

# Claim 1 (Scoped): Complete Proof in a Projective Oscillatory Class

2026-02-09

## Abstract

We provide a complete proof of Claim 1 in a scoped but nontrivial class: projective cylinder states built from oscillatory actions with a finite interacting block (allowing mode coupling) and a quartic-stabilized tail. The proof closes: (i) exact large-NN projective stability, (ii) continuum state existence on cylinder observables, (iii) constructive counterterm repair for coefficient drift, (iv) de-regularization  $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$  via contour rotation (Gaussian, factorized quartic, and coupled quartic block), and (v) explicit Gaussian semiclassical channel expansion in point-supported distribution modes. It further closes: (vi) genuinely large-NN mode-coupled lifts, including an explicit Gaussian-tail rate, a non-factorized quadratic-mixing determinant class, and a non-factorized quartic-tail class under log-derivative summability, (vii) explicit non-vanishing lower bounds for normalized oscillatory partition factors, (viii) extension of observables from Gaussian-exponential test families to Schwartz and weighted Sobolev classes, and (ix) finite-dimensional Schwinger-Dyson identities and exact  $\tau_\mu$ -type scale-flow covariance, unified by an invariant kernel parameter. This yields a theorem-grade realization of the Claim 1 bridge in the scoped framework.

## Statement of the Scoped Claim

Background references used in this note include stationary phase and distribution theory, oscillatory/Feynman integrals, and groupoid quantization/tangent-groupoid context.

**Definition 1** (Projective cylinder system). For  $N \geq 1, N \geq 1$ , let  $XN = \mathbb{R}NX_N = \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\pi_N \rightarrow m: XN \rightarrow X_m \pi_N \rightarrow m: X_N \rightarrow X_m$  be coordinate projection ( $N \geq m, N \geq m$ ). Define  $Cyl := \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \{F = F_m \circ \pi_\infty \rightarrow m: F_m \in Cb2(\mathbb{R}^m)\}$ .  $\mathrm{Cyl} := \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \{F = F_m \circ \pi_N \rightarrow m: F_m \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m)\}$ .

**Definition 2** (Block-tail action class). Fix  $b \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g \geq 0, g \geq 0$ , and parameters  $0 < \lambda_- \leq \lambda_j \leq \lambda_+, \kappa_j \in [0, \kappa_+], 0 < \lambda_- \leq \lambda_j \leq \lambda_+, \kappa_j \in [0, \kappa_+]$ . For  $N \geq b, N \geq b$ , define  $SN(x) = Pb(x_1, \dots, x_b) + \sum_{j=b+1}^N q_j(x_j), q_j(u) = \lambda_j^2 u^2 + g \kappa_j u^4, S_N(x) = P_b(x_1, \dots, x_b) + \sum_{j=b+1}^N q_j(x_j), q_j(u) = \frac{\lambda_j^2}{2} u^2 + g \kappa_j u^4$ . Assume:

1.  $Pb, P_b$  is a real polynomial with  $Pb(0) = 0, P_b(0) = 0, \nabla Pb(0) = 0, \nabla P_b(0) = 0$ .



# Projective Stability and Continuum State

**Lemma 4** (Tail factorization). *Let  $M = \max\{m, b\}$  and  $N \geq MN \geq M$ . Write  $x = (u, v)$  with  $u \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N-M}$ . Then  $S_N(u, v) = S_M(u) + \sum_{j=M+1}^N q_j(v_j)$ .*

*Proof.* By construction, coordinates  $1, \dots, b$  appear only in  $P_b$ , and each  $j > b$  contributes only  $q_j(x_j)$ . For  $N \geq MN \geq M$ , all interacting coordinates are contained in the  $u$ -block.  $\square$

**Proposition 5** (Exact large-NN stability). *Assume denominators are nonzero. Then  $\omega_{\varepsilon, \eta, N}(F_m) = \omega_{\varepsilon, \eta, M}(F_m)$ ,  $N \geq M$ .  $\omega_{\varepsilon, \eta, N}(F_m) = \omega_{\varepsilon, \eta, M}(F_m)$ ,  $N \geq M$ .*

*Proof.* Using Lemma 4, 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-(\eta - i/\varepsilon) S_N(x)} F_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) dx = \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^M} e^{-(\eta - i/\varepsilon) S_M(u)} F_m(u_1, \dots, u_m) du \right] \prod_{j=M+1}^N \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(\eta - i/\varepsilon) q_j(t)} dt \right].$$
 
$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-(\eta - i/\varepsilon) S_N(x)} F_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) dx = \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^M} e^{-(\eta - i/\varepsilon) S_M(u)} F_m(u_1, \dots, u_m) du \right] \\ & \prod_{j=M+1}^N \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(\eta - i/\varepsilon) q_j(t)} dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$
 The denominator factorizes with the same tail product, which cancels in the ratio.  $\square$

**Proposition 6** (Continuum functional on cylinders). *For fixed  $(\varepsilon, \eta)$ , define  $\omega_{\varepsilon, \eta}(F_m \circ \pi_\infty \rightarrow m) := \omega_{\varepsilon, \eta, M}(F_m)$ ,  $M = \max\{m, b\}$ .  $\omega_{\varepsilon, \eta}(F_m \circ \pi_\infty \rightarrow m) := \omega_{\varepsilon, \eta, M}(F_m)$ ,  $M = \max\{m, b\}$ . This is well-defined, linear on  $\text{Cyl}$ , and bounded by  $|\omega_{\varepsilon, \eta}(F_m \circ \pi_\infty \rightarrow m)| \leq C_{\varepsilon, \eta, m} \|F_m\|_\infty$ ,  $\omega_{\varepsilon, \eta}(F_m \circ \pi_\infty \rightarrow m) \leq C_{\varepsilon, \eta, m} \|F_m\|_\infty$ ,  $\omega_{\varepsilon, \eta}(F_m \circ \pi_\infty \rightarrow m) \leq C_{\varepsilon, \eta, m} \|F_m\|_\infty$ , with  $C_{\varepsilon, \eta, m}$  as in Theorem 3.*

*Proof.* Well-definedness follows from Proposition 5. Linearity is immediate from linearity of finite-dimensional integrals. For the bound, write  $Z_M := \int_{\mathbb{R}^M} e^{-(\eta - i/\varepsilon) S_M(u)} du$ ,  $A_M := \int_{\mathbb{R}^M} e^{-\eta S_M(u)} du$ ,  $Z_M := \int_{\mathbb{R}^M} e^{-(\eta - i/\varepsilon) S_M(u)} du$ ,  $A_M := \int_{\mathbb{R}^M} e^{-\eta S_M(u)} du$ . Then  $|\int_{\mathbb{R}^M} e^{-(\eta - i/\varepsilon) S_M(u)} F_m(u) du| \leq \|F_m\|_\infty A_M$ ,  $|\int_{\mathbb{R}^M} e^{-(\eta - i/\varepsilon) S_M(u)} F_m(u) du| \leq \|F_m\|_\infty A_M$ , and therefore  $|\omega_{\varepsilon, \eta}(F_m \circ \pi_\infty \rightarrow m)| \leq A_M |Z_M|$ .  $\|F_m\|_\infty = C_{\varepsilon, \eta, m} \|F_m\|_\infty$ ,  $\omega_{\varepsilon, \eta}(F_m \circ \pi_\infty \rightarrow m) \leq C_{\varepsilon, \eta, m} \|F_m\|_\infty$ ,  $\omega_{\varepsilon, \eta}(F_m \circ \pi_\infty \rightarrow m) \leq C_{\varepsilon, \eta, m} \|F_m\|_\infty$ . The constant is finite whenever  $Z_M \neq 0$ .  $\square$

## Counterterm Repair

Suppose bare coefficients drift with NN:  $\lambda_j, N_{\text{bare}} = \lambda_j + r_j, N, \kappa_j, N_{\text{bare}} = \kappa_j + s_j, N$ .  $\lambda_{j, N}^{\text{bare}} = \lambda_j + r_j, N, \kappa_{j, N}^{\text{bare}} = \kappa_j + s_j, N$ . Assume bounds  $|r_j, N| \leq \lambda_-/2, |s_j, N| \leq \kappa_-/2$ .

$r_{\{j,N\}} \leq \lambda_{-}/2, \quad |s_{\{j,N\}}| \leq \kappa_{+}/2$ . Define local counterterms  $\delta S_N(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N [-r_j N x_j^2 - g s_j N x_j^4]$ .  $\delta S_N(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[ -\frac{r_{\{j,N\}}}{2} x_j^2 - g_{\{j,N\}} x_j^4 \right]$ . Then  $S_N^{\text{ren}} := S_N^{\text{bare}} + \delta S_N$  has coefficients exactly  $(\lambda_j, \kappa_j)$  and belongs to the stable block-tail class.

**Proposition 7** (Constructive repair). *The renormalized family  $S_N^{\text{ren}}$  satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 5; therefore projective stability is restored exactly.*

*Proof.* Direct substitution cancels all coefficient drifts coordinatewise. The restored action has NN-independent coefficients and the same block-tail decomposition. Apply Proposition 5.  $\square$

## De-Regularization $\eta \rightarrow 0^+, \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$

**Lemma 8** (Rotated contour dominance). *Fix finite dimension  $d$  and polynomial action  $\mathcal{S}(x) = Q_2(x) + gQ_4(x)$ , where  $Q_2$  is real quadratic and  $Q_4$  is real quartic with  $Q_4(y) \geq c|y|^4, c > 0$ . Let  $x = e^{i\pi/8}y$  and  $\eta \in [0, \eta_0]$ . For  $F(y) = p(y)e^{-y \top B y}$  with polynomial  $p$  and  $B \succcurlyeq 0$ , there exist constants  $C, c_1 > 0, c_2 \geq 0, \tilde{c}_4 > 0, \tilde{c}_2 \geq 0$  such that  $|e^{-(\eta - i/\epsilon)\mathcal{S}(e^{i\pi/8}y)} F(e^{i\pi/8}y)| \leq C(1 + |y|^k)e^{-c_1|y|^4 + c_2|y|^2}$ .  $|e^{-(\eta - i/\epsilon)\mathcal{S}(e^{i\pi/8}y)} F(e^{i\pi/8}y)| \leq C(1 + |y|^k)e^{-\tilde{c}_4|y|^4 + \tilde{c}_2|y|^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Under  $x = e^{i\pi/8}y$ , quartic monomials acquire phase  $e^{i\pi/2} = i$ . Hence  $\Re(i g Q_4(e^{i\pi/8}y)) = -g \epsilon |y|^4$ .  $\left( \frac{i}{\epsilon} g Q_4(e^{i\pi/8}y) \right) = -\frac{g}{\epsilon} |y|^4$ . The remaining quadratic and  $\eta$ -terms contribute at most  $+c_2|y|^2$ . Polynomial prefactors produce  $(1 + |y|^k)(1 + |y|^k)$ . The right side is integrable on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 9** (Finite-dimensional  $\eta \rightarrow 0^+, \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  limit). *In the setting of Lemma 8, define  $I_\eta(F) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-(\eta - i/\epsilon)\mathcal{S}(x)} F(x) dx$ ,  $I_\epsilon(F) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-(\eta - i/\epsilon)\mathcal{S}(x)} F(x) dx$ , with contour branch fixed by angle  $\pi/8$ . Then  $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} I_\eta(F) = I_0(F)$ .  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\epsilon(F) = I_0(F)$ . If  $I_\eta(1) \neq 0$  for small  $\eta$  and  $I_0(1) \neq 0$ , then  $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{I_\eta(F)}{I_\eta(1)} = \frac{I_0(F)}{I_0(1)}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\eta > 0, \epsilon > 0$ , deform real contour to angle  $\pi/8$  (entire integrand, quartic decay on connecting arcs). On that contour, pointwise convergence as  $\eta \rightarrow 0^+, \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  is immediate. Lemma 8 gives a common  $L^1$  dominator. Apply dominated convergence to numerator and denominator.  $\square$

**Corollary 10** (De-regularized cylinder state). *For Gaussian-exponential cylinder observables in Theorem 3, the limit  $\omega_{\epsilon,0}(F) = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \omega_{\epsilon,\eta}(F)$  exists and is independent of  $\epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Reduce to stabilized finite dimension  $M = \max\{m, b\}$  by Proposition 5. Then apply Proposition 9 in dimension  $MM$ .  $\square$

## Gaussian Channel Expansion

Now take the Gaussian subcase  $g=0, b=0$ :

$S_m(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_j^2$ . Define, for  $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ ,

$$\omega_\varepsilon, 0(F) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{i\varepsilon S_m(x)} F(x) dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{i\varepsilon S_m(x)} dx. \omega_{\varepsilon, 0}(F) := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{i\varepsilon S_m(x)} F(x) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{i\varepsilon S_m(x)} dx}.$$

**Proposition 11** (Exact operator form). *Let  $\mathcal{L}_m = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x_j}^2$ . Then*  
 $\omega_\varepsilon, 0(F) = \exp(i\varepsilon 2\mathcal{L}_m) F|_{x=0}.$

*Proof.* Write  $F(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{m/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \hat{F}(\xi) e^{i\xi \cdot x} d\xi$ . By Gaussian completion (Fresnel branch),  
 $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{i\varepsilon S_m(x)} F(x) dx = \exp(-i\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j) \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{i\xi \cdot x} \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_j^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{i\xi \cdot x} dx} = \exp(-i\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j) \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{i\xi \cdot x} \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j x_j^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{i\xi \cdot x} dx}.$   
Therefore  $\omega_\varepsilon, 0(F) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{m/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \hat{F}(\xi) \exp(-i\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j) d\xi.$   
The multiplier is exactly that of  $\exp(i\varepsilon 2\mathcal{L}_m)$  evaluated at  $x=0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 12** (Point-supported channel hierarchy). *For  $K \geq 1$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ ,*  
 $\omega_\varepsilon, 0(F) = \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{\varepsilon^k}{k!} \mathcal{L}_m^k F|_{x=0} + O(\varepsilon^{K+1}).$   
*with  $R_{K, \varepsilon}(F) = O(\varepsilon^K) R_{K, \varepsilon}(F)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Thus channels are derivatives of  $FF$  at the extremum  $x=0$ , i.e. point-supported distribution modes.*

*Proof.* Expand the exponential operator in power series and use Schwartz regularity.  $\square$

## Static Extremum Localization and the Variational-Delta Ladder

**Proposition 13** (Static Morse localization). *Let  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with unique nondegenerate critical point  $x^*$ :  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0, \det \nabla^2 f(x^*) \neq 0$ . For  $O \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,*  
 $\omega_\varepsilon, 0(O) = \varepsilon^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\varepsilon f(x)} O(x) dx.$

**Proof.** Integrate each Gaussian tail coordinate:  

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(\eta-i/\varepsilon)(\lambda_j^2+\beta_j(u))t^2} dt = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\{\eta-i/\varepsilon\}(\lambda_j^2+\beta_j(u))}}.$$
Constants independent of  $u$  cancel in the normalized ratio, giving  $\omega_{\varepsilon, \eta, N}(F_m) = \frac{\mathcal{N}_N(F_m)}{\mathcal{D}_N}$ , with  $\mathcal{N}_N(F) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(\eta-i/\varepsilon)P_m(u)} F(u) \Phi_N(u) du$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_N(F) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{1}_{\{m+1 \leq R_j(u) \leq m+2\}} F(u) \Phi_N(u) du$ ,  $\Phi_N(u) := \prod_{j=m+1}^N R_j(u)$ ,  $R_j(u) := (\lambda_j^2 + \beta_j(u))^{1/2} \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\Phi_N(u) := \prod_{j=m+1}^N R_j(u)$ ,  $R_j(u) := (\lambda_j^2 + \beta_j(u))^{1/2} \in (0, 1]$ . Now  $-\log R_j(u) = \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + 2\beta_j(u)/\lambda_j^2) \leq \beta_j(u)/\lambda_j^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_j^2$ .  $-\log$



$\wedge^2$ . Hence  $\sum_{j=m+1}^\infty |\log I_j(\beta_j(u)) I_j(0)| \leq \frac{B}{u^2} \sum_{j=m+1}^\infty L_j A_j < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{j=m+1}^\infty \left| \log \frac{I_j(\beta_j(u))}{I_j(0)} \right| \leq \frac{B}{u^2} \sum_{j=m+1}^\infty L_j A_j < \infty$ , so  $\Phi_N(u) \rightarrow \Phi_\infty(u)$  pointwise and  $|\Phi_N(u)| \leq \exp(B/u^2)$ ,  $B := \sum_{j=m+1}^\infty L_j A_j$ .  $|\Phi_N(u)| \leq \exp(B/u^2)$ ,  $\quad B := \sum_{j=m+1}^\infty L_j A_j$ . Thus  $|e^{-cP_m(u)} \Phi_N(u) F_m(u)| \leq \frac{F_m}{\infty} e^{-\eta P_m(u)} e^{B/u^2} |e^{-cP_m(u)} \Phi_N(u) F_m(u)| \leq \frac{F_m}{\infty} e^{-\eta P_m(u)} e^{B/u^2}$ , integrable by quartic coercivity; dominated convergence yields numerator/denominator limits and ratio convergence.

For the rate, define  $\Delta_N, N'(u) := \sum_{j=N+1}^\infty N' \log I_j(\beta_j(u)) I_j(0)$ ,  $|\Delta_N, N'(u)| \leq \frac{B}{u^2} \sum_{j=N+1}^\infty L_j A_j$ .  $\Delta_{N,N'}(u) := \sum_{j=N+1}^\infty \left| \log \frac{I_j(\beta_j(u))}{I_j(0)} \right|$ ,  $\quad |\Delta_{N,N'}(u)| \leq \frac{B}{u^2} \sum_{j=N+1}^\infty L_j A_j$ . With  $\Phi_N' = \Phi_N e^{\Delta_{N,N'}}$ ,  $|\Phi_N' - \Phi_N| \leq |\Phi_N| e^{\Delta_{N,N'}} - 1 \leq e^{B/u^2} |\Delta_{N,N'}| e^{\Delta_{N,N'}} \leq |\Phi_N| e^{\Delta_{N,N'}} - 1 \leq e^{B/u^2} |\Delta_{N,N'}| e^{\Delta_{N,N'}} - 1 \leq e^{B/u^2} |\Delta_{N,N'}| e^{\Delta_{N,N'}} - 1$ . This gives  $|\Phi_N' - \Phi_N| \leq e^{(B+B)/u^2} \frac{B}{u^2} \sum_{j=N+1}^\infty L_j A_j$ , for a finite  $B$  (tail-sum bound). Integrating against  $e^{-\eta P_m}$  gives numerator/denominator Cauchy bounds, and the ratio estimate follows as in Theorem 15.  $\square$

**Corollary 17** (Intrinsic sufficient conditions for Theorem 16). *For each  $j$ , define block moments  $M_j(1) := \sup_{b \geq 0} \mathbb{E} v_j, b[S_j, b]$ ,  $M_j(2) := \sup_{b \geq 0} \mathbb{E} v_j, b[t^2]$ ,  $\overline{M_j(1)}_j := \sup_{b \geq 0} \mathbb{E} \nu_{j,b}[S_j, b]$ ,  $\overline{M_j(2)}_j := \sup_{b \geq 0} \mathbb{E} \nu_{j,b}[t^2]$ , where  $v_j, b(dt) := e^{-\eta S_j, b(t)} e^{-\eta S_j, b(t)} dt$ ,  $S_j, b(t) = (\lambda_j + b)t^2 + \gamma t^4$ .  $\nu_{j,b}(dt) := \frac{e^{-\eta S_j, b(t)}}{S_j, b(t)} dt$ ,  $\quad S_j, b(t) = \left( \frac{\lambda_j}{2} + b \right) t^2 + \gamma t^4$ . If  $\varepsilon > \sup_j M_j(1)$ ,  $\varepsilon > \sup_j \overline{M_j(1)}_j$ , and  $\sum_{j=m+1}^\infty A_j |c| M_j(2) I - M_j(1) / \varepsilon < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{j=m+1}^\infty A_j \frac{|c|}{\varepsilon} \overline{M_j(2)}_j \{1 - \overline{M_j(1)}_j / \varepsilon\} < \infty$ , then hypotheses (Q1)–(Q2) in Theorem 16 hold with  $L_j = |c| M_j(2) I - M_j(1) / \varepsilon$ ,  $L_j = \frac{|c|}{\varepsilon} \overline{M_j(2)}_j \{1 - \overline{M_j(1)}_j / \varepsilon\}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 19 applied to each block  $S_j, b$ ,  $|I_j(b)| \geq (1 - \eta S_j, b) (1 - M_j(1) \varepsilon) > 0$ ,  $|I_j(b)| \geq \left( \int e^{-\eta S_j, b} dt \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\overline{M_j(1)}_j}{\varepsilon} \right) > 0$ , so (Q1) holds. Also  $\partial_b I_j(b) = -c \int t^2 e^{-\eta S_j, b(t)} dt$ ,  $\partial_b I_j(b) = -c \int t^2 e^{-\eta S_j, b(t)} dt$ , thus  $|\partial_b \log I_j(b)| \leq |c| \int t^2 e^{-\eta S_j, b(t)} dt \leq |c| M_j(2) I - M_j(1) / \varepsilon$ .  $\left| \partial_b \log I_j(b) \right| \leq \frac{|c|}{\varepsilon} \int t^2 e^{-\eta S_j, b(t)} dt \leq \frac{|c|}{\varepsilon} \overline{M_j(2)}_j \{1 - \overline{M_j(1)}_j / \varepsilon\}$ . This is (Q2). Summability is exactly the second assumption.  $\square$

**Theorem 18** (Non-factorized quadratic-mixing large-NN extension). *Let  $SN(u, v) = P_m(u) + I_2 v \top (DN(u) + KN)v$ ,  $S_N(u, v) = P_m(u) + \frac{I_2}{v} \top v$ ,  $\big(D_N(u) + K_N\big)v$ , where  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $DN(u) = \text{diag}(d_{m+1}(u), \dots, d_N(u))$ ,  $d_j(u) = \lambda_j + 2\beta_j(u)$ ,  $\beta_j(u) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} u_i^2$ ,  $a_{ij} \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda_j \geq \lambda > 0$ ,  $d_j(u) = \lambda_j + 2\beta_j(u)$ ,  $\beta_j(u) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} u_i^2$ ,  $a_{ij} \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda_j \geq \lambda > 0$ . Assume coercive  $P_m P_m$  and  $A_j := \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}$ ,  $\sum_{j=m+1}^\infty A_j \lambda_j < \infty$ .  $A_j := \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}$ ,  $\sum_{j=m+1}^\infty A_j \lambda_j < \infty$ . Let  $K = (k_{jk})_{j,k \geq m}$ ,  $K = (\kappa_{jk})_{j,k \geq m}$  be real symmetric,  $KNK_N$  its principal truncation, and with  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_j)$ ,  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_j)$  define  $\tilde{K} := \Lambda - 1/2 K \Lambda - 1/2 \Lambda K$ ,  $\tilde{K} := \Lambda - 1/2 K \Lambda - 1/2 \Lambda K$ . Assume  $\|\tilde{K}\| < \theta < 1$ ,  $\|\tilde{K}\| < \theta < 1$ ,  $\|\tilde{K}\| < \theta < 1$ ,  $\|\tilde{K}\| < \theta < 1$ .*



*Then for bounded cylinder  $F_m F^-_m$ ,  
 $\omega\varepsilon,\eta,N(F_m)=\int e^{-cSNF_m(u)}du dv \int e^{-cSNdudv}, c=\eta-i/\varepsilon, \eta>0, \omega_\varepsilon\{\vartheta\}$   
 $\eta,N\}(F^-_m)=\frac{|\int e^{\{-cS_-N\}}F^-_m(u)|, du\,,dv\}{|\int e^{\{-cS_-N\}}, du\,,dv\}}, \quad$   
 $c=\eta-i/\varepsilon, |\eta|>0$ , converges as  $N\rightarrow\infty$  to infinity (if the limiting  
denominator is nonzero), and there exists  $C_{F_m,\varepsilon,\eta}>0$  such that  $|\omega\varepsilon,\eta,N'(F_m)-\omega\varepsilon,\eta,N(F_m)|\leq C_{F_m,\varepsilon,\eta}(\sum_{j=N+1}^\infty N'^A j \lambda_j + \tau_N), N'>N\geq m.$   
 $|\omega_\varepsilon\{\vartheta\}\eta,N\}(F^-_m)-\omega_\varepsilon\{\vartheta\}\eta,N\}(F^-_m)|\leq C_{F_m,$   
 $\eta,N\}\left|\sum_{j=N+1}^\infty N'\right|\frac{|A_j|\lambda_j}{|\lambda_j|}; +|\tau_N|$  right),  
 $N'>N\geq m$ .*

By Theorem 15,  $\Phi N \text{diag} \Phi_N^{\{\mathrm{diag}\}}$  has Cauchy tail control by  $\sigma_N := \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} A_j / \lambda_j$ .  $\Sigma_N := \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} A_j / \lambda_j$ .

Now set  $Q(u) := \text{diag}((\lambda_j/d_j(u))^{1/2}), 0 < Q(u) \leq I$ .  $Q(u) := \mathrm{diag}\{\lambda_j/d_j(u)\}^{1/2}$ . Then  $M_\infty(u) = Q(u) \tilde{K} Q(u)$ ,  $M_{-\infty}(u) = Q(u) \tilde{K} Q(u)$  and  $M_N(u) = P_N M_\infty(u) P_N$ ,  $M_{-N}(u) = P_{-N} M_{-\infty}(u) P_{-N}$ , so  $\|M_\infty(u) - M_N(u)\| \leq \| \tilde{K} - P_N \tilde{K} P_N \| = \tau_N \|M_{-\infty}(u) - M_{-N}(u)\|_1 \leq \| \tilde{K} - P_{-N} \tilde{K} P_{-N} \|_1 = \tau_{-N}$ . On  $\|A\|, \|B\| \leq \theta < 1$ ,  $\|\log \det(I+A) - \log \det(I+B)\| \leq \|A-B\|$ ,  $\|\log \det(I+A) - \log \det(I+B)\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\theta} \|A-B\|_1$ , thus  $\| \Delta_\infty(u) - \Delta_N(u) \| \leq C \tau_N \| \Delta_{-\infty}(u) - \Delta_{-N}(u) \| \leq C \tau_N$  uniformly in  $u$ .

Therefore, for  $N' > NN > N$ ,  $\Phi_{N'} - \Phi_N = \Delta N'(\Phi_{N'}^{\text{diag}} - \Phi_N^{\text{diag}}) + \Phi_N^{\text{diag}}(\Delta N' - \Delta N)$ ,  
 $\|\Phi_{N'} - \Phi_N\| = \|\Delta N'\|(\|\Phi_{N'}^{\text{diag}}\| + \|\Phi_N^{\text{diag}}\|)\|\Delta N' - \Delta N\|$ , and  
 $\|\Phi_{N'}(u) - \Phi_N(u)\| \leq C_1 e^{B\sqrt{u}/2} (\sigma_N + \tau_N) \|\Phi_{N'}^{\text{diag}}(u) - \Phi_N^{\text{diag}}(u)\| \leq C_1 e^{B\sqrt{u}/2} (\sigma_N + \tau_N)$ , for constants  $C_1, B$  independent of  $N, u$ .  
 Multiplying by  $|e^{-cP_m(u)}| = e^{-\eta P_m(u)} |e^{-cP_m(u)}| = e^{-\eta P_m(u)}$  gives an integrable envelope by quartic coercivity. Dominated convergence plus the standard ratio-difference estimate yields convergence and the stated mixed tail rate.  $\square$

## Partition-Factor Non-Vanishing Bounds

**Theorem 19** (Moment criteria). *Let  $A\eta = \int e^{-\eta S(x)} dx \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $A_{\eta} = \int e^{-\eta S(x)} dx$  in  $(0, \infty)$  and  $Z_{\varepsilon, \eta} = \int e^{-(\eta - i\varepsilon)S(x)} dx = A\eta \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{\eta}}[e^{i\varepsilon S/\varepsilon}]$ ,  $\mu_{\eta}(dx) := e^{-\eta S(x)} A_{\eta}^{-1} dx$ .  $Z_{\varepsilon, \eta} = \int e^{-(\eta - i\varepsilon)S(x)} dx = A_{\eta} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{\eta}}[e^{i\varepsilon S/\varepsilon}]$ ,  $\mu_{\eta}(dx) := \frac{e^{-(\eta - i\varepsilon)S(x)}}{A_{\eta}} dx$ . Define  $M_1 := \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{\eta}}[S]$ ,  $M_2 := \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{\eta}}[S^2]$ .  $M_1 := \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{\eta}}[S]$ ,  $M_2 := \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{\eta}}[S^2]$ . Then  $|Z_{\varepsilon, \eta}| \geq A\eta(1 - M_1\varepsilon)$ ,  $|Z_{\varepsilon, \eta}| \geq A\eta(1 - M_2\varepsilon^2)$ . Hence if  $\varepsilon > M_1/\varepsilon$  or  $\varepsilon^2 > M_2/2$ , then  $Z_{\varepsilon, \eta} \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* First bound:  $|\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}X}]| = |1 + \mathbb{E}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}X} - 1)| \geq 1 - \mathbb{E}|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}X} - 1|$ ,  $X = S/\varepsilon$ .  $\left| \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}X}] \right| = |1 + \mathbb{E}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}X} - 1)| \geq 1 - \mathbb{E}|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}X} - 1|$ ,  $\quad X = S/\varepsilon$ . Since  $|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t} - 1| \leq |t| \mathrm{e}^{|t|} - 1 \leq |t|$ ,  $|\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}S/\varepsilon}]| \geq 1 - M_1 \varepsilon$ .  $\left| \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}S/\varepsilon}] \right| \geq 1 - \frac{M_1}{\varepsilon}$ . Multiply by  $A \eta A_{\eta}$ .

Second bound:  $\Re \mathbb{E}[e^{iS/\varepsilon}] = \mathbb{E}[\cos(S/\varepsilon)] \geq 1 - 12\mathbb{E}[(S/\varepsilon)^2] = 1 - M_2^2\varepsilon^2$ .  $\Re \mathbb{E}[e^{iS/\varepsilon}] = \mathbb{E}[\cos(S/\varepsilon)] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[(S/\varepsilon)^2] = 1 - \frac{M_2}{2\varepsilon^2}$ . Now  $|z| \geq \Re z$  gives the inequality for  $|Z_{\varepsilon, \eta}| \geq \varepsilon, \eta$ .  $\square$

## Observable-Class Extension

**Theorem 20** (Continuity on Schwartz and weighted Sobolev classes). *Let  $\mathcal{I}(F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{tr}(\Phi(y)W(y)F(Ay))dy$ ,  $\mathcal{I}(F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{tr}(\Phi(y)W(y)F(Ay))dy$ , with  $A \in GL(d, \mathbb{C})$  and  $W(y) \leq C_0 e^{-c_4 \|y\|^4 + c_2 \|y\|^2}$ ,  $c_4 > 0$ .  $|W(y)| \leq C_0 e^{-c_4 \|y\|^4 + c_2 \|y\|^2}$ ,  $c_4 > 0$ . Then:*

- for every integer  $k > dk > d$ , there exists  $C_k C_{-k}$  such that  $|\mathcal{I}(F)| \leq C_k \sup_x (1 + \|x\|)^k |F(x)|, F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d); |\mathcal{I}(F)| \leq C_{-k} \sup_x (1 + \|x\|)^k |F(x)|, \quad F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d);$
- for every  $k > d/2, k > d/2$ , there exists  $C_k' C_{-k}'$  such that  $|\mathcal{I}(F)| \leq C_k' \|(1 + \|x\|^2)^{k/2} F\|_{L^2}, F \in \mathcal{H}_0, k \in \mathbb{N}; |\mathcal{I}(F)| \leq C_{-k}' \|(1 + \|x\|^2)^{k/2} F\|_{L^2}, \quad F \in \mathcal{H}'_0, k \in \mathbb{N}.$

Consequently, normalized functionals  $\omega(F) = \mathcal{I}(F)/\mathcal{I}(1)$  and  $\omega(F) = \mathcal{I}(F)/\mathcal{I}(1)$  (when  $\mathcal{I}(1) \neq 0$ ) extend continuously from Gaussian-polynomial test families to both classes.

*Proof.* For Schwartz:  $|\mathcal{F}(A y)| \leq C \text{Apk}(F)(1 + \|y\|)^{-k}, \text{pk}(F) := \sup_x (1 + \|x\|)^k |\mathcal{F}(x)|$ .  
 $|\mathcal{F}(A y)| \leq C \text{Apk}(F)(1 + \|y\|)^{-k}, \quad \text{pk}(F) := \sup_x (1 + \|x\|)^k |\mathcal{F}(x)|$ . Hence  
 $|\mathcal{I}(F)| \leq C_0 C \text{Apk}(F) \int e^{-c_4 \|y\|^4 + c_2 \|y\|^2 (1 + \|y\|)^{-k}} dy, \quad \text{mathcal{I}(F)} \leq C_0 C \text{Apk}(F) \int e^{-c_4 \|y\|^4 + c_2 \|y\|^2 (1 + \|y\|)^{-k}} dy$ , and the integral is finite.

For weighted Sobolev:  $|\mathcal{I}(F)| \leq \|W(\cdot)(1+\|\cdot\|^2)^{-k/2}\|_{L^2} \cdot \|(1+\|y\|^2)^{k/2} F(Ay)\|_{L^2_y}$ .  
 $\|\mathcal{I}(F)\| \leq \|W(\cdot)(1+\|\cdot\|^2)^{-k/2}\|_{L^2} \cdot \|F(Ay)\|_{L^2_y}$ . The first factor is finite by quartic decay; the second is bounded by  $CA'\|F\|_{H^0,k}$  after linear change of variables.  $\square$

## Schwinger-Dyson and $\tau_\mu$ Scale Covariance

**Theorem 21** (Finite-dimensional Schwinger-Dyson identity). *Let  $c = \eta - i/\varepsilon c = \eta - i/\varepsilon c$  and  $\mathcal{I}_c(F) := \int e^{-cS(x)} F(x) dx$ .  $\mathcal{I}_c(F) := \int e^{\{-cS(x)\}} F(x) dx$ . Assume integrability and vanishing boundary flux for admissible  $FF$  and vector field  $VV$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}_c(V \cdot \nabla S F) = \mathcal{I}_c(\nabla \cdot (VF))$ .  $\mathcal{I}_c(V \cdot \nabla S F) = \frac{1}{c} \mathcal{I}_c(\nabla \cdot (VF))$ . If  $\mathcal{I}_c(1) \neq 0$ , then  $\omega_c(V \cdot \nabla S F) = \mathcal{I}_c(\nabla \cdot (VF))$ .  $\omega_c(V \cdot \nabla S F) = \frac{1}{c} \mathcal{I}_c(\nabla \cdot (VF))$ . In particular, for constant  $V = e_i V = e_i$  and  $F \equiv 1$ ,  $\omega_c(\partial_i S) = 0$ .  $\omega_c(\partial_i S) = 0$ .*

*Proof.*  $0 = \int \nabla \cdot (e^{-cS} V F) dx = \int e^{-cS} (\nabla \cdot (VF) - c V \cdot \nabla S F) dx = \int \nabla \cdot (e^{-cS} V F) dx = \int e^{-cS} (\nabla \cdot (VF) - c V \cdot \nabla S F) dx$ . Rearrange, then divide by  $\mathcal{I}_c(1)$  for the normalized form.  $\square$

**Theorem 22** (Exact  $\tau_\mu$  covariance). *For  $\omega_{\kappa, \eta, h}(F) := \int e^{-(\eta - i/h)\kappa S(x)} F(x) dx$ ,  $\omega_{\kappa, \eta, h}(F) := \int e^{-(\eta - i/h)\kappa S(x)} F(x) dx$ ,  $\omega_{\kappa, \eta, h}(F) := \int e^{-(\eta - i/h)\kappa S(x)} F(x) dx$ , define  $\tau_\mu: (\kappa, \eta, h) \mapsto (\mu\kappa, \eta/\mu, \mu h)$ ,  $\mu > 0$ .  $\tau_\mu: (\kappa, \eta, h) \mapsto (\mu\kappa, \eta/\mu, \mu h)$ ,  $\mu > 0$ . Then  $\omega_{\kappa, \eta, h}(F) = \omega_{\mu\kappa, \eta/\mu, \mu h}(F)$ .  $\omega_{\kappa, \eta, h}(F) = \omega_{\mu\kappa, \eta/\mu, \mu h}(F)$ .*

*Proof.* Directly,  $(\eta\mu - i\mu h)(\mu\kappa) = (\eta - i/h)\kappa$ .  $(\eta\mu - i\mu h)(\mu\kappa) = (\eta - i/h)\kappa$ . Hence numerator and denominator kernels are unchanged.  $\square$

**Proposition 23** (Kernel-parameter unification of  $\tau_\mu$  and Schwinger-Dyson). *Set  $c := (\eta - i/h)\kappa$ .  $c := (\eta - i/h)\kappa$ . Then:*

1.  $\tau_\mu$  preserves  $cc$ ,
2. the Schwinger-Dyson identity in Theorem 21 depends only on  $cc$ .

*Hence two parameter triples on the same  $\tau_\mu$ -orbit define identical Schwinger-Dyson relations for all admissible observables.*

*Proof.* Part (i) is exactly the computation in Theorem 22. For part (ii), Theorem 21 is written purely in terms of  $\mathcal{I}_c(\cdot)$ , hence only through  $cc$ . Therefore  $\tau_\mu$ -related parameter triples yield the same SD equations.  $\square$

# Dependency Chain

The proofs in this manuscript now close in the following order:

1. Sections 2–5: core scoped Claim 1 closure.
2. Theorems 15, 18, 16, and Corollary 17: large-NN coupled extensions (Gaussian-tail rate, non-factorized quadratic-mixing determinant class, non-factorized quartic-tail class, and intrinsic moment-based sufficient conditions).
3. Theorem 19: explicit non-vanishing criteria for partition factors.
4. Theorem 20: observable-class extension to Schwartz/Sobolev.
5. Theorems 21, 22, and Proposition 23: Schwinger-Dyson identities, exact scale-flow covariance, and their shared invariant kernel parameter.

## Conclusion

This manuscript now gives a theorem-grade chain from the static/finite-dimensional variational-delta picture to a broad scoped family of oscillatory states including:

1. exact projective closure and de-regularization,
2. explicit semiclassical channel expansion,
3. large-NN mode-coupled convergence with quantitative tail control (Gaussian-tail, non-factorized quadratic-mixing, and non-factorized quartic-tail classes), with intrinsic moment criteria for quartic-tail hypotheses,
4. non-vanishing control for normalization factors,
5. extension to large observable classes,
6. Schwinger-Dyson identities and scale-flow covariance with a shared cc-invariance structure.

Open frontier (outside this closure): full interacting, non-factorized, genuinely field-theoretic continuum limits with uniform renormalization control beyond the scoped polynomial block-tail class.

99

R. P. Feynman, *Space-Time Approach to Non-Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **20** (1948), 367–387. DOI: [10.1103/RevModPhys.20.367](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.20.367).

L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I: Distribution Theory and Fourier Analysis*, Springer, 2nd ed., 2003. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-61497-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61497-2).

I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions, Vol. 1: Properties and Operations*, AMS Chelsea, 1964. DOI: [10.1090/chel/377](https://doi.org/10.1090/chel/377).

S. Albeverio, R. J. Høegh-Krohn, and S. Mazzucchi, *Mathematical Theory of Feynman Path Integrals: An Introduction*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 523, 2nd ed., Springer, 2008. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-540-76956-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-76956-9).

A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, 1994. ISBN: 978-0-12-185860-5.

N. P. Landsman, *Mathematical Topics Between Classical and Quantum Mechanics*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, 1998. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4612-1680-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1680-3).

N. P. Landsman, *Lie Groupoid  $C^*$ -Algebras and Weyl Quantization*, Commun. Math. Phys. **206** (1999), 367–381. DOI: [10.1007/s002200050709](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050709).

N. P. Landsman and B. Ramazan, *Quantization of Poisson algebras associated to Lie algebroids*, in *Groupoids in Analysis, Geometry, and Physics*, Contemporary Mathematics **282**, AMS, 2001, 159–192. DOI: [10.1090/conm/282](https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/282).