



Íslenskir staðlar

ÍST TS 310:2020

Gildistaka: 22.02.2022

ICS. 3.060

Tækniforskrift - Innlendar
greiðslur og innlán

Technical Specification -
Domestic payments and
deposits



Íslenskir staðlar

ÍST TS 310:2020

Participants in ÍST Workshop VS-3 Innlendar greiðslur og innlán (e. Domestic payments and deposits) and participants in working groups preparing the workshop agreement.

| Name | Company / organisation / association | Name | Company / organisation / association |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Árni Björnsson | Samband sparisjóða á Íslandi | Ingibergur S. Stefnissón Íris Dögg Kristmundsdóttir | Unimaze |
| Ásgeir Helgi Jóhannsson | Atlas lögmenn | Jóhannes Þór Ágústason | Íslandsbanki |
| Ásgeir Örn Ásgeirsson | Samtök fjártæknifyrirtækja/Meniga | Jóhann Þorvarðarson | Landsbankinn |
| Atli Mar Gunnarsson | Arion banki | Jökull Huxley Yngvason | Landsbankinn |
| Bjarki Jóhannsson | Creditinfo | Kjartan Áspórssón | Kvika |
| Bjarni Þór Pálsson | RB | Kristinn Stefánsson | Arion banki |
| Björn Ingi Björnsson | Arion banki | Markús Guðmundsson | Sendill |
| Bragi Þór Guðmundsson | RB | Ólafur Bergsson | Íslandsbanki |
| Daníel Snorrason | Seðlabanki Íslands | Ólafur Eiríksson | Landsbankinn |
| Einar Eiríksson | Origo | Páll Arnar Guðmundsson | Creditinfo |
| Elfa D. Marteinsdóttir | Arion banki | Sigrún Gunnarsdóttir | WISE |
| Gísli Konráð Björnsson | Landsbankinn | Sigurbjörn Þorbjörnsson | TCM innheimta |
| Guðjón Karl Arnarson | RB | Sigurður Gauti Hauksson | Alskil |
| Guðmundur Jón Halldórsson | DataPlato ehf | Sigurjón Örn Kárasón | Valitor |
| Gunnar Stefánsson | Arion banki | Snorri Karlsson | Íslandsbanki |

ÍST TS 310:2020

| Name | Company / organisation / association | Name | Company / organisation / association |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| Halla Árnadóttir | RB | Snorri Jónsson | Íslandsbanki |
| Halldór Vagn Hreinsson | Landsbankinn | Stefán Orri Stefánsson | Íslandsbanki |
| Halldóra G. Steindórsdóttir | Landsbankinn | Styrmir Kristjánsson | Sjálfstæður |
| Helena Pálsdóttir | FME | Sveinn G. Gunnarsson | Landsbankinn |
| Helena Rúriksdóttir | Arion banki | Þorsteinn Guðmundsson | Wise |
| Hermann Snorrason | Landsbankinn | Þorsteinn Lemke | Uniconta |
| Hjálmar Brynjólfsson | FME | Védís Ingólfssdóttir | Arion banki |
| Hrannar Már Hallkelsson | Arion banki | Védís Sigurðardóttir | Landsbankinn |
| Ingibjartur Jónsson | Valitor | Ingvi Rafn Guðmundsson | Íslandsbanki |
| Ingvaldur Einarsson | Uniconta | | |

© Icelandic Standards (IST) 2020, 2022. All Rights Reserved.

Without the written permission of the publisher, this technical specification document may not be reprinted or reproduced in any form by any means, mechanical or electronic, such as by photocopying, sound recording or other means, currently known or later invented, nor may the agreement be disseminated through an electronic database.

1. edition

Table of contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | 2 |
| Introduction | 4 |
| 1 Scope | 5 |
| 2 Normative references, definitions and symbols | 6 |
| 2.1 Terminalog and Definitions | 6 |
| 3 Implementation | 8 |
| 3.1 Service Overview | 8 |
| 3.2 Payment Initiation Service | 9 |
| 3.2.1 Domestic payment products | 9 |
| 4 Accounts | 13 |

IST TS 310:2020

TODO - [] Finalize the final of participants @gudval - [] Update final dates in foreword - [] Should end2enddescription be described?

