

---

# MA5202: Algebraic Geometry

---

Arjun Paul

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Kolkata,  
Mohanpur - 741 246, Nadia,  
West Bengal, India.  
Email: [arjun.paul@iiserkol.ac.in](mailto:arjun.paul@iiserkol.ac.in).

Version: January 13, 2023 at 11:24pm (IST).

*This note will be updated from time to time.  
If there are any potential mistakes, please bring it to my notice.*



# Contents

<b>List of Symbols</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Basic Theory of Schemes</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Classical variety . . . . .	1
1.2 Schemes . . . . .	12
1.3 Appendix: Category Theory . . . . .	14



# List of Symbols

$\emptyset$	Empty set
$\mathbb{Z}$	The set of all integers
$\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$	The set of all non-negative integers
$\mathbb{N}$	The set of all natural numbers (i.e., positive integers)
$\mathbb{Q}$	The set of all rational numbers
$\mathbb{R}$	The set of all real numbers
$\mathbb{C}$	The set of all complex numbers
$<$	Less than
$\leq$	Less than or equal to
$>$	Greater than
$\geq$	Greater than or equal to
$\subset$	Proper subset
$\subseteq$	Subset or equal to
$\subsetneq$	Subset but not equal to (c.f. proper subset)
$\exists$	There exists
$\nexists$	Does not exist
$\forall$	For all
$\in$	Belongs to
$\notin$	Does not belong to
$\Sigma$	Sum
$\Pi$	Product
$\pm$	Plus and/or minus
$\infty$	Infinity
$\sqrt{a}$	Square root of $a$
$\cup$	Union
$\sqcup$	Disjoint union
$\cap$	Intersection
$A \rightarrow B$	$A$ mapping into $B$
$a \mapsto b$	$a$ maps to $b$
$\hookrightarrow$	Inclusion map
$A \setminus B$	$A$ setminus $B$
$\cong$	Isomorphic to
$A := \dots$	$A$ is defined to be ...
$\square$	End of a proof

Symbol	Name	Symbol	Name
$\alpha$	alpha	$\beta$	beta
$\gamma$	gamma	$\delta$	delta
$\pi$	pi	$\phi$	phi
$\varphi$	var-phi	$\psi$	psi
$\epsilon$	epsilon	$\varepsilon$	var-epsilon
$\zeta$	zeta	$\eta$	eta
$\theta$	theta	$\iota$	iota
$\kappa$	kappa	$\lambda$	lambda
$\mu$	mu	$\nu$	nu
$\upsilon$	upsilon	$\rho$	rho
$\varrho$	var-rho	$\xi$	xi
$\sigma$	sigma	$\tau$	tau
$\chi$	chi	$\omega$	omega
$\Omega$	Capital omega	$\Gamma$	Capital gamma
$\Theta$	Capital theta	$\Delta$	Capital delta
$\Lambda$	Capital lambda	$\Xi$	Capital xi
$\Sigma$	Capital sigma	$\Pi$	Capital pi
$\Phi$	Capital phi	$\Psi$	Capital psi

Some of the useful Greek letters

## Chapter 1

# Basic Theory of Schemes

### 1.1 Classical variety

Let  $k$  be a field and let  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and coefficients from the field  $k$ . Given a subset  $E \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , let

$$\mathcal{Z}(E) := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n : f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0, \forall f \in E\}$$

be the subset of all common zeros of the polynomials in  $E$ . We are interested to study geometry of  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$ . If  $f \in E$  is a linear polynomial with zero constant term, i.e.,  $f(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ , the map  $T_f : k^n \rightarrow k$  defined by

$$T_f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f(a_1, \dots, a_n), \quad \forall (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n,$$

is a  $k$ -linear map, and that  $\mathcal{Z}(f) = \text{Ker}(T_f)$  is a  $k$ -linear subspace of  $k^n$ . Therefore, if all the polynomials in  $E$  are linear with zero constant terms, then

$$\mathcal{Z}(E) = \bigcap_{f \in E} \text{Ker}(T_f)$$

is a  $k$ -linear subspace of  $k^n$ , and in this case standard linear algebra machinery could be used to study the space  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$ . However, when  $f \in E$  is not a linear polynomial,  $\mathcal{Z}(f)$  is no longer a linear space, and hence we cannot use linear algebra machinery to study geometry of  $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ . In this situation, the techniques from commutative algebra comes into the picture.

**Proposition 1.1.1.** *Let  $E$  be a non-empty subset of the polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $\mathcal{Z}(E) = \mathcal{Z}(\langle E \rangle)$ , where  $\langle E \rangle$  is the ideal of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  generated by  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $E \subseteq \langle E \rangle$ , it follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$  that  $\mathcal{Z}(\langle E \rangle) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(E)$ . Conversely, let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{Z}(E)$ . Let  $f \in \langle E \rangle$  be arbitrary. Then  $f = \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j f_j$ , for some  $\phi_j \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $f_j \in E$ , for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Since  $f_j(\mathbf{a}) = 0$ , for all  $j$ , we have  $f(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j(\mathbf{a}) f_j(\mathbf{a}) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{Z}(\langle E \rangle)$ .  $\square$

We now introduce a class of commutative rings with identity for which every ideals are finitely generated. Such a ring is called Noetherian. We show that polynomial ring

$k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and its quotient rings are Noetherian. One of the advantage to work with such rings is that all of its ideals being finitely generated, zero locus of a given family of possibly infinitely many polynomials is determined by a finite number of polynomials among them.

Let  $A$  be a commutative ring with identity.

**Definition 1.1.2.** An  $A$ -module  $M$  is said to be *finitely generated* if there exists finitely many elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$  such that given any  $x \in M$  there exists  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that  $x = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$ .

**Example 1.1.3.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $A$ -module  $A^{\oplus n}$  is finitely generated. Indeed, it is generated by  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ , where  $e_j \in A^{\oplus n}$  is the ordered  $n$ -tuple of elements of  $A$  whose  $j$ -th coordinate is  $1 \in A$ , and all other coordinates are  $0 \in A$ .

**Example 1.1.4.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a surjective  $A$ -module homomorphism. If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module, so is  $N$ . Indeed, if  $M$  is generated by  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  as an  $A$ -module, then  $N$  is generated by  $\{f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)\}$  as an  $A$ -module.

**Corollary 1.1.5.** An  $A$ -module  $M$  is finitely generated if and only if there exists a surjective  $A$ -module homomorphism  $f : A^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Note that, an  $A$ -submodule of a finitely generated  $A$ -module need not be finitely generated. For example, take  $A = k[X_1, X_2, \dots]$  be the polynomial ring over a field  $k$  with countably infinitely many variables  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Clearly,  $A$  is a commutative ring with identity. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be the ideal of  $A$  generated by its variables  $(X_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a non-zero proper ideal of  $A$  (and hence an  $A$ -module), which is clearly not finitely generated.

