

CS 558 Homework 3

Arjun Krishna Babu

7 November 2017

Part (a)

Define a haskell type `Term` to represent the terms of the untyped lambda calculus.

```
data Term = Var String      -- variable
          | Lambda String Term -- abstraction
          | Application Term Term -- application
          deriving (Show, Eq)
```

The `String` in `Var` and `Lambda` is where you store the *name* of the variable.

For example, $\lambda x. x$ would be represented as `Lambda "x" (Var "x")`.

```
*Main> :t Lambda "x" (Var "x")
Lambda "x" (Var "x") :: Term
```

Part (b)

Define a haskell function `subst`, such that `subst x t1 t2` implements the capture avoiding substitution operation $[x \mapsto t1]t2$

```
-- [x -> s]y
subst :: Term -> Term -> Term -> Term

-- variable case
subst (Var x) s (Var y) = if x == y
  then s
  else (Var y)

-- application case
subst x s (Application t1 t2) = Application (subst x s t1) (subst x s t2)

-- abstraction case
subst a@(Var x) b c@(Lambda y t) = if x == y
  then c
  else Lambda y (subst a b t)
```

Examples

I. Variable Case

Let's first define our variables and lambda terms:

```
*Main> x = Var "x"  
*Main> y = Var "y"  
*Main> r = Lambda "r" (Var "g")
```

Now let's apply subst:

```
*Main> subst x r y  
Var "y"  
  
*Main> subst x r x  
Lambda "r" (Var "g")
```

This is as expected based on the following rules:

- $[x \mapsto r]x = x$
- $[x \mapsto r]x = y$, if $y \neq x$

II. Abstraction Case

Here is a case when the variable and variable in lambda term are equal. ie., $[x \mapsto r](\lambda x.t)$

```
*Main> x = Var "x"  
*Main> r = Lambda "r" (Var "g")  
*Main> xx = Lambda "x" (Var "m")  
*Main> subst x r xx  
Lambda "x" (Var "m")
```

Here is a case that represents $[x \mapsto r](\lambda y.t)$

```
*Main> x = Var "x"  
*Main> r = Lambda "r" (Var "g")  
*Main> dd = Lambda "d" (Var "n")  
*Main> subst x r dd  
Lambda "d" (Var "n")
```

Part (c)

Define a Haskell function `isValue`, that returns

- `True`, if the lambda term represented by `t` is a value
- `False`, otherwise

Values have the structure $(\lambda x.t)$

The function `isValue` defined below works by examining the structure of the argument passed to it:

```
isValue :: Term -> Bool
isValue (Lambda _ _) = True
isValue _ = False
```

Example

```
*Main> isValue (Lambda "x" (Var "g"))
True

*Main> isValue (Var "e")
False
```

Part (d)

Define a Haskell function `eval1` that implements single-step reduction of lambda calculus terms encoded as values of type `Term`.

```
eval1 :: Term -> Maybe Term

-- E_APPABS: (Lx.t)v -> [x->v]t
eval1 (Application (Lambda x t) v2) = if isValue v2
  then Just (subst (Var x) v2 t)
  else Nothing

eval1 (Application t1 t2) = if isValue t1
  then case (eval1 t2) of    -- E_APP2
    Just t -> Just (Application t1 t)
    otherwise -> Nothing
  else case (eval1 t1) of   -- E_APP1
    Just t -> Just (Application t t2)
    otherwise -> Nothing

eval1 _ = Nothing
```

Part (e)

Define a haskell function `eval` that recursively calls `eval1` to evaluate a lambda calculus term as many times as possible.

```
eval :: Term -> Term
eval t = case (eval1 t) of
  Just t' -> eval t'
  Nothing -> t
```

Part (f)

Demonstrate the use of your `eval` function to reduce the following untyped lambda-term to its normal form

$$(\lambda x . x x)(\lambda y . y)$$

Let's first manually do this calculation in lambda calculus to figure out the expected result:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x.x x)(\lambda y.y) &= (\lambda y.y) (\lambda y.y) \\ &= (\lambda y.y) \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the same using the definitions defined above yields:

```
-- define (λx.x x)
*Main> xt = Lambda "x" (Application (Var "x") (Var "x"))

-- define (λy.y)
*Main> yt = Lambda "y" (Var "y")

-- apply the eval function
*Main> eval (Application xt yt)
Lambda "y" (Var "y")
```

This is equivalent to the expected result $(\lambda y.y)$

References

- Wikipedia: Lambda calculus
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda_calculus