

# Performance of 20inch PMTs Based on the Container Testing Data

Email: zhaor25@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

School of Physics



中山大學  
SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY



# Outline

① Brief Introduction

② Waveform and Charge Spectrum

③ Statistical Sesults of Paramaters

④ Summary

# PMT testing data analysis


We have tested **5k HAMAMATSU PMTs** and about **7k NNVT PMTs** in the container system. We can obtain the following paramaters:

**表:** PMT performance qualification standard






parameter	HAMAMATSU PMT	NNVT PMT
HV@Gain=10 <sup>7</sup>	<2350 V	<2800V
PDE	>24%	>24%
DCR	<50kHz	<100kHz
PV	>2.5	>2.5
rise time	<8.5ns	-
fall time	<12ns	-
FWHM	--	-
resolution	<0.4	<0.4

The Main aim of testing data analysis is to evaluate theses parameters and check wave quality of one PMT.

# Flowchart of analysis procedure



# Testing results output



# PMT testing report-pass

We have generated testing report for each qualified PMT.

QUALIFICATION TEST REPORT OF 20 INCH PMT									
Test Information:									
Test Date	Container#	Mass#	Drawer#	Mu	HV vendor	HV container	Gain	Sheet #	
20171010	1	25	111	0.88	1670	1670	1.01	0	
Parameters of Performance:									
Par	Value	Tag	Par	Value	Tag				
PDE[%]	27.27	√	Ristime[ns]	7.63	√				
DCR[kHz]	10.25	√	Falltime[ns]	10.50	√				
PV	3.23	√	FWHM[ns]	9.29	√				
TTS[ns]			SNR	0.06	√				
AP[%]			Resolution	0.28	√				
Test History and Notes:									
<p>This PMT was retested due to PDE problem , and then passed the test.</p>									
  <b>[Aux Info]</b> Table generated date: 20190102 Data quality check:  Scanning Station check:  <a href="mailto:zhaor25@mail2.sysu.edu.cn">zhaor25@mail2.sysu.edu.cn</a>									
<b>EA0283</b> <b>PASS</b>									

# Typical waveform of PMT(@ $gain = 10^7$ )

Typical signal waveform when working @ $gain = 10^7$




图: single photon signal waveform of HAMAMATSU PMT




图: single photon signal waveform of NNVT PMT

# Output waveforms of PMT @Gain = $10^7$

The 2-D waveform histogram contains all the recorded waveforms, we can clearly see the "delayed signals" of HAMMATSU PMT and "big signals" of NNVT PMTs.




图: all frames of HAMAMATSU PMT




图: all frames of NNVT PMT

# Output integrated waveforms of PMT(@gain = 10<sup>7</sup>)

From the waveform integral histogram we acquire more information.




图: integrated waveforms of HAMAMATSU PMT




图: integrated waveforms of NNVT PMT

# Amplitude spectrum (@ $gain = 10^7 \& \mu \simeq 1.3$ )

Signal amplitude stability of NNVT PMT is worse than HAMAMATSU PMT.




图: Amplitude spectrum of HAMAMATSU PMT




图: Amplitude spectrum of NNVT PMT

# Aligned waveforms (@ $gain = 10^7 \& \mu \simeq 1.3$ )

Aligning all signals according to their maximum: signal profile of HAMAMATSU PMT have better symetry.



图: Aligned frames of HAMAMATSU PMT




图: Aligned frames of NNVT PMT

# Average waveform (@gain = $10^7$ & $\mu \simeq 1.3$ )

The average waveform of NNVT PMT has faster rising edge and lower falling edge.




图: average waveform of HAMAMATSU PMT




图: average waveform of NNVT PMT

# Signal hit time distribution

The hittime response of NNVT PMT is about 20ns slower than the HAMAMATSU PMT.



图: hit time of HAMAMATSU PMT



图: hit time of NNVT PMT

# charge and amplitude (@ $gain = 10^7$ & $\mu \simeq 1.3$ )

amplitudes and charge intergrals of NNVT PMT is not as stable as HAMAMATSU PMT.



图: charge and amplitude correlation of HAMAMATSU PMT




图: charge and amplitude correlation of NNVT PMT

# rise-time and fall-time (@gain = $10^7$ & $\mu \simeq 1.3$ )



图: rise-time and fall-time of HAMAMATSU PMT





图: rise-time and fall-time of NNTV PMT

# Signal charge spectrum(@ $gain = 10^7 \& \mu \simeq 1.3$ )

sys2\_mass20037\_channel231\_SNPA5549\_lt2sig-QDC-avebaseline



sys2\_mass20037\_channel234\_SNPA1711-1215\_lt2sig-QDC-avebaseline




图: signal charge spectrum of HAMAMATSU PMT

图: rise-time and fall-time of NNVT PMT

# calculation of parameters

## signal waveform

- $\text{rise time} = t_{.9r\text{Maximum}} - t_{.1r\text{Maximum}}$
- $\text{fall time} = t_{.1f\text{Maximum}} - t_{.9f\text{Maximum}}$
- $\text{FWHM} = t_{+1/2\text{Maximum}} - t_{-1/2\text{Maximum}}$