**Definition 1.1.6.** An  $A$ -module  $M$  is said to be *noetherian* if every  $A$ -submodule of  $M$  is finitely generated. We say that  $A$  is *noetherian* if it is noetherian as a module over itself.

In particular, a noetherian  $A$ -module is a finitely generated  $A$ -module. However, the converse may not be true (see above Example).

**Proposition 1.1.7.**  $A$  is noetherian if and only if every ideal of  $A$  is finitely generated.

*Proof.* Since any  $A$ -submodule of  $A$  is an ideal of  $A$ , the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.1.8.** Let

$$0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{\phi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M'' \rightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of  $A$ -modules. Then  $M$  is noetherian if and only if both  $M'$  and  $M''$  are noetherian.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  is noetherian. Let  $N'$  be an  $A$ -submodule of  $M'$ . Then  $N'$  is isomorphic to the  $A$ -submodule  $\phi(N')$  of  $M$ , and hence is finitely generated. Therefore,  $M'$  is noetherian. Let  $N''$  be an  $A$ -submodule of  $M''$ . Then  $N := \psi^{-1}(N'')$  is an  $A$ -submodule of  $M$ , and so is finitely generated. Since the  $A$ -module homomorphism  $\psi|_N : N \rightarrow N''$  is surjective and  $N$  is finitely generated,  $N''$  is finitely generated. Therefore,  $M''$  is noetherian.



Conversely, suppose that both  $M'$  and  $M''$  are noetherian  $A$ -modules. Let  $N$  be an  $A$ -submodule of  $M$ . Since  $N' := \phi^{-1}(N)$  and  $N'' := \psi(N)$  are  $A$ -submodule of noetherian  $A$ -modules, they are finitely generated. Then we have an exact sequence of  $A$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow N' \xrightarrow{\phi} N \xrightarrow{\psi} N'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Suppose that  $\phi^{-1}(N)$  and  $\psi(N)$  are generated as  $A$ -modules by  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \phi^{-1}(N)$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \psi(N)$ , respectively. Fix an element  $z_j \in \psi^{-1}(y_j) \subseteq N$ , for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $x \in N$  be given. Then  $\psi(x) = b_1 y_1 + \dots + b_n y_n$ , for some  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in A$ . Consider the element  $w = x - (b_1 z_1 + \dots + b_n z_n) \in N$ . Since  $\psi(w) = 0$ , there exists  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$  such that  $w = a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_m x_m$ . Then we have  $x = a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_m x_m + b_1 z_1 + \dots + b_n z_n$ . Therefore,  $N$  is generated as an  $A$ -module by  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \cup \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ . Therefore,  $M$  is noetherian.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.1.9.** *If  $M$  and  $N$  are noetherian  $A$ -modules, so is  $M \oplus N$ .*

*Proof.* Follows from Lemma 1.1.8.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.1.10.** *Any finitely generated module over a noetherian ring is noetherian.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module. Then there exists a surjective  $A$ -module homomorphism

$$\varphi : A^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M,$$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $A^{\oplus n}$  is noetherian by Corollary 1.1.9, that  $M$  is noetherian by Lemma 1.1.8.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.1.11** (Hilbert's basis theorem). *If  $A$  is a noetherian ring, the polynomial ring  $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is noetherian.*

*Proof.* Since the polynomial ring  $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is isomorphic to the polynomial ring  $B[x_n]$ , where  $B = A[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ , using induction it suffices to prove the result for  $n = 1$ . Consider the polynomial ring  $A[x]$ . Let  $I \subset A[x]$  be an ideal of  $A[x]$ . Since the cases  $I = 0$  and  $I = A[x]$  are trivial, we assume that  $I \neq 0$  and  $I \neq A[x]$ . Let

$$J = \{0\} \cup \{\text{set of all leading coefficients of non-zero polynomials in } I\}.$$

Clearly  $J$  is an ideal of  $A$ , and hence is finitely generated because  $A$  is noetherian. Let  $c_1, \dots, c_r \in A$  be non-zero elements of  $A$  that generates  $J$  as an ideal of  $A$ . Each  $c_j$  is a leading coefficient of a non-zero element, say  $f_j$ , of  $I$ . Let  $J' = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  be the ideal of  $A[x]$  generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_r$ . Let  $m := \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} \deg(f_j)$ , and let

$$M := I \cap (A + Ax + \dots + Ax^{m-1}).$$

Then  $M$  is an  $A$ -submodule of  $A[x]$ . We claim that  $I = M + J'$ . Since both  $M$  and  $J'$  are subsets of  $I$  and  $I$  is an ideal,  $M + J' \subseteq I$ . To show the reverse inclusion, we need to show that every  $f \in I$  is in  $M + J'$ . We show this by induction on  $d = \deg(f)$ . If  $d \leq m - 1$ , then  $f \in M \subseteq M + J'$ . Suppose that  $d := \deg(f) \geq m$ , and assume that for

given any  $g \in I$  with  $\deg(g) < d$  we have  $g \in M + J'$ . Let  $c$  be the leading coefficient of  $f$ . Since  $J = (c_1, \dots, c_r)$  and  $c \in J$ , we have  $c = \sum_{j=1}^r a_j c_j$ , for some  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ . Since  $g := f - \sum_{j=1}^r a_j x^{d-\deg(f_j)} f_j \in I$  with  $\deg(g) \leq d-1$ , by induction hypothesis  $g \in M + J'$ . Then  $f = g + \sum_{j=1}^r a_j x^{d-\deg(f_j)} f_j \in M + J'$ , as required. Therefore, by induction  $I = M + J'$ . Since  $A$  is noetherian and  $A + Ax + \dots + Ax^{m-1}$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module, that  $A + Ax + \dots + Ax^{m-1}$  is a noetherian  $A$ -module by Corollary 1.1.10. Since  $M$  is an  $A$ -submodule of  $A + Ax + \dots + Ax^{m-1}$ ,  $M$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module, generated by, say  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ . Then  $I = M + J'$  is generated as an  $A[x]$ -module by  $f_1, \dots, f_r, g_1, \dots, g_n$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

By Hilbert basis theorem, every ideal of  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  are finitely generated. Then every generating subset  $E$  of a finitely generated ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $A$  contains a finite subset that generates the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Therefore, for every  $E \subseteq A$ , there exists finitely many elements  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in E$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}(E) = \mathcal{Z}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \bigcap_{j=1}^n \mathcal{Z}(f_j)$ . Note that, given  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq A$ , we have  $\mathcal{Z}(E_2) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(E_1)$ .

