

# A SIMPLIFIED UNIVERSAL TURING MACHINE

By

E. F. Moore

Bell Telephone Laboratories, New Jersey

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1936 Turing<sup>(1)</sup> defined a class of logical machines (which he called a-machines, but which are now generally called Turing machines) which he used as an aid in proving certain results in mathematical logic, and which should prove of interest in connection with the theory of control and switching systems.

Given any logical operation or arithmetical computation for which complete instructions for carrying out can be supplied, it is possible to design a Turing machine which can perform this operation.

A Turing machine is defined to have only a finite number of internal states and to have an infinitely long tape on which it can read, write, and erase symbols, and which it can move one space at a time in either direction.

Turing suggested that such a machine is an abstract mathematical model of a human being assigned to performing a computation, with the states of the machine corresponding to the states of mind of the human, and the symbols on the tape corresponding to the numerical answers and to the intermediate results on scratch paper<sup>(2)</sup>.

Developments since the time of Turing's paper have been in the direction of digital computers which show a much more direct resemblance to Turing machines than do human beings. In fact, several present-day digital computers do actually use magnetic or perforated paper tapes as auxiliary memories, instead of

merely as input-output media. Hence, a Turing machine could also be considered a mathematical model<sup>(3)</sup> of a digital computer.

## 2. Multiple-tape Turing Machines

I will modify Turing's definition of the machines considered by permitting generalized Turing machines to employ more than one tape, and permitting some of the tapes to be in the form of closed loops (like a conveyor belt, or a rubber band) rather than being infinite.

I will use the term "ordinary Turing machine" to refer to what Turing called an "a-machine", and the term "multiple-tape Turing machine" to refer to a machine modified as above.

A multiple-tape Turing machine can operate more like those digital computers which have several tape drives, or which have cyclic memories (such as mercury delay lines, magnetic drums, or loops of punched paper tape). It can easily be shown that the class of calculations which can be performed on ordinary Turing machines is exactly the same as the class that multiple-tape Turing machines can handle, although the method of handling the calculations must be different, to permit all of the information to be stored on one tape. The objectives which can be attained by having more than one tape are to increase the similarity in method of operation between this abstraction and certain actual machines, and (in the example given later in this report) to simplify the internal structure and the method of action of a machine which performs some specific task.

---

(1) Turing, A. M., On computable numbers, with an application to the Entscheidungsproblem, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. Series 2, Vol. 24, pp.230-265, 1936.

(2) This, like most other mathematical models, appears to differ from the object imitated in several auxiliary properties. For instance, the human can make mistakes in computation, find some of his own mistakes, ask for a raise, ask whether the problem is really worth solving, and invent short-cut methods.

However, on closer analysis, it would seem a reasonable conjecture that sufficiently complicated mathematical models, somewhat like Turing machines could eventually be rigorously shown to have properties of the sort mentioned.

---

(3) The computers again differ from these abstract mathematical machines, chiefly in making mistakes (i.e., machine breakdowns and transient errors). Also, the Turing machines are unnecessarily general in their properties, since no existing physical machine can have an arbitrarily long tape. However, Turing machines can be designed which behave as if they did not have the infinite tape. If no such restriction is imposed in the Turing machine, it corresponds to a human attendant operating an actual computer by removing and replacing reels of tape whenever the machine indicates this should be done, thus removing the limitation imposed by the mechanical properties of the machine.

### 3. Universal Turing Machine

In addition to considering Turing machines which would perform various other mathematical operations, Turing gave a description of a universal Turing machine, which was a single ordinary Turing machine which could perform any operation which any other ordinary Turing machine could perform<sup>(4)</sup>, even in case the other machine was more complicated than the universal machine.

This ability to make a relatively simple machine act like a more complicated machine is achieved by giving the simple machine complicated instructions. In particular, the universal Turing machine is given on one part of its tape a complete symbolic description of the machine it is expected to imitate. Then the universal machine stores on another part of its tape (for instance, on alternate squares) a copy of the tape that would be on the imitated machine, and makes the changes on this part of the tape which the imitated machine would make. The remaining part of the tape must be used for intermediate scratch work, for instance to record what state the imitated machine is in, what part of the tape it is scanning, etc. The internal structure of the universal Turing machine has to include instructions to use these various kinds of data, and to move back and forth between the different parts of tape.

