

# — CVC4 proofs with bit-vectors (for the veriT proof format) —

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Proof Calculus</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Bit-blasting . . . . .	1
1.1.1	Variables and constants . . . . .	1
1.1.2	Bit-wise operations ( <b>bvand</b> , <b>bvor</b> , <b>bvxor</b> , <b>bvnot</b> ) . . . . .	2
1.1.3	Arithmetic operations (addition, negation, multiplication) . . . . .	2
1.1.4	Extraction . . . . .	3
1.1.5	Concatenation . . . . .	4
1.1.6	Equality . . . . .	4
1.1.7	Comparison predicates (signed/unsigned) . . . . .	4
1.1.8	Signed extension . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>veriT proof format</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Bit-blasting . . . . .	5

## 1 Proof Calculus

The proof calculus is extended with rules for representing the bit-blasting of bit-vector terms. This is independent of other rules for preprocessing, Boolean and theory reasoning.

### 1.1 Bit-blasting

Judgment for bit-blasting:

$$\mathbf{bbT} \ n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}]$$

in which  $n$  is a positive number,  $x$  is a term of the bit-vector type for size  $n$ , and  $x_i$ , with  $x_i \in \{\perp, \top\}$ , for  $0 \leq i < n$ , is the Boolean equivalent of the  $i$ -th bit of  $x$  (from least to most significant). Thus  $[x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}]$  is the Boolean bit-level interpretation corresponding to the bit-vector term  $x$ .

List of rules for bit-blasting

#### 1.1.1 Variables and constants

$$\frac{}{\mathbf{bbT} \ n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}]} \text{BBVAR} \qquad \frac{}{\mathbf{bbT} \ n \ v \ [v_0; \dots; v_{n-1}]} \text{BBCONST}$$

### 1.1.2 Bit-wise operations (bvand, bvor, bvxor, bvnot)

$$\frac{\text{bbT } n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}] \quad \text{bbT } n \ y \ [y_0; \dots; y_{n-1}]}{\text{bbT } n \ (bvand \ x \ y) \ [x_0 \wedge y_0; \dots; x_{n-1} \wedge y_{n-1}]} \text{BBAND}$$

$$\frac{\text{bbT } n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}] \quad \text{bbT } n \ y \ [y_0; \dots; y_{n-1}]}{\text{bbT } n \ (bvor \ x \ y) \ [x_0 \vee y_0; \dots; x_{n-1} \vee y_{n-1}]} \text{BBOR}$$

$$\frac{\text{bbT } n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}] \quad \text{bbT } n \ y \ [y_0; \dots; y_{n-1}]}{\text{bbT } n \ (bvxor \ x \ y) \ [x_0 \oplus y_0; \dots; x_{n-1} \oplus y_{n-1}]} \text{BBXOR}$$

$$\frac{\text{bbT } n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}]}{\text{bbT } n \ (bvnot \ x) \ [\neg x_0; \dots; \neg x_{n-1}]} \text{BBNOT}$$

### 1.1.3 Arithmetic operations (addition, negation, multiplication)

**Addition** Bit-blasted following the *ripple carry adder* way of computing:

$$\frac{\text{bbT } n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}] \quad \text{bbT } n \ y \ [y_0; \dots; y_{n-1}]}{\text{bbT } n \ (bvadd \ x \ y) \ [(x_0 \oplus y_0) \oplus \text{carry}_0; \dots; (x_{n-1} \oplus y_{n-1}) \oplus \text{carry}_{n-1}]} \text{BBADD}$$

in which, for  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{carry}_0 &= \perp \\ \text{carry}_{i+1} &= (x_i \wedge y_i) \vee ((x_i \oplus y_i) \wedge \text{carry}_i) \end{aligned}$$

**Negation** Bit-blasted following  $(bvneg \ a) \equiv (bvadd \ (bvnot \ a) \ 1)$ :

$$\frac{\text{bbT } n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}]}{\text{bbT } n \ (bvneg \ x) \ [(\neg x_0 \oplus \perp) \oplus \text{carry}_0; \dots; (\neg x_{n-1} \oplus \perp) \oplus \text{carry}_{n-1}]} \text{BBNEG}$$

in which, for  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{carry}_0 &= \top \\ \text{carry}_{i+1} &= (\neg x_i \wedge \perp) \vee ((\neg x_i \oplus \perp) \wedge \text{carry}_i) \end{aligned}$$

**Multiplication** Bit-blasted following the *shift add multiplier* way of computing:

$$\frac{\text{bbT } n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}] \quad \text{bbT } n \ y \ [y_0; \dots; y_{n-1}]}{\text{bbT } n \ (bvmult \ x \ y) \ [\text{res}_0^{n-1}; \dots; \text{res}_{n-1}^{n-1}]} \text{BBMULT}$$

in which, for  $i, j \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{res}_i^0 &= \text{sh}_i^0 \\
\text{res}_0^j &= \text{sh}_0^0 \\
\text{res}_i^{j+1} &= \text{res}_i^j \oplus \text{sh}_i^{j+1} \oplus \text{carry}_i^{j+1} \\
\text{carry}_i^0 &= \perp \\
\text{carry}_{i+1}^{j+1} &= \begin{cases} \text{res}_i^j \wedge \text{sh}_i^{j+1} \vee ((\text{res}_i^j \oplus \text{sh}_i^{j+1}) \wedge \text{carry}_i^{j+1}) & \text{if } j < i \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
\text{sh}_i^j &= \begin{cases} x_{i-j} \wedge y_j & \text{if } j \leq i \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Example mult for  $n = 4$ :

$$\left[ \begin{array}{lcl}
\text{res}_0^3 & = & (x_0 \wedge y_0); \\
\text{res}_1^3 & = & \underbrace{(x_1 \wedge y_0) \oplus (x_0 \wedge y_1)}_{\text{res}_1^0 \oplus \text{sh}_1^1} \oplus \underbrace{\text{carry}_1^3}_{\perp}; \\
\text{res}_2^3 & = & \underbrace{(x_2 \wedge y_0) \oplus (x_1 \wedge y_1)}_{\text{res}_2^0 \oplus \text{sh}_2^1} \oplus \underbrace{\text{carry}_2^1}_{\text{res}_1^0 \wedge \text{sh}_1^1 \dots} \oplus \underbrace{(x_0 \wedge y_2)}_{\text{sh}_2^2} \oplus \underbrace{\text{carry}_2^3}_{\perp}; \\
\text{res}_3^3 & = & \underbrace{(x_3 \wedge y_0) \oplus (x_2 \wedge y_1)}_{\text{res}_3^0 \oplus \text{sh}_3^1} \oplus \underbrace{\text{carry}_3^1}_{\dots} \oplus \underbrace{(x_1 \wedge y_2)}_{\text{sh}_3^2} \oplus \underbrace{\text{carry}_3^2}_{\text{res}_2^1 \wedge \text{sh}_2^2 \dots} \oplus \underbrace{(x_0 \wedge y_3)}_{\text{sh}_3^3} \oplus \underbrace{\text{carry}_3^3}_{\perp};
\end{array} \right]$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{carry}_3^1 &= \text{res}_2^0 \wedge \text{sh}_2^1 \vee ((\text{res}_2^0 \oplus \text{sh}_2^1) \wedge \text{carry}_2^1) \\
\text{carry}_2^1 &= \text{res}_1^0 \wedge \text{sh}_1^1 \vee ((\text{res}_1^0 \oplus \text{sh}_1^1) \wedge \underbrace{\text{carry}_1^1}_{\perp}) \\
\text{carry}_3^2 &= \text{res}_2^1 \wedge \text{sh}_2^2 \vee ((\text{res}_2^1 \oplus \text{sh}_2^2) \wedge \underbrace{\text{carry}_2^2}_{\perp})
\end{aligned}$$

#### 1.1.4 Extraction

$$\frac{\text{bbT } n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}] \quad 0 \leq j \leq i < n}{\text{bbT } i - j + 1 \ (extract \ i \ j \ x) \ [x_j; \dots; x_i]} \text{BBEXTRACT}$$