**Proposition 1.1.12.** *The sets  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}$  runs over the set of all ideals of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  satisfy axioms for closed subsets for a topology on  $k^n$ , called the Zariski topology.*

*Proof.* The proposition follows from the following observations.

- (i)  $\mathcal{Z}(1) = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(0) = k^n$ ;
- (ii) Given any family of ideals  $\{\mathfrak{a}_j : j \in I\}$  of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we have

$$\bigcap_{j \in I} \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}_j) = \mathcal{Z}\left(\sum_{j \in I} \mathfrak{a}_j\right);$$

- (iii) given any two ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we have

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}) \cup \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}).$$

The first point is obvious. To see the second, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{j \in I} \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}_j) &= \bigcap_{j \in I} \{x \in k^n : f(x) = 0, \forall f \in \mathfrak{a}_j\} \\ &= \{x \in k^n : f(x) = 0, \forall f \in \mathfrak{a}_j, \forall j \in I\} \\ &= \mathcal{Z}\left(\bigcup_{j \in I} \mathfrak{a}_j\right) \\ &= \mathcal{Z}\left(\sum_{j \in I} \mathfrak{a}_j\right). \end{aligned}$$

To see the third point, note that  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ , which is a subset of both  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}) \cup \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$ . Conversely, if  $x \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$  and  $x \notin \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$ , then there

exists  $f \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $f(x) \neq 0$ , and for any  $g \in \mathfrak{b}$  that  $f(x)g(x) = (fg)(x) = 0$ , since  $fg \in \mathfrak{ab}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{ab})$ . Since  $k$  is an integral domain, we must have  $g(x) = 0$ , for all  $g \in \mathfrak{b}$ . Therefore,  $x \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{b})$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 1.1.13.** The set  $k^n$  together with the Zariski topology on it is called the *affine  $n$ -space over  $k$*  and is denoted by  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ . A closed subspace of  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$  is called an *algebraic set*.

Given a point  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n(k)$ , consider the evaluation map

$$ev_a : k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \longrightarrow k$$

defined by

$$ev_a(f) = f(a_1, \dots, a_n), \quad \forall f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

Note that  $ev_a$  is a surjective ring homomorphism with kernel

$$\text{Ker}(ev_a) = \mathfrak{m}_a := (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n).$$

Therefore,  $\{a\} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{m}_a)$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ . As a result, any finite subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$  is an algebraic set.

**Example 1.1.14.** For  $n = 1$ , the polynomial ring  $k[x]$  is a principal ideal domain. So every ideal of  $k[x]$  is generated by a single polynomial. Since a polynomial in  $k[x]$  has only finite number of roots in  $k$ , any closed subset of  $\mathbb{A}^1(k)$  is either finite or  $\mathbb{A}^1(k)$  itself.

**Example 1.1.15.** For  $n = 2$ , the situation is more complicated. Here is an obvious list of closed subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^2(k)$ .

- $\emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{A}^2(k)$ .
- any finite subset of  $\mathbb{A}^2(k)$ .
- $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ , where  $f \in k[x_1, x_2]$  is an irreducible polynomial.

In fact, we shall see later that the non-empty closed subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^2(k)$  listed above are of the form  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})$ , for some prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $k[x_1, x_2]$ . Moreover, any closed subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^2(k)$  is a finite union of the closed subsets of the form listed above.

Connection between affine algebraic sets and commutative algebra is established by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz and its corollaries.

**Theorem 1.1.16** (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz). *Let  $K$  be a field that is not necessarily algebraically closed, and let  $A$  be a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra. Then  $A$  is Jacobson; i.e., every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  is an intersection of all maximal ideals of  $A$  containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ .*

$$\mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in V_{\max}(\mathfrak{p})} \mathfrak{m},$$

where  $V_{\max}(\mathfrak{p})$  is the set of all maximal ideals of  $A$  containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of  $A$ , then  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a finite degree field extension of  $K$ .

Before proving Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, let's discuss some of its consequences.

**Corollary 1.1.17.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field, and let  $A$  be a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra.*

- (i) *Then  $A/\mathfrak{m} = k$ , for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of the polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then there exists  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  such that  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Since the ring homomorphism  $k \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a finite degree field extension of  $k$  by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (Theorem 1.1.16),  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is an algebraic field extension of  $k$ . Since  $k$  is algebraically closed, we have  $k \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$  is an isomorphism of rings.

(ii) Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Since  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra and  $k$  is algebraically closed, by part (i) the quotient  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{m}$  is isomorphic to the field  $k$ . Note that the quotient map

$$\varphi : k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{m} = k$$

is a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism. For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $a_i = \varphi(x_i) \in k$ . Then  $\varphi(x_j - a_j) = 0$ ,  $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore, the ideal  $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$  is contained in the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Since  $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$  is also maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.1.18.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and let  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$  be the algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$  defined by  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the points of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$  and the set of all maximal ideals of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be the quotient ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ . By correspondence theorem, the maximal ideals of  $A$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the maximal ideals of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$  be given. Since  $\mathfrak{m}_a := (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$  is the kernel of the surjective ring homomorphism

$$ev_a : k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k$$

defined by

$$ev_a(f) = f(a), \quad \forall f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n],$$

$\mathfrak{m}_a$  is a maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Since  $a \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$ , we have  $ev_a(f) = f(a) = 0$ ,  $\forall f \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \text{Ker}(ev_a) = \mathfrak{m}_a$ . Let  $\text{MaxSpec}(A)$  be the set of all maximal ideals of  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ . Thus we get a map

$$\psi : \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow \text{MaxSpec}(A)$$

defined by sending  $a \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$  to the maximal ideal  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}_a}$  of  $A$  associated to  $\mathfrak{m}_a$ . Clearly  $\psi$  is injective by construction. To see  $\psi$  is surjective, note that a maximal ideal of  $A$  is given by a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Since  $k$  is algebraically closed, by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (Corollary 1.1.17) we have  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_a$  for some  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n(k)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_a$ , given any  $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ , there exists  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that

$f = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a_i)g_i$ . Then  $f(a) = 0$ . Therefore,  $a \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$ , and hence  $\psi$  is surjective. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We now give a proof of Theorem 1.1.16 (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz). The main ingredient is Noether's normalization lemma (for a proof, see e.g. Basic Commutative Algebra book by Balwant Singh).

**Definition 1.1.19.** Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism. An element  $\beta \in B$  is said to be *integral over*  $A$  if there exists a non-constant monic polynomial  $x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n \in A[x]$  such that  $\beta^n + \phi(a_1)\beta^{n-1} + \cdots + \phi(a_n) = 0$ . If every element of  $B$  is integral over  $A$ , then  $B$  is called *integral over*  $A$ .

