

# Noise Spectrum and Photon Response of MCP-PMT

– based on the onsite PMT testing data

Email: [zhaor25@mail2.sysu.edu.cn](mailto:zhaor25@mail2.sysu.edu.cn)

School of Physics



中山大學  
SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY



# Outline

- ① Brief Introduction
- ② traing and test of CNN
- ③ Summary

# photon response characters of MCP PMT

The typical waveform and charge spectrum of MCP PMT@gain =  $10^7$   
[dark noise]




图: "cut" the charge spectrum to count pedestal events




图: fit using a PMT photon response model

# CNN

select the time interval before "trigger window".




图: tags of typical waveform from CNN







图: classification of events in one test


# 01pe

the 0.1pe case




 tags of typical waveform from CNN





 classification of events in one test


# 01pe

the 1pe case



 tags of typical waveform from CNN



 classification of events in one test

## the expected photon number

If we do a "cut" is the charge spectrum@0.25 spe, the averager photon number  $\mu$  can be acquired by<sup>1</sup>

$$\mu = -\ln\left(\frac{N_0}{N}\right) \quad (1)$$

where  $N_0$  is the number of pedestal(0 p.e) events,  $N$  is the total event number.

However, if we know explicitly the photon number of specific event, the  $\mu$  value is :

$$\mu = 1 \times n_1 + 2 \times n_2 + \cdots + N \times n_N \quad (2)$$


where  $n_N$  is the number of  $N$  p.e events.

<sup>1</sup>E. H. Bellamy et al /Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A 339 (1994) 468-476

# input of CNN

training data selection and pre-process:

- random selection from different PMTs
- $1.5 < \text{QDC} < 1.7$  for 1p.e
- $3.1 < \text{QDC} < 3.3$  for 2p.e
- $4.7 < \text{QDC} < 4.9$  for 3p.e
- 81ns ROI  $\rightarrow 9 \times 9$  2D image
- normalization





# CNN parameters

- 30k training waveform samples
- 2 convolution layers
- 4 output tags
- accuracy  $\simeq 0.95$





图: input data

# results of cnn



中山大学 SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY

图: HAMAMATSU PMT

# results of cnn




图: NNV PMT

## summary

- PSD by CNN provide a new option for PDE evaluation.
- can achieve *sim*0.95 accuracy with the traditional method using simple NN.
- much faster than traditional methods in PDE evaluation.
- CNN can extract more information from waveforms.

to list:

- refine the training samples and network structure.
- compare the accuracy in more details, for example using the reference tubes in container system.
- improve the input data quality.

# THANKS

# BACK-UP