, Q8 (b):  $X \sim Nd(\mu, I_d)$  (or  $X \sim Nd(\mu, \sigma^2 I_d)$ )
- Today: statistical inference for  $\beta^*$ , assuming a linear model and fixed design:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & = & \mathbb{Q} & \beta^* & + \varepsilon \\ n \times 1 & & n \times d & d \times 1 & n \times 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \text{fixed} & & \end{array}$$

- Last time we saw that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow \hat{\beta} & \xrightarrow{P} & \beta^* \\ \text{OLS estimator} & & \\ \text{i.e. } \hat{\beta} \text{ is consistent} & \xrightarrow{\text{true}} & \beta^* \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{is } \hat{\beta}_n \text{ for } \beta^* \\ \text{consistent?} \end{array}$$

- Today we will show that

$$(*) \quad \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) \xrightarrow{d} Nd(0, \sigma^2 \Sigma^{-1})$$

provided that  $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{\mathbb{Q}^T \mathbb{Q}}{n} \rightarrow \Sigma$

- In fact, both claims are true under the random  $\Phi$  settings, provided that

$$\frac{\Phi^T \Phi}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i \Phi_i^T \xrightarrow[p.d.]{\text{}} \text{pd}$$

↓  
transpose of  
the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $\Phi$

- To prove  $\star$  notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) &= \sqrt{n} \left( \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \frac{\Phi^T Y}{n} - \beta^* \right) = \sqrt{n} \left( \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \frac{\Phi^T \Phi}{n} \beta^* + \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \frac{\Phi^T \varepsilon}{n} - \beta^* \right) \\ &= \sqrt{n} \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \frac{\Phi^T \varepsilon}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Next  $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}$ . So we need to show that

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\Phi^T \varepsilon}{n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2 \Sigma^{-1})$$

because the claim then follows by Slutsky's.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{bmatrix} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

But we can write  $\frac{\Phi^T \varepsilon}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i \varepsilon_i$

↓  
transpose of  
 $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $\Phi$

We need to check the LF conditions.

Notice that  $E[\Phi_i \varepsilon_i] = 0$  and

$$\text{Var}[\Phi_i \varepsilon_i] = \sigma^2 \Phi_i \Phi_i^T$$

(2)

So that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{var} \left[ \frac{\Phi_n \varepsilon_n}{n} \right] = \sigma^2 \frac{\Phi^T \Phi}{n} \rightarrow \sigma^2 \Sigma$$

The LF conditions for this problem are:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\|\Phi_n \varepsilon_n\|^2}{n} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \frac{\|\Phi_n \varepsilon_n\|}{\sqrt{n}} > \eta \right\} \right] \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and for each  $\eta > 0$ .

$$\leq \underbrace{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|\Phi_n\|^2}{n} \right]}_{\frac{\text{tr}(\Phi^T \Phi)}{n} \rightarrow \text{tr}(\Sigma)} \underbrace{\max_{i=1, \dots, n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \varepsilon_i^2 \mathbb{1} \left\{ \frac{\|\Phi_n \varepsilon_n\|}{\sqrt{n}} > \eta \right\} \right]}_T$$

We have that  $T \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if

$$\max_n \frac{\|\Phi_n\|}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{check this!}$$

$$\text{So if } \frac{\Phi^T \Phi}{n} \rightarrow \Sigma \text{ and } \max_n \frac{\|\Phi_n\|}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\Phi_n^T \varepsilon_n}{n} \xrightarrow{d} N_d(0, \sigma^2 \Sigma^{-1}) \\ &\downarrow \\ &\rightarrow \Sigma^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} N_d(0, \sigma^2 \Sigma^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

- From van der Vaart's book, Chapter 2: we could have assumed instead that for some  $k \geq 1$

$$a) \quad \mathbb{E} [|\varepsilon_n|^{2+k}] < \infty$$

$$b) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|\Phi_n\|^k}{n^{k/2}} \rightarrow 0$$

because

$$\mathbb{E} [\varepsilon_n^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|\varepsilon_n| > \eta\}}] \leq \mathbb{E} [|\varepsilon_n|^{2+k}] a^k \eta^{-k}$$

$\downarrow$   
 where  
 $a = \frac{\|\Phi_n\|}{\sqrt{n}}$

- Statistical inference: now that we have established asymptotic normality we should be carry out stat. inference (hypothesis testing, confidence intervals)

Problem: we do not know  $\sigma^2$ !

