

## Final Paper Assignment (50 points)

November 18, 2019

## 1. Introduction (3 points)

1. clear identification of what you are trying to find out (research question) [1.5 points]
2. why the research question is worthwhile answering [1.5 points]

## 2. Data description and exploration (7 points)

1. the nature of the data with summary statistics table [2 point]
2. visualize a few key variables in a **meaningful** way [5 points]

### Important:

Do not just present summary statistics and graphs. Discuss what you get out of them. Without any such discussions, you will get 0.

### 3. Econometric Methods (30 points)

The **process** of how you end up with the final econometric models and methods. [50 points (**or more**)]

## 4. Results, Discussions, and Conclusions (10 points)

1. interpret and describe the results [7 point]
2. conclusions [3 point]

## Econometric Methods (30 points)

## Model Specification

- ▶ justification of your choice of independent variables and their functional forms
- ▶ functional form mis-specification?
  - ▶ F-test (nested)
  - ▶ DM-test (non-nested)
- ▶ are regression equations different across groups?
  - ▶ Chow-test
- ▶ do you have multicollinearity problems? should you leave one of the very highly correlated variables or not? explain.
  - ▶ omitted variable bias vs efficiency gain

## Potential endogeneity problems?

- ▶ any important variables omitted (unobserved for you)?
  - ▶ are any of the included variables endogenous due to the omitted variables? why? explaining the mechanism why they are endogenous.
  - ▶ expected direction of the bias? why? explain using the bias formula.
- ▶ measurement errors in the variables of interest? expected direction of bias?



## What did you do to address the endogeneity problems?

- ▶ Fixed Effects (FE) estimation
  - ▶ How can FE estimation mitigate the bias you expect?
  - ▶ Are omitted variables time-invariant (or very slow to change over time)?
- ▶ Instrumental variable estimation
  - ▶ Can any of the variables work as a good instrument?
    - ▶ weak instrument
    - ▶ exclusion restriction
  - ▶ If you do not have any appropriate instruments in your dataset, can you think of an ideal IV you would have liked to have? Why do you think that variable is a good instrument?
  - ▶ If you cannot find any appropriate instruments, discuss why some of the variables you observe are NOT appropriate.

## Identify appropriate standard error estimation methods

- ▶ Does the use of robust standard error estimation methods make a large difference compared to the conventional naive (default) standard error estimator?
- ▶ Do your conclusions about the statistical significance of coefficients change?

# Key to writing a successful paper (high grade)

- ▶ Justify and explain **everything** you did in the paper!!
  - ▶ I tested the joint statistical significance of these interactions terms because ...
- ▶ (**Re-emphasized**) I do not care much about your results. What I care is the **process**!!