

Table 2 (full) Compilation of correlation estimates between individual measures of inbreeding from empirical data in scenarios where molecular estimators are obtained assuming allele frequencies from the current generation

	F_{VR1}	F_{VR2}	F_{YA2}	F_{LH1} or F_{HOM}	F_{LH2}	F_{ROH}
F_{PED}	0.21 0.13 ² , 0.39 ² , 0.10 ³ , 0.35 ¹² , 0.07 ¹¹ , 0.23 ¹⁸	-0.09 0.21 ⁶ , -0.26 ⁸ , -0.21 ⁸ , -0.21 ⁸ , -0.01 ¹¹ , -0.08 ¹⁶ , -0.07 ¹⁷	0.42 0.47 ³ , 0.72 ⁴ , 0.29 ⁵ , 0.26 ⁵ , 0.68 ⁸ , 0.43 ⁸ , 0.32 ⁸ , 0.43 ¹² , 0.15 ¹⁷	0.50 0.66 ¹ , 0.10 ² , 0.35 ² , 0.77 ³ , 0.67 ⁴ , 0.45 ⁵ , 0.41 ⁵ , 0.45 ⁶ , 0.68 ⁷ , 0.78 ⁸ , 0.60 ⁸ , 0.37 ⁸ , 0.32 ¹¹ , 0.59 ¹⁵ , 0.31 ¹⁶ , 0.43 ¹⁷	0.32 0.32 ¹⁷	0.50 0.63 ¹ , 0.07 ² , 0.37 ² , 0.77 ⁴ , 0.53 ⁵ , 0.51 ⁵ , 0.44 ⁶ , 0.73 ⁸ , 0.46 ⁸ , 0.49 ⁸ , 0.37 ¹¹ , 0.92 ¹² , 0.60 ¹⁵ , 0.35 ¹⁶ , 0.47 ¹⁷ , 0.25 ¹⁸
F_{VR1}		0.91 0.83 ⁹ , 0.94 ¹⁰ , 0.97 ¹¹ , 0.88 ¹³	0.84 0.88 ³ , 0.71 ⁹ , 0.92 ¹⁰ , 0.84 ¹² , 0.87 ¹³	0.42 1.0 ² , 0.28 ³ , 0.11 ⁹ , 0.63 ¹⁰ , -0.13 ¹¹ , 0.62 ¹³		0.57 0.99 ² , -0.13 ¹¹ , 0.73 ¹² , 0.70 ¹⁸
F_{VR2}			0.52 0.29 ⁸ , 0.42 ⁸ , 0.54 ⁸ , 0.26 ⁹ , 0.75 ¹⁰ , 0.66 ¹³ , 0.72 ¹⁷	-0.21 0.27 ⁶ , -0.61 ⁸ , -0.48 ⁸ , -0.95 ⁸ , -0.38 ⁹ , 0.35 ¹⁰ , -0.32 ¹¹ , 0.36 ¹³ , -0.23 ¹⁶ , -0.06 ¹⁷	-0.64 -0.64 ¹⁷	0.01 0.22 ⁶ , 0.09 ⁸ , 0.14 ⁸ , 0.003 ⁸ , -0.26 ¹¹ , 0.16 ¹⁴ , -0.21 ¹⁶ , -0.07 ¹⁷
F_{YA2}				0.64 0.70 ³ , 0.92 ⁴ , 0.72 ⁵ , 0.66 ⁵ , 0.58 ⁸ , 0.60 ⁸ , -0.24 ⁸ , 0.74 ⁹ , 0.88 ¹⁰ , 0.91 ¹³ , 0.54 ¹⁷	-0.04 -0.04 ¹⁷	0.78 0.87 ⁴ , 0.65 ⁵ , 0.62 ⁵ , 0.95 ⁸ , 0.92 ⁸ , 0.81 ⁸ , 0.92 ¹² , 0.77 ¹⁴ , 0.50 ¹⁷
F_{LH1} or F_{HOM}					0.72 0.72 ¹⁷	0.83 0.97 ¹ , 0.99 ² , 0.86 ⁴ , 0.90 ⁵ , 0.91 ⁵ , 0.80 ⁶ , 0.71 ⁸ , 0.76 ⁸ , 0.31 ⁸ , 0.90 ¹¹ , 0.80 ¹⁴ , 0.86 ¹⁵ , 0.95 ¹⁶ , 0.93 ¹⁷
F_{LH2}						0.66 0.66 ¹⁷

The compilation is not intended to be exhaustive, but to give a general picture of the empirical correlations found in different studies with several marker-based estimators of inbreeding: F_{VR1} and F_{VR2} : Estimators described by VanRaden [19]. F_{YA1} and F_{YA2} : Estimator proposed by Yang et al. [36] and modified by Zhang et al. [34]. F_{LH1} and F_{LH2} : Estimators derived from Li and Horvitz [16]. F_{HOM} : Average marker homozygosity. Correlations with estimates from ROH are included for completeness. Averages are shown at the start of each cell in bold face.

REFERENCES: ¹Saura et al. ([14]; Guadyerbas pig strain). ²Yoshida et al. ([51], pure lines of farmed coho salmon). ³Alemu et al. ([42]; Dutch Holstein cattle). ⁴Béréños et al. ([49]; Soay sheep). ⁵Pryce et al. ([13]; Holstein and Jersey dairy cattle). ⁶Rodríguez-Ramilo et al. ([52]; Rabbits). ⁷Saura et al. ([66]; Iberian pigs). ⁸Zhang et al. ([39], Holstein, Jersey and Danish Red Cattle breeds, sequence data). ⁹Morales-González et al. ([43]; Turbot). ¹⁰Solé et al. ([50]; Belgian Blue cattle). ¹¹Brito et al. ([41]; Goat breeds). ¹²Polak et al. ([57]; Polish cold-blooded horses). ¹³Villanueva et al. ([12]; Guadyerbas pig strain). ¹⁴Nosrati et al. ([58]; Average for 68 sheep populations). ¹⁵Antonios et al. ([53]; Dairy Sheep). ¹⁶Shi et al. ([54]; Pigs). ¹⁷Schiavo et al. ([55]; seven Pig breeds). ¹⁸Adams et al ([56]; three Turkey lines).