

☑ Small-Data Leaderboard Tricks – TODO for Copilot AI Agent

You are Copilot in VS Code, working on the Novartis Datathon forecasting project.

Goal: Implement advanced tricks for **target encoding**, **data augmentation**, **training/ensembling**, and **cross-validation/meta-game** to squeeze more performance from a small dataset.

1. Target Encoding Done Properly (Leakage-Safe)

1.1 Identify Categorical Columns for Target Encoding

- ☐ Inspect the dataset and confirm key categorical features:
 - ☐ `country`
 - ☐ `therapeutic_area`
 - ☐ `main_package` (or packaging-related feature)
- ☐ Add a configuration section (e.g. `configs/features.yaml`) specifying:
 - ☐ Which columns should use target encoding.
 - ☐ Which target to encode (e.g. `MGE`, early erosion ratio, or `vol_norm` at a given month).

1.2 Implement Out-of-Fold Target Encoding

- ☐ Create a utility module, e.g. `src/features/target_encoding.py`, with a class:

```
class OOFTargetEncoder:
    def __init__(self, cols, alpha=10.0, target_col="target"):
        self.cols = cols
        self.alpha = alpha
        self.target_col = target_col
        self.global_mean_ = None
        self.encodings_ = {} # {col: dict(category -> encoding)}
```

- ☐ In `.fit_transform()` for training:
 - ☐ Use **GroupKFold** or your main CV splitter.
 - ☐ For each fold:
 - ☐ Compute target means per category **only on the train folds**.
 - ☐ Apply encodings to the validation fold.
 - ☐ Concatenate all folds back together to get OOF-encoded features.
- ☐ In `.transform()` for test / holdout:
 - ☐ Recompute encodings on **full training data** (using smoothing) and apply to new data.

1.3 Add Smoothed Encoding Formula

- ☐ Implement smoothing:

```
enc = (sum_y + alpha * global_mean) / (count + alpha)
```

- ☐ Store `global_mean` as the overall target mean on the training set.
- ☐ For unseen categories in test data:
 - ☐ Use `global_mean` as fallback.

1.4 Integrate into Feature Pipeline

- ☐ In `src/features/build_features.py` (or similar):
 - ☐ Call `OOFTargetEncoder` for configured categorical columns.
 - ☐ Replace or augment raw categorical columns with encoded versions.
- ☐ Ensure:
 - ☐ No leakage: validation rows never see their own targets when encodings are calculated.
 - ☐ Encoded features are used by all main models (CatBoost/LGBM/XGB/etc.).

2. Synthetic / Augmentation Techniques (Training-Only)

2.1 Noise-Perturbed Series for GBMs

- ☐ Implement a function `augment_with_noise(df, sigma)` that:
 - ☐ Takes training panel data with normalized volume `vol_norm`.
 - ☐ For each row (per brand, per month):
 - ☐ Samples $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, with σ in $[0.03, 0.07]$.
 - ☐ Creates `vol_norm_aug = vol_norm * (1 + ϵ)`.
 - ☐ Clones all other features unchanged (`months_postgx`, `n_gxs`, etc.).
 - ☐ Optionally marks augmented rows with a flag `is_augmented = 1`.
- ☐ Apply this augmentation:
 - ☐ **Only** on training folds when fitting models.
 - ☐ **Never** when generating OOF validation predictions (to keep metrics honest).
 - ☐ **Never** for test inference.

2.2 Curve-Shape Augmentation via Residual Transfer

- ☐ Implement a function to compute simple baseline decay curves per brand:
 - ☐ For each brand, fit `simple_decay(t)` (e.g., exponential or linear in log-space) on `vol_norm`.
- ☐ Compute residuals per brand:
 - ☐ `residual_j(t) = vol_norm_j(t) - simple_decay_j(t)`.
- ☐ Implement a mapping from brand `j` to a set of "analog" brands `k` with similar:
 - ☐ `avg_vol`,

- ☐ `therapeutic_area` ,
- ☐ `country` ,
- ☐ `n_gxs` trajectory.
- ☐ For curve-shape augmentation:
 - ☐ For target brand B, sample residual curves from similar brand A:
 - ☐ `residual_A(t)` .
 - ☐ Create synthetic series:
 - ☐ `vol_norm_synth_B(t) = simple_decay_B(t) + λ * residual_A(t)` with λ small (e.g. 0.5–0.8).
 - ☐ Treat these synthetic rows as additional training data with lower sample weight.
- ☐ Ensure this augmentation is:
 - ☐ Training-only.
 - ☐ Not used for validation OOF metrics or test prediction.

2.3 Bootstrapped Residuals

- ☐ After fitting baseline curves for all brands:
 - ☐ Collect a pool of residuals grouped by:
 - ☐ bucket (1 or 2),
 - ☐ therapeutic area,
 - ☐ country (optional).
 - ☐ For each real brand j:
 - ☐ Build synthetic series:
 - ☐ Sample residuals from brands in the same group (or similar group).
 - ☐ Set:
 - ☐ `vol_norm_synth_j(t) = baseline_j(t) + residual_bootstrap(t)` .
 - ☐ Add these synthetic rows into training folds with smaller sample weights.
-

3. Training & Ensembling Tricks

3.1 Metric-Shaped Sample Weights

- ☐ Define a global `compute_sample_weight(months_postgx, bucket, scenario)` function that:
 - ☐ Gives higher weights to:
 - ☐ Months 0–5 (Scenario 1),
 - ☐ Months 6–11 (both scenarios),
 - ☐ Bucket 1 brands (e.g., ×2).
- ☐ Use these weights in **all** main models (CatBoost, LGBM, XGB):
 - ☐ Pass as `sample_weight` / `weight` during fit.
- ☐ Optionally expose weight parameters in config files for easy tuning.