Since the method of storing all of this information on one tape is rather complicated, the internal structure of the universal Turing machine which Turing described is also rather complicated, requiring a large number of states.

The present report describes a universal Turing machine which only has fifteen states, at the expense of being a multiple-tape machine. This simplification is accomplished by putting different kinds of information on different tapes which can be moved independently, permitting all the information required at each step to be at the reading heads simultaneously.

### 4. Conventions for Ordinary Turing Machines

The following conventions concerning the description and operation of ordinary Turing machines are slightly simplified from those given by Turing, and are in accordance with those used by Davis<sup>(5)</sup>. The machine is assumed to have a tape which has been subdivided into squares the width of the tape, each square containing exactly one symbol. The machine is able to read what is on only one square of the tape at any given time. The machine has a finite number

of internal states,  $q_1, \dots, q_n$ , and has a finite number of symbols<sup>(6)</sup>,  $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_m$ , which it can print on the scanned square of the tape.

The next move that the machine will make is assumed to be determined by the internal state of the machine and by the symbol scanned. This ordered pair (an internal state  $q_i$  and a symbol  $S_j$  scanned) will be called a determinant, and the next action taken is to be determined only by the present determinant of the machine.

There are only  $m + 3$  different kinds of operations which the machine can perform in any one step. These are the operation  $R$ , in which the machine moves<sup>(7)</sup> to the right along the tape (i.e., it changes from scanning its present square to scanning the one immediately to the right of this), the operation  $L$ , in which the machine moves to the left along the tape, and the  $m + 1$  operations  $S_k$  (defined for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ) which erase the symbol on the square now scanned, and print in its place the symbol  $S_k$ .

After the machine performs one of these  $m + 3$  operations listed above, it goes into a specified state  $q_l$ , and begins the cycle again (i.e., it now performs an operation based on its new determinant).

The determination as to which operation the machine will perform and which states it will go into are given by the description of the Turing machine, which is a finite list of quadruples of the form -

$$q_i S_j X q_l$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $l = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $j = 0, \dots, m$ ; and  $X$  is any Turing machine operation (i.e.,  $X = R$ ,  $X = L$ , or  $X = S_k$ , for  $k = 0, \dots, m$ ). The verbal interpretation of such a quadruple is that if the machine is in state  $q_i$  scanning symbol  $S_j$ , it should next perform operation  $X$  and go into state  $q_l$ .

It should be noted that the first two terms of each quadruple are the determinant, and hence for the machine to be able to act consistently according to its description, we must impose the condition that no two quadruples can begin with the same determinant. This condition will be called the consistency condition, and will be taken to be part of the definition of an ordinary Turing machine.

This completes the definition of ordinary Turing machines in general, but

(6) Blank tape (i.e., tape which has not yet been printed on by the machine) is assumed to originally contain the blank symbol  $S_0$  on each square.

(7) The somewhat confusing convention that the machine moves relative to the tape, instead of vice versa, is used here only to avoid changing the conventions established by Turing and Davis.

(4) It could be interpreted, loosely speaking, as a completely general-purpose digital computer.

(5) Martin Davis. Mimeographed lecture notes on recursive function theory, University of Illinois, 1951.

there is one other modification that will be made to simplify the construction of the universal Turing machine given in this report. This is to restrict  $m$  to be equal to 1, i.e., to permit only two symbols  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  on the ordinary Turing machine considered. To simplify the notation, we will let  $S_0 = 0$ , and  $S_1 = 1$ , and note that 0 is the symbol present on blank tape.

