

# Topology Course Notes (KSM1C03)

## Day 27 : 7<sup>th</sup> November, 2025

product of complete metric space -- Lavrentieff's theorem -- completely metrizable and  $G_\delta$

### 27.1 Product of metric spaces

#### Proposition 27.1: (Metric on Product Topology)

Suppose  $(X_i, d_i)$  is a countable collection of metric spaces. Let  $X = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  be the product. Define

$$\rho_n(a, b) := \min \{d_n(a, b), 1\}, \quad a, b \in X_n, \quad \rho(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_i(x_i, y_i)}{2^i}, \quad x, y \in X.$$

Then,  $\rho$  is a metric on  $X$ , inducing the product topology.

#### Proof

Since each  $\rho_n$  is a bounded metric, it follows that  $\rho$  is well-defined. The metric properties can be checked easily. Let us show that the induced metric is the product topology. For some open  $U \subset X_i$ , consider the sub-basic open set  $\mathcal{U} = \pi_i^{-1}(U)$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $U = B_{\rho_i}(x_i, r_i)$ . Fix some  $y \in U$ . Set  $\epsilon := \frac{r_i - \rho_i(x_i, y_i)}{2^i}$ . Consider the metric ball  $B_\rho(y, \epsilon)$ . Then, for any  $z \in B_\rho(y, \epsilon)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i(x_i, z_i) &\leq \rho_i(x_i, y_i) + \rho_i(y_i, z_i) \\ &\leq \rho_i(x_i, y_i) + 2^i \rho(y, z) \\ &< \rho_i(x_i, y_i) + (r_i - \rho_i(x_i, y_i)) = r_i \\ &\Rightarrow z_i \in U \Rightarrow z \in \mathcal{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $B_\rho(y, \epsilon) \subset \mathcal{U}$ . This proves that the metric topology is finer than the product topology.

Conversely, consider a metric ball  $B := B_\rho(x, \epsilon)$ . Get some  $N \geq 1$  with  $\sum_{i>N} \frac{1}{2^i} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Consider the set

$$V = \prod_{i=1}^N B_{\rho_i} \left( x_i, \frac{2^i \epsilon}{2N} \right) \times \prod_{i>N} X_i,$$

which is open in the product topology. Now, for any  $y \in V$  we have

$$\rho(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_i(x_i, y_i)}{2^i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\frac{2^i \epsilon}{2N}}{2^i} + \sum_{i>N} \frac{1}{2^i} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

Thus,  $V \subset B$ . This proves that the product topology is finer than the metric topology. Hence, the two topologies coincide.  $\square$

### **Remark 27.2: (Arbitrary product of metric spaces)**

Any uncountable product of (nonempty) metric space fails to be metrizable. In fact, the product topology fails to be first countable. There is a notion of *uniform metric* on an uncountable product, but the induced topology is strictly finer than the product topology, and strictly coarser than the box topology.

### **Theorem 27.3: (Countable product of completely metrizable spaces)**

Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a countable collection of nonempty spaces, and denote  $X = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  be the product space. Then the following are equivalent.

- a)  $X$  is completely metrizable.
- b)  $X_n$  is completely metrizable for each  $n \geq 1$ .

#### *Proof*

Suppose  $X$  is completely metrizable. Fix some  $a_i \in X_i$ . Then, for each  $n$ , we have the subspace

$$X_n^* = \{x \mid x_i = a_i \text{ if } i \neq n\} = \bigcap_{i \neq n} \pi_i^{-1}(a_i),$$

which is closed being the intersection of closed sets, and hence, completely metrizable. But  $X_n$  is homeomorphic to  $X_n^*$ , and thus,  $X_n$  is completely metrizable as well.

Conversely, suppose each  $X_n$  is completely metrizable. Fix some complete metric  $d_n$  on  $X_n$ , and set

$$\rho_n(x, y) = \min \{d_n(x, y), 1\}, \quad x, y \in X_n.$$

Then,  $\rho_n$  is a bounded, complete metric, inducing the same topology. On  $X = \prod X_n$ , define

$$\rho(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_i(x_i, y_i)}{2^i}, \quad x, y \in X.$$

Then,  $\rho$  induces the product topology on  $X$ . Let us check that  $\rho$  is complete. Say,  $\{x^n\} \subset X$  is a Cauchy sequence. Then, for a fixed  $i$ , consider the sequence  $\{x_i^n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset X_n$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ , get  $N \geq 1$  such that  $\rho(x^n, x^m) < \frac{\epsilon}{2^i}$  for all  $n, m \geq N$ . Then, for  $n, m \geq N$  we have

$$\rho_n(x_i^n, x_i^m) = 2^i \frac{\rho_n(x_i^n, x_i^m)}{2^i} \leq 2^i \rho(x^n, x^m) < \epsilon.$$

