FM-FOS-SET-05- F03a Rev. 1/01-02-13

PROJECT PROPOSAL EVALUATION SHEET

Project Title:	
Proponent:	
Project Location:	
Contach Person:	
Contact Details :	MEANING CONTINUES AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR
Evaluator:	

Directions: Please score the proposal according to the following criteria. Encircle the appropriate rating scale from 1 to 4, 4 being the most feasible. Also please provide the proponents with constructive suggestions and feedback on each evaluation criterion to assist them in improving their proposals in the future.

TECHNICAL VIABILITY		RATING		
A.1. Will the technology intervention redound to improve productivity in				
terms of:				
A.1.1 Labor	1	2	3	4
A.1.2. Quality	11	2	3	4
A.1.3. Quantity	1	2	3	4
A.2. Will the technology intervention redound to improve product quality?	1	2	3	4
A.3. Availability and sustainability of raw materials	1	2	3	1
A.4. Availability of utilities (electricity, water)	1	2	3	1
A.5. Availabilityof transportation	1	2	3	1
A.6. Accessibility of the project loacation/site (to market, raw materials)	1	2	3	1
A.7. Production process flow	1	2	3	4
A.8. Plant layout	1	2	3	1 4
A.9. Availability of equipment being sought/aftersales services	1	2	3	1 2
A.10. Availability of consultancy services	1	2	3	1
A.11. Others (please specify)	1	2	3	1
Subtotal				
mment:			ustrument erestore in pass	10 11 11 11 11 11

MARKET VIABILITY		RAT	ING	
B.1. Availability of market	1	2	3	4
B.2. Supply and demand gap	1	2	3	1 4
B.3. Marketing plan and strategies	1	2	3	4
B.4. Price of the product	1	2	3	4
B.5. Distribution channels	1	2	3	4
B.6. Terms of sale/payment	1	2	3	1 4
B.7. Presence of Competitors	1	2	3	1
B.8. Others (please specify)	1	2	3	1
Subtotal				
omment:	***************************************	***************************************	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY.	

C. MANAGEMENT/ ADMINISTRATIVE CAPABILITY		RΔ1	ING	
C. 1. Does the organization demonstrate an established track record in		IVA		Г
managing similar undertaking?	1	2	3	4
C.2. Do the qualifications of key personnel (education, training, etc.)				
demonstrate an ability to successfully implement the proposed project?	1	2	3	4
C.3. Is the manpower adequate?	1	2	3	4
C.4. Is the manpower capable?	1	2	3	4
C.5. Is the remuneration given to employees fair?	1	2	3	4
C.6. Others (please specify)	1	2	3	4
Subtotal		outsides a control of control		
Comment:				
,				
		-		
D. SOCIO-CULTURAL/ ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT		RAT	ING	
D.1. Is the external environment/ business atmosphere conducive to		PARTITURE PROPERTY.	Canada Ca	T
doing business?	1	2	3	4
D.2. Is the peace and order situation in the area stable?	1	2	3	4
D.3. Are the reactions of the people receptive?	1	2	3	4
D.4. Is there a proper waste disposal mechanism adopted in the	4	_	3	1
implementation of the project?	1	2	3	4
D.5. Others (please specify)	1	2	3	4
Subtotal				
Comment:				
E. FINANCIAL AVAILABILITY		RAT	ING	
but I II VELIA CITATO EL WELLOWELLO I I I				
E.1. Are the projected financial statements indicate business viability?				
E.1.1. Cash flow	1	2	3	4
E.1.2 Income statement	1	2	3	4
E.1.3 Balance sheet	1	2	3	4
F 6 60 F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	2	3	4
E.2. Other profitability indicators (NPV, ROI, payback period)	1			
E.3. Is the requested project funds sufficient to accomplish the		2	3	4
E.3. Is the requested project funds sufficient to accomplish the objectives of the project?	1	2	3	
E.3. Is the requested project funds sufficient to accomplish the objectives of the project? E.4. Are there adequate counterpart funds introduced?	1	2	3	4
E.3. Is the requested project funds sufficient to accomplish the objectives of the project? E.4. Are there adequate counterpart funds introduced? E.5. Others (please specify)	1			
E.3. Is the requested project funds sufficient to accomplish the objectives of the project? E.4. Are there adequate counterpart funds introduced?	1	2	3	4
E.3. Is the requested project funds sufficient to accomplish the objectives of the project? E.4. Are there adequate counterpart funds introduced? E.5. Others (please specify)	1	2	3	4
E.3. Is the requested project funds sufficient to accomplish the objectives of the project? E.4. Are there adequate counterpart funds introduced? E.5. Others (please specify)	1	2	3	4
E.3. Is the requested project funds sufficient to accomplish the objectives of the project? E.4. Are there adequate counterpart funds introduced? E.5. Others (please specify)	1	2	3	4
E.3. Is the requested project funds sufficient to accomplish the objectives of the project? E.4. Are there adequate counterpart funds introduced? E.5. Others (please specify)	1	2	3	4
E.3. Is the requested project funds sufficient to accomplish the objectives of the project? E.4. Are there adequate counterpart funds introduced? E.5. Others (please specify) Comment:	1	2	3	4

Notes (Acceptance Criteria):

i. minimum total rating: 70% of the total possible highest score

ii. Minimum score per criteria: 60% of the total possible highest score

GENERAL COMMENT/	RECOMMENDATIONS	
<u> </u>	:	
22		
A	g g	
	Name and Signature of Evaluator	
	Made transport contract of the	
	Date	