## charge spectrum

- $\text{Gain} = \frac{Q_{1pe} - Q_{0pe}}{Q_e}$
- $\text{PV} = \frac{\text{Peak}_{spe}}{\text{Valley}_{spe}}$
- $S/N = \frac{\sigma_{0pe}}{Q_{1pe} - Q_{0pe}}$
- $\text{Resolution} = \frac{\sigma_{1pe}}{Q_{1pe} - Q_{0pe}}$

# calculation of drawer<sub>factor</sub>




图: fitting the drawer factor in one drawer

## calculation of PDE

we can obtain the average photon number  $\mu_{test}$  from charge spectrum, along with the  $drawer_{factor}$ <sup>1</sup>, the PDE result from container system is:

$$PDE_c = \mu_{test} \times drawer_{factor} \quad (1)$$

Then we map the PDE from container to the final PDE value with the help of container  $f_{cs}$ <sup>2</sup>:

$$PDE = PDE_c \cdot f_{cs} + constant \quad (2)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Calibrate the drawer factor using PMT tested in the drawer which has vendor QE value.

<sup>2</sup>linear correlation factor

# statistical results

Mean value of parameters for HAMAMATSU-PMT and NNVT-PMT<sup>3</sup>:

parameters (mean)	HAMAMATSU	NNVT
DCR(kHz)	15.38	41.24
rise time(ns)	7.4	3.2
fall time(ns)	10.36	15.9
PV	3.39	3.19
resolution	0.28	0.35
HV@1E7(V)	1861	1783
FWHM(ns)	9.08	5.8

---

<sup>3</sup>For the parameter TTS, we need to test the internal time resolution firstly, since we found the TTS results is highly drawer related.

# current PDE statistical results

For NNVT PMT, the new version High-QE tubes have higher PDE with mean value about 30.5%.

PDE(1pe)




图: PDE of tested PMT

PDE(MCP)




图: PDE of tested NNVT PMT

# predicted PDE statistical results

CD will use ~13k NNVT<sup>4</sup> PMT, and 5k HAMAMATSU PMT, we can predict the final PDE and DCR distribution based on the current data:




图: predicted PDE in CD




图: predicted DCR in CD

<sup>4</sup>with ~11k high QE PMT and 2k low QE PMT

# summary

- the charge and amplitude stability of HAMAMATSU PMT is better.
- ~6k NNVT PMTs and 5k HAMAMATSU PMTs has been tested in container system, test results and test reports are available from PMTDataBase<sup>5</sup>.
- we reject or accept one PMT according to its perfomance test results from container and scanning station.
- we need to study the "delay signal" of HAMAMATSU PMT and "big signal" of NNVT PMT<sup>6</sup> in detail<sup>7</sup>.
- the expected mean PDE value is 30.4% and mean DCR value is ~34kHz<sup>8</sup> in CD.

---

<sup>5</sup>pmtdb.juno.ihep.ac.cn

<sup>6</sup>especially when PMT working in the multi-photon case

<sup>7</sup>one option is to transport several PMTs to SYSU for detailed study


<sup>8</sup>will decrease after installation

# THANKS

# BACK-UP




# TTS of HAMAMATSU PMT



图· hittime and trigger time




# TTS calculation of NNVT PMT



图· hittime and trigger time

# 各个参数的统计结果-PDE

PDE Histogram of Qualified R12860 PMTs



# PDE 计算结果的初步对比

对所有测试的 PMT 的 PDE 和测试现场的分析结果进行对比，发现存在少数 PMT 差别较大，需要进一步查找原因。




图: PDE 结果的关联对比




图: 两种分析的差值分布


# 各个参数的统计结果-DCR

DCR Histogram of Qualified R12860 PMTs




# 各个参数的统计结果-Gain

Gain Histogram of Qualified R12860 PMTs




# 各个参数的统计结果-P/V

PV ratio Histogram of Qualified R12860 PMTs




# 各个参数的统计结果-rise time

Risetime Histogram of Qualified R12860 PMTs



# 各个参数的统计结果-fall time

Falltime Histogram of Qualified R12860 PMTs



# 各个参数的统计结果-FWHM

FWHM Histogram of Qualified R12860 PMTs




# 各个参数的统计结果-Resolution

Signal Resolution Histogram of Qualified R12860 PMTs




# 各个参数的统计结果-S/N

SNR Histogram of Qualified R12860 PMTs



# 各个参数的统计结果-HV

vendor and fit HV of all PMT





# 抽屉因子的比较

factor\_1 是我的结果， factor\_2 是张海琼的结果。 $y = 1.148x + 0.998$



图：抽屉因子和现场使用值的对比

# 参考管稳定性





# 参考管电压稳定性

新DAQ对系统的性能产生了影响，高压平均值发生了变化：



# 暗计数



# 上升时间和下降时间分布