Foreword

This ÍST Technical Specification was developed in accordance with “ÍST Reglur um tækniforskriftir, tækniskýrslur og vinnustofusamþykktir” (e. IST rules on Technical Specifications, Technical Reports and Workshop Agreements). The TS (Technical specification) was prepared by the technical committee TN-FMP (The Technical Committee on Financial Services) that operates within FUT (Sector committee for ICT standardisation) following a public call for participation within TN-FMP. The final draft was sent to the TN-FMP on the 2022-01-XX and approved by correspondence on the 2022-XX-XX. The text of ÍST TS-310 was submitted to IST for publication on 2022-02-XX.

The accompanying OpenAPI 3.0.1 definition “IOBWS3.0.yaml” located at <https://github.com/stadlar/IST-FUT-FMTH/tree/master/Deliverables>, should be viewed as an integral part of ÍST TS-310. Future versions of the document “ÍST TS 310_2020 Domestic payments and deposits.md”, that is the source of this rendition, will be used to communicate errata and clarifications though each will need a workshop agreements to establish patch or minor releases of the IOBWS.

The work on the ÍST TS was primarily funded by Íslandsbanki, Arion Banki and Landsbankinn. It is the result the workgroup TN-FMP-VH-8. In parts the work is the earlier workshop agreement WA-310 authored by TN-FMP-VH-1 on Technical requirements and TN-FMP-VH-2 on Business requirements, with participation of an external consultant. WA-310 was approved within TN-FMP on the 2019-12-12. ÍST TS-310 should, however, not be viewed as a direct sucesor to that agreement, which focused on laying groundwork for PSD2 compliance. Instead TS-310 is the next version of the Icelandic Online Banking Services, replacing TS 161:2013 *Greiðslur* and TS 164:2013 *Yfirlit bankareikninga*.

ÍST TS-310 is not subject to any patent rights. The underlying OpenAPI specification is derived from the Berlin Group’s NextGenPSD2 Framework, and similar to that, distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC BY).

This means that the YAML Specification for ÍST TS-310 can be copied and redistributed in any medium or format for any purpose, even commercially, and when shared, that appropriate credit must be given, a link to the license must be provided, and indicated if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use. In addition, if you remix, transform, or build upon the specification, you may not distribute the modified specification.

The Technical Committee’s participants have made every effort to ensure the reliability and accuracy

ÍST TS 310:2020

of the technical and non-technical content of ÍST TS-310, but this does not guarantee, either explicitly or implicitly, its correctness. Users of ÍST TS-310 should be aware that neither the TN-FMP, nor IST can be held liable for damages or losses of any kind whatsoever which may arise from its application. Users of ÍST TS-310 do so on their own responsibility and at their own risk.

Introduction

This Technical Specification (TS) present version 3.0 of the Icelandic Online Banking Services (IOBWS), for domestic payments and deposits.

Previous versions of IOBWS, released in 2007 and 2013 respectively, used the most recent OASIS SOAP standards at the time, to define common web service interfaces for the Icelandic market. This enabled software vendors, enterprises and service providers to integrate their accounting, payment, and information systems with the commercial and savings banks, to act on behalf of the customers and with full access to their data.

Iceland, with its homogeneous financial landscape, has enjoyed instant credit transfers between all the banks since 1987, with real-time gross settlements, and other universally accepted services such as the common collection solution (Kröfupotturinn) for issuing and paying claims. All this functionality was expected and has been available through IOBWS v1 and v2, comparable to the functionality enjoyed by users of the online banking Web UIs.

When initiating work on the previous versions, the participants in the TN-FMP started out with reviewing existing or emerging specifications in the global or mostly European financial industry. None were deemed a good fit at the time for local adaptation, as they reflected inherent the legacy in inter-bank communications outside Iceland, even in the case of other Nordic countries.

