Language Transfer in Named Entity Recognition

Rayane Bouafia (261119286) & Armin Pousti (260983564)

Abstract

This paper presents a comprehensive study of five Named Entity Recognition (NER) models, ranging from traditional statistical methods to modern neural approaches, and evaluates their performance across seven languages: English, French, Chinese, Arabic, Persian, Swahili, and Finnish. We conduct two sets of experiments: (1) baseline performance evaluation monolingual datasets, and (2) Few-Shot Learning (FSL) to explore the impact of transfer learning from high-resource to low-resource languages. Our findings offer insights into the effectiveness of different models in diverse linguistic contexts.

Introduction 17 1

10

11

12

13

14

15

19 NER where there was language transfer which was 58 both prior and contextual dependencies. The goal 20 greatly intriguing to us and motivated us to explore 59 is to demonstrate how this simple yet effective 21 this concept further. This paper investigates the 60 probabilistic model can serve as a baseline for NER 22 capabilities of five distinct NER models across 61 tasks. 23 seven languages, divided into high-resource 62 24 (English, French, Chinese) and low-resource 63 Bidirectional 25 (Arabic, Persian, Swahili, Finnish) groups. The 64 (BiLSTM) network and a Conditional Random 26 primary objective is to evaluate the effectiveness of 65 Field (CRF), designed to capture contextual 27 these models in multilingual contexts and to 66 dependencies while ensuring valid label sequences 28 explore the potential of transfer learning to enhance 67 through the CRF layer. This model enhances 29 performance in resource-scarce languages. The 68 predictive accuracy for structured prediction tasks, 30 study is structured into two experiments. First, a 69 such as NER, where the relationships between 31 baseline evaluation was conducted to assess the 70 neighboring labels are essential. The CRF refines 32 performance of each model on individual 71 the BiLSTM outputs, enforcing consistency in the 33 monolingual datasets. Second, we applied Few- 72 predicted label sequence. 34 Shot Learning (FSL) by fine-tuning models 73 35 pretrained on high-resource languages using 74 Clustering, a technique that groups words into 36 limited data (5%, 10%, and 20%) from low- 75 clusters based on frequency distributions. By 37 resource languages. This aimed to measure the 76 representing tokens at the cluster level rather than 38 adaptability of transfer learning techniques and the 77 individually, we reduce complexity and improve

which pretrained multilingual 39 extent 40 representations can bridge linguistic resource gaps demonstrate that results modern 42 transformer-based particularly models. 43 DistilBERT, excel in both baseline and few-shot 44 scenarios, significantly outperforming traditional 45 methods like HMM and Decision Trees. LSTM-46 CRF showed moderate improvements with transfer 47 learning. These findings highlight 48 transformative potential of pretrained multilingual 49 embeddings and the challenges inherent in 50 achieving robust multilingual NER.

which employs a probabilistic framework to 52 model relationships between words 53 (observations) and their corresponding tags (states) 54 through start, transition, and emission probabilities. 55 The model uses the Viterbi algorithm to decode the 56 most likely sequence of tags for a given input, This semester we read papers that dealt with 57 making it an interpretable method that leverages

> We also examine a hybrid model combining a Long Short-Term

Additionally, we explore the use of Brown 78 efficiency in NER tasks. Experimental results

79 demonstrate that while smaller cluster sizes tend to 130 models entity relationships, this approach benefits 80 achieve better performance, there is a trade-off 131 from external lexicons and multi-task learning, 81 between cluster granularity consistency.

84 implement a Decision Tree classifier that uses 135 training and multi-task learning for automotive 85 handcrafted features, such as capitalization and 136 domain NER, incorporating bilingual Korean and 86 word length, to identify entities. Despite its 137 English datasets to enhance domain generalization 87 simplicity, the Decision Tree provides a valuable 138 [3]. While our model is more flexible and domain-88 baseline for multilingual NER tasks, offering an 139 agnostic, this model is particularly effective for 89 interpretable model that can be adapted for more 140 domain-specific complex systems.

Finally, we fine-tune a multilingual DistilBERT 142 errors. for NER, balancing 93 efficiency with performance. By freezing most of 144 efficiency as a baseline for NER, while advanced 94 the model's layers and reducing the sequence 145 methods like BERT's sliding windows [1], lexical 95 length, we optimize resource usage while still 146 integration [2], and domain-adversarial training [3] 96 achieving moderate performance. This experiment 147 excel in domain-specific contexts and leveraging 97 highlights the feasibility of fine-tuning lightweight 148 additional semantic resources. 98 transformer models for multilingual NER tasks with limited computational resources.