3.2 Multi-Task / Auxiliary Targets

- ☐ Choose auxiliary targets, for example:
 - ☐ Cumulative erosion up to month t ,
 - ☐ Bucket probability (B1 vs B2),
 - ☐ Early-erosion slope (months 0–5 or 6–11).
- ☐ Implement auxiliary models:
 - ☐ A classifier that predicts bucket from pre-LOE features.
 - ☐ A regressor that predicts cumulative erosion from pre-LOE or early-post features.
- ☐ Pipeline integration:
 - ☐ Train auxiliary models on training data.
 - ☐ Generate out-of-fold predictions for auxiliary targets.
 - ☐ Use those predictions as **features** in the main volume-forecasting model.

3.3 Teacher–Student Distillation

- ☐ Identify your **best ensemble** of models (teacher):
 - ☐ e.g. CatBoost + LGBM + Hybrid.
- ☐ Generate OOF teacher predictions on train:
 - ☐ `y_teacher_pred` as average or weighted ensemble of base models.
- ☐ Train a **student model** (single, simpler model) with loss:

```
loss = alpha * MSE(y_true, y_pred_student) + (1 - alpha) * MSE(y_teacher_pred,
y_pred_student)
```

- ☐ Choose α in $[0.3, 0.7]$ via validation.
- ☐ Apply stronger regularization to the student (fewer trees, higher regularization).
- ☐ Use student for:
 - ☐ More stable generalization,
 - ☐ Possibly as one of the stacked models in a final ensemble.

3.4 Monotonic Constraints in GBMs

- ☐ In LGBM/XGB configs, consider monotone constraints:
 - ☐ Enforce that predictions **do not increase** when:
 - ☐ `months_postgx` increases (on average),
 - ☐ `n_gxs` increases (more competitors → lower share).
- ☐ Example (LightGBM):
 - ☐ Identify feature index for `months_postgx` and `n_gxs`.

- ☐ Set monotone constraints array, e.g.:
 - ☐ `[-1, -1, 0, 0, ...]` (negative for those features, 0 for others).
 - ☐ Validate empirically that:
 - ☐ Monotonic constraints don't severely break fit for brands with atypical behavior.
 - ☐ They reduce overfitting and crazy upward spikes in forecast.
-

4. Cross-Validation & Leaderboard Meta-Game

4.1 Multiple CV Schemes

- ☐ Implement at least **two** CV split functions in `src/validation.py` :
 - ☐ `create_group_kfold(seed)` – GroupKFold by brand, stratified by bucket, with seed for shuffle.
 - ☐ `create_group_kfold_alt(seed)` – a slightly different fold assignment (different seed or fold count).
- ☐ For important models:
 - ☐ Train using CV scheme A and scheme B.
 - ☐ Get two sets of OOF predictions.
 - ☐ Compare metrics across both schemes.
- ☐ Mark models that perform **consistently well** across both CV schemes as more trustworthy.

4.2 Use OOF Predictions as First-Class Citizens

- ☐ Ensure every trained model:
 - ☐ Always outputs OOF predictions on train.
 - ☐ Stores them in `artifacts/oof/` with standardized naming.
- ☐ Build a stacking meta-model (even a simple linear regressor or GBM) that:
 - ☐ Takes multiple OOF predictions as input features.
 - ☐ Minimizes an approximation of the official metric (e.g. weighted MSE with time & bucket weights).
- ☐ Apply the same stacked meta-model to test predictions to produce ensemble submissions.

4.3 Submission Diversification Strategy

- ☐ Near deadline, prepare **3–5 different submission “flavors”**, e.g.:
 - ☐ **Baseline ensemble:**
 - ☐ Your best GBM ensemble with normal regularization and standard weights.
 - ☐ **Underfit submission:**
 - ☐ Models with stronger regularization (fewer trees, higher L2) to avoid overfitting.
 - ☐ **Overfit-ish submission:**

- ☐ Models with more trees / lower regularization (within reason) to exploit any underfitting.
 - ☐ **Bucket 1–focused submission:**
 - ☐ Higher sample weights for Bucket 1 during training and/or stacking.
 - ☐ **Conservative submission:**
 - ☐ Predictions closer to simple baseline decay curves (less aggressive shifts).
 - ☐ For each flavor:
 - ☐ Train/configure models accordingly.
 - ☐ Generate Scenario 1 and Scenario 2 submissions.
 - ☐ Check them with a submission sanity script (no negatives, reasonable ranges).
 - ☐ Use public leaderboard feedback + local CV metrics to **select 1–2 strongest variants** for final locked submission, while keeping others as backups if allowed.
-

End State:

You have a small-data-optimized pipeline where:

- Target encodings are powerful but leak-safe.
- Synthetic augmentation enriches erosion shapes without cheating.
- Training emphasizes what the metric and business truly care about.
- Ensembles, distillation, and monotonic constraints stabilize forecasts.
- Multiple CV schemes and diversified submissions hedge against leaderboard noise.

All implemented with Copilot's help, step by step, inside your existing project structure.