$$\lim_{t\to\infty}y(t)=y_{ss}(t)=\hat{K}\approx0.557$$

% O.S. = 
$$100 \exp\left(\frac{-5\pi}{1-5^{21}}\right) \approx 7.31\%$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{-5\pi^2}{1-5^2} = 2 \ln \left( \frac{\% \text{ 0.s.}}{100} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow -\int^2 \pi^2 = 2 \ln(11\% 0.5.11) - 25^2 \ln(11\% 0.5.11)$$

$$\Rightarrow -5^{2}(\pi^{2}-2\ln(11\%0.5.11))=2\ln(11\%0.5.11)$$

$$\Rightarrow 5 = \frac{-2 \ln(\| \% \text{ o.s.} \|)}{\pi^2 - 2 \ln(\| \% \text{ o.s.} \|)}$$

$$T_{21} \approx \frac{4}{5\omega_n} \approx 0.227 \text{ s}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_n \approx \frac{4}{5T_{2\gamma}} \approx 29.9 \frac{\text{rad}}{\text{S}}$$

2) Estimate Wn using time-to-peak.

Which is a better estimator for Wn,

using time-to-peak or 2% settling time?

$$T_p = \frac{\pi}{\omega_n \sqrt{1-\zeta'}} \implies \omega_n = \frac{\pi}{7\sqrt{1-\zeta'}} \approx 21.577$$

Would rather use time-to-peak to estimate Wn since the relationship between 2% Settling three and wn for an underdamped, Standard 2nd order system is approximate whereas the relationship between time-to-peak and wn isn't. 3) Compute closed-loop transfer func. for Fig. 2.1. Compute damping ratio, DC Grain and natural freq. in terms of plant's k, Wn and 5 and gain kp E(s) = R(s) - 4(s) Y(s) = D(s) + P(s)E(s)Kp $\Rightarrow$  Y(s) = D(s) + Kp(R(s) - Y(s))P(s)=> 4(s) = D(s) + kpP(s) R(s) - kpP(s) 4(s), D(s)=0 R(s) 1 + KpP(s)  $= \frac{K_{p}K_{w_{n}^{2}}(s^{2} + 2 \zeta_{w_{s}} + w_{n}^{2})^{-1}}{(s^{2} + 2 \zeta_{w_{s}} + w_{n}^{2})^{-1}}$ 1 + Kpk wn (s2 + 2 tws + wn2) =  $\frac{K_p \hat{K} \omega_n^2}{S^2 + 2 \zeta \omega_s + \omega_n^2} \cdot \frac{S^2 + 2 \zeta \omega_s + \omega_n^2}{S^2 + 2 \zeta \omega_s + \omega_n^2 + K_p \hat{K} \omega_n^2}$  $\frac{k_{p} \hat{k} \omega_{n}^{2} \left(S^{2} + 2 \xi \omega_{s} + \omega_{n}^{2}\right)}{\left(S^{2} + 2 \xi \omega_{s} + \omega_{n}^{2}\right)^{2} + \left(S^{2} + 2 \xi \omega_{s} + \omega_{n}^{2}\right) \left(k_{p} \hat{k} \omega_{n}^{2}\right)}$  $= \frac{k_p k_w^2}{s^2 + 2 \zeta w_n^2 + w_n^2 + k_p k_w^2} = \frac{k' w_n^2}{s^2 + 2 \zeta' w_n' s + w_n'^2}$ 

$$\hat{K}$$
,  $\omega_n$  and  $\hat{S}$  from part  $1 + 2$ 

$$\Rightarrow \hat{W}_n^2 = \hat{W}_n^2(1 + k_p \hat{K})$$

=) natural freq. 
$$w_n' = w_n \sqrt{1 + K_p \hat{K}}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 damping ratio  $5' = \frac{5}{\sqrt{1 + K_p \hat{K}}}$ 

What happens when kp changes?

$$\Rightarrow \frac{Y(s)}{D(s)} = \frac{1}{1 + K_{p}P(s)} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{K_{p}\hat{K}_{e}\omega_{n_{e}}^{2}}{s^{2} + 2S_{e}\omega_{n_{e}}s + \omega_{n_{e}}^{2}}}$$

$$= \frac{s^2 + 2 \xi_e \omega_{n_e} s + \omega_{n_e}^2}{s^2 + 2 \xi_e \omega_{n_e} s + \omega_{n_e}^2 (1 + K_p \hat{K}_e)}$$

$$= (S^{2} + 2 \xi_{e} \omega_{n_{e}} S + \omega_{n_{e}}^{2}) \cdot \frac{1}{\omega_{n_{e}}^{2} (1 + k_{p} \vec{k}_{e})} \cdot \frac{\omega_{n_{e}}^{2} (1 + k_{p} \vec{k}_{e})}{S^{2} + 2 \xi_{e} \omega_{n_{e}} S + \omega_{n_{e}}^{2} (1 + k_{p} \vec{k}_{e})}$$

Only latter half of transfer finc. depends on Kp

$$\Rightarrow \dot{W}_{n_c}^2 = W_{n_c}^4 (1 + K_p \hat{K}_c)^2 \Rightarrow \dot{W}_{n_c} = W_{n_c}^2 (1 + K_p \hat{K}_c)$$

$$\hat{K}_{c} \dot{\omega}_{n_{c}}^{2} = \omega_{n_{c}}^{2} (1 + K_{p} \hat{K}_{c}) \Rightarrow \hat{K}_{c} = \frac{\omega_{n_{c}}^{2} (1 + K_{p} \hat{K}_{c})}{\omega_{n_{c}}^{2} (1 + K_{p} \hat{K}_{c})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{k}_{c} = \frac{1}{W_{n_{c}}^{2}(1+K_{p}\hat{k}_{c})}$$

=> as Kp increases, natural frequency increases

=> damping ratto is unaffected by kp

=> DC Grain decreases as kp încreases.

DC Gain =  $W_{nc}^{-2}$  when  $k_p = 0$ 

Increasing kp  $\Rightarrow$  decrease in magnitude of transfer function  $\Rightarrow$  better disturbance rejection (since  $20\log|G(s)| \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $|G(s)| \rightarrow 0$ )

When kp increases, disturbance rejection properties of system improve.