Together, these methods present comprehensive approach to NER, combining 150 3.1 102 probabilistic models, neural networks, and efficient 151 We used the publicly available WikiAnn dataset, 103 clustering techniques to address the challenges of 152 which supports multilingual NER in a consistent 104 sequence labeling tasks in natural language 153 BIO format, with the following label map: "O": 105 processing.

106 2 **Related Work**

implementation sliding-window 108 technique, paired with a pre-trained BERT model 109 for sequence labeling, is used for Named Entity 110 Recognition (NER) in clinical notes, focusing on medication spans [1]. This model divides input into overlapping 512-token subsequences with a stride 113 of 128, applying the BILOU scheme for token 114 classification. Aggregated predictions are made using averaging techniques, and the model integrates span-based and question-answering 117 systems for enhanced accuracy. While our model, 118 based on HMM and LSTM-CRF, offers greater 119 interpretability and computational efficiency, BERT's sliding-window method is better for longer sequences and complex context in clinical data but may struggle with fixed-token length constraints. In Chinese NER, lexical information is integrated 124 into a BERT model using a multi-task learning 125 framework to reduce noise from external lexicons 126 [2]. A ranking model scores lexicon-matched 127 words, which are then used in character-level 128 sequence labeling with multi-head attention and a 129 CRF layer. Unlike our model, which directly

and prediction 132 providing additional semantic insights, particularly in domain-specific tasks.

To further enhance NER performance, we 134 Another NER model uses domain-adversarial tasks, leveraging 141 invariant features and addressing word spacing

computational 143 In conclusion, our model offers simplicity and

149 3 **Methods**

Dataset

154 0,"B-PER": 1,"I-PER": 2,"B-ORG": 3,"I-ORG": 155 4,"B-LOC": 5,"I-LOC": 6. We selected a varied 156 range of languages. Which we then categorized 157 into high-resource (English, French, Chinese) and 158 low-resource (Arabic, Persian, Swahili, Finnish) 159 groups. The dataset is preprocessed with methods 160 tailored to the specific requirements of each model 161 to ensure optimal performance. For HMM, Tokens 162 are encoded into numerical IDs based on a 163 constructed vocabulary from training data. Unseen 164 tokens are replaced with an <UNK> token, and sequences are padded to a maximum length of 50 tokens with <PAD> tokens. Tag labels are similarly encoded, with padding using the label O. LSTM-168 CRF uses similar preprocessing. For the Decision 169 Tree Classifier, handcrafted features are extracted 170 for each token, such as token length, capitalization, numeric nature, prefixes, suffixes, and surrounding 172 context tokens. These features are converted into 173 numerical vectors using a feature mapping 174 constructed from the training data. For DistilBERT, 175 tokens tokenized are using 176 DistilBERTTokenizerFast, which aligns the labels with the tokenized outputs using the word-piece 178 tokenization technique. Labels corresponding to subword tokens or special tokens are set to -100 to 181 tokens are grouped into clusters based on unigram 230 embedding or contextual representation methods, 182 frequency counts derived from the training dataset, 231 making it a flexible and effective approach for and each cluster is assigned the most frequent tag 232 sequence labeling. 184 observed in the training data.

185 3.2 **Models**

186 3.2.1 HMM

¹⁸⁷ A Hidden Markov Model (HMM) is implemented 188 for sequence labeling tasks, specifically Named 189 Entity Recognition (NER), in a structured and 190 probabilistic manner. The implementation models 191 the relationships between words (observations) and 192 their corresponding tags (states) using start, 193 transition, and emission probabilities. During 194 training, the HMM calculates these probabilities 195 from labeled data, and it uses the Viterbi algorithm during inference to decode the most likely 197 sequence of tags for unseen input. This approach is 198 valuable because HMMs provide an interpretable probabilistic framework for modeling 200 sequences, effectively leveraging both prior and 201 contextual dependencies. The goal 202 demonstrate how a simple, yet effective 203 probabilistic framework can achieve reasonable 204 performance for sequence labeling tasks, serving as 205 either a baseline or a complementary method in our 206 project.

207 3.2.2 LSTM-CRF

208 This implementation presents a hybrid model 209 combining a BiLSTM and a Conditional Random (CRF) for sequence labeling tasks, 211 commonly applied in natural language processing 261 3.2.4 Decision Tree Classifier 212 tasks like Named Entity Recognition (NER). The 213 BiLSTM captures contextual dependencies in 214 input sequences by encoding both past and future 215 information into token representations, while the 216 CRF layer models the dependencies between 217 output tags to ensure valid label sequences. This 218 combination is particularly useful for structured prediction problems where the relationships 220 between neighboring labels (e.g., beginning, inside, and outside of entities) are critical. The CRF 222 layer refines the outputs of the BiLSTM, enforcing 223 label sequence consistency through transition 224 scores and facilitating efficient decoding using the ²²⁵ Viterbi algorithm. The goal of this implementation 226 is to improve predictive accuracy for tasks 227 requiring both contextual understanding and 228 structured output. The modularity