- Let's assume that  $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ . Therefore

$$Y \sim N_n(\Phi\beta^*, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

and

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) \sim Nd(0, \sigma^2 \hat{\Sigma}^{-1})$$

To estimate  $\sigma^2$  we could use the residuals:

$$e = Y - \hat{Y} = Y - \underbrace{H}_{\text{hat matrix}} Y = (I - H) Y$$

$\underbrace{\Phi \hat{\beta}}_{\text{hat matrix}} \quad \underbrace{\Phi(\Phi\Phi)^{-1}\Phi^T}$

where  $H$  and  $(I-H)$  are orthogonal projection matrices  
 with  $H$  projecting onto  $C(\Phi)$   
 $\downarrow$  column space

Next,

$$e \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2(I-H)) \quad \text{Exercise}$$

$$(\text{Of course } \hat{Y} \sim N_n(\Phi\beta^*, \sigma^2 H))$$

We can think of  $e$  as an "estimator" of  $\varepsilon$   
 but the residuals are correlated and have  
 different variances!

• Nonetheless  $\|e\|^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi_{n-d}^2$  HW problem

$$\hookrightarrow \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\|e\|^2}{n-d} \right] = \sigma^2$$

$$\hookrightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\|e\|^2}{n-d} \quad \text{is an unbiased estimator of } \sigma^2$$

$\downarrow$   
degrees of freedom

• Furthermore  $\hat{\sigma}^2 \perp \hat{\beta}$  because  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is a function  
 of  $(I-H)Y$  and  
 $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta} e^T] = 0_{d \times n}$

- At the end of the day:

$$j=1, \dots, d \quad \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j^*}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_j)} = \frac{\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j^*}{\sigma \sqrt{(\Phi^T \Phi)^{-1}_{jj}}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2}}} \sim t_{n-d}$$

$$\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_j) = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 (\Phi^T \Phi)^{-1}_{jj}}$$

ratio of a  $N(0,1)$  and  
squared root of indep  $\chi^2$   
divided by its degrees of  
freedom

- If the errors are not Gaussian, we can use the CLT and Slutsky's theorem to conclude that

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j^*}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_j)} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

- Testing a submodel: Suppose  $\Phi_0$  is a column submatrix of  $\Phi$  (of full column rank of course).

We want to test

$$H_0: E[Y] = \Phi_0 \beta^* \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{or equivalently} \\ \text{to} \\ E[Y] = \Phi \beta^* \end{array} \right)$$

Let  $H_0$  be the hat matrix for  $\Phi_0$ .

(orthogonal projection  
matrix onto  
 $C(\Phi_0)$ )

To test our null hypothesis we can consider the test statistic:

$$0 \leq \|e_0\|^2 - \|e\|^2 = Y^T(I-H_0)Y - Y^T(I-H)Y$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$e_0 = (I-H_0)Y \quad \quad \quad = Y^T(H-H_0)Y$$

if  $E[Y] \in C(\Phi_0)$  then

$$Y^T(H-H_0)Y \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{\text{rank}(H-H_0)}$$

HW

We still need to estimate  $\sigma^2$  which we do using the full model. Our final test statistic for testing the null hypothesis is

$$\frac{Y^T(H-H_0)Y}{\text{rank}(H-H_0)}$$


---


$$\frac{Y^T(I-H)Y}{\text{rank}(I-H)}$$

n-d  $\leftarrow$

$\rightarrow$  ratio of 2 independent  $\chi^2$  divided by their degrees of freedom

$$\sim F_{\text{rank}(H-H_0), \text{rank}(I-H)}$$

$\downarrow$

ANOVA decomposition and F testing

- Make sure you always have an intercept