### 1.1.5 Concatenation

$$\frac{\text{bbT } n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}] \quad \text{bbT } m \ y \ [y_0; \dots; y_{m-1}]}{\text{bbT } n + m \ (\text{concat } x \ y) \ [y_0; \dots; y_{m-1}; x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}]} \text{BBCONCAT}$$

### 1.1.6 Equality

$$\frac{\text{bbT } n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}] \quad \text{bbT } n \ y \ [y_0; \dots; y_{n-1}]}{(bveq \ x \ y) \leftrightarrow (x_0 \leftrightarrow y_0 \ \wedge \ \dots \ \wedge \ x_{n-1} \leftrightarrow y_{n-1})} \text{BBEQ}$$

### 1.1.7 Comparison predicates (signed/unsigned)

**Unsigned less than** Bit-blasted following  $a < b$  iff, for  $0 \leq i < n$ ,  $(\sim a[i] \text{ AND } b[i]) \text{ OR } (a[i] \leftrightarrow b[i] \text{ AND } a[i+1 : n] < b[i+1 : n])$

$$\frac{\text{bbT } n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}] \quad \text{bbT } n \ y \ [y_0; \dots; y_{n-1}]}{(bvult \ x \ y) \leftrightarrow \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{res}_{(n-1)-i}} \text{BBULT}$$

in which, for  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}_0 &= \neg x_0 \wedge y_0 \\ \text{res}_{i+1} &= ((x_{i+1} \leftrightarrow y_{i+1}) \wedge \text{res}_i) \vee (\neg x_{i+1} \wedge y_{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

**Signed less than** Bit-blasted following  $a < b$  iff  $a$  is negative and  $b$  positive, or they have the same sign and the value of  $a$  is less than the value of  $b$  (i.e. the same as above)

$$\frac{\text{bbT } n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}] \quad \text{bbT } n \ y \ [y_0; \dots; y_{n-1}]}{(bvslt \ x \ y) \leftrightarrow (x_{n-1} \wedge \neg y_{n-1}) \vee \left( (x_{n-1} \wedge y_{n-1}) \wedge \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-2} \text{res}_{(n-2)-i} \right)} \text{BBSLT}$$

in which, for  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}_0 &= \neg x_0 \wedge y_0 \\ \text{res}_{i+1} &= ((x_{i+1} \leftrightarrow y_{i+1}) \wedge \text{res}_i) \vee (\neg x_{i+1} \wedge y_{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

### 1.1.8 Signed extension

$$\frac{\text{bbT } n \ x \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}]}{\text{bbT } n + k \ (bbsextend \ k \ x) \ [x_0; \dots; x_{n-1}; x_n; \dots; x_{n+k-1}]} \text{BBSEXT}$$

## 2 veriT proof format

Proofs involving bit-vector reasoning will have an overall structure with four components:

1. Inputs
2. Definition of bit-vector terms (via the above bit-blasting rules)
3. Resolution subproof of each bit-vector lemma learned

The subproof will depend on the bit-blasting definitions and Boolean reasoning, such that one can derive e.g. the following valid clause

```
(resolution ((not (= #b1 a)) (not (= #b0 b)) (not (= #b1 c)) (= (bvadd a b) c)) n1...nk)
```

in which  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  will be the Boolean reasoning clauses.

4. Resolution proof using the learned bit-vector lemmas and inputs to derive a conflict.

## 2.1 Bit-blasting

Examples of BBVAR and BBCONST:

```
(bbvar ((bbT a [ (bitof 0 a) (bitof 1 a) (bitof 2 a) (bitof 3 a)])))
(bbconst ((bbT #b0010 [ false true false false])))
```

Example of BB EQ:

```
(bbeq ((=
  (= #b0010 a)
  (and
    (= false (bitof 0 a))
    (and
      (= true (bitof 1 a))
      (and
        (= false (bitof 2 a))
        (= false (bitof 3 a))))))) n1 n2)
```

in which  $n_1$  is the definition of `#b0010` via BBCONST and  $n_2$  the definition of `a` via BBVAR.