**Lemma 1.1.20.** Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism. Then  $B$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -algebra that is integral over  $A$  if and only if  $B$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module.

**Definition 1.1.21.** Let  $K$  be a field and let  $A$  be a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra. A finite subset  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  of  $A$  is said to be *algebraically dependent over*  $K$  if there exists a non-zero polynomial  $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ . If  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset A$  is said to be *algebraically independent over*  $K$  if it is not algebraically dependent over  $K$ .

**Theorem 1.1.22** (Noether's Normalization lemma). Let  $K$  be a field (not necessarily algebraically closed), and let  $A \neq 0$  be a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra. Then there exists an integer  $n \geq 0$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in A$  such that the  $K$ -algebra homomorphism

$$K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \longrightarrow A, \quad x_j \mapsto t_j, \quad \forall j,$$

is injective, and  $A$  is a finitely generated  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -algebra that is integral over  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

To deduce Hilbert's Nullstellensatz from Noether's normalization lemma, we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.1.23.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be integral domains and let  $A \rightarrow B$  be an injective ring homomorphism. If  $B$  is integral over  $A$ , then  $A$  is a field if and only if  $B$  is a field.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  is a field. Let  $b \in B \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $b$  is integral over  $A$ ,  $A[b]$  is a finite dimensional  $A$ -vector space. Since  $B$  is an integral domain, the multiplication by  $b$  map

$$\mu_b : A[b] \rightarrow A[b], \quad f(b) \mapsto bf(b)$$

is injective. Clearly  $\mu_b$  is  $A$ -linear, and hence is bijective. Then  $bf(b) = 1$ , for some  $f(b) \in A[b]$ , and hence  $b$  is a unit in  $A[b]$ . Thus  $B$  is a field.

Conversely, suppose that  $B$  is a field. Let  $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $b = a^{-1}$  in  $B$ . Since  $B$  is integral over  $A$ , there exists  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  such that  $b^n + a_1b^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$ . Multiplying both sides by  $a^{n-1} \neq 0$  and using the fact that  $ab = 1$ , we see that

$$b = -(a_1 + a_2b + \cdots + a_na^{n-1}) \in A.$$

Therefore,  $A$  is a field.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.1.24.** Let  $K$  and  $L$  be fields such that  $L$  is a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra. Then  $L$  is a finite degree field extension of  $K$ .

*Proof.* Since  $L$  is a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra, by Noether's normalization lemma (Theorem 1.1.22) there exists an injective  $K$ -algebra homomorphism  $\varphi : K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow L$  that makes  $L$  integral over  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , for some integer  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $L$  is a field, by Lemma 1.1.23 we conclude that  $n = 0$ . Then  $L$  is a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra that is integral over  $K$ , and hence  $L$  is a finitely generated  $K$ -vector space. Therefore,  $L$  is a finite degree field extension of  $K$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (Theorem 1.1.16).* Let  $A$  be a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra. To see the second part, let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $A$ . Since the composite map

$$K \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\pi} A/\mathfrak{m}$$

is a non-zero  $K$ -algebra homomorphism,  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a field extension of  $K$ . Since  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra, it follows from Lemma 1.1.24 that  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a finite degree field extension of  $K$ .

For the first part, we begin with the following remark. If  $L$  is a finite degree field extension of  $K$  and  $\varphi : A \rightarrow L$  is a  $K$ -algebra homomorphism, the image of  $\varphi$  is an integral domain that is finitely generated  $K$ -vector space. Then  $\varphi(A)$  is a field by Lemma 1.1.24. Therefore,  $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$  is a maximal ideal of  $A$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ . Replacing  $A$  by  $A/\mathfrak{p}$ , if required, it suffices to show that if  $A$  is an integral domain that is a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra then intersection of all maximal ideals of  $A$  is the zero ideal. For this we show that, given any  $\alpha \in A \setminus \{0\}$  there is a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A$  such that  $\alpha \notin \mathfrak{m}$ . Note that, for  $\alpha \neq 0$  in  $A$ , the ring  $A[\alpha^{-1}] \subseteq Q(A)$  is a non-zero finitely generated  $K$ -algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be a maximal ideal of  $A[\alpha^{-1}]$ . Then  $L := A[\alpha^{-1}]/\mathfrak{n}$  is a finite degree field extension of  $K$  by the second assertion. Then the kernel of the composite map

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow A[\alpha^{-1}] \rightarrow L$$

is a maximal ideal, say  $\mathfrak{m}$ , of  $A$  by above remark. Clearly  $\alpha \notin \mathfrak{m}$ .  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a commutative ring with identity. Given an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $A$ , the subset

$$\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}) := \{a \in A : a^n \in \mathfrak{a}, \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

forms an ideal of  $A$ , called the *radical of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $A$* . Note that,  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \text{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ . If  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}$ , then we call  $\mathfrak{a}$  a *radical ideal* of  $A$ . For example, prime ideals are radical ideals.

**Exercise 1.1.25.** Let  $A$  be a commutative ring with identity. Given an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $A$ , let  $V(\mathfrak{a})$  be the set of all prime ideals of  $A$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Show that  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p}$ .

**Exercise 1.1.26.** Let  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables with coefficients for a field  $k$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $A$ . Use Hilbert's Nullstellensatz to show that

$$\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in V_{\max}(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{m},$$

where  $V_{\max}(\mathfrak{a})$  is the set of all maximal ideals of  $A$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

**Exercise 1.1.27.** Given an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of the polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , show that  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{Z}(\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}))$ .

**Definition 1.1.28.** Given an algebraic subset  $Z$  of  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ , the subset

$$\mathcal{I}(Z) := \{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] : f(a) = 0, \forall a \in Z\}$$

is an ideal of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , called the *ideal of polynomials vanishing on  $Z$* .

Let  $Z$  be an algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ . Since for given any  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $a \in \mathbb{A}^n(k)$ , we have  $f(a) = 0$  if and only if  $f \in \mathfrak{m}_a := (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{I}(Z) = \bigcap_{a \in Z} \mathfrak{m}_a$ .

**Proposition 1.1.29.** (i) Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})) = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ .

(ii) Let  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  be a subset (not necessarily Zariski closed), and let  $\bar{Z}$  be its closure in  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ . Then  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(Z)) = \bar{Z}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Since  $k$  is algebraically closed and  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra, it follows from Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (Corollary 1.1.17) that every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A := k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is the intersection of all maximal ideals of  $A$  containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p}$ , it follows from Corollary 1.1.17 that  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in V_{\max}(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{m}$ , where

$V_{\max}(\mathfrak{a})$  is the set of all maximal ideals of  $A$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

Let  $a \in Z$  be given. Then for any  $f \in \mathcal{I}(Z)$  we have  $f(a) = 0$ , and so  $a \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(Z))$ . Thus  $Z \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(Z))$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(Z))$  is closed in  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ , we have  $\bar{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(Z))$ . To show the converse, it suffices to show that any closed subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$  containing  $Z$  contains  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(Z))$ . Let  $W$  be any closed subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$  containing  $Z$ . Then  $W = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$ , for some ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(Z)$ . Then  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(Z)) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a})) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}) = W$ . Therefore,  $\bar{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(Z))$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.1.30.** Given an affine algebraic subset  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  the quotient ring

$$k[X] := k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \mathcal{I}(X)$$

is called the *affine coordinate ring* of  $X$ .

**Exercise 1.1.31.** Let  $A$  be a commutative ring with identity. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $A$ . Show that,  $\mathfrak{a} = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$  if and only if  $\text{Nil}(A/I) = 0$ .

**Lemma 1.1.32.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of a commutative ring  $A$  with identity, and let  $A/\mathfrak{a}$  be the associated quotient ring. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all radical ideals of  $A$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$  and the set of all radical ideals of  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a}$  be the natural surjective ring homomorphism. Given an ideal  $I$  of  $A$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ , its image  $I/\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal of  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ . This gives a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all ideals of  $A$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$  and the set of all ideals of  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ . By third isomorphism theorem we have an isomorphism of quotient rings  $(A/\mathfrak{a})/(I/\mathfrak{a}) \cong A/I$ . Then  $\text{Nil}((A/\mathfrak{a})/(I/\mathfrak{a})) \cong \text{Nil}(A/I)$ . Therefore,  $I$  is a radical ideal of  $A$  if and only if  $I/\mathfrak{a}$  is a radical ideal of  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ . Hence the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.1.33.** *Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  be an affine algebraic subset with affine coordinate ring  $k[X]$ . Equip  $X$  with the subspace topology induced from the Zariski topology on  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all closed subsets of  $X$  and the set of all radical ideals of  $k[X]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Z$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is closed in  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ , so is  $Z$ . Then  $Z = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$ , for some ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z}(\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a})) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$ , we may assume that  $\mathfrak{a} = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ . Since  $Z \subseteq X$  if and only if  $\mathcal{I}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(Z) = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}$ , using Lemma 1.1.32 we have an one-to-one correspondence between the set of all closed subsets of  $X$  and the set of all radical ideals of  $k[X]$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.1.34.** Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m(k)$  and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  be two affine algebraic subsets. A *morphism* from  $X$  into  $Y$  is a map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  such that there exists polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  such that  $\varphi(a) = (f_1(a), \dots, f_n(a))$ ,  $\forall a \in X$ . The set of all morphisms from  $X$  into  $Y$  is denoted by  $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ .

Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m(k)$  and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  be affine algebraic subsets. Let  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  and  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  be two ordered  $n$ -tuples of polynomials in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  defining morphisms  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Then  $\varphi = \psi$  if and only if for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_j - g_j)(a) &= 0, \quad \forall a \in X \\ \Leftrightarrow f_j - g_j &\in \mathcal{I}(X) \\ \Leftrightarrow \overline{f_j} &= \overline{g_j} \text{ in } k[X]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 1.1.35.** *Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m(k)$  and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  be two affine algebraic subsets. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$  and the set of all  $k$ -algebra homomorphisms from  $k[Y]$  into  $k[X]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \text{Hom}(X, Y)$  be given. Define a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\tilde{\varphi} : k[y_1, \dots, y_n] \rightarrow k[X] = k[x_1, \dots, x_m] / \mathcal{I}(X)$$

by sending  $y_j$  to the image of  $f_j$  in the coordinate ring  $k[X]$  under the quotient map  $k[x_1, \dots, x_m] \rightarrow k[X]$ , for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Note that  $g \in \text{Ker}(\tilde{\varphi})$  if and only if  $g(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  if and only if  $g(\varphi(a)) = g(f_1(a), \dots, f_n(a)) = 0$ ,  $\forall a \in X$  if and only if  $g \in \mathcal{I}(\varphi(X))$ . In particular,  $\text{Ker}(\tilde{\varphi}) = \mathcal{I}(Y)$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is surjective. Since  $\varphi(X) \subseteq Y$ , we have  $\mathcal{I}(Y) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\varphi(X))$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{I}(Y) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\tilde{\varphi})$ . Then there is a unique  $k$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi^\# : k[Y] \rightarrow k[X]$$

such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[y_1, \dots, y_n] & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & k[X] \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \varphi^\# & \\ k[Y] & & \end{array}$$



Conversely, given any  $k$ -algebra homomorphism

$$f : k[y_1, \dots, y_n]/\mathcal{I}(Y) \rightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_m]/\mathcal{I}(X),$$

fix a polynomial  $f_j \in k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  whose image in the coordinate ring  $k[X]$  is  $f(\overline{y_j})$ , where  $\overline{y_j}$  is the image of  $y_j$  in  $k[Y]$ , for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $f$  defines a morphism of affine algebraic sets

$$\varphi : X \rightarrow Y, \quad a \mapsto (f_1(a), \dots, f_n(a))$$

such that  $\varphi^\# = f$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.1.36.** *Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m(k)$  and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  be affine algebraic subsets, and let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of affine algebraic sets. Then  $\varphi$  is continuous for the subspace Zariski topologies on  $X$  and  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to assume that  $Y = \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  and show that  $\varphi^{-1}(Z)$  is closed in  $X$ , for every closed subset  $Z$  of  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  be such that  $\varphi = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . Let  $Z$  be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ . Then  $Z = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$ , for some ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $k[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ . Let

$$\varphi^\# : k[y_1, \dots, y_n] \rightarrow k[X], \quad g \mapsto g(f_1, \dots, f_n),$$

be the  $k$ -algebra homomorphism induced by  $\varphi$ . Let  $\mathfrak{b} = \langle \varphi^\#(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$  be the ideal of  $k[X]$  generated by the image of  $\mathfrak{a}$  under  $\varphi^\#$ . Then it is easy to check (verify!) that

$$\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})) = \{a \in X : (f_1(a), \dots, f_n(a)) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})\} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{b}).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $X$  be an affine algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ . Given an element  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , let

$$D(f) = X \setminus \mathcal{Z}(f) = \{a \in X : f(a) \neq 0\}.$$

Then  $D(f)$  is an open subset of  $X$ , called a *principal open subset* of  $X$ .

**Proposition 1.1.37.** *Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  be an affine algebraic subset. The collection of all principal open subsets of  $X$  forms a basis for the subspace topology on  $X$  induced from  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that,  $D(f) \cap D(g) = D(fg)$ , for all  $f, g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{I}(X)$ . Since  $X$  is an affine algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a radical ideal of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $X = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$ . Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is closed in  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ , so is  $Z := X \setminus U$ . Then it follows from Corollary 1.1.33 that  $Z = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{b})$ , for some radical ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Since  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a noetherian ring,  $\mathfrak{b} = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ , for some  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $Z = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathcal{Z}(f_1, \dots, f_r) = \bigcap_{j=1}^r \mathcal{Z}(f_j)$ , and hence

$$U = X \setminus Z = \bigcup_{j=1}^r D(f_j). \quad \text{This completes the proof.} \quad \square$$

**Proposition 1.1.38.** *Let  $X$  be an affine algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ . Then there is a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\Phi : \text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{A}^1(k)) \rightarrow k[X]$ .*

*Proof.* Note that each element  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{A}^1(k))$  is given by a polynomial  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Two polynomials  $f, g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  give rise to the same morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1(k)$  if and only if  $f - g \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ . Therefore, we have a well-defined injective map

$$\Phi : \text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{A}^1(k)) \rightarrow k[X] = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathcal{I}(X)$$

defined by sending  $\varphi = f$  to  $\bar{f} \in k[X]$ . This map is clearly surjective. Given  $f, g \in \text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{A}^1(k))$ , we can define their addition and multiplication and  $k$ -multiplication in obvious way to get a natural  $k$ -algebra structure on  $\text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{A}^1(k))$ . It follows that  $\Phi$  is a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism.  $\square$

## 1.2 Schemes

Let  $A$  be a commutative ring with identity. Let  $\text{Spec}(A)$  be the set of all prime ideals of  $A$ . Given a subset  $E \subseteq A$ , let

$$V(E) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) : E \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Note that,  $V(E) = V(\langle E \rangle)$ , where  $\langle E \rangle$  is the ideal of  $A$  generated by  $E$ . Moreover, if  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq A$ , then  $V(E_2) \subseteq V(E_1)$ .

**Proposition 1.2.1.** *With the above notations the following holds.*

- (i)  $V(0) = \text{Spec}(A)$  and  $V(1) = \emptyset$ ;
- (ii) Given a collection  $\{\mathfrak{a}_j : j \in I\}$  of ideals of  $A$ , we have  $\bigcap_{j \in I} V(\mathfrak{a}_j) = V(\sum_{j \in I} \mathfrak{a}_j)$ ; and
- (iii) Given any two ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $A$ , we have  $V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$ .

Consequently, the collection  $\tau_c := \{V(\mathfrak{a}) : \mathfrak{a} \text{ is an ideal of } A\}$ , satisfies axioms for closed subsets of a topology on  $\text{Spec}(A)$ , called the Zariski topology on  $\text{Spec}(A)$ . The set  $\text{Spec}(A)$  together with the Zariski topology on it is called an affine scheme.

*Proof.* (i) is obvious. To see (ii), note that,  $\mathfrak{a}_j \subseteq \sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i$  gives  $V(\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a}_j)$ ,  $\forall j \in I$ , and hence  $V(\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{j \in I} V(\mathfrak{a}_j)$ . Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \bigcap_{j \in I} V(\mathfrak{a}_j)$ , then  $\mathfrak{a}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\forall j \in I$ , and so  $\sum_{j \in I} \mathfrak{a}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i)$ . This proves (ii). To see (iii), note that  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  gives  $V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$ . If  $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(\mathfrak{a})$ , then there exists  $f \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $g \in \mathfrak{b}$  be arbitrary. Then  $fg \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of  $A$  and  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , we have  $g \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , and hence  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{b})$ . This proves (iii).  $\square$

**Exercise 1.2.2.** Show that  $V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}))$ , for any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $A$ .

**Example 1.2.3.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity, and let  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$ -variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  over the ring  $R$ . The set  $\text{Spec}(R[x_1, \dots, x_n])$  together with the Zariski topology on it is called the *affine  $n$ -space over  $R$* , and is denoted by  $\mathbb{A}_R^n$ . When the base ring  $R$  is a field  $k$ , then the set of all closed points of  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  is precisely the affine  $n$ -space  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$  defined in the last section.

**Exercise 1.2.4.** Given a point  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ , show that  $\{\mathfrak{p}\} = \overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a maximal ideal of  $A$ .

Given a closed subset  $Z$  of an affine scheme  $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ , consider the subset

$$\mathcal{I}(Z) := \{f \in A : f \in \mathfrak{p}, \forall \mathfrak{p} \in Z\}.$$

Clearly  $0 \in \mathcal{I}(Z)$ . Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{I}(Z)$  and  $h \in A$  be arbitrary. Then  $f, g \in \mathfrak{p}, \forall \mathfrak{p} \in Z$  implies that  $f + gh \in \mathfrak{p}, \forall \mathfrak{p} \in Z$ . Therefore,  $f + gh \in \mathcal{I}(Z)$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{I}(Z)$  is an ideal of  $A$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{I}(Z)$ , we have

$$\mathcal{I}(Z) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in Z} \mathfrak{p}.$$

**Proposition 1.2.5.** (i) Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $A$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}(V(\mathfrak{a})) = \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ .

(ii) Given any subset  $Z$  of  $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ , we have  $V(\mathcal{I}(Z)) = \overline{Z}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Note that,  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{I}(V(\mathfrak{a})) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{a}).$$

(ii) Since  $\mathcal{I}(Z) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \in Z} \mathfrak{q}$ , we have  $\mathcal{I}(Z) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}, \forall \mathfrak{p} \in Z$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathcal{I}(Z)), \forall \mathfrak{p} \in Z$ , and hence  $Z \subseteq V(\mathcal{I}(Z))$ . Since  $V(\mathcal{I}(Z))$  is closed in  $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ , we have  $\overline{Z} \subseteq V(\mathcal{I}(Z))$ . To show the reverse inclusion, it suffices to show that  $V(\mathcal{I}(Z)) \subseteq V$ , for any closed subset  $V$  of  $X$  containing  $Z$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $A$  be such that  $Z \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ . Then for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in Z$  we have  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in Z} \mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{I}(Z)$ , and hence  $V(\mathcal{I}(Z)) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ , as required. Thus,  $V(\mathcal{I}(Z)) = \overline{Z}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.2.6.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty topological space. Then  $X$  is said to be *reducible* if there exists two non-empty proper closed subsets  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  of  $X$  such that  $X = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ . If  $X$  is not reducible, then we call it *irreducible*.

**Example 1.2.7.** The affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1(k)$  over a field  $k$  is irreducible if and only if  $k$  is infinite.

**Proposition 1.2.8.** Let  $A$  be a non-zero commutative ring with identity, and let  $Z$  be a closed subset of  $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ . Then  $Z$  is irreducible if and only if  $\mathcal{I}(Z)$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ . In particular,  $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$  is irreducible if and only if the nil radical  $\operatorname{Nil}(A)$  of  $A$  is prime.

*Proof.* Let  $Z = V(\mathfrak{a})$ , for some ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $A$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}(Z) = \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ . Suppose that  $Z$  is irreducible. Let  $f, g \in A$  be such that  $fg \in \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $f \notin \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ . Then

$$Z = V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(\operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{a})) \subseteq V(fg) = V(f) \cup V(g).$$

Since  $Z$  is irreducible, either  $Z \subseteq V(f)$  or  $Z \subseteq V(g)$ . If  $Z \subseteq V(f)$ , then  $f \in \operatorname{rad}(f) = \mathcal{I}(V(f)) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(Z) = \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ . But  $f \notin \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ . Then we must have  $Z \subseteq V(g)$ , which gives  $g \in \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{I}(Z) = \operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{I}(Z)$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ . Suppose that  $Z \subseteq Y_1 \cup Y_2$ , for some non-empty proper

closed subsets  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  of  $\text{Spec}(A)$ . Let  $Y_1 = V(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $Y_2 = V(\mathfrak{b})$ , for some ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $A$ . Since  $Y_1 \cup Y_2 = V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$ , we have  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(Z)$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}(Z)$  is prime, either  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(Z)$  or  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(Z)$ . Since  $Z = \overline{Z}$ , the above two conditions gives either  $Z \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a}) = Y_1$  or  $Z \subseteq V(\mathfrak{b}) = Y_2$ . Therefore,  $Z$  is irreducible.

Since  $\text{Spec}(A) = V(0)$ , it follows that  $\text{Spec}(A)$  is irreducible if and only if  $\text{rad}(0) = \text{Nil}(A)$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ . Note that, this happens if and only if  $A$  has a unique minimal prime ideal.  $\square$

### 1.3 Appendix: Category Theory

*Joke: Category theory is like Ramayana and Mahabharata — there are lots of arrows!*

— Nitin Nitsure

**Definition 1.3.1.** A category  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of the following data:

- (i) a collection of objects  $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ ,
- (ii) for each ordered pair of objects  $(X, Y)$  of  $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , there is a collection  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ , whose members are called *arrows* or *morphisms from  $X$  to  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$* ; an object  $\varphi \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$  is usually denoted by an arrow  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ .

- (iii) for each ordered triple  $(X, Y, Z)$  of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is a map (called *composition map*)

$$\circ : \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \times \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z), \quad (f, g) \mapsto g \circ f,$$

such that the following conditions hold.

- (a) *Associativity:* Given  $X, Y, Z, W \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , and  $f \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ ,  $g \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z)$  and  $h \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, W)$ , we have  $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$ .
- (b) *Existence of identity:* For each  $X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , there exists a morphism  $\text{Id}_X \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$  such that given any objects  $Y, Z \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$  and morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  we have  $f \circ \text{Id}_Y = f$  and  $\text{Id}_Z \circ f = f$ .

A category  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *locally small* if  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$  is a set, for all  $X, Y \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ . A category  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *small* if it is locally small and the class of objects  $\text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$  is a set.

**Example 1.3.2.** The category  $(\text{Set})$ , whose objects are sets and morphisms are given by set maps, is a locally small, but not small. However, the category  $(\text{FinSet})$ , whose objects are finite sets and morphisms are given by set maps, is a small category.

Two objects  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  are said to be *isomorphic* if there are morphisms (arrows)  $f : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  and  $g : A_2 \rightarrow A_1$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $g \circ f = \text{Id}_{A_1}$  and  $f \circ g = \text{Id}_{A_2}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two categories. A functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is given by the following data:

- (i) for each  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  there is an object  $\mathcal{F}(X) \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

- (ii) for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ , there is  $\mathcal{F}(f) \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{F}(X), \mathcal{F}(Y))$ , which are compatible with the composition maps.

A functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is said to be *faithful* (resp., *full*) if for any two objects  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ , the induced map

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_1, A_2) \longrightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{F}(A_1), \mathcal{F}(A_2))$$

is injective (resp., surjective). We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is *fully faithful* if it is both full and faithful.

Let  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be two functors. A morphism of functors  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is given by the following data: for each object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , a map  $\varphi_A : \mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)$  which is *functorial*; that means, for any arrow  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the following diagram commutes.

$$(1.3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} & \mathcal{F}(A') \\ \varphi_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{A'} \\ \mathcal{G}(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(f)} & \mathcal{G}(A') \end{array}$$

**Definition 1.3.4.** A morphism  $f \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B)$  is said to be a *monomorphism* if for any object  $T \in \mathcal{A}$  and two morphisms  $g, h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, A)$  with  $f \circ g = f \circ h$ , we have  $g = h$ .

A morphism  $f \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B)$  is said to be a *epimorphism* if for any object  $T \in \mathcal{A}$  and two morphisms  $g, h \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}}(B, T)$  with  $g \circ f = h \circ f$ , we have  $g = h$ .

Given any two categories  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , we can define a category  $\text{Func}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ , whose objects are functors  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , and for any two such objects  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Func}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ , there is a morphism set  $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  consisting of all morphisms of functors  $\varphi_A : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ , as defined above.

**Proposition 1.3.5.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two small categories. Two objects  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Func}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  are isomorphic if there exists a morphism of functors  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that for any object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , the induced morphism  $\varphi_A : \mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Definition 1.3.6.** A category  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *pre-additive* if for any two objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ , the set  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$  has a structure of an abelian group such that the *composition map*

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \times \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, Z) \longrightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Z),$$

written as  $(f, g) \mapsto g \circ f$ , is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear, for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Notation.** For any pre-additive category  $\mathcal{A}$ , we denote by  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$  the abelian group  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ , for all  $X, Y \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be pre-additive categories. A functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is said to be *additive* if for all objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ , the induced map

$$\mathcal{F}_{X,Y} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{F}(X), \mathcal{F}(Y))$$

is a group homomorphism.

**Definition 1.3.7** (Additive category). A category  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *additive* if for any two objects  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , the set  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B)$  has a structure of an abelian group such that the following conditions holds.