This restriction does not cut down the generality of the machines considered, since if any operation can be performed on a machine having  $m + 1$  symbols, it can be performed on a machine having only two symbols, by replacing each symbol in the original machine by a block of binary digits, whose length is  $s$ , the least integer  $\geq \log_2 (m + 1)$ , i.e., by coding the original machine on a binary basis. In order to do this and remain within the scope of the definition given for a Turing machine, it is necessary to replace each quadruple of the original machine with a set of at most  $3s - 2$  quadruples (taking care not to violate the consistency condition) which scan through the block of binary digits to identify it and which step along performing a series of operations equivalent to the operation of the original machine.

Finally, before describing the universal Turing machine which can imitate any ordinary Turing machine having only two symbols, let us define precisely how the description of the ordinary Turing machine will be written on a tape, using only binary digits.

The tape description is obtained from the list of quadruples that completely defines the machine, by the use of a rule translating the quadruples into 1's and 0's in such a manner that will permit their use easily in the universal machine.

The determinant  $q_i 0$  (or  $q_i 1$ ) which begins each quadruple is translated into a block of  $3i + 1$  (or  $3i + 2$ , respectively) successive 1's along the tape.

The operation which is indicated in the third term of each quadruple is translated into a string of 0's which immediately follow the above described block on the tape. The translation is as follows -

0	0
1	00
L	000
R	0000

The next state  $q_j$  is translated as a block of  $3j$  successive 1's, immediately following the above string of 0's.

For instance, the following are translations of a few quadruples -

$q_1 0 l q_2$  111100111111  
 $q_2 L q_1$  11111111000111

To write the tape description of an entire ordinary Turing machine on a tape, we write the translations of the quadruples in any order, separating each from the next with any number of 0's.

It should be noted that the above tape descriptions have the property that a block of  $N$  1's represents a determinant if, and only if,  $N$  is not divisible by 3.

## 5. A Multiple-tape Universal Turing Machine

Let us consider a Turing machine having three tapes, which will be called  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_3$ , on each of which only the symbols 0 or 1 can occur. The description of this machine is given by a list of sextuples below, with the first four items in each representing the determinant, which in this case must consist of the internal state and the symbol scanned on each of the three tapes. For instance  $q_3 1 0 1$  is the determinant which indicates that the sextuple in which it occurs is to have effect only if the machine is in internal state  $q_3$ , scans 1 on  $T_1$ , scans 0 on  $T_2$ , and scans 1 on  $T_3$ .

As an added convention  $\theta$  is used in the determinant to indicate that the sextuple is to have effect regardless of whether the corresponding tape shows a 0 or a 1.

The fifth symbol in each sextuple is the operation which is to be performed next. These operations are given as in the case of the ordinary Turing machine, except that a subscript indicates which tape it is to apply to. Thus  $R_1$  indicates a step to the right along  $T_1$ , and  $O_3$  indicates the printing of a 0 on  $T_3$ .

Finally the sixth symbol in each sextuple gives the next state of the Turing machine.

The complete list of sextuples of the machine is given below, with explanations of the operations. The list is broken up into parts A, B, and C to permit over-all explanation of the parts. The sequence of steps which this machine goes through in part A, part B, and then part C will perform one step of the actions of the Turing machine being imitated.

In order to use this machine, the tape  $T_1$  should be a loop of tape, containing the description of the machine being imitated,  $T_2$  should be an infinite tape which is blank except for containing the determinant of the machine being imitated (written as a string of 1's, as described above), and  $T_3$  should be a copy of the infinite tape which would be on the machine being imitated.

The three tapes are used for the purposes indicated above at each step, except that  $T_2$  will contain the sequence of all the past determinants of the machine being imitated, only the most recent of which is used at any step.

As an aid in following the written description below, Figure 1 shows most of the same information in diagrammatic form.

## 6. Description of the Machine

### Part A

In states  $q_1$  through  $q_6$  the multiple-tape Turing machine is search-

ing along  $T_1$  to find the quadruple that will be pertinent to the next operation of the machine it is imitating.

It is done by searching along  $T_1$  to find a block of 1's having the same length as the block on  $T_2$ .