180 ignore them during training. For Brown Clustering, 229 framework allows it to be extended with other

233 3.2.3 DistilBERT

234 This implementation focuses on fine-tuning a 235 multilingual Named Entity Recognition (NER) 236 model using a pre-trained DistilBERT model with 237 minimal resource requirements. The approach 238 involves combining datasets from the seven 239 languages, tokenizing the inputs while aligning 240 word-level labels to token-level labels, and fine-241 tuning only the classifier head of the DistilBERT 242 model, leaving the rest of the model's parameters 243 frozen. The freezing of layers and reducing the 244 sequence length to 30 tokens were deliberate 245 decisions aimed at optimizing computational 246 efficiency. Despite these constraints, training for a 247 single epoch still required nearly two hours, 248 underscoring the high computational cost of 249 multilingual NER tasks. The results show modest 250 performance, with an F1-score of 0.237 and an 251 accuracy of 67.8%. The limited performance can 252 be attributed to the constraints, as freezing most of 253 the model and using a short sequence length 254 reduces the capacity to learn complex language 255 patterns. However, this approach demonstrates the 256 feasibility of fine-tuning lightweight transformer 257 models for multilingual NER tasks 258 constrained resources and highlights opportunities 259 for improvement through extended training, larger 260 sequence lengths, or selective layer unfreezing.

262 An NER system using a Decision Tree classifier 263 was implemented to identify and classify entities ²⁶⁴ such as names, locations, and organizations in text. 265 The system utilized handcrafted features derived 266 from each token, including attributes like 267 capitalization, length, prefixes, suffixes, and 268 contextual information from surrounding words. 269 These features were numerically encoded to train a 270 supervised machine learning model on a 271 multilingual dataset. The Decision Tree classifier 272 was chosen for its simplicity and interpretability, 273 providing a lightweight solution for NER tasks 274 without the computational demands of more 275 complex models.

276 The evaluation of the model demonstrated 277 moderate performance, with precision, recall, and 278 F1-score all measured at 0.5324. These results

280 distinguish entities from non-entities, there is room 330 while maintaining reasonable performance levels. 281 for improvement in capturing more nuanced 282 patterns in the data. The significance of this 331 3.3 approach lies in its adaptability to multilingual text 332 3.3.1 Experiment 1: Establishing Baselines 284 and its use as a baseline system. Despite its limitations, the model offers a clear and 333 For each language, the dataset is split into training, 286 interpretable starting point for entity recognition 334 validation, and test sets. Each model is trained and tasks, which can be extended or enhanced using 335 evaluated solely on the monolingual dataset. This more sophisticated approaches, such as neural 336 experiment establishes the standalone capability of 289 networks or contextual embeddings, to achieve 337 each of the 5 models for NER tasks across different 290 better accuracy and performance. This system is 338 languages. 291 particularly valuable for projects requiring foundational entity extraction capabilities with 340 293 straightforward implementation and analysis.

294 3.2.5 Brown Clustering

This experiment explored the use of a simplified 343 low-resource languages. This ²⁹⁶ Brown Clustering algorithm to group words based ³⁴⁴ particularly improving efficiency in Named Entity Recognition 306 evaluation.

with 50 clusters yielding a precision of 0.350, recall 316 indicates a trade-off between cluster granularity 364 resource 320 at the cost of introducing more errors.

faster, resource-efficient alternative to token-level 370 transferability of NER models and the minimum 323 tagging by leveraging word clusters, making it 371 data requirements for achieving acceptable 324 suitable for systems with limited computational 325 resources or for applications that prioritize speed 326 over high accuracy. The experiment demonstrates 327 how Brown Clustering can serve as a baseline for

279 indicate that while the model could reasonably 329 frequency patterns can simplify entity recognition

