# Homework 8

### Arjun Koshal

March 11, 2022 (Revised April 26, 2022)

## Problem 1

**Theorem.** For any two positive integers n and d, there are unique integers q and r such that n = qd + r and  $0 \le r < d$ .

*Proof.* To prove this, we must first establish the existence of these integers, q, r, and then show that they are unique.

First we notice that if n = d, then q = 1 and we have r = 0 as the unique solution to the equation.

To establish the existence of such integers, we define for each  $m \geq 0$ , we have  $r_m = n - md$ . Let  $S = \{r_m \mid r_m \geq 0\}$ , that is, S is the set of  $r_m$  which is non-negative, and we know that  $r_0$  must be greater than 0 as  $r_0 = n$ , which implies S is nonempty. Since the set S is well-ordered, as it is a subset of  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we can state that S has a minimum element. We can denote this minimum element as  $r_k = n - kd$  and it follows that  $n = kd + r_k$ . By looking at k + 1, it must hold that  $r_{k+1} = n - (k+1)d = n - kd - d$ . Then it follows that  $r_{k+1} = r_k - d$ , since  $r_k = n - kd$ . Since  $r_k$  is the minimum of S,  $r_{k+1}$  is not an element of S. However,  $r_{k+1} < 0$ , so it holds that  $r_{k+1} = r_k - d < 0$  and thus  $r_k < d$ . Therefore there exists integers  $r_k$  and k such that  $n = kd + r_k$  and  $0 \leq r_k < d$ . WLOG, we can state that there must exist integers q, r such that n = qd + r.

To show that these integers q and r are unique, suppose we have  $n = q_1d + r_1$  and  $n = q_2d + r_2$ , where  $q_1, q_2, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $0 \le r_1, r_2 < d$ . Then it must hold that,

$$q_1d + r_1 = q_2d + r_2$$

$$q_1d - q_2d = r_2 - r_1$$

$$d(q_1 - q_2) = r_2 - r_1.$$

Thus,  $d|(r_2-r_2)$ . Since  $0 \le r_1 < d$  and  $0 \le r_2 < d$ , it must hold that  $-d < r_2 - r_1 < d$ . We know that  $d|(r_2-r_1)$  is true if and only if  $r_2-r_1=0$ , therefore we can state  $r_1=r_2$ . If  $q_1-q_2>0$ , then  $d(q_1-q_2) \ge d$ , which is not possible, and if  $q_1-q_2 \le 0$ , then  $d(q_1-q_2) < -d$ , which is not possible as well. Therefore it must hold true that  $d(q_1-q_2)=0$ . Since d>0,  $q_1-q_2=0$ , which leads to  $q_1=q_2$ . Thus, the integers q and r are unique.

## Problem 2

**Theorem.** Every natural number can be written in the form  $rs^2$ , where  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$  and r is square-free.

*Proof.* If n = 1, then it follows that r = s = 1.

By the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, we can write n as a product of primes, that is,  $n = p_1 p_2 ... p_k$  where  $p_1, p_2, ... p_k$  are primes. Then we have the following 3 cases:

Case 1: If every prime in  $p_1, p_2, ...p_k$  is distinct, since all primes are trivially square-free, n must be true such that s = 1 and  $r = p_1, p_2, ...p_k$ .

Case 2: If there exists a prime,  $p_t$  in  $p_1, p_2, ...p_k$  that occurs an m number of times, where  $m = 2u, u \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then we can factor out  $p_t^{(2u)}$ . Then n must be true such that  $s = p_t^{(u)}$  and r = 1.

Case 3: If there exists a prime,  $p_t$  in  $p_1, p_2, ...p_k$  that occurs an m number of times, where  $m = 2v + 1, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then we can factor out  $p_t^{(2v+1)}$ . Then n must be true such that  $s = p_t^{(m)}$  and  $r = p_t$ .

Now let us group the terms that meet either cases 2 or 3 in  $p_1, p_2, ...p_k$ , and it follows that the remaining terms must follow case 1. Let r be the product of r's determined in all cases and let s be the product of s's in all cases. Thus, it must hold every natural number n must have the decomposition  $n = rs^2$ , where r is square-free.

### Problem 3

**Theorem.** Every prime greater than 3 is one away from a multiple of 3! = 6.

*Proof.* Let us represent any natural number n as the sum n = 6d + r,  $0 \le r < 6$ , where d is an integer. Through this representation, for n to be one away from a multiple of 6, we have r = 1, 5. Let us consider the cases for other possible r:

Case 1: r = 0: We have n = 6d which is divisible by 6 and thus composite.

Case 2: r = 2: We have n = 6d + 2 which is even and thus composite, unless n = 2.

Case 3: r = 3: We have n = 6d + 3 = 3(2d + 1) which is divisible by 3 and thus composite, unless n = 3.

Case 4: r = 4: We have n = 6d + 4 = 2(3d + 2) which is even and thus composite.

Since these cases encompass equivalence classes of numbers mod 6 spanning all natural numbers, it follows that since prime numbers (greater than 3) do not exist in these equivalence classes, they must exist in either of the other two; by example, we know that n = 7 is in the equivalence class [1] and n = 5 is in the equivalence class [5], so primes exist in both classes. It follows that every prime greater than 3 must be one away from a multiple of 3!.