- $q_{11}l0R_2q_2$  The machine steps along to the right on  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  alternately, starting in state  $q_1$  at the left end of the block of 1's on each tape.
- $q_{11}l0L_2q_3$  If the machine reaches 0 on  $T_2$  while within the block of 1's on  $T_1$ , the block on  $T_1$  was shorter, and the machine begins preparation for comparing the next block on  $T_1$  with the same block on  $T_2$  by moving back one space to return to the block on  $T_2$ .
- $q_{31}l0R_1q_3$  The machine moves along toward the right end of the block of 1's on  $T_1$ .
- $q_{31}l0L_2q_4$  When the machine has just passed the right end of the block of 1's on  $T_1$ , it moves back toward the beginning of the block on  $T_2$ .
- $q_{11}l0L_2q_4$  If the machine reaches a 0 on  $T_1$  while still within the block of 1's on  $T_2$ , the block on  $T_2$  was shorter, and the machine moves back toward the beginning of the block on  $T_2$ .
- $q_{41}l0L_2q_4$  The machine continues moving to the left on  $T_2$ , to return to the beginning of the block of 1's on  $T_2$ .
- $q_{41}00R_1q_5$  As soon as the machine has passed the beginning of the block of 1's on  $T_2$ , it begins moving along toward the next block.
- $q_{51}00R_1q_5$  The machine continues past the 0's toward the next block on  $T_1$ .
- $q_{51}l0R_2q_1$  As soon as the machine has reached the beginning of the next block on  $T_1$  it moves back to the beginning of the original block on  $T_2$  to begin making the next comparison of blocks.
- $q_{11}00R_2q_6$  If the comparison reaches the end of both blocks of 1's simultaneously, the blocks were of equal lengths, and hence the proper quadruple has been found.  $T_2$  is moved to the right to put a space between the block which has just been used and the next block that will be printed.

## Part B

In states  $q_6$  through  $q_{11}$  the multiple-tape Turing machine examines the number of 0's immediately following the block of 1's just located on  $T_1$  which indicate what operation the ordinary Turing machine being imitated is expected to perform on its tape. The corresponding operation is then performed on  $T_3$ .

- $q_600R_1q_7$  The multiple-tape Turing machine is scanning the first 0 following the determinant block on  $T_2$ . In order to begin counting how many successive 0's there are, the machine moves to the right on  $T_1$ .
- $q_{71}l0L_3q_8$  Since the multiple-tape Turing machine has reached a 1 on  $T_1$ , there was only a single 0. This indicates that the operation the ordinary Turing machine should perform next is to print a 0, so  $T_3$  takes this action.
- $q_{71}00R_1q_9$  There were at least two successive 0's on  $T_1$ , so the machine moves to the right along  $T_1$ , to continue counting the 0's.
- $q_{91}l0L_3q_8$  There were exactly two successive 0's on  $T_1$ , so the multiple-tape machine prints a 1 on  $T_3$ .
- $q_{91}00R_1q_{10}$  The machine continues counting 0's on  $T_1$ .
- $q_{101}l0L_3q_8$  There were exactly three successive 0's on  $T_1$ , so the multiple-tape machine moves to the left on  $T_3$ .
- $q_{101}00R_1q_{11}$  There were exactly four successive 0's on  $T_1$ , so the multiple-tape machine moves to the right on  $T_3$ , after having moved along  $T_1$ , to the beginning of the next block.
- $q_{111}l0R_3q_8$

## Part C

In states  $q_8$  and  $q_{12}$  through  $q_{15}$ , the multiple-tape Turing machine prints on  $T_2$  the determinant for the next operation, obtaining it from the symbol on  $T_3$  and the state of the ordinary Turing machine described on  $T_1$ . If the length of the block of 1's on  $T_1$  is  $3l$ , then the number of 1's which must be copied on  $T_2$  is  $3l + 1$  or  $3l + 2$ , depending on whether  $T_3$  is scanning a 0 or a 1.