Experiments

339 3.3.1 Experiment 2: Few-shot Learning for

341 Experiment 2 investigates the effectiveness of 342 transfer learning to improve NER performance for approach is relevant because low-resource on their frequency distributions, with the goal of 345 languages often lack extensive labeled datasets, 346 making direct training insufficient. Models are (NER). The clustering technique divides words 347 trained on combined datasets from high-resource 300 into predefined clusters, enabling tokens to be 348 languages (English, French, and Chinese). This 301 represented at the cluster level instead of 349 step aims to leverage the rich annotated data and 302 individually, thereby reducing complexity. Each 350 linguistic structures of these languages to learn 303 cluster was assigned the most frequent NER tag 351 generalizable representations. Models pretrained in observed in the training data, and this cluster-level 352 step 1 are fine-tuned on small subsets (5%, 10%, 305 information was used for predicting tags during 353 and 20%) of low-resource language datasets. This 354 step tests whether the pretrained models can adapt The results of the experiment, conducted with 355 to new languages using minimal data. Both LSTMvarying numbers of clusters, showed that smaller 356 CRF and HMM are fine-tuned by retraining their 309 cluster sizes generally achieved higher precision, 357 parameters on the low-resource datasets. For recall, and F1-scores. For example, with 5 clusters, 358 Decision Tree, the feature mapping is updated the system achieved a precision of 0.356, recall of 359 using the low-resource dataset to account for 312 0.597, and F1-score of 0.446. As the number of 360 domain-specific features. For DistilBERT, The 313 clusters increased, the F1-score slightly declined, 361 model is fine-tuned with the sampled datasets using 362 its transformer architecture. The relevance of this of 0.591, and F1-score of 0.439. This pattern 363 experiment lies in its potential to address the scarcity problem in NER for and prediction consistency: fewer clusters allow for 365 underrepresented languages. By pretraining on broader generalizations, improving recall, while 366 high-resource languages and fine-tuning with more clusters potentially capture finer distinctions 367 limited data, the models can leverage cross-lingual 368 similarities and generalize better for low-resource This approach is significant because it offers a 369 languages. This setup provides insights into the 372 performance

Results

374 This experiment produced 14 tables of data. We NER tasks, providing insights into how word 375 have the experiment 1 results, the experiment 2 376 pretraining results, and then the experiment 2's few




Figure 1: Performance Gains in F1 for each model across languages.

377 shot percentage results for each language (5%, 425 378 10% and 20%, times 4 languages which is 12 426 379 tables). We need to analyze results across models, 427 380 across languages and across Few Shot percentages. DistilBERT had the best performance across 430 ³⁸² languages, with the closest contender being LSTM- ₄₃₁ 383 CRF, with up to 15% improvement in F1, making 432 384 it the most reliable (not online) model for scenarios with a moderate amount of labeled data. HMM and 386 Decision Tree models offered competitive 435 387 performance, particularly in low-resource settings, 388 but their absolute scores lagged behind deeplike 389 learning-based models LSTM-CRF. 390 Languages with complex morphology, such as 391 Arabic and Persian, benefitted significantly from 439 392 LSTM-CRF's ability to learn contextual and 440 393 sequential patterns. Simpler structures like Swahili 394 favored simpler models like Decision Tree, which 443 395 leveraged feature-based learning effectively.

Discussion and Conclusion

381

397 In conclusion, DistilBERT and LSTM-CRF are 447 398 best for accomplishing knowledge transfer across 399 languages. We had many options for experiment 2. 450 We could've done Zero-shot Transfer where we 451 401 train models only on high-resource languages and 452 402 evaluate on low-resource ones without fine-tuning. 453 403 We could've done Sequential Transfer Learning 454 404 and a few more. We tried implementing 455 405 bootstrapping and have a 6th model but it was clear 456 406 that it would require a lot of work to get appropriate 407 seed entities because we got abysmal performance 408 from bootstrapping, ultimately deciding that it 409 would not be a wise choice for a multilingual NER 410 model. This paper is easy to expand upon: we can 411 add more models to test. We can also add

experiments, as discussed above, we could've done 413 Zero-shot Transfer and Sequential Transfer 414 Learning and a few more.

Statement of Contribution

416 The workload of this project was evenly divided. 417 Rayane Bouafia was responsible for implementing 418 the LSTM-CRF, HMM, and experiment design for 419 all the models, while Armin Pousti implemented 420 the Brown clustering, decision trees, ⁴²¹ DistilBERT models. Both individuals collaborated 422 on writing the report and conducting research on 423 related papers about NER.

424 References

- Tian, X., Bu, X. and He, L. 2023. Multi-task learning with helpful word selection for lexicon-enhanced Chinese NER. In Applied Intelligence: The International Journal of Research on Intelligent Systems for Real Life Complex Problems, 53(16), pp. 19028-19043 Available https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-023-04464-0.
- Tsujimura, T. et al. 2023. Contextualized medication event extraction with striding NER and multi-turn
- OA. In Journal of Biomedical Informatics, Available https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2023.104416.
- Park, C., Jeong, S. and Kim, J. 2023. ADMit: Improving NER in automotive domain with domain adversarial training and multi-task learning. In Expert Systems With Applications, 225. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2023.120007.
- Guillaume Lample, Miguel Ballesteros, Sandeep Subramanian, Kazuya Kawakami, and Chris Dyer. 2016. Neural Architectures Named Entity Recognition. In Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Language Technologies, pages 260-270, San Diego, California. Association Computational Linguistics.
- WikiANN. Datasets at Hugging Face. https://huggingface.co/datasets/wikiann

445