Thus,  $\{x_i^n\} \subset X_i$  is a Cauchy sequence, and hence, converges to some  $y_i \in X_i$ . Consider the point  $y = (y_i) \in X$ . Fix some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, get some  $K \geq 1$  such that  $\sum_{n>N} \frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Also, for each  $1 \leq i \leq K$ , get some  $N_i$  such that

$$\rho_i(x_i^n, y_i) < \frac{2^i \cdot \epsilon}{2N}, \quad n \geq N_i.$$

Set  $N = \max \{K, N_1, \dots, N_k\}$ . Then, for  $n \geq N$  we have

$$\rho(x^n, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_i(x_i^n, y_i)}{2^i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\rho_i(x_i^n, y_i)}{2^i} + \sum_{i>N} \frac{1}{2^i} < N \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2N} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

Thus,  $x^n \rightarrow y$ . Hence,  $(X, \rho)$  is a completely metric space.  $\square$

## 27.2 Lavrentieff's Theorem

### Proposition 27.4

Let  $X$  be a metrizable space, and  $Y$  be a completely metrizable space. Suppose, for some  $A \subset X$ , we have a continuous map  $f : A \rightarrow Y$ . Then, there exists a  $G_\delta$ -set, say,  $A^* \subset X$  with  $A \subset A^* \subset \bar{A}$ , and a continuous map  $f^* : A^* \rightarrow Y$ , which extends  $f$ .

*Proof*

Fix a complete metric  $d_Y$  on  $Y$ . For any  $x \in \bar{A}$ , denote the *oscillation*

$$\text{osc}(f, x) := \inf \{\text{Diam } f(U \cap A) \mid U \subset X \text{ is open, } x \in U\}.$$

As  $x \in \bar{A}$ , for any open neighborhood  $x \in U$ , we have  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Let us consider

$$A_n := \left\{x \in \bar{A} \mid \text{osc}(f, x) < \frac{1}{n}\right\}, \quad A^* := \left\{x \in \bar{A} \mid \text{osc}(f, x) = 0\right\}$$

Clearly  $A^* = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n$ . Moreover, for any  $a \in A$ , by continuity of  $f$ , we have some open  $U \subset X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $\text{Diam } f(U \cap A) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Thus,  $a \in A_n$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . In particular,  $A \subset A^* \subset \bar{A}$  is clear.

Let us check that  $A_n$  is open in  $\bar{A}$ . For any  $x \in A_n$ , we have some open  $U \subset X$  such that  $x \in U$ , and  $\text{Diam } f(U \cap A) < \frac{1}{n}$ . But then for any  $w \in U \cap \bar{A}$ , it follows that  $\text{osc}(f, w) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Thus,  $x \in U \cap \bar{A} \subset A_n$ . Since  $x \in A_n$  is arbitrary, we have  $A_n$  is open in  $\bar{A}$ . Then,  $A_n = \bar{A} \cap B_n$  for some open  $B_n \subset X$ . We have,

$$A^* = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bar{A} \cap B_n = \bar{A} \cap \bigcap_{n \geq 1} B_n.$$

Since  $\bar{A}$  is a closed set in a metric space, it is itself  $G_\delta$ . Hence, we have  $A^*$  is a  $G_\delta$  set in  $X$ .

Let us get a function  $f^* : A^* \rightarrow Y$ . For  $x \in A^*$ , let  $x_n \in A$  be a sequence with  $\lim x_n = x$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\text{osc}(f, x) = 0$ , we have some open set  $U \subset X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $\text{Diam } f(U \cap A) < \epsilon$ . As  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , we have some  $N \geq 1$ , such that for all  $n, m \geq N$  we have  $x_n, x_m \in U$ . Then, it follows that  $d_Y(f(x_n), f(x_m)) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq N$ . In other words,  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(Y, d_Y)$ . Since  $d_Y$  is complete, we have  $f(x_n) \rightarrow y \in Y$ . Set,  $f^*(x) = y$ .

Let us check that  $f^*$  is well-defined. Suppose  $z_n \in A$  is another sequence, with  $z_n \rightarrow x \in A^*$ . Then,  $\{f(z_n)\}$  is again Cauchy, and converges to some  $w \in Y$ . Fix some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there is some  $U \subset X$  open such that  $x \in U$ , and  $\text{Diam } f(U \cap A) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . As  $\lim z_n = x = \lim z_n$ , we have

some  $N \geq 1$ , such that  $y_n, z_n \in U$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Taking  $N$  larger, we may assume  $d(f(y_n), y) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  and  $d(f(z_n), w) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Then, we have

$$d_Y(y, w) \leq d_Y(y, f(y_N)) + d_Y(f(y_N), f(z_N)) + d_Y(f(z_N), w) < \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $d_Y(y, w) = 0 \Rightarrow y = w$ . Thus,  $f^*$  is well-defined.

