



Protocol Audit Report

Version 1.0

W3dit

January 19, 2024

Protocol Audit Report

W3dit

March 7, 2023

Prepared by: W3dit Lead Security Researcher:

- I am

SOMETHING

Table of Contents

- SOMETHING
- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - High
 - * [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private.
 - Likelihood & Impact:

- * [H-2] `PasswordStore::setPassword` has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password
 - Likelihood & Impact:
 - Informational
- * [I-1] The `PasswordStore::getPassword` natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect
 - Likelihood & Impact:
 - Gas

Protocol Summary

A smart contract applicatoin for storing a password. Users should be able to store a password and then retrieve it later. Others should not be able to access the password.

Disclaimer

The YOUR_NAME_HERE team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

** The findings described in this document correspond the following commit hash: **

```
1 2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990
```

Scope

```
1 ./src/  
2 #-- PasswordStore.sol
```

Roles

- Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password.
- Outsiders: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

Executive Summary

Add some additional info about how this audit went, types of things you found, etc.

We spent X hours with Z auditors using Y tools. etc

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	1
Total	3

Recommended Mitigation: Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password.

Likelihood & Impact:

- Impact: HIGH
- Likelihood: HIGH
- Severity: HIGH

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password

Description: The `Password::setPassword` function is set to be an `external` function, however, the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that `The function allows only the owner to set a new password.`

```
1     function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
2         // @audit - There are no access controll
3         s_password = newPassword;
4         emit SetNetPassword();
5     }
```

Impact: Anyone can set/change a new password of the contract, severely breaking the contract intended functionality.

Proof of Concept: Add the following to the `PasswordStore.t.sol` test file.

Code

```
1     function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress)
2         public {
3         vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
4         vm.startPrank(randomAddress);
5         string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
6         passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
7
8         vm.startPrank(owner);
9         string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
10        assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
11    }
```

```
12     ```\n13\n14 </details>\n15\n16 **Recommended Mitigation:** Add an access controll conditional to the ` \n    setPassword` function.\n17\n18 ```javascript\n19 if (msg.sender != s_owner) {\n20     revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();\n21 }
```

Likelihood & Impact:

- Impact: HIGH
- Likelihood: HIGH
- Severity: HIGH

Informational

[I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

Description:

```
1  /*\n2   * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password\n3  @> * @param newPassword The new password to set\n4   */\n5   function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {}
```

The PasswordStore::getPassword function signature is getPassword() which is natspec say it should be getPassword(string)

Impact: The natspec is incorrect

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the incorrect natspec line.

```
1  -   * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

Likelihood & Impact:

- Impact: NONE

- Likelihood: NONE
- Severity: Informational/Gas/Non-crits

Gas