- (i) The composition map  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(B, C) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, C)$ , written as  $(f, g) \mapsto g \circ f$ , is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear, for all  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (ii) There is a zero object  $0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e.,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(0, 0)$  is the trivial group with one element.
- (iii) For any two objects  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ , there is an object  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  together with morphisms  $j_i : A_i \rightarrow B$  and  $p_i : B \rightarrow A_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , which makes  $B$  the direct sum and the direct product of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 1.3.8.** Let  $k$  be a field. A  $k$ -linear category is an additive category  $\mathcal{A}$  such that for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , the abelian groups  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B)$  are  $k$ -vector spaces such that the composition morphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(B, C) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, C), \quad (f, g) \mapsto g \circ f$$

are  $k$ -bilinear, for all  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Remark 1.3.9.** Additive functors  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  between two  $k$ -linear additive categories  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  over the same base field  $k$  are assumed to be  $k$ -linear, i.e., for any two objects  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ , the map  $\mathcal{F}_{A_1, A_2} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_1, A_2) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{F}(A_1), \mathcal{F}(A_2))$  is  $k$ -linear.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category. Then there is a unique object  $0 \in \mathcal{A}$ , called the *zero object* such that for any object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , there are unique morphisms  $0 \rightarrow A$  and  $A \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For any two objects  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , the *zero morphism*  $0 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B)$  is defined to be the composite morphism

$$A \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow B.$$

In particular, taking  $A = 0$ , we see that, the set  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(0, B)$  is the trivial group consisting of one element, which is, in fact, the zero morphism of  $0$  into  $B$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 1.3.10.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then *kernel* of  $f$  is a pair  $(\iota, \text{Ker}(f))$ , where  $\text{Ker}(f) \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\iota \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Ker}(f), A)$  such that

- (i)  $f \circ \iota = 0$  in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Ker}(f), B)$ , and
- (ii) given any object  $C \in \mathcal{A}$  and a morphism  $g : C \rightarrow A$  with  $f \circ g = 0$ , there is a unique morphism  $\tilde{g} : C \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f)$  such that  $\iota \circ \tilde{g} = g$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C & & \\ & \swarrow \exists! \tilde{g} & \downarrow g & \searrow 0 & \\ \text{Ker}(f) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

The *cokernel* of  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B)$  is defined by reversing the arrows of the above diagram.

**Definition 1.3.11.** The *cokernel* of  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a pair  $(\pi, \text{Coker}(f))$ , where  $\text{Coker}(f)$  is an object of  $\mathcal{A}$  together with a morphism  $\pi : B \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

- (i)  $\pi \circ f = 0$  in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, \text{Coker}(f))$ , and
- (ii) given any object  $C \in \mathcal{A}$  and a morphism  $g : B \rightarrow C$  with  $g \circ f = 0$  in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, C)$ , there is a unique morphism  $\tilde{g} : \text{Coker}(f) \rightarrow C$  such that  $\tilde{g} \circ \pi = g$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Coker}(f) \\
 & \searrow 0 & \downarrow g & \swarrow \exists! \tilde{g} & \\
 & & C & & 
 \end{array}$$

**Definition 1.3.12.** The *coimage* of  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B)$ , denoted by  $\text{Coim}(f)$ , is the cokernel of  $\iota : \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow A$  of  $f$ , and the *image* of  $f$ , denoted  $\text{Im}(f)$ , is the kernel of the cokernel  $\pi : B \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f)$  of  $f$ .

**Lemma 1.3.13.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a preadditive category, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

- (i) If a kernel of  $f$  exists, then it is a monomorphism.
- (ii) If a cokernel of  $f$  exists, then it is an epimorphism.
- (iii) If a kernel and coimage of  $f$  exist, then the coimage is an epimorphism.
- (iv) If a cokernel and image of  $f$  exist, then the image is a monomorphism.

*Proof.* Assume that a kernel  $\iota : \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow X$  of  $f$  exists. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, \text{Ker}(f))$  be such that  $\iota \circ \alpha = \iota \circ \beta$ . Since  $f \circ (\iota \circ \alpha) = f \circ (\iota \circ \beta) = 0$ , by definition of  $\text{Ker}(f) \xrightarrow{\iota} X$  there is a unique morphism  $g \in \text{Hom}(Z, \text{Ker}(f))$  such that  $\iota \circ \alpha = \iota \circ g = \iota \circ \beta$ . Therefore,  $\alpha = g = \beta$ .

The proof of (ii) is dual.

(iii) follows from (ii), since the coimage is a cokernel. Similarly, (iv) follows from (i).  $\square$

**Exercise 1.3.14.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an additive category. Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$  be such that  $\text{Ker}(f) \xrightarrow{\iota} X$  exists in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the kernel of  $\iota : \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow X$  is the unique morphism  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma 1.3.15.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in a preadditive category  $\mathcal{C}$  such that the kernel, cokernel, image and coimage all exist in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $f$  uniquely factors as  $X \rightarrow \text{Coim}(f) \rightarrow \text{Im}(f) \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$  is zero, there is a canonical morphism  $\text{Coim}(f) \rightarrow Y$  such that the composite morphism  $X \rightarrow \text{Coim}(f) \rightarrow Y$  is  $f$ . The composition  $\text{Coim}(f) \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f)$  is zero, because it is the unique morphism which gives

rise to the morphism  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f)$ , which is zero. Hence  $\text{Coim}(f) \rightarrow Y$  factors uniquely through  $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Ker}(\pi_f)$  (see Lemma 1.3.13 (iii)). This completes the proof.

$$(1.3.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Ker}(f) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_f} & \text{Coker}(f) \\ & & \searrow \pi_\iota & & \nearrow j & & \\ & & \text{Coim}(f) & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(f) & & \end{array}$$

□

**Definition 1.3.17.** An *abelian category*  $\mathcal{A}$  is an additive category such that for any morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , its kernel  $\iota : \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow A$  and cokernel  $p : B \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f)$  exists in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and the natural morphism  $\text{Coim}(f) \rightarrow \text{Im}(f)$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{A}$  (c.f. Definition 1.3.12).

**Example 1.3.18.** 1. For any commutative ring  $A$  with identity, the category  $\text{Mod}_A$  of  $A$ -modules is an abelian category.

2. Let  $X$  be a scheme. Let  $\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{d}(X)$  be the category of sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules on  $X$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{d}(X)$  is abelian. The full subcategory  $\mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}(X)$  (reps.,  $\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}(X)$ ) of  $\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{d}(X)$  consisting of quasi-coherent (resp., coherent) sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules on  $X$ , are also abelian. However, the full subcategory  $\mathcal{V}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{t}(X)$  of  $\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{d}(X)$  consisting of locally free coherent sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules on  $X$ , is not abelian, because kernel of a morphism in  $\mathcal{V}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{t}(X)$  may not be in  $\mathcal{V}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{t}(X)$ .