- $q_{81}l0L_2q_{13}$  If  $T_3$  is scanning a 0, print a single 1 on  $T_2$  before going into state  $q_{13}$  to begin copying from  $T_1$ .

q<sub>8</sub>l0112q<sub>12</sub> If T<sub>1</sub> is scanning a 1,  
print two 1's on T<sub>2</sub>  
q<sub>12</sub>111R2q<sub>15</sub> before going into State  
q<sub>15</sub>00012q<sub>13</sub> q<sub>13</sub> to begin copying  
from T<sub>1</sub>.

q<sub>13</sub>110R2q<sub>14</sub> Each time this cycle  
of three steps is gone  
q<sub>14</sub>100R1q<sub>15</sub> through, the machine  
copies a single 1 from  
q<sub>15</sub>00012q<sub>13</sub> T<sub>1</sub> to T<sub>2</sub>, and steps  
along both tapes to the  
next position.

q<sub>13</sub>010L2q<sub>4</sub> When the Turing machine  
reaches the end of the  
block of 1's on T<sub>1</sub>, the  
copying is completed.  
The tapes must now be  
prepared for the opera-  
tions in Part A, by  
moving back toward the  
beginning of the block  
on T<sub>2</sub>, and entering the  
cycle of Part A at  
the appropriate point.

## 7. Physical Realizability

If it were desired to build a working model of the machine just described, standard teletype tape equipment could not be used, since it does not have the properties assumed. A punch which would be able to operate on tape moving in either direction, and to read from the same line that it is in a position to punch in would be required.

But since holes in punched tape cannot be erased once they are punched, in order to make a machine using punched tape capable of imitating the behavior of an ordinary Turing machine which has this erasing property the coding of the description of the machine would have to be in a more complicated fashion. This has been shown by Shannon (8) to be always possible, although his method of doing it is somewhat complicated.

It should be mentioned, however, that the properties of the tapes assumed in Turing machines are very much like the properties attained by magnetic tapes, which have erasability, reversibility, and the ability to use the same reading head for either reading or writing.

If a tape mechanism were available which had the properties assumed and which could be connected directly to

relay circuits, it would be possible to build a working model of this machine using perhaps twenty or twenty-five relays. These figures are mentioned since the number of relays is frequently used as a measure of the complexity of a logical machine, and would enable comparisons to be made between this and actual digital computers.

This estimated number of relays is intended to be sufficiently generous to permit the relay realization of this machine to include the solution of several circuit problems. First, the complexity of the relay sequencing required will probably prohibit many of the possible relay combinations from being useable. Second, the digits being read on each tape must be used in many parts of the circuit, and hence extra relays to follow these digits may be required, and finally, since while the tape is in motion the information as to the present determinant is unreadable, it may be necessary to insert extra states (not in the logical description given) to record each determinant.

## 8. Conclusion

This machine indicates that very complicated logical processes can be done using a fairly small number of mechanical or electrical components, provided large amounts of a memory medium are available. With present speeds and costs of components, it would not be economically feasible to use such a machine to perform complicated operations because of the extreme slowness and fairly large amount of memory required.

However, with present trends toward the development of high-speed, electronic logical components, this result suggests that it may be possible to reduce the number of components required for logical control purposes, particularly if any cheap memory devices are developed. It would be impossible to draw any precise conclusions from this extreme example as to the extent to which memory and speed can be traded for complexity since no precise quantitative relations between them have been obtained.

---

(8) Unpublished work.

# 15-STATE UNIVERSAL TURING MACHINE




Figure 1

## SIMPLE LEARNING BY A DIGITAL COMPUTER

By

The Computation Laboratory  
Harvard University

### 1. Introduction

Digital computers can readily be programmed to exhibit modes of behavior which are usually associated only with the nervous systems of living organisms. This paper describes a concrete example of one practical technique by which the Electronic Delay Storage Automatic Calculator (EDSAC) of the University Mathematical Laboratory, Cambridge, was made capable of modifying its behavior on the basis of experience acquired in the course of operation.

Techniques of this type may have some value for those who, like psychologists and neurophysiologists, are interested in the potentialities of existing digital computers as models of the structure and of the functions of animal nervous systems. The description will be given in two stages. In the first stage (Section 2) the behavior of the EDSAC under the control of a response learning program will be presented from the point of view of an experimenter who can control the input of the machine