

Brand & Month Consistency Check

Overview

This document verifies that the submission template, test data, and training data follow consistent rules for the two prediction scenarios.

Submission Template Structure

The template file (`submission_template.csv`) contains **7,488 rows** for **340 brands**.

Scenario	Start Month	End Month	Brands	Rows per Brand	Total Rows
Scenario 1	0	23	228	24	5,472
Scenario 2	6	23	112	18	2,016
Total	-	-	340	-	7,488

Key Insight

The template combines **both scenarios** into a single file:

- Some brands need months **0-23** predicted (Scenario 1)
- Other brands need months **6-23** predicted (Scenario 2)

Test Data Availability

Volume Test Data (`df_volume_test.csv`)

Scenario	Brands	Available Months	Max Month	Data Available
Scenario 1	228	-24 to -1	-1	Pre-entry only
Scenario 2	112	-24 to 5	5	Pre-entry + 6 months post-entry

Verification Results

Scenario	Test Max Month	Count	Rule
1	-1	228	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Only pre-entry data
2	5	112	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pre-entry + months 0-5

☒ **TEST DATA matches TEMPLATE exactly!**

The test data availability determines which scenario each brand belongs to:

- Brands with data only up to month **-1** → Scenario 1 (predict 0-23)
- Brands with data up to month **5** → Scenario 2 (predict 6-23)

Training Data Structure

Volume Train Data (`df_volume_train.csv`)

Metric	Value
Total Brands	1,953
Min Month (all brands)	-24
Max Month (all brands)	23
Complete Data	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

All 1,953 training brands have COMPLETE data from month -24 to 23.

This allows you to:

1. Train models that learn the full erosion pattern
2. Simulate both scenarios during validation
3. Compute `avg_vol` (months -12 to -1) and `bucket` labels

Brand Overlap Analysis

Dataset	Unique Brands
Train	1,953
Test	340
Overlap	0

Train and test have completely separate brands!

This means:

- You cannot use test brand history from training
- Models must generalize to unseen brands
- Features should capture general erosion patterns, not brand-specific memorization

Scenario Definitions

Scenario 1: "0 Actuals" (Phase 1-a)

Situation: Generic just entered the market. You only have pre-entry sales data.

- **Available data:** Months -24 to -1 (pre-entry)
- **Predict:** Months 0-23 (24 months)

☒ Must Match Template Exactly

- 1. **Same brands:** All 340 (country, brand_name) pairs
- 2. **Same months per brand:**
 - Scenario 1 brands: months 0-23
 - Scenario 2 brands: months 6-23
- 3. **Same row count:** 7,488 total rows
- 4. **No NaN values** in volume column
- 5. **Positive volumes** (volume > 0)

✗ Common Mistakes

Mistake	Problem
Separate files for S1 and S2	Should be ONE unified file
All brands with months 0-23	S2 brands should start at month 6
Missing months for some brands	Must match template exactly
Extra rows	Must match template row count

Quick Reference

```
# Check which scenario a brand belongs to
template = pd.read_csv('submissions/submission_template.csv')
brand_start = template.groupby(['country', 'brand_name'])
['months_postgx'].min()

# Scenario 1: start_month == 0
# Scenario 2: start_month == 6
```

```
# Generate correct submission
template = pd.read_csv('submissions/submission_template.csv')
submission = template.copy()
submission['volume'] = your_predictions # Match row order!
submission.to_csv('submission_final.csv', index=False)
```

Summary

Check	Status
Template has correct structure (S1: 0-23, S2: 6-23)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Check	Status
Test data availability matches template scenarios	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Train data has complete 48-month history	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Train and test brands are separate (no overlap)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Submission should be ONE unified file	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

The data is consistent. Your submission must match the template structure exactly!