Finally, let us check that  $f^*$  is a continuous extension. For any  $a \in A$ , we can consider the constant sequence  $\{a_n = a\}$  that converges to  $a$ . Then,  $f^*(a) = \lim f(a_n) = \lim f(a) = f(a)$ . Thus,  $f^*$  extends  $f$ . Let us check continuity. Let  $x \in A^*$ , and fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there is some open set  $U \subset X$  such that  $\text{Diam } f(U \cap A) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . Fix a sequence  $y_n \in U \cap A$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow x$ . Now, for any  $z \in U \cap A^*$ , consider a sequence  $z_n \in U \cap A$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow z$ . There exists some  $N \geq 1$  such that  $d_Y(f(y_n), f^*(y)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  and  $d_Y(f(z_n), f^*(z)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  for all  $n \geq N$ . We have,

$$d_Y(f^*(y), f^*(z)) \leq d_Y(f^*(y), f(y_N)) + d_Y(f(y_N), f(z_N)) + d_Y(f(z_N), f^*(z)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon.$$

This proves  $f^*$  is continuous at  $y$ . Since  $y \in A^*$  is arbitrary, we have  $f^* : A^* \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous extension.  $\square$

### Theorem 27.5: (Lavrentieff's Theorem)

Suppose  $X, Y$  are completely metrizable spaces, and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homeomorphism, where  $A \subset X, B \subset Y$ . Then,  $f$  extends to a homeomorphism  $f^* : A^* \rightarrow B^*$ , where  $A^* \subset X, B^* \subset Y$  are  $G_\delta$ -sets, with  $A \subset A^* \subset \bar{A}$  and  $B \subset B^* \subset \bar{B}$ .

#### Proof

Let us denote  $g = f^{-1}$ . Since  $f, g$  are both continuous, we have  $G_\delta$ -sets  $A_1 \subset X, A_2 \subset Y$ , with  $A \subset A_1 \subset \bar{A}, B \subset B_1 \subset \bar{B}$ , and extensions  $f_1 : A_1 \rightarrow Y, g_1 : B_1 \rightarrow X$  of  $f$  and  $g$  respectively. Let us consider

$$A^* := \{x \in A_1 \mid f_1(x) \in B_1\} = (f_1)^{-1}(B_1), \quad B^* := \{x \in B_1 \mid g_1(x) \in A_1\} = (g_1)^{-1}(A_1).$$

Since these are inverse images of  $G_\delta$ -sets, they are again  $G_\delta$ . Clearly,  $A \subset A^* \subset \bar{A}$  and  $B \subset B^* \subset \bar{B}$ . Let us denote  $f^* = f_1|_{A^*}$  and  $g^* = g_1|_{B^*}$ . Clearly,  $f^*$  and  $g^*$  are continuous maps, extending  $f$  and  $g$  respectively. For any  $x \in A^*$ , we have  $f_1(x) \in B_1$ , and so,  $g_1 f_1(x) \in A_1$  is defined. Thus,  $g_1 \circ f^* : A^* \rightarrow A_1$  is continuous. Say,  $x_n \in A$  is a sequence, such that  $x_n \rightarrow x \in A^*$ . Then,

$$g_1 f^*(x) = \lim g_1 f^*(x_n) = \lim g_1 f(x_n) = \lim g f(x_n) = \lim x_n = x.$$

Thus,  $g_1 \circ f^* : A^* \rightarrow A^*$  is the identity map. In particular, we have  $g^* \circ f^* = \text{Id}_{A^*}$ . Similarly, we have  $f^* \circ g^* = \text{Id}_{B^*}$ . Thus,  $f^* : A^* \rightarrow B^*$  is a homeomorphism, with inverse  $g^* : B^* \rightarrow A^*$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 27.6

Suppose  $X$  is a metrizable space, and  $A \subset X$  is a completely metrizable space. Then,  $A$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$ .

### *Proof*

Fix metric  $d$  on  $X$ . Consider  $\iota : (X, d) \hookrightarrow (X^*, d^*)$  be the completion. Then, the restriction  $f = \iota|_A : A \hookrightarrow X^*$  is also an embedding, i.e, homeomorphism onto the image. Thus, we have a homeomorphism  $A \supseteq A \rightarrow f(A) \subset X^*$ , where  $A, X^*$  are completely metrizable. By Lavrenteiff's theorem,  $f$  has an extension to a homeomorphism of  $G_\delta$  sets of  $A$  and  $X^*$ , containing  $A$  and  $\iota(A)$  respectively. But then the extension must be  $\iota$  itself, as on the left-hand side, the extended domain can only possibly be  $A$ . Thus,  $f^*(A^*) = f(A) = \iota(A)$  is the extended set on the right-hand side. But then  $\iota(A)$  is a  $G_\delta$  set in  $X^*$ . Taking inverse, it follows that  $A$  is then a  $G_\delta$  set of  $X$ .  $\square$

### **Corollary 27.7: (Characterization of Completely Metrizable Space)**

Given a metric space  $(X, d)$ , the following are equivalent.

- a)  $X$  is completely metrizable.
- b)  $X$  is  $G_\delta$  in the completion  $X^*$ .

### **Corollary 27.8: ( $\mathbb{Q}$ is not $G_\delta$ in $\mathbb{R}$ )**

$\mathbb{Q}$  is not  $G_\delta$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .