

# Planck Area from Half-Density Normalization (Draft)

## Abstract

Half-densities are the natural “coordinate-free integrands” for composing kernels without choosing a background measure. But choosing a *universal* convention for turning half-density objects into dimensionless numerical amplitudes introduces a length $^{d/2}$  scale. In  $d = 4$ , this is an *area*. This note sharpens the hypothesis ladder needed for the claim “half-density normalization selects a universal area scale”, and isolates a simple dimension-matching condition under which the Planck area appears without fractional powers of couplings. A gravitational anchor based on a minimal-areal-speed principle is recorded as a separate heuristic thread [RiveroAreal] [RiveroSimple].

## 1. Purpose and Status

This is a dependent follow-up to `paper/main.md`. It is not yet a finished paper; its goal is to isolate one technical point that is only implicit in the main manuscript: the role of half-densities (and their scaling) in making composition laws coordinate-invariant *and* dimensionally well-defined.

Claims below are labeled as **Proposition** (math-precise under hypotheses) or **Heuristic** (programmatic bridge).

## 2. Half-Densities and Composition Kernels

Let  $M$  be a  $d$ -dimensional manifold. A (positive) density is a section of  $|\Lambda^d T^* M|$ , and a half-density is a section of  $|\Lambda^d T^* M|^{1/2}$ .

The key operational point is: when a kernel is a half-density in its integration variable, composition of kernels does not depend on an arbitrary choice of coordinate measure.

**Heuristic H1.1 (Why half-densities).** If  $K_1(x, y)$  and  $K_2(y, z)$  are chosen so that their product in the intermediate variable  $y$  is a density, then  $\int_M K_1(x, y)K_2(y, z)$  is coordinate-invariant without fixing a preferred  $dy$ . This matches the structural role of kernel composition used in `paper/main.md` (Section 6).

**Derivation D1.1 (Coordinate invariance of half-density pairing and composition).** In a local chart  $y = (y^1, \dots, y^d)$ , write a half-density as  $\psi(y) = \varphi(y)|dy|^{1/2}$ . Under a change of variables  $y = y(y')$ , one has  $|dy|^{1/2} = |\det(\partial y / \partial y')|^{1/2}|dy'|^{1/2}$ , so the coefficient transforms as  $\varphi'(y') = \varphi(y(y'))|\det(\partial y / \partial y')|^{1/2}$ .

Hence the product of two half-densities is a density:  $\psi_1\psi_2 = (\varphi_1\varphi_2)|dy|$ , and its integral is chart-independent:  $\int_M \psi_1\psi_2$  is well-defined without choosing a

background measure beyond the density bundle itself.

Kernel composition is the same mechanism: if  $K_1(x, y)$  and  $K_2(y, z)$  are half-densities in  $y$ , then  $K_1 K_2$  is a density in  $y$  and  $\int_M K_1 K_2$  is coordinate invariant.

### 3. Dimensional Analysis: Normalizing a Half-Density Requires a Scale

A density on  $M$  carries the units of length $^d$  once physical units are assigned to coordinates. A half-density therefore carries units length $^{d/2}$ .

**Proposition P1.1** (No canonical "half-density = function" identification). There is no canonical identification of a half-density  $\psi \in |\Lambda^d T^* M|^{1/2}$  with an ordinary scalar function  $f$  on  $M$ . Choosing such an identification is equivalent to choosing a nowhere-vanishing reference half-density  $\sigma_*$  (equivalently a positive density  $\rho_* = \sigma_*^2$ ) and writing  $\psi = f \sigma_*$ .

**Derivation D1.2** (Dilation makes the  $\text{length}^{d/2}$  weight explicit). On  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , consider a dilation  $y \mapsto y' = ay$  with  $a > 0$ . Then  $|dy'| = a^d |dy|$ , so  $|dy'|^{1/2} = a^{d/2} |dy|^{1/2}$ . Thus even in flat space, half-densities carry an inherent length $^{d/2}$  scaling weight.

**Proposition P1.2** (Universal \*dimensionless\* amplitudes force a  $\text{length}^{d/2}$  constant). If one imposes the extra requirement that the scalar representative  $f$  in  $\psi = f \sigma_*$  be dimensionless in physical units, then the reference half-density  $\sigma_*$  must carry all of the length $^{d/2}$  dimension. In particular, a *constant* (field-independent) choice of  $\sigma_*$  is equivalent to choosing a universal length $^{d/2}$  scale.

In  $d = 4$ , this universal length $^{d/2}$  scale is a universal *area* scale.

**Heuristic H1.2 (Reciprocity claim).** Half-densities alone do not force a particular scale: the forced fact is that converting half-density objects into scalar numerical amplitudes requires extra structure (a reference half-density). The “universal area scale” claim begins only after adding two further hypotheses: 1. the reference  $\sigma_*$  is taken to be *constant* (no dependence on background metric/fields), and 2. the constant is required to be fixed by universal constants/couplings of the theory.

Under these hypotheses,  $d = 4$  is the unique dimension in which the needed length $^{d/2}$  constant can be supplied by the gravitational coupling without fractional powers (Derivation D1.3).

**Derivation D1.3** (Dimension match: why  $(d=4)$  is singled out by gravity). In  $d$  spacetime dimensions, the Einstein–Hilbert action  $\frac{1}{16\pi G_d} \int d^d x \sqrt{|g|} R$  shows that (in  $c = \hbar = 1$  units) Newton’s constant has dimension  $[G_d] = \text{length}^{d-2}$ . If the universal half-density normalization constant is required to be built from  $G_d$  without fractional powers, then its dimension must match length $^{d/2}$  with exponent 1, i.e. length $^{d/2} = \text{length}^{d-2}$ , which holds

if and only if  $d = 4$ . In that case  $G_4$  itself has dimension of area, and the corresponding area scale is the Planck area  $L_P^2 \sim \hbar G_4/c^3$ .

### 3.1 Hypotheses as Separate Knobs (What Is Forced vs Chosen)

The discussion above mixes three different kinds of statements: 1. **Geometric facts** (what half-densities are, how they compose, how they scale), 2. **Representational choices** (how one turns half-density objects into scalar numbers), 3. **Universality/selection principles** (what choices are allowed if we demand “background-free” and “built from couplings”).

To study these separately, it is useful to keep the hypotheses explicit.

**Hypothesis H2.1 (Half-density formulation).** Quantum kernels are treated as bi-half-densities so that composition in intermediate variables is coordinate invariant (Section 2 and Derivation D1.4).

**Hypothesis H2.2 (Scalarization by a reference half-density).** To interpret half-density amplitudes as scalar numerical functions, we pick a nowhere-vanishing reference half-density  $\sigma_*$  and write  $\psi = f \sigma_*$  (Proposition P1.1).

**Hypothesis H2.3 (Dimensionless scalar representative).** The scalar representative  $f$  is required to be dimensionless in physical units (Proposition P1.2). This forces  $\sigma_*$  to carry the full length $^{d/2}$  weight.

**Hypothesis H2.4 (Background-free constancy).** The reference  $\sigma_*$  is taken to be constant/field-independent, rather than determined by background geometry (e.g. a Riemannian volume  $|g|^{1/4}|dx|^{1/2}$ ) or by dynamical fields (e.g. a dilaton-like factor). This is the first point where a *universal constant* enters.

**Hypothesis H2.5 (Analyticity / no fractional powers of couplings).** If the universal constant is required to be built from the theory’s couplings without fractional powers, then dimensional analysis becomes a *dimension sieve* rather than a tautology. Derivation D1.3 is the gravity instance: “use  $G_d$  without fractional powers” singles out  $d = 4$ .

**Heuristic H2.6 (Where “special dimensions” can appear).** Special dimensions do not come from half-densities alone (Hypothesis H2.1). They appear only after adding a selection principle like H2.4–H2.5: the requirement that the scalarization choice be universal, background-free, and coupling-built in a restricted (e.g. analytic) way.

### 3.2 What Changes When a Hypothesis Is Relaxed?

This subsection records the main “branches” that need separate study.

1. **Drop H2.3 (allow dimensionful  $f$ ).** Then no universal length $^{d/2}$  constant is forced; the dimensional weight can be carried by the scalar representative itself (as in the usual statement “wavefunctions have dimension length $^{-d/2}$ ”).

2. **Drop H2.4 (allow background geometry).** Then  $\sigma_*$  can be chosen from a metric (or other structure), and the “universal constant” is replaced by background-dependent normalization.
3. **Drop H2.5 (allow fractional powers).** Then in any  $d > 2$  one can build a length $^{d/2}$  constant from gravity via  $G_d^{d/(2(d-2))}$  (in  $c = \hbar = 1$  units), so  $d = 4$  is no longer singled out; instead,  $d = 4$  is simply the unique case where the exponent is an integer.
4. **Change “which coupling supplies the scale”.** Using other dimensionful couplings (cosmological constant, string tension, gauge couplings in various dimensions, etc.) yields different “special-dimension” sieves. This is conceptually aligned with the observation that some dimensions are singled out by other structures (division algebras, special holonomy, supersymmetry), but those filters are separate from the half-density story and should not be conflated.

#### 4. Stationary Phase Produces Half-Density Prefactors (Short-Time Kernel)

The main manuscript uses stationary phase to explain why classical extremals dominate refinement limits. Here we add the complementary kernel-level fact: stationary phase does not only pick the extremal; it also produces a determinant prefactor that transforms as a half-density, i.e. the object needed for coordinate-free kernel composition.

**Derivation D1.4 (Van Vleck prefactor is a bi-half-density).** Let  $S_{\text{cl}}(x, z; t)$  be the classical action as a function of endpoints and time, treated as a generating function. The standard short-time/stationary-phase approximation to the propagator has the form

$$K(x, z; t) \approx \frac{1}{(2\pi i\hbar)^{d/2}} \left| \det \left( -\frac{\partial^2 S_{\text{cl}}}{\partial x \partial z} \right) \right|^{1/2} \exp \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} S_{\text{cl}}(x, z; t) \right).$$

Under a change of coordinates  $x = x(x')$ ,  $z = z(z')$ , the mixed Hessian transforms by the chain rule, and its determinant acquires Jacobian factors:

$$\det \left( -\frac{\partial^2 S_{\text{cl}}}{\partial x' \partial z'} \right) = \det \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial x'} \right) \det \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial z'} \right) \det \left( -\frac{\partial^2 S_{\text{cl}}}{\partial x \partial z} \right).$$

Taking square roots shows that the prefactor transforms with  $|\det(\partial x/\partial x')|^{1/2} |\det(\partial z/\partial z')|^{1/2}$ , i.e. exactly as a half-density factor at each endpoint. Thus the stationary-phase prefactor is naturally interpreted as making  $K$  a half-density in each variable, so that kernel composition does not depend on a background measure choice.

**Heuristic H1.4 (Where Planck area can enter, minimally).** Derivation D1.3 isolates one minimal route by which a Planck-scale quantity can enter: if the

theory supplies a single universal coupling with dimension of length (Newton's constant) and one demands that the half-density normalization constant be built from that coupling *without fractional powers*, then  $d = 4$  is singled out and the resulting constant has the dimension of an area, naturally identified with the Planck area  $L_P^2 \sim \hbar G_4/c^3$ .

## 5. A Gravitational Anchor: Minimal Areal Speed and the $D = 4$ Cancellation

Rivero's "Planck areal speed" observation gives a concrete route by which Planck-scale discreteness reappears at Compton scales in inverse-square gravity [RiveroAreal] [RiveroSimple].

**Heuristic H1.3 (Areal-speed selection).** In  $3 + 1$  Newtonian gravity (inverse-square), imposing a discrete areal-speed/area-time condition at a Planck scale can yield characteristic radii proportional to a reduced Compton length, with Newton's constant canceling when expressed in Planck units. This is a non-trivial indication that "a universal area scale" can be operationally meaningful at low energies in  $D = 4$ .

**Derivation D1.5 (Inverse-square circular orbit + Planck areal speed  $\Rightarrow$  Compton radius).** For a circular orbit under an inverse-square central force  $F(r) = K/r^2$  (with coupling  $K > 0$ ), the centripetal balance is  $mv^2/r = K/r^2$ . The areal speed is  $\dot{A} = \frac{1}{2}rv$ , so  $v = 2\dot{A}/r$ . Substituting into the force balance gives

$$m \left( \frac{2\dot{A}}{r} \right)^2 = \frac{K}{r} \implies r = \frac{4m\dot{A}^2}{K}.$$

For Newtonian gravity between a source mass  $M$  and test mass  $m$ ,  $K = GMm$ , hence

$$r = \frac{4\dot{A}^2}{GM},$$

independent of the test mass  $m$ . If one now imposes  $\dot{A} = k\dot{A}_P$ , where Rivero's Planck areal speed is  $\dot{A}_P = cL_P$  [RiveroAreal], then using  $L_P^2 = G\hbar/c^3$  yields

$$r = \frac{4k^2(cL_P)^2}{GM} = \frac{4k^2(G\hbar/c)}{GM} = 4k^2 \frac{\hbar}{cM}.$$

Thus  $r$  becomes a multiple of the reduced Compton length  $L_M = \hbar/(cM)$ , with Newton's constant canceled out. In particular,  $k = \frac{1}{2}$  gives  $r = L_M$ . This is the "Planck area per Planck time  $\Rightarrow$  Compton scale" cancellation highlighted in [RiveroAreal] and summarized in [RiveroSimple].

## 6. Interface with the Main Paper

The main manuscript argues that: 1. classical dynamics are recovered from quantum composition by stationary-phase concentration, and 2. refinement across scales forces RG-style consistency conditions when naive limits diverge.

This draft adds a complementary ingredient: the kernel side is most naturally formulated in half-density language, and stationary phase produces the bi-half-density prefactor directly. A universal convention for turning those half-densities into scalar amplitudes then requires a length $^{d/2}$  scale; in  $d = 4$  this is an area scale.

## 7. Open Problems (Needed for a Real Paper)

1. Make the half-density normalization argument precise for a concrete groupoid or kernel model (tangent-groupoid or short-time propagator model).
2. Show how the area scale enters stationary-phase prefactors and how this interacts with RG scaling.
3. General-dimension analysis: clarify what replaces “area” in odd dimensions and whether a universal normalization is still defensible.
4. Identify minimal hypotheses under which “need of half-density scale  $\Rightarrow$  Planck area” is more than dimensional bookkeeping.