Meanwhile, the broader market and new technology standards in Europe been catching up. The Open Banking regulation in UK and the PSD2 regulation issued by the European Parliament gave rise to initiatives to standardize access to payment functionality and account information, on behalf of customers by third parties. One such effort, the NextGenPSD2 Framework developed by the Berlin Group, has met a broad acceptance in the EEA. The data model references ISO 20022, and close enough to how the Icelandic market has evolved as to make it a relatively straightforward to adapt IOBWS to use it as a base, instead of maintaining an independant lineage of API specifications.

Another goal with v3 that is achieved in adopting the NextGenPSD2 Framework, is moving to a REST like API defined by a recent version of the Open API Specification, and implement open authentication and authorization standards that should solve some of the complexity involved with previous incarnations of the IOBWS.

1 Scope

ÍST TS-310 defines web application programming interfaces to be implemented by commercial and savings banks, when exposing common functionality and information for domestic payments and deposits.

Related ÍST TS documents address other parts of the overall IOBWS framework, either as upgrades to the previous specification or new additions in this version. As both the implementation and consumption of individual specifications is optional, the documents are mostly independent.

Some additional guidelines and common concerns will be addressed in the ÍST WA-316 workshop agreement, and other supporting material that might be similarly released through workshop agreements.

Due to the origin of the underlying OpenAPI specification in the Berlin Group NextGenPSD2 Framework, ÍST TS-310, and ÍST TS-313 on Foreign Payments overlap. Both share the same underlying “IOBWS3.0.yaml” definition document, as well as schema types and API resources. The approach in both specification is also to focus on the domestic adaptations to the framework and refer to the implementation guidelines for 1.3.8 of the NextGenPSD2 framework, if general issues need answering.

The intended audience for this specification document is implementors of banking services as well as those of those systems that will consume them as API clients. The reader is expected to have some basic knowledge of Icelandic payment and deposits products, but a fair amount of expertise when it comes to OpenAPI specifications. Consequently, the specification will forgo repeating documentation found in the accompanying YAML document, as much as possible. The previous IOBWS specifications e.g. version 2 did so out of necessity as the XML Schema and SOAP definition documents could hardly be considered human-readable. Today, a plethora of plugins, utilities, and API tools exist to help turn API specifications into navigatable UIs, that will without a doubt exist alongside all IOBWS v3 implementation. Repeating descriptions of e.g. schema types or even examples is therefore considered redundant in this document.

2 Normative references, definitions and symbols

2.1 Terminalog and Definitions

- **Kennitala:** The Icelandic ID number (often abbreviated as kt.) is a unique national identification number used by the Icelandic government to identify individuals, and with a comparable schema, legal entities in Iceland.
- **Icelandic IBAN** definition https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International_Bank_Account_Number ISO 13616:1997.
- **ISO 20022** is an ISO standard for electronic data interchange between financial institutions.
- **eIDAS** Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014 on electronic identification and trust services for electronic transactions in the internal market and repealing Directive 1999/93/EC
- **IOBWS** – The acronym for the Icelandic Open Banking Web Services, often used to refer to the project as well as its product.
- **FUT** is the IT sector council at Icelandic standards.
- **Berlin Broup** is a pan-European payments interoperability standards and harmonisation initiative with the primary objective of defining open and common scheme- and processor-independent standards in the interbanking domain between Creditor Bank (Acquirer) and Debtor Bank (Issuer), complementing the work carried out by e.g. the European Payments Council. As such, the Berlin Group has been established as a pure technical standardisation body, focusing on detailed technical and organisational requirements to achieve this primary objective.
- **PSD2** an acronym for the revised Payment Service Directive (EU 2015/2366) instituted by the European Parliament, meant to further open up payment services on the internal EEA market. Among other changes [PSD2] contains regulations of new services to be operated by so-called Third-Party Payment Service Providers (TPP) on behalf of a Payment Service User (PSU), by leveraging Strong Customer Authentication (SCA):

ÍST TS 310:2020

- **Payment Initiation Service** (PIS) to be operated by a Payment Initiation Service Provider (PISP) TPP as defined by article 66 of [PSD2]
- **Account Information Service** (AIS) to be operated by an Account Information Service Provider (AISP) TPP as defined by article 67 of [PSD2], and
- **Confirmation of the Availability of Funds Service** to be used by Payment Instrument Issuing Service Provider (PIISP) TPP as defined by article 65 of [PSD2].
- **PSU** – Payment Service User. The end-user of payment service.
- **SCA** – Strong Customer Authentication. Defined by the EBA in its RTS on SCA as “an authentication based on the use of two or more elements categorised as knowledge (something only the user knows [for example, a password]), possession (something only the user possesses [for example, a particular cell phone and number]) and inherence (something the user is [or has, for example, a fingerprint or iris pattern]) that are independent, [so] the breach of one does not compromise the others, and is designed in such a way as to protect the confidentiality of the authentication data.”

3 Implementation

3.1 Service Overview

The aim of ÍST TS-310 was to stay as true as possible to the NextGenPSD2 specification, making it possible to easily compare against future version released by the Berlin Group in order to evaluate if the Icelandic version should be upgraded. After weighing a few approaches, this resulted in a decision to keep almost all of the NextGenPSD2 OpenAPI definition intact, even though some of the services described are not applicable currently to the intended use of IOBWS. The table below describes how this means the YAML contract includes e.g. Constant and Signing Basket services, whose removal would have a high impact on the contract structure but will not be implemented as part of this specification.

Table 3.1: Service support in ÍST TS-310.

| | |
|---|---|
| Payment Initiation Service (PIS) | Supported by all implementators in accordance with the specification. |
| Account Information Service (AIS) | Supported by all implementators in accordance with the specification. |
| Confirmation of Funds Service (PIIS) | Optionally supported by the implementators, in accordance with the specification. |
| Consent Service | Explicitly not part of the TS-310 specification, but included for comparison and compatability with the NextGenPSD2 OpenAPI contract. |
| Signing Baskets Service (SBS) | Explicitly not part of the TS-310 specification, but included for comparison and compatability with the NextGenPSD2 OpenAPI contract. |

ÍST TS 310:2020

3.2 Payment Initiation Service

An overriding goal of ÍST TS-310 was to keep the functionality expected by users of domestic payments, but move towards closer alignment with ISO 20022 whenever possible. Despite this, it turned out that a few additional parameters were needed, support value-added information exchange, and functionality as well as for compliance. The most straightforward way to communicate in the OpenAPI specification was to define separate domestic payment products (see following table) and applicable json schema types.

Table 3.2: Domestic payment products.

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Credit Transfers | Transfer króna between two accounts within the same bank or between two domestic banks. |
| Claim Payments | Make a withdrawal from account to pay a claim (e.g. a bill). The claim can be created in any domestic bank. |
| Credit Card Deposits | Make a withdrawal from account to pay onto the account behind a payment card, within the same bank or between two domestic banks. |

The document will further focus on highlighting the specific domestic adaptations and how they relate to

- **Only JSON is supported:** No XML is catered for in the payment products supported by the TS-310 specifications.
- **Credit Limit Query supported:** It is possible to query account information to get information on the allowed credit limit (withCreditLimitQuery).
-

3.2.1 Domestic payment products

The following elements are used in the domestic payment products:

| Data Element | Credit Transfers | Claim Payments | Credit Card Deposits |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| endToEndIdentification | Optional | Optional | Optional |
| debtorAccount | Mandatory | Mandatory | Mandatory |

ÍST TS 310:2020

| Data Element | Credit Transfers | Claim Payments | Credit Card Deposits |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| debtorId | Optional | Optional | Optional |
| chargesAccount | n.a | n.a | n.a |
| ultimateDebtor | Optional | Optional | Optional |
| ultimateDebtorId | Optional | Optional | Optional |
| instructedAmount | Mandatory | Mandatory | Mandatory |
| creditorAccount | Mandatory | Mandatory | Mandatory |
| creditorAgent | n.a | n.a | n.a |
| creditorAgentAddress | n.a | n.a | na. |
| creditorName | n.a | n.a | n.a |
| creditorId | Optional | Optional | Optional |
| creditorAddress | n.a | n.a | n.a |
| ultimateCreditor | Optional | Optional | Optional |
| ultimateCreditorId | Optional | Optional | Optional |
| icelandicPurpose | Optional | Optional | Optional |
| chargeBearer | n.a | n.a | n.a |
| remittanceInformationUnstructured | Optional | Optional | Optional |
| remittanceInformationStructured | Optional | Optional | Optional |
| requestedExecutionDate | Optional | Optional | Optional |
| partialPayment | n.a. | Mandatory | n.a. |
| serviceLevel | n.a | n.a | n.a |
| centralBankPurposeCode | Optional | Optional | Optional |

To highlight the use of each attribute the table below contains information on their use not obvious from the schema definitions:

| Field | Description |
|------------------------|---|
| endToEndIdentification | Used by the debtor to send the short description across.??? |

ÍST TS 310:2020

| Field | Description |
|------------------|---|
| debtorAccount | Debtor account is the account used to transfer money from. |
| debtorId | Should contain the kt. of the debtor. |
| ultimateDebtor | Ultimate Debtor identifies the party that owes the cash to the Creditor as a result of receipt of goods or services. To name the original recipient of the invoice, Ultimate Debtor, in cases where it is different from the Payer. |
| ultimateDebtorId | Ultimate Debtor identifies the party that owes the cash to the Creditor as a result of receipt of goods or services. Ultimate debtor kt. is included when different from the debtor Id. |
| instructedAmount | Amount and currency to be transferred. |
| creditorAccount | Creditor account is the account used to transfer money to Beneficiary's account. In the case of a claim payment, this would be contain the claim key as represente as a BBAN number. |
| creditorId | Can be used to define id of the creditor. Creditor is the party whose account is credited with the payment. |
| creditorName | The creditor name is not necessary due to the fact that kennitala is part of the IBAN number. |
| creditorAddress | The creditor address is not necessary due to the fact that kennitala is part of the IBAN number and kennitala can be used as lookup key through the national registry. |
| ultimateCreditor | The creditor (for example a finance company or an intermediary in a business transaction), may be different from the ultimate creditor. The payer can enter who the final/real beneficiary of the payment is. In the case of credit card deposit using the masked pan ultimate Creditor contains the owner of the card. |

ÍST TS 310:2020

| Field | Description |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| ultimateCreditorId | In the case of credit card deposit using the masked pan ultimate Creditor Id contains kennitala of the owner of the card. |
| icelandicPurpose | The purpose or as previously, category code (is. <i>textalykill</i>) used to classify the transaction. Determined by codes available in each originating bank. |
| remittanceInformationStructured | The debtors's information about the payment. The "referenceissuer" field contains the reference (is. <i>tilvísun</i>), that previously was used for the kt. of the creditor, that now has a separate parameter. The "reference" field is used for the bill number (is. <i>seðilnúmer</i> , 7 characters). |
| remittanceInformationUnStructured | Is used for payment description visible for both parties (is. <i>skýring greiðslu</i>). Only 16 characters can be expected to be used, even if the field accepts 140 characters. |
| executionDate | Execution date if not today, if used then set as a future date (is. <i>framvirk greiðsla</i>). |
| executionTime | Not supported by this specification. Would allow payment instruction to be performed at specific date, and time. |
| partialPayment | Applies when a claim is paid by paying an amount from debtor account towards an existing claim. If claim allows partial payment and API consumer want to pay for example ISK 500 of ISK 1000 claim, then this flag is used. |

4 Accounts

This

4.1