

SDS 387 Linear Models

Fall 2024

Lecture 25 - Tue, Dec 3, 2024

Instructor: Prof. Ale Rinaldo

- Last time: Assumption - lean inference :
 - ↪ see Statistical Science paper Models as approximations, part I
 - 1) linear model is mis-specified
 - 2) overcomes over random
- White (1980) Consequences and Detection of mis-specified non-linear regression Models, JASA 76, 374-419-433
- $(\Phi, Y) \sim P_{\Phi, Y}$ on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} but no assumptions on the regression function $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{E}[Y | \Phi = x]$ is made. We only assume 2nd moments for Y and Φ .
- We can always write

$$Y = \mathbb{E}[Y | \Phi] + \underbrace{Y - \mathbb{E}[Y | \Phi]}_{\varepsilon}$$

↓
signal
regression function

↓
noise, error
where $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon | \Phi] = 0$
 $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon] = 0$

- We saw (and you should do it as an exercise) that, even if the model is not linear, the projection parameter

$$\beta^* = \underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{E} \left[(Y - \Phi^T \beta)^2 \right] = \underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{E} \left[(\mathbb{E}[Y | \Phi] - \Phi^T \beta)^2 \right]$$

$$= \Sigma^{-1} \Gamma$$

where $\Sigma = \mathbb{E}[\Phi \Phi^T]$ and $\Gamma = \mathbb{E}[\Phi \cdot Y]$

assuming that Σ is invertible (and assuming $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] < \infty$)

Furthermore β^* satisfies

$$\Sigma \beta^* = \Gamma \quad \text{normal equations}$$

- β^* is the focus of inference: vector of coefficients of the "best" approximation of Y

↓
measure of linear association
btw Y and Φ

or $\mathbb{E}[Y | \Phi]$ by linear functions
of Φ .

- Last time we saw a fundamental decomposition:

$$Y = \Phi^T \beta^* + \underbrace{(\mathbb{E}[Y | \Phi] - \Phi^T \beta^*)}_{\text{non-linearity } \eta} + \underbrace{(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y | \Phi])}_{\text{error } \varepsilon}$$

So
$$Y = \Phi^T \beta^* + \varepsilon$$

$$\hookrightarrow \eta + \varepsilon$$

Remark :
$$\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon^2] = \mathbb{E}[\eta^2] + \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon^2]$$

i) η is orthogonal to the linear span Φ $\rightarrow \{ \sum \Phi_i, \sum \in \mathbb{R}^d \}$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{E}[\eta \cdot \Phi(j)] = 0 \quad \forall j \\ \downarrow \\ j^{\text{th}} \text{ coordinate of } \Phi \end{array} \right]$$

ii) ε is orthogonal to all r.v.'s of the form $f(\Phi)$ where $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\mathbb{E}[f^2(\Phi)] < \infty$$

\hookrightarrow as a result, $\mathbb{E}[\eta \cdot \varepsilon] = 0$

• Now the distribution of Φ has to be taken into account, because β^* depends on it.

if
$$Y = \Phi^T \beta^* + \varepsilon$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

$$\text{same } \beta^* \quad \text{mean zero}$$

then β^* does not depend on the distribution of Φ

- Nonlinearity + random covariates \rightarrow extra uncertainty
- Assume n iid observations from $P_{\Phi, Y}$:

$$(\Phi_1, Y_1), \dots, (\Phi_n, Y_n) \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P_{\Phi, Y} \rightarrow \text{unknown}$$

$$\text{Let } \Phi_{n \times (d+1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \Phi_1^T \\ 1 & \Phi_2^T \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \Phi_n^T \end{bmatrix} \text{ be the random design matrix}$$

and consider the OLS estimator:

$$\hat{\beta} = \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \cdot \hat{\Gamma}$$

$$\text{where } \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{\Phi^T \Phi}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i \Phi_i^T$$

\downarrow
plug-in estimator for β^*

$$\hat{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \cdot \Phi_i$$

Remark: $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}] \neq \beta^*$

$$\text{Var}[\hat{\beta}] = \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}[\hat{\beta} | \Phi]] + \text{Var}[\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta} | \Phi]]$$

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} \downarrow$$

if $\mathbb{E}[Y | \Phi] = \Phi \beta^*$ fixed

then $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta} | \Phi] = \beta^*$

so $\text{Var}[\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta} | \Phi]] = 0$

• Consistency :

$$\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{P} \beta^* \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{keeping } d \text{ fixed!})$$

PA/ $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \cdot \hat{\Gamma}$

Now $\hat{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{P} \Sigma$ by WLLN and $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \xrightarrow{P} \Sigma^{-1}$ by CMT.

Next $\hat{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{P} \Gamma$ by WLLN

So $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \hat{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{P} \Sigma^{-1} \Gamma = \beta^*$ by Slutsky's theorem. \Rightarrow

Remark : When d grows with n , it is still not known how to eliminate the bias $E[\hat{\beta}] - \beta^*$ efficiently.

• CLT for $\hat{\beta}$

To establish a CLT for $\hat{\beta}$, define

$$\psi_i = \Sigma^{-1} \Phi_n(Y_i - \Phi_n^T \beta^*) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$i = 1, \dots, n$$

Then :

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i = \Sigma^{-1} (\hat{\Gamma} - \hat{\Sigma} \beta^*)$$

Next,

$$\hat{\Sigma} (\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) = \hat{\Gamma} - \hat{\Sigma} \beta^*$$

Therefore :

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^{-1} \hat{\Sigma} \sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) &= \sqrt{n} \Sigma^{-1} (\hat{\Gamma} - \hat{\Sigma} \beta^*) \\ &= \sqrt{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i\end{aligned}$$

So we can focus on RHS. The ψ_i 's are iid with

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi_i] = 0 \quad \text{by the normal equations} \quad \rightarrow \text{exercise}$$

$$\text{Var}[\psi_i] = \boxed{\Sigma^{-1} V \Sigma^{-1}} \quad \text{where}$$

$$V = \text{Var}[\Phi_i(Y_i - \Phi_i^T \beta^*)]$$

asymptotic sandwich variance

If model is well specified and $Y_i - \Phi_i^T \beta^* = \varepsilon_i \sim (0, \sigma^2)$

$$\text{Var}[\psi_i] = \sigma^2 \Sigma^{-1} \quad \text{the usual asymptotic variance!}$$

\rightarrow exercise

By LLN CLT :

$$\Sigma^{-1} \hat{\Sigma} \sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) = \sqrt{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i \xrightarrow{d} N_d(0, \Sigma^{-1} V \Sigma^{-1})$$

Next $\Sigma^{-1} \hat{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{P} I_d$ so by Slutsky's theorem

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) \xrightarrow{d} N_d(0, \Sigma^{-1} V \Sigma^{-1})$$



different asymptotic